IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

THE UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS FOUNDATION,

Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant,

- '77 --

BLONDER-TONGUE LABORATORIES, INC.,

Defendant and Counterclaimant,

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 66 C 567

- v -

JFD ELECTRONICS CORPORATION,

Counterclaim Defendant.)

MOTION OF DEFENDANT FOR JUDGMENT AND ARGUMENT IN SUPPORT THEREOF

Now comes defendant by its attorneys and moves the Court for judgment dismissing the charge of infringement of Isbell 3,210,767, as barred by the final decision finding Isbell invalid, University of Illinois Foundation v. Winegard, 271 F.Supp. 412; affirmed 402 F.2d 125, certiorari denied 394 U.S. 917 (1969); motion for rehearing denied May 17, 1971.

ARGUMENT

Plaintiff in its Reply dated July 27, 1971, to defendant's Memorandum, waives the right to amend its pleadings

or to supplement the record with evidence which might show why an estoppel should not be imposed. The only evidence which has been offered by plaintiff is an affidavit of William A. Marshall reciting some of the chronology of the various actions involving the Isbell patent. This is all a matter of public record. The evidence does not establish that plaintiff was denied

". . . a full and fair chance to litigate the validity of his patent . . "
78 L.Ed.2d 802

Richard S. Phillips
Attorney for Defendant
20 North Wacker Drive

Chicago, Illinois 60606

in the Winegard case.

In accordance with the opinion of the Supreme Court, judgment should be rendered for defendant dismissing the complaint.

July <u>29</u>, 1971.

OF COUNSEL:

ROBERT H. RINES
DAVID RINES
RINES and RINES
No. Ten Post Office Square
Boston, Massachusetts 02109

HOFGREN, WEGNER, ALLEN, STELLMAN & McCORD 20 North Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606