

Respect to Pley, notes to local appeal
on Jan - Dec -

D. BTL - legal counsel ~~opposed~~ the
action of Pley to ~~file~~ plan the case on all
January 1967 ~~date~~ - ✓

Robert Rines - potential counsel for D
but project cancelled. The trial of the case will
be on by the County on a business trip from
Jan. 2 thru Feb. -
Rines local counsel does not have the
thorough ~~int.~~ knowledge of the case necessary
to argue the appeal. To require the appeal
to proceed in the absence of Mr. Rines would
prejudice D's right to appeal.

D would not object to local counsel, this is common knowledge.

Pley's sole basis for requesting that
the appeal be accelerated ^{through} for early hearing
is ~~that~~ the ~~subject~~ ~~matter~~ ~~is~~ a local
of assurance that County Council for
Court can be delayed.

The pit, low appeal to 10/4/67 for file?

give
Special
Counsel
to

RSP - King Swann says -

He is ~~to be~~ ~~member of the~~ ~~financing~~
local council for RHR, ~~purposes~~
Council for D BTL -

On receipt of P's letter he ~~discusses~~
~~the matter~~ ~~of~~ ~~your~~ ~~RHR~~ ~~of~~ ~~note~~
and ~~has~~ ~~heard~~ ~~that~~ ~~Pais~~
is leaving the country ~~on~~ Jan. 8, 1969,
He has ~~for~~ a trip to the ~~for~~ East ~~and~~ ~~of~~
Europe and Europe, during which he
will be consulted with the government
of the Republic of China, and looking
into reports to their patent system
on the general subject of patent. -
He will return on February 27. -

28 USC Petition -

2101 90 days - after entry of judgement - maybe extended for 60 days.

Brief in opposition - 30 days -

Rule 22, Supreme Court Rules -

(Rules - complete month)

for legal
to act

Recall

5/21/62

371 US 889

9/30/68

90 - 12 wks
66 days

12/30 -

7/30/63

3/23/64

2/13/64

Reply - Jan 29

Normal for
F. E.

Nov 8 - Ped. Not here ~~back~~ to
Feb 6 -

(case 60 say ext. over case) -

Apr 7 -

~~Reply May 7~~

CAS -
order goes
out in 30 days.

Dec. 12

for Keith, Kulis

Ries

January 8 - Feb. 27

Professional - lecturing
government mission
throughout

government of Republic of China

Dec. 9 - Boston Trial -

9th Day. v. Chu

12/16 - St. Louis - Trial -
Evans v. McDonnell -
66 # C 404 (2)

Rochester - Edward Macomber
NY. Harris, Basil W. Alan Dale &
Lenowitz.
716 - 232 - 4440.

~~an inner conductor~~

a tubular inner conductor of
an overlapped spiral web.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS FOUNDATION,
Plaintiff and
Counterclaim Defendant,

v.

BLONDER-TONGUE LABORATORIES, INC.,
Defendant and
Counterclaimant,

v.

JFD ELECTRONICS CORPORATION,
Counterclaim Defendant.

Civil Action
No. 66 C 567

O R D E R

THIS MATTER having come on to be heard upon the Motion of Defendant herein for a stay of proceedings to enforce a judgment and the Defendant having submitted a Supersedeas Bond in the amount of TWENTY THOUSAND (\$20,000.00) DOLLARS, said Bond having been approved herewith;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Injunction and proceedings for assessment of damages, ordered by the Judgment Order of June 27, 1968, be and the same hereby is stayed during the pendency of Defendant's Appeal in this cause and until final disposition thereof.

United States District Judge

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING NOTICE

I, **ELBERT A. WAGNER, JR.**, Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, Keeper of the Seal and Records of said Court, do hereby certify that on the ___ day of July, 1968, in accordance with Rule 3-D of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, I did cause to be mailed a copy of the foregoing Notice of Appeal to the following attorneys of Record:

MERRIAM, MARSHALL, SHAPIRO & KLOSE
30 West Monroe Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Attorneys for University of Illinois Foundation

SILVERMAN & GASS
105 West Adams Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Attorneys for JFD Electronics Corporation

ELBERT A. WAGNER, JR., CLERK

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS FOUNDATION,

Plaintiff and
Counterclaim Defendant,

v.

BLONDER-TONGUE LABORATORIES, INC.,

Defendant and
Counterclaimant,

v.

JFD ELECTRONICS CORPORATION,

Counterclaim Defendant.

Civil Action

No. 66 C 567

NOTICE OF APPEAL TO COURT OF APPEALS

Notice is hereby given that BLONDER-TONGUE LABORATORIES, INC., Defendant and Counterclaimant above named, hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit from the final judgment of this Court entered in this action on the 27th day of June, 1968.

15/ RSP
Attorneys for Defendant
Hofgren, Wegner, Allen, Stellman & McCord
20 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Telephone: 346-1630

To:

MERRIAM, MARSHALL, SHAPIRO & KLOSE
30 West Monroe Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Attorneys for University of Illinois Foundation

SILVERMAN & CASS
105 West Adams Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Attorneys for JPD Electronics Corporation

Please take notice that the foregoing Notice of
Appeal has been filed forthwith in the above entitled case,
on July 25, 1968.

/s/ RSP

Attorneys for Defendant
Hofgren, Wegner, Allen, Stellman & McGord
20 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois
Telephone: 346-1630

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING NOTICE

I, ELBERT A. WAGNER, JR., Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, Keeper of the Seal and Records of said Court, do hereby certify that on the ___ day of July, 1968, in accordance with Rule 3-D of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, I did cause to be mailed a copy of the foregoing Notice of Appeal to the following attorneys of Record:

MERRIAM, MARSHALL, SHAPIRO & KLOSE
30 West Monroe Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Attorneys for University of Illinois Foundation

SILVERMAN & CASS
105 West Adams Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Attorneys for JPD Electronics Corporation

ELBERT A. WAGNER, JR., CLERK

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS FOUNDATION,
Plaintiff and
Counterclaim Defendant,

v.

BLONDER-TONGUE LABORATORIES, INC.,
Defendant and
Counterclaimant,

v.

JFD ELECTRONICS CORPORATION,
Counterclaim Defendant.

Civil Action

No. 66 C 567

O R D E R

THIS MATTER having come on to be heard upon the Motion of Defendant herein for a stay of proceedings to enforce a judgment and the Defendant having submitted a Supersedeas Bond in the amount of TWENTY THOUSAND (\$20,000.00) DOLLARS, said Bond having been approved herewith;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Injunction and proceedings for assessment of damages, ordered by the Judgment Order of June 27, 1968, be and the same hereby is stayed during the pendency of Defendant's Appeal in this cause and until final disposition thereof.

United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS FOUNDATION,
Plaintiff and
Counterclaim Defendant,

v.

BLONDER-TONGUE LABORATORIES, INC.,
Defendant and
Counterclaimant,

v.

JFD ELECTRONICS CORPORATION,
Counterclaim Defendant.

Civil Action

No. 66 C 567

ORDER

THIS MATTER having come on to be heard upon the Motion of Defendant herein for a stay of proceedings to enforce a judgment and the Defendant having submitted a Supersedeas Bond in the amount of TWENTY THOUSAND (\$20,000.00) DOLLARS, said Bond having been approved herewith;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Injunction and proceedings for assessment of damages, ordered by the Judgment Order of June 27, 1968, be and the same hereby is stayed during the pendency of Defendant's Appeal in this cause and until final disposition thereof.

United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS FOUNDATION,
Plaintiff and
Counterclaim Defendant,

v.

BLONDER-TONGUE LABORATORIES, INC.,
Defendant and
Counterclaimant,

v.

JFD ELECTRONICS CORPORATION,
Counterclaim Defendant.

Civil Action

No. 66 C 567

NOTICE OF MOTION

To: MERRIAM, MARSHALL, SHAPIRO & KLOSE
30 West Monroe Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Attorneys for University of Illinois Foundation

SILVERMAN & GASS
105 West Adams Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Attorneys for JFD Electronics Corporation

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on Friday, July 26, 1968,
at 10:00 A.M., or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard,
we shall appear before The Honorable Joseph Samuel Perry, Judge
of the above Court, in the Room usually occupied by him, and
shall present the attached Motion, at which time and place you
may appear.

/s/ R S P

Attorneys for Defendant

RECEIVED a copy of the foregoing Notice and attached
Motion this ___ day of July, 1968.

Attorneys for University of
Illinois Foundation

Attorneys for JFD Electronics
Corporation

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS FOUNDATION,
Plaintiff and
Counterclaim Defendant,

v.

BLONDER-TONGUE LABORATORIES, INC.,
Defendant and
Counterclaimant,

Civil Action

No. 66 C 567

v.

JFD ELECTRONICS CORPORATION,
Counterclaim Defendant.

NOTION FOR STAY OF JUDGMENT

Comes now the Defendant, BLONDER-TONGUE LABORATORIES, INC., by its attorneys, and moves this Court for an Order under Rule 62-D of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to stay the issuance of an injunction or other proceedings for assessment of damages ordered in this cause by order dated June 27, 1968, a copy of which is attached herewith, pending disposition of Defendant's Appeal, the Defendant having filed with the Clerk of this Court its Notice of Appeal from the said judgment order July 25, 1968. Defendant submits herewith for filing with the Clerk of the Court a Supersedeas Bond in the amount of TWENTY THOUSAND (\$20,000.00) DOLLARS with suitable sureties.

151 RSP

Attorneys for Defendant

Dated:

July 25, 1968

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS FOUNDATION,

Plaintiff and
Counterclaim Defendant,

v.

BLONDER-TONGUE LABORATORIES, INC.,

Defendant and
Counterclaimant,

v.

JFD ELECTRONICS CORPORATION,

Counterclaim Defendant.

Civil Action

No. 66 C 567

SUPERSKDEAS BOND

Know all men by these presents that we as surety,
are held and firmly bound unto UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS FOUNDATION,
in the sum of TWENTY THOUSAND (\$20,000.00) DOLLARS to be paid to
the said UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS FOUNDATION, their attorneys,
successors, or assigns to which payment we bind ourselves, our
successors and assigns, jointly and severally.

Sealed with our seals and dated this _____ day of July,
1968.

Whereas, on June 27, 1968, in an action in the District
Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois,
between UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS FOUNDATION, Plaintiff and Counter-
claim Defendant, BLONDER-TONGUE LABORATORIES, INC., Defendant and

Counterclaimant, and JFD ELECTRONICS CORPORATION, Counter-claim Defendant, a judgment was rendered against said BLONDER-TONGUE LABORATORIES, INC., and the said BLONDER-TONGUE LABORATORIES, INC. has duly filed a Notice of Appeal from said judgment to the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit; and

Whereas, the said BLONDER-TONGUE LABORATORIES, INC. desires a stay of the judgment in the above-entitled cause until the determination of the said Appeal,

NOW, THEREFORE, the condition of this bond is such that if the said BLONDER-TONGUE LABORATORIES, INC., as appellant, shall prosecute its appeal with effect and shall satisfy the said judgment in full together with costs, interest and damage for said delay if said appeal is dismissed or if the judgment is affirmed, and shall satisfy in full such modification of the judgment and costs, interest and damages as may be adjudged and awarded by the Court of Appeals, then this obligation to be void; otherwise to remain in full force and effect.

NATIONAL SURETY CORPORATION

BY _____

ATTORNEY-IN-FACT

(SEAL)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS FOUNDATION,)	
Plaintiff and)	
Counterclaim Defendant,)	
v.)	
BLONDER-TONGUE LABORATORIES, INC.,)	Civil Action
Defendant and)	
Counterclaimant,)	No. 66 C 567
v.)	
JFD ELECTRONICS CORPORATION,)	
Counterclaim Defendant.)	

ORDER ON STIPULATION

Pursuant to stipulation by and between counsel for the respective parties, it is hereby ordered that the parties be granted an extension of 10 days to and including April 12, 1968, for filing respective Answering Briefs, Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment Orders pursuant to paragraph 3 of the Agreed Order, dated January 16, 1968.

SO ORDERED:

U. S. District Judge

March , 1968