

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD

Proceedings and Debates of the 96th Congress
LD-4(Rev.Nov.78)

SENATE

BILL	DATE	PAGE(S)
S. 598	June 28, 1980	S8831-8832

ACTION **Soft Drink Products Labeling:** Senate agreed to the House amendment to S. 598, preserving the manufacture, bottling, and distribution of trademarked soft drink products by local companies operating under territorial licenses.

Pages 58831-58832

the sum or value of interest and of brought against agency thereof in his (b) Section Safety Act (15 redesignating (c) and by ins section (a) th (b) Except in a statute of case in which judged to be en sum or value regard to any of the defendant titled, and excl the district co plaintiff and, in on the plaintiff.

On page 3, lin

So as to mal

Be it enacted of Representat America in Co Act may be cite Jurisdictional A

Sec. 2. (a) Sec States Code, is "§ 1331. Federal

The district diction of al the Constitution United States."

(b) The Item r table of sections United States Co out"; amount in

Sec. 3. (a) Sec Product Safety amended—

(1) by striking sions of section States Code, as versy"; and

(2) by striking hereof and inser vided, That the ceeds the sum or of interest and of brought against agency thereof, thereof in his offic

(b) Section 23 Safety Act (15 U redesignating sub and by inserti on (a) the fo

(b) Except whe in a statute of the in which the plain be entitled to recd value of \$10,000, e to any setoff or c defendant may be and exclusive of the district court may, the plain- tiff and, in additi the plaintiff."

Sec. 4. This Act action pending on this Act.

Mr. ROBERT C I ask unanimous c ments be consider

The amendment agreed to en bloc.

The bill was or for a third readin time, and passed.

Mr. ROBERT C I move to reconsi the bill was passed.

Mr. BAKER. I m on the table.

clusive of in- ch action is ch States, any or employee

umer Product amended by as subsection ely after sub- y subsection: yision is made tates, in any s finally ad- less than the tuted without aim to which ed to be en- ts and costs, costs to the impose costs

ld insert "4";

and House ed States of . That this al Question of 1980". e 28, United as follows:

ve original ising under ties of the

1331 in the of title 28, by striking ts."

Consumer 2072(a) is

the provi- 28, United in contro-

at the end of "": Pro- versy ex- clusive of action is tates, any employee.

r Product ended by subsection after sub- section:

n is made any case judged to e sum or t regard hich the entitled, osts, the he plain- costs on

any civil tment of

resident, amend- red and

grossed e third

resident, which motion

The moti he table was agreed to.

SOFT DRINK INTERBRAND COMPETITION ACT

Mr. ROBERT C. BYRD. Mr. President, I ask that the Chair lay before the Senate a message from the House of Representatives on S. 598.

The PRESIDING OFFICER laid before the Senate the following message from the House of Representatives:

Resolved, That the bill from the Senate (S. 598) entitled "An Act to clarify the circumstances under which territorial provisions in licenses to manufacture, distribute, and sell trademarked soft drink products are lawful under the antitrust laws", do pass with the following amendment:

Strike out all after the enacting clause, and insert:

SECTION 1. This Act may be cited as the "Soft Drink Interbrand Competition Act".

Sec. 2. Nothing contained in any antitrust law shall render unlawful the inclusion and enforcement in any trademark licensing contract or agreement, pursuant to which the licensee engages in the manufacture (including manufacture by a sublicensee, agent, or subcontractor), distribution, and sale of a trademarked soft drink product, of provisions granting the licensee the sole and exclusive right to manufacture, distribute, and sell such product in a defined geographic area or limiting the licensee, directly or indirectly, to the manufacture, distribution, and sale of such product only for ultimate resale to consumers within a defined geographic area: *Provided*, That such product is in substantial and effective competition with other products of the same general class in the relevant market, or markets.

Sec. 3. Nothing in this Act shall be construed to legalize the enforcement of provisions described in section 2 of this Act in trademark licensing contracts or agreements described in that section by means of price fixing agreements, horizontal restraints of trade, or group boycotts, if such agreements, restraints, or boycotts would otherwise be unlawful.

Sec. 4. In the case of any proceeding instituted by the United States described in subsection (1) of section 5 of the Clayton Act (relating to suspension of the statute of limitations on the institution of proceedings by the United States) (15 U.S.C. 16(1)) which is pending on the date of the enactment of this Act, that subsection shall not apply with respect to any right of action referred to in that subsection based in whole or in part on any matter complained of in that proceeding consisting of the existence or enforcement of any provision described in section 2 of this Act in any trademark licensing contract or agreement described in that section.

Sec. 5. As used in this Act, the term "antitrust law" means the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. 1 et seq.), the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 12 et seq.), and the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 41 et seq.).

Mr. THURMOND. Mr. President, I rise in support of S. 598, the Soft Drink Interbrand Competition Act, as amended and passed by the House.

Mr. President, this is appropriate and much needed legislation that will be beneficial to the industry and to this Nation's consumers.

I was one of the original cosponsors of this bill and have maintained a long and continuing strong interest in this matter from the time that the legislation was proposed by Senator Eastland. It is

my desire and hope that the full record regarding this important matter will be recognized and given due consideration. Briefly, the territorial franchise system for soft drinks has been in effect for over 78 years, with over 2,000 large and small bottlers making capital investments of billions of dollars in reliance on such territorial agreements.

Mr. President, in 1971, the Federal Trade Commission initiated a number of cases challenging the territorial provisions in bottlers' trademark licenses as unfair methods of competition in violation of section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act. A decision by the administrative law judge held that the franchise system was lawful. The Federal Trade Commission, however, overruled that decision. This created an issue of such importance to many Senators that they felt it imperative to introduce a bill to clarify the conflicting issues of contract obligations among the various interests affected by that FTC decision.

The territorial limitations have provided incentives to bottlers to make investments for production, distribution, and marketing, which have resulted in substantial and effective interbrand competition. At the same time, the territorial system has not prevented adaptation in the public interest to changing economic and demographic factors.

Mr. President, without territorial restrictions, without corrective legislation, there would be a tendency for the large bottlers with greater capital availability and flexibility to capture much of the smaller firms' business and, at the same time, we would see the remaining small independent bottlers pressed toward submarginal profits. With concentration achieved by the large bottlers, competition would truly be diminished in this field.

Mr. President, the proposed legislation, S. 598, as amended, directs the attention of the enforcement agencies in the proper direction and, it would, in my opinion, be an appropriate and important addition to the antitrust laws.

I am pleased that we now have the opportunity to pass this legislation that many have worked so hard for over the years. I would particularly like to commend Senator COCHRAN on this side of the aisle for his diligent and highly effective work on this legislation.

I urge my colleagues to join with me in support of this important measure.

Mr. BAYH. Mr. President, I move that the Senate concur in the House amendments.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the motion of the Senator from Indiana (Mr. BAYH).

The motion was agreed to.

Mr. BAYH. Mr. President, I wish to express my appreciation to the majority leader and minority leader and my distinguished colleague from Mississippi, Senator COCHRAN, who was one of the early cosponsors, as well as the other 70-odd cosponsors for making it possible to have accomplished what I think is a very important contribution to the continued financial solvency of several hundred, indeed, a couple of thousand of small businesses throughout the country.

Mr. President, I know this was a difficult measure for certain Members of the House, particularly the distinguished chairman of the Judiciary Committee, Chairman **RODINO**. But he was willing to work with the other supporters of this measure in the House. We now have a bill which I think will go a long way to making it possible for us to have a secure and financially solid softdrink bottling industry in this country.

I am glad that we are here tonight, after a long torturous trail, to finally pass this measure.

NATIONAL SNOWMOBILING MONTH

Mr. **ROBEI** I ask unanin Mr. **LEAHY**, t Judiciary be consideration olution 80, an to its immedia

The **PRESII** current resolut The assistan follows:

A concurrent i to proclaim Febr mobilng Month."

The **PRESIDI** objection, the S consideration.

● Mr. **LEAHY**. current resolution requests the Pre month of Februa mobilng Month."

It may seem in the end of June snowmobiling, a popular cannot think of a nize the important of this Nation's m too long snowmobil or, worse, called a recreational needs have been overlook lands and energy pe been said about the snowmobiling or at things snowmobilers years.

Only a short time time of hibernation, doors near a roaring than braving snow dr However, all that is perfection and availa snowmobile.

Snowmobiling has many Americans to th and to many outdoo snowmobile has great winter recreation oppo 20 million Americans. I the snowmobile has b means of transportatio areas, often providing link to neighbors and w of emergency, to essent medical supplies for s dents.

Snowmobile tourism h rejuvenated the econom communities across the

own State (lion are ge snow machi Vermonte of the posit and, like sn States, have ing the en sources. The snowmobilin tection are is the snow inconsistent activities, such alliance of among win emerged to winter outd creation exp

Mr. **Presid** proud to be and urge my proclaim Fe mobilng Mo

The **PR** question is rent resoluti

The conc Res. 80) was The prear The conc preamble, is

Whereas sn of recreation

Whereas sn significantly t belt residents;

Whereas sn individual snow ed their skills uations, perf accident victi skiers, in wildc fundraising ef

Whereas sn individual snow derstanding o vironment an ing with fore managing tra conducting wil ment awaren

Whereas sn cases for the capped perso outdoor recrea friends, and n

Whereas Fed ments and pr courage coope opportunities snowmobiling which contrib the snowbelt therefore, be

Resolved by resentatives co of the United and requested nating the mo Snowmobiling

ORDE

Mr. **ROBEI** on behalf of mous consen Mass Transp passed by the

The **PRESII** objection, it i

most \$30 mil-year through elated jobs. y much aware snowmobiling ther northern n for protect- natural re proven that resource prole goals. Nor winter trails reational act- try skiing. An d cooperation groups has who enjoy the quality of rec- eek.

reasons I am his resolution support it and tional Snow-

FICER. The the concu-

on (S. Con. d to.

on, with its popular form Americans; s contributed life of snow-

and many in- teen volunteer- emergency sit- operations for s, hikers, and animal assist- aritable and

and many in- stered an un- on for our en- rces by work- marking and veloping and s and environ-

mits, in many many handi- participate in pullback (their relatives,

local govern- oster and en- ling adequate ities, such as untry skiing. lity of life of visitors: Now,

House of Rep- the President by authorized mation desig- as "National

. 2720

Mr. **President**, I ask unanin the Urban e printed as

ER. Without

ROUTI Mr. **ROB** I ask unanin be a period tine mornin may speak The **PRE** objection, i

AF

Mr. **TH** Soviet inc December pansionism U.S.S.R. in sion ended troops in (weaker na

As the S by demon ruthlessne man life a istration b opinion b to say the

The So was tragi eyes of m are not a tion some

An edit ington P fallacious istration's

Mr. **Pr** interestin I ask una in the **R** remarks.

[From the

No soon is withdra ghanistan announced move then out the c that prote est." This itous and, the Unite

facilitate in that an all tends (pullback (West's ne aggression bilization "it" being

Is this has to be cussion of and resto fer state.

posal is t saving" p Soviet wi have a go has to be the Afghim ent cul Soviet t

Union's that Sov context o guarantee ting, une to believ what it intended expand closer to

BUSINE Mr. **President**, that there nou action of rou l that Senators

CER. Without

MACY

President, the ghanistan last the bolder ex- pect from the us brutal inva- sion only proxy of subjugating

the new decade he world the sregard for hu- Carter admin- ot to rally world n policy which, d.

of Afghanistan it opened the ved the So edom loving s believe.

orning's Wash- nsight into the ind the admin- Afghanistan.

er to share this n my colleagues, t that it appear onclusion of my

st, June 27, 1980] announced that it s forces from Af- er administration let Union will re- States will help it- ing arrangements te security inter- ude has its gratu- ruitous in that has been ready to awal and curious nodes of with

y's highly dur- but no matter: the the issue of Soviet ses of alliance mo- but to end it—the

ossible? One point center in any disk back to, say, 1978 as a neutral buf- the American pro- of whatever "face- motion to cover a Afghan people will r own choice. This e unlikelihood that choose the govern- posed on them by ist of the Soviet posal, however, is yo home only in a ance of and foreign ke the one now sit-

One does not have mln knew exactly it invaded—that it ze a border region, p or march a step ulf—to understand