HeinOnline

Citation: 4 Bernard D. Reams Jr. Law of E-SIGN A Legislative of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Act Public Law No. 106-229 2000 S14222 2002

Content downloaded/printed from HeinOnline (http://heinonline.org) Sun Apr 21 21:59:39 2013

- -- Your use of this HeinOnline PDF indicates your acceptance of HeinOnline's Terms and Conditions of the license agreement available at http://heinonline.org/HOL/License
- -- The search text of this PDF is generated from uncorrected OCR text.

TO PROVIDE FOR THE HOLDING OF COURT IN NATCHEZ, MIS-SISSIPPI IN THE SAME MANNER AS COURT IS HELD IN VICKS-BURG, MISSISSIPPI

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the Senate now proceed to the immediate consider-ation of Calendar No. 386, S. 1418. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The

clerk will report the bill by title. The assistant legislative clerk read

as follows:

as follows: A bill (S. 1418) to provide for the holding of court in Natchez, Mississippi, in the same manner as court is held in Vicksburg, Mis-sissippi, and for other purposes. There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill. Mr. DOMENICI. I ask consent the bill be coad, as third fime and passed the

be read a third time and passed, the motion to reconsider be laid on the table, and any statement relating to the bill be printed in the RECORD. The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without

objection, it is so ordered. The bill (S. 1418) was read the third time and passed, as follows:

S. 1418

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Rep-resentatives of the United States of America in

resentatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled. SECTION 1. HOLDING OF COURT AT NATCHEZ, SECTION 104(b)(3) of title 28, United States Code, is amened in the second serictance by striking all beginning with the colon through "United States".

MISSOURI-NEBRASKA BOUNDARY COMPACT

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I ask Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, 1 ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consider-ation of Calendar No. 389, H.J. Res. 54. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the joint resolution

by title. The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A joint resolution (H.J. Res. 54) granting the consent of Congress to the Missouri-Ne-braska Boundary Compact.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the joint resolution

Mr DOMENICI Mr President Lask unanimous consent that the joint resolution he read a third time and passed. the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and any statements relating to the resolution be printed in the RECORD. The PRESIDING OFFICER, Without

objection, it is so ordered. The joint resolution (H.J. Res. 54)

was read the third time and passed.

CONTINUED REPORTING OF INTER-CEPTED WIRE, ORAL, AND ELEC-AND TRONIC COMMUNICATIONS ACT

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consider-ation of Calendar No. 355, S. 1769. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The

clerk will report the bill by title.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 1769) to continue the reporting requirements of section 2519 of title 18, United States Code, beyond December 21, 1999, and for other purposes.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill which had been reported from the Committee on the Judiciary with amendments, as follows: (The

follows: (The parts of the bill intended to be stricken are shown in boldface brack-ets and the parts of the bill intended to be inserted are shown in italic.) S. 1769

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Continued Reporting of Intercepted Wire, Oral, and Electronic Communications Act". SEC.2. FINDINGS.

SEC.2. FINDINGS. Congress makes the following findings: (1) Section 2519(3) of title 18, United States Code, requires the Director of the Adminis-trative Office of the United States Courts to transmit to Congress a full and complete an-nual report concerning the number of appli-cations for orders suthorizing or approving the interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications. This report is required to include information specified in section 2519(3).

communications, the sepecified in section tollude information specified in section (2) The Federal Reports Elimination and Sunset Act of 1985 provides for the termi-nation of certain laws requiring submittal to Congress of annual, semiannual, and regular periodic reports as of December 21, 189, 4 (2) Due to the Federal Reports Elimination Act and Sunset Act of 1995, the Administra-tive Office of United States Courts is not re-quired to submit the annual report described n section 2516(3) of title 18, United States Code, as of December 21, 1999. SEC.3. CONTINUED REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.-Section 2519 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-uming: "41 The reports required to be filed by sub-

is amended by adding at the enn the tur-lowing: "(4) The reports required to be filed by sub-section (3) are exempted from the termi-nation provisions of section 3003(a) of the Federal Reports Elimination and Sunset Act of 1985 (Public Law 104-60)." (b) EXEMTPACK-Section 3003(d) of the Fed-eral Reports Elimination and Sunset Act of 1985 (Public Law 104-60) is smended-(1) in paragraph (3), by striking "of" at the end;

the end; (2) In paragraph (32), by striking the period and inserting ": or"; and (3) by adding at the end the following: "(33) section 2519(3) of title 18, United States Code.".

SEC. 4. ENCRYPTION REPORTING REQUIRE-MENTS

MENTS. [Section 2519(1)(b)] (a) Section 2519(2)(b) of title 18, United States Code, is amended by striking "and (iv)" and inserting "(iv) the number of orders in which encryption was encountered and whether such encryption prevented law enforcement from obtaining the advisit ever of comvulcations inter-

prevented naw endorcement from obtaining the plain text of communications inter-cepted pursuant to such order, and (v)". (b) The encryption reporting requirement in subsection (a) shall be effective for the report transmitted by the Director of the Administra-tive Office of the Courts for calendar year 2000 ord to sub-remount search

and in subsequent reports. SEC. 5. REPORTS CONCERNING PEN REGISTERS AND TRAP AND TRACE DEVICES. Section 3126 of title 18, United States Code, ed by striking the period and insert-

ing ", which report shall include information concerning..." (1) the period of interceptions authorized by the order, and the number and duration of any extensions of the order.

any extensions of the order; "(2) the offense specified in the order or ap-plication, or extension of an order; "(3) the number of investigations involved; "(4) the number and nature of the facilities

"(i) the number and nature of the facilities affected; and "(5) the identity, including district, of the applying investigative or law enforcement agency making the application and the per-son authorizing the order.". Mr. LEAHY, Mr. President, I am pleased that the Senate is today con-sidering S. 1769, which I introduced with Chairman HATCH on October 22, 1999. This bill will continue and en-bance the current reporting require. hance the current reporting require-ments for the Administrative Office of the Courts and the Attorney General on the eavesdropping and surveillance activities of our federal and state law

enforcement agencies. For many years, the Administrative Office (AO) of the Courts has complied with the statutory requirement, in U.S.C. § 2519(3), to report to Congre. annually the number and nature of fed-eral and state applications for orders authorizing or approving the intercep-tion of wire, oral or electronic communications. By letter dated September 3, 1999, the AO advised that it would no longer submit this report because 'as of December 21, 1999, the report will no longer be required pursuant to the Federal Reports Elimination and Sunset Act of 1995." I commend the AO for alerting Congress that their responsi-bility for the wiretap reports would lapse at the end of this year, and for doing so in time for Congress to take action.

The AO has done an excellent job of preparing the wiretap reports. We need to continue the AO's objective work in a consistent manner. If another agency took over this important task at this juncture and the numbers came out in a different format, it would imme-

a different format, it would imme-diately generate questions and con-cerns over the legitimacy and accuracy of the contents of that report. In addition, it would create difficul-ties in comparing statistics from prior years going back to 1959 and com-plicate the job of congressional over-sight. Furthermore, transferring this reporting duty to another agency might create delays in issuance of the event since no other agency has the report since no other agency has the methodology in place. Finally, federal, state and local agencies are well accusstate and local agencies are well actus-tomed to the reporting methodology developed by the AO. Notifying all these agencies that the reporting standards and agency have changed would inevitably create more confusion and more avenues as law enforcement and more expense as law enforcement agencies across the country are forced to learn a new system and develop a li-

alson with a new agency. The system in place now has worked well and should be continued. We know how quickly law enforcement may be subjected to criticism over their use of these surreptitious surveillance tools and we should avoid aggravating these sensitivities by changing the reporting

agency. The bill would update the reporting requirements currently in place with one additional reporting requirement. Specifically, the bill would require the Specifically, the bill would require the wiretap reports prepared beginning in calendar year 2000 to include informa-tion on the number of orders in which encryption was encountered and whether such encryption prevented law enforcement from obtaining the plain text of communications intercepted

pursuant to such order. Encryption technology is critical to protect sensitive computer and online protect sensitive computer and online information. Yet, the same technology poses challenges to law enforcement when it is exploited by criminals to hide evidence or the fruits of criminal activities. A report by the U.S. Working Group on Organized Crime titled, "Encryption and Evolving Teching Group on Organized Crime titled, "Encryption and Evolving Tech-nologies: Tools of Organized Crime and Terrorism," released in 1997, collected anecdotal case studies on the use of encryption in furtherance of criminal activities in order to estimate the future impact of encryption on law en-forcement. The report noted the need for "an ongoing study of the effect of encryption and other information technologies on investigations, prosecu-, and intelligence operations". As of this study. "a database of case tions part of this study. "a database of case information from federal and local law enforcement and intelligence agencies should be established and maintained." Adding a requirement that reports be furnished on the number of occasions when encryption is encountered by law enforcement is a far more reliable basis than anecdotal evidence on which to assess law enforcement needs and make

The final section of this bill would codify the information that the Attorregister and trap and trace device or-ders, and require further information ders, and require further information on where such orders are issued and the types of facilities—telephone, com-puter, pager or other device—to which the order relates. Under the Electronic Communications Privacy Act ("ECPA") of 1986, P.L. 99-508, codified at 18 U.S.C. §3126, the Attorney General of the United States is required to eral of the United States is required to report annually to the Congress on the number of pen register orders and or-ders for trap and trace devices applied for by law enforcement agencies of the Department of Justice. As the original sponsor of ECPA. I believed that adequate oversight of the surveillance ac-tivities of federal law enforcement could only be accomplished with re-

could only be accomplished with re-porting requirements such as the one included in this law. The reports furnished by the Attor-ney General on an annual basis compile information from five components of the Department of Justice: the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Drug Enforcement Administration, the Immi gration and Naturalization Service, the United States Marshals Service and the Office of the Inspector General. The report contains information on the num-ber of original and extension orders made to the courts for authorization to made to the courts for authorization to use both pen register and trap and trace devices, information concerning the number of investigations involved, the offenses on which the applications were predicted and the number of people whose telephone facilities were fected. af-

These specific categories of informa-tion are useful, and the bill we have in-troduced would direct the Attorney General to continue providing these specific categories of information. In addition, the bill would direct the Attorney General to include information on the identity, including the district, of the agency making the application and the person authorizing the order. In this way, the Congress and the pub-lic will be informed of those jurisdic-tions using this surveillance technique—information which is currently not included in the Attorney General's

The requirement for preparation of the wiretap reports will soon lapse so I am delighted to see the Senate take prompt action on this legislation continue the requirement for submission of the wiretap reports and to update the reporting requirements for both the wiretap reports submitted by the AO and the pen register and trap and trace reports submitted by the Attorney General. Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I ask

unanimous consent that the committee amendments be agreed to, the bill be considered read for a third time and passed, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and any statements relating to the bill be printed in the RECORD. The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without

The committee amendments were agreed to. The bill (S. 1769), as amended, was read the third time and passed, as fol-

lows:

S. 1769

5. 1/99 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, N L SHORT TITLE.

SECTIO SECTION I. SHORT TITLE. This Act may be cited as the "Continued Reporting of Intercepted Wire, Oral, and Electronic Communications Act". SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

SEC. 2 FINDINGS. Congress makes the following findings: (1) Section 2519(3) of title 18, United States Code, requires the Director of the Adminis-trative Office of the United States Courts to transmit to Congress a full and complete antransmit to congress a full and complete an-nual report concerning the number of appli-cations for orders authorizing or approving the interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications. This report is required to include information specified in section

(2) The Federal Reports Elimination and(2) The Federal Reports Elimination for the termi-(2) The Federal Reports Elimination and Sunset Act of 1995 provides for the termi-nation of certain laws requiring submittal to Congress of annual, semiannual, and regular periodic reports as of December 21, 1999, 4 years from the effective date of that Act. (3) Due to the Federal Reports Elimination Act and Sunset Act of 1985, the Administra-

tive Office of United States Courts is not required to submit the annual report described in section 2519(3) of title 18. United States Code, as of December 21, 1999.

Code, as of December 21, 1999. SEC. 3. CONTINUED REPORTING REQUIREMENTS. (a) CONTINUED REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.— Section 2519 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-

Is entended of an analysis of the sector of the sector (3) are exempted from the termi-nation provisions of section 3003(a) of the Federal Reports Elimination and Sunset Act

of 1995 (Public Law 104-56).". (b) EXEMPTION,—Section 3003(d) of the Federal Reports Elimination and Sunset Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-66) is amended-

 in paragraph (31), by striking "or" at he end; In paragraph (32), by striking the period and inserting ": or " and
 by adding at the end the following: "(3) section 2519(3) of title 18, United

States Code", optimized and the second se

such order, and (o)". (a) The encryption reporting requirement, in subsection (a) shall be effective for the re-port transmitted by the Director of the Ad-ministrative Office of the Courts for cal-ender year 2000 and in subsequent reports. SEC. 5. REPORTS CONCERNING PEN RECOSTERS Section 31% of the 18, United Stares Code termended the 18, United Stares Code

is amended by striking the period and insert-ing ", which report shall include information concerning-

concerning—

 (1) the period of interceptions authorized by the order, and the number and duration of any extensions of the order;
 (2) the offense specified in the order or ap-plication, or extension of an order;

(3) the number of investigations involved:

"(4) the number and nature of the facilities affected; and "(5) the ide

affected; and "(5) the identity, including district, of the applying investigative or law enforcement agency making the application and the per-son authorizing the order.".

EXECUTIVE SESSION

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to executive session to consider the following nominations on the Executive Calendar: Nos. 383 through Executive Calendar: Nos. 335 through 392 and all nominations on the Sec-retary's desk in the Air Force, Army and Navy. I further ask unanimous consent that the nominations be con-firmed, the motions to reconsider be laid upon the table, any statements relating to the nomination be printed in the RECORD, and the President be immediately notified of the Senate's ac-

tion. The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered. The nominations considered and con-

firmed are as follows:

DEFARTMENT OF DEFENSE Cornelius P. O'Leary, of Connecticut, to be a Member of the National Security Edu-cation Board for a term of four years.

HeinOnline -- 4 Bernard D. Reams, Jr., Law of E-SIGN: A Legislative History of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, Public Law No. 106-229 (2000) \$14224 2002

.

Document No. 98

HeinOnline -- 4 Bernard D. Reams, Jr., Law of E-SIGN: A Legislative History of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, Public Law No. 106-229 (2000) [i] 2002

HeinOnline -- 4 Bernard D. Reams, Jr., Law of E-SIGN: A Legislative History of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, Public Law No. 106-229 (2000) [ii] 2002