United States District Court, S.D. California.

HEWLETT-PACKARD DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, L.P,

Plaintiff.

ν.

GATEWAY, INC,

Defendant.

Gateway, Inc,

Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

v.

Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P., Hewlett-Packard Company and Compaq Information Technologies Group, L.P.,

Counterclaim-Defendants.

Civil No. 04CV0613-B(LSP)

Feb. 1, 2006.

John Allcock, DLA Piper US, San Diego, CA, for Plaintiff.

Darryl J. Adams, Dean M. Munyon, James D. Smith, Wayne Harding, Dewey Ballantine, W. Bryan Farney, Dechert LLP, Austin, TX, Jonathan D. Baker, Dechert LLP, Mountain View, CA, for Defendant.

CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER FOR UNITED STATES PATENT NUMBER 6,609,211

RUDI M. BREWSTER, Senior District Judge.

Pursuant to Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc., 517 U.S. 370 (1996), on January 11-12, 2006, the Court conducted a Markman hearing in the above-titled patent infringement action regarding construction of the disputed claim terms for U.S. Patent Number 6,609,211 ("the '211 patent"). Plaintiff Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. ("HP") was represented by the law firm of DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary U.S. LLP, Defendant Gateway, Inc. ("Gateway") was represented by the law firm of Dewey Ballantine LLP.

At the Markman hearing, the Court, with the assistance of the parties, analyzed the claim terms in order to prepare jury instructions interpreting the pertinent claims at issue in the '211 patent. Additionally, the Court prepared a case glossary for terms found in the claims and the specification for the '211 patent considered to be technical in nature which a jury of laypersons might not understand clearly without specific definition.

After careful consideration of the parties' arguments and the applicable statutes and case law, the Court **HEREBY CONSTRUES** the claims in dispute in the '211 patent and **ISSUES** the relevant jury instructions as written in Exhibit A, attached hereto. Further, the Court **HEREBY DEFINES** all pertinent technical terms as written in Exhibit B, attached hereto.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

FN1. All terms appearing in bold face type and underlined have been construed by the court and appear with their definitions in the glossary in Exhibit B. The definition for each construed term appears in italics after its first use in the patent.

UNITED STATES PATENT NUMBER 6.609,211 B2-CLAIM CHART

VERBATIM CLAIM LANGUAGE	COURT'S CONSTRUCTION
Claim 1	
1. A method of power management, comprising	1. A method of power management, comprising the steps
the steps of:	of:
measuring hardware activity of a clocked device;	measuring [determining the quantity of] hardware
and	activity [electrical events] of a clocked device [a device
	that operates under the control of a timing signal]; and
determining utilization of the clocked device based	determining utilization of the clocked device based on the
on the hardware activity of the clocked device	hardware activity of the clocked device over a period of
over a period of time; and	time; and
adjusting the clocked device from a first power	adjusting the <i>clocked device</i> from a first power
consumption mode to a second power	consumption mode to a second power consumption mode
consumption mode based on the utilization of the	based on the utilization of the <i>clocked device</i> .
clocked device.	
Claim 2	
2. The method of claim 1, the adjusting step	2. The method of claim 1, the adjusting step comprising
comprising the step of:	the step of:
reducing the clocked device from the first power	reducing [lowering] the clocked device from the first
consumption mode to the second clocked	power consumption mode to the second clocked
consumption mode.	consumption mode.
Claim 3	
3. The method of claim 1, the adjusting step	3. The method of claim 1, the adjusting step comprising
comprising the step of:	the step of:
increasing the clocked device from the first power	increasing [raisins] the clocked device from the first
consumption mode to the second power	power consumption mode to the second power
consumption mode.	consumption mode.
Claim 4	
4. The method of claim 1, wherein the first power	4. The method of claim 1, wherein the first power
consumption mode and the second power	consumption mode and the second power consumption
consumption mode are two of a plurality of	mode are two of a <i>plurality</i> [two or more] of selectable
selectable power consumption modes.	power consumption modes.
Claim 5	1
5. The method of claim 1, wherein the adjusting sto	ep 5. The method of claim 1, wherein the adjusting step
is performed during active times of the clocked	is performed during active times of the <i>clocked</i>
device.	device.
Claim 6	
6. The method of claim 1, wherein the hardware	6. The method of claim 1, wherein the <i>hardware</i>
· ·	ice activity indicates inactive times of the clocked device.
Claim 7	
	ethod of claim 1, the measuring step comprising the step
step comprising the step of: of:	, 8 1 1 8 H 1 F
1 1 0 1	ng the hardware activity of the clocked device using an
	counter [hardware used to count electrical events].
Claim 8	
<u></u>	

8. The method of claim 7, the determining step	8. The method of claim 7, the determining step
comprising the steps of:	comprising the steps of:
reading an activity count of the activity counter; and	reading an activity count of the activity <i>counter</i> ; and
comparing the activity count to a value.	comparing the activity count to a value.
Claim 9	
9. The method of claim 8, further comprising the step of: selectively adjusting the clocked device from a first power consumption mode to a second power consumption mode based on the comparing step.	9. The method of claim 8, further comprising the step of: selectively adjusting the <i>clocked device</i> from a first power consumption mode to a second power consumption mode based on the comparing step.

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES PATENT NUMBER 6,609,211 B2-GLOSSARY OF TERMS

TERM DEFINITION
Clocked a device that operates under the control of a timing signal

Counter hardware used to count electrical events

Hardware activity electrical events

8 6

Measuring determining the quantity of

Plurality two or more

Reducing lowering

S.D.Cal.,2006.

Hewlett-Packard Development Co., L.P. v. Gateway, Inc.

Produced by Sans Paper, LLC.