

Comments - Not all questions and answers are covered in Mr Grinstead's summary. Some exchanges appear that never occurred. Some answers do not take into consideration answers to previous questions which tend to give a false impression of inconsistency.

The following numbered comments correspond to numbers on Grinstead memo.

1. Small point - I don't think we discussed events - If we had your comment "There was no indication nor did you disclose to us that either of these letters was even published."

2. I do not recall Mr Grinstead responding this way.

3. I don't believe I stated that I had prepared the document ^{disclosed} at the table - only that I had prepared a document. I certainly did not have time to read a 19 page memo supposedly prepared 18 months ago and therefore, could not be in position to say anything conclusive about it.

4. My response was based on a misunderstanding of what he asked and was not intended (as implied) to indicate I knew what was in the document — my response was merely a philosophical indication that because appellants was saying the Government did not rule out the possibility that apartment policy was consistent with the appellants' overall position.

5. I said "No" and went no further. The rest of the sentence is volunteered by Mr. Gainsstead.

6. Gainsstead fails at this point to note that I specifically indicated that the discussion was "heated" which was meant to imply that assessing what was agreed to would be difficult.

7. This question was not asked and appears to be an attempt to set the stage for a claim that I was repeatedly warned about "lobbying" (which was never defined at the meeting). Further and important Gainsstead fails to note that the most vivid recollection I had of the meeting was Beattie's remark about being

Very disturbed about mailing material
critical of the Department.

8 "Totally knows him" — was not
a term I used to my recollection
— use slang is not characteristic
of me.

9 I have no recollection of
making this statement and don't
believe I did.

10 I did say the document was
obtained from Bayh's office. When
Ginsstead asked for "what purpose"
I said (exact quote) "I don't
know". The question and the
answer are stated accurately.

11 I don't think either question
or answer are properly phrased.
I think I said "It's that
all the material" — Ginsstead's
response was much more evasive
than indicated.