## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION WASHINGTON. D.C. 20550



GENERAL COUNSEL

September 12, 1974

Mr. Robert E. Gentry Associate Vice President The University of Wisconsin System 1752 Van Hise Hall Madison, Wisconsin 53706

Subject: IPA-0001

Dear Bob:

We have considered your proposed revision of Amendment No. 1, and though we have every confidence in the University's and WARF's ability to administer inventions, we do not favor revising the amendment as you propose.

Our reasons for this are essentially two-fold. First, we wish to retain maximum uniformity among the various agreements. Secondly, one of the exceptions to the limitations of Section VI(h) is a showing that "the public interest will best be served by the proposed licensing arrangement." We feel that this should be subject to review before the fact.

In any case, it seems likely that instances where section VI(h) will have application will be rare and that the process of obtaining Foundation approval, as justified, should not be a lengthy one.

Sincerely yours

Jesse E. Lasken

Assistant to the General Counsel

pore E fack

9/11