on such pesticide. or (II) requests, in accord-ance with regulations issued by the Admin-istrator, the grant of an experimental use permit for the pesticide under section 5 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Ro-denticide Act (7 U.S.C. 136c), and ending on the date an application is submitted for reg-istration of such pesticide pursuant to sec-tion 3 of such Act (7 U.S.C. 136a); and "(ii) the period beginning on the date an application is submitted, in accordance with regulations issued by the Administrator. for registration of such pesticide pursuant to section 3 of such Act and ending on the date such pesticide is first registered, either con-

section 3 of such Act and ending of the date such pesticide is first registered, either con-ditionally or fully, under such section. "(B) With respect to a product that is a chemical substance for which notice is re-

quired under section 5 of the Toxic Sub-stances Control Act (15 U.S.C. 2604) or that is a mixture that contains a substance for which such notice is required and—

"(i) that is subject to a rule requiring test-ing under section 4(a) of such Act (15 U.S.C. 2603), the term means a period commencing on the date the product sponsor has initiat-ed the testing required in such rule and ending on the expiration of the notice period for such chemical substance or mixture under section 5 of such Act. or if an order or injunction is issued under section 5(e) or 5(f) of such Act, the date on which such order or injunction is dissolved or set aside; or

(ii) that is not subject to a testing rule under section 4 of such Act, the term means

H-8883

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 3773, FEDERAL TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER ACT OF 1986

Mr. FUQUA submitted the following conference report and statement on the bill (H.R. 3773) to amend the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980 to promote technology transfer by authorizing Government-operated laboratories to enter into cooperative research agreements and by establishing a Federal Laboratory Consortium for Technology Transfer within the National Science Founda-tion, and for other purposes:

CONFERENCE REPORT (H. REPT. 99-953) The committee of conference on the dis-agreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 3773) to amend the Stevenson-Wydler Tech-nology Innovation Act of 1980 to promote technology transfer by authorizing Government-operated laboratories to enter into coment-operated laboratories to enter into co-operative research agreements and by estab-lishing a Federal Laboratory Consortium for Technology Transfer within the Nation-al Science Foundation, and for other pur-poses, having met, after full and free confer-ence, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as fol-

lows: That the House recede from its disagree-

the text of the bill and agree to the same with an amendment as follows: In lieu of the matter proposed to be in-serted by the Senate amendment insert the following:

SECTION I. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Federal Technology Transfer Act of 1986". SEC. 2. COOPERATIVE RESEARCH AND DEVELOP MENT AGREEMENTS.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 3773

The Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innova-tion Act of 1980 is amended by redesignat-ing sections 12 through 15 as sections 16 through 19, and by inserting immediately after section 11 the following: "SEC. 12. COOPERATIVE RESEARCH AND DEVELOP-MENT AGREEMENTS.

"(a) GENERAL AUTHORITY.—Each Federal agency may permit the director of any of its Government-operated Federal laboratories— "(1) to enter into cooperative research and

development agreements on behalf of such agency (subject to subsection (c) of this section) with other Federal agencies; units of State or local government; industrial orga-nizations (including corporations, partner-ships, and limited partnerships, and indus-trial development organizations); public and private foundations; nonprofit organi-zations (including universities); or other persons (including licensees of inventions owned by the Federal agency); and "(2) to negotiate licensing agreements under section 207 of title 35, United States Code, or under other authorities for Govern-ment-owned inventions made at the labora-tory and other inventions of Federal employtion) with other Federal agencies; units of

tory and other inventions of Federal employ-ees that may be voluntarily assigned to the

Government. "(b) ENI "(b) ENUMERATED AUTHORITY.—Under agreements entered into pursuant to subsec-tion (a)(1), a Government-operated Federal laboratory may (subject to subsection (c) of this section)—

(1) accept, retain, and use funds, personnel, services, and property from collaborat-ing parties and provide personnel, services, and property to collaborating parties:

solely for testing reasonably related to the development and submission of data to comply with such Act; or

"(B) to obtain a tolerance, exemption from the need for a tolerance, or food addi-tive regulation under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 301 et

seq.). "(2) Paragraph (1) shall apply only to the first patent the effect of which was to make an infringement the unlicensed manufacture, use, or sale of the patented product as esticide

(3) It shall be an act of infringement for "(3) It shall be an act of infringement for any person, other than the patent holder or the licensee of the patent, to submit an application for the registration under the Federal Insecticide. Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act of a pesticide covered by the first patent, as described in paragraph (2), before expiration of such patent.
"(4) For purposes of this paragraph, each formulation of a pesticide containing the identical active ingredient shall be considered the same pesticide.".
(c) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The analysis for chapter 14 of title 35 of the United States Code is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:
"158. Restoration of patent term for certain

158. Restoration of patent term for certain

agricultural and chemical prod-

ucts.".

"(2) grant or agree to grant in advance, to "(2) grant or agree to grant in advance, to a collaborating party, patent licenses or as-signments, or options thereto, in any inven-tion made in whole or in part by a Federal employee under the agreement, retaining a nonexclusive, nontransferrable, irrevocable, paid-up license to practice the invention or have the invention practiced throughout the world by or on behalf of the Government and such other rights as the Federal labora-tory deems appropriate; and

tory deems appropriate and "(3) waive, subject to reservation by the Government of a nonexclusive, irrevocable, paid-up license to practice the invention or paid-up license to practice the invention or have the invention practiced throughout the world by or on behalf of the Government, in advance, in whole or in part, any right of ownership which the Federal Government may have to any subject invention made under the agreement by a collaborating party or employee of a collaborating party; and

(4) to the extent consistent with any applicable agency requirements and standards of conduct, permit employees or former em-ployees of the laboratory to participate in ef-forts to commercialize inventions they made while in the service of the United States. "(c) CONTRACT CONSIDERATIONS.—(1) A Fed-eral agency may issue regulations on suite.

eral agency may issue regulations on suitable procedures for implementing the provi-sions of this section; however, implementa-tion of this section shall not be delayed until

issuance of such regulations. "(2) The agency is permitting a Federal laboratory to enter into agreements under this section shall be guided by the purposes

of this Act. "(3)(A) Any agency using the authority given it under subsection (a) shall review given it under subsection (a) shall review employee standards of conduct for resolving potential conflicts of interest to make sure potential conflicts of interest for situ-they adequately establish guidelines for situ-

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., Washington, D.C. 20037

10-16-86

period commencing on the earlier of the date the product sponsor

"(I) submits, in accordance with regula-tions issued by the Administrator, a notice under section 5 of such Act, or

"(II) initiates a major health or environ-mental effects test on such chemical substance or mixture.

and ending on the expiration of the notice period for such substance under section 5 of such Act or if an order or injunction is issued under section 5(c) or 5(f) of such Act, the date on which such order or such in-junction is dissolved or set aside.

No regulatory review period shall be deemed to have commenced until a patent has been granted for the product that is subject to regulatory review, for the method for using such product, or for the method for producing such product.

"(d) This section shall cease to be effec-tive after September 30, 1993.".

(b) INFRINCEMENT OF PESTICIDE PATENTS.-Section 271 of title 35. United States Code, is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new subsection:

following new subsection: "(g)(1) During the last 2 years of the term of a patent relating to a pesticide (except for a patent that claims only a method for manufacturing the pesticides) that is or has been registered under the Federal Insecti-cide. Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (7 U.S.C. 136 et seq.), or that is marketed with the permission of the patent holder, it shall not be an act of infringement of the patent patent-

(A) to make, use, or sell the pesticide

BNA's PATENT, TRADEMARK & COPYRIGHT JOURNAL

Å

ations likely to arise through the use of this authority, including but not limited to cases where present or former employees or their partners negotiate licenses or assignments of titles to inventions or negotiate cooperative research and development agreements with federal agencies (including the agency with which the employee involved is or was

with federal agencies (including the agency with which the employee involved is or was formerly employed). "(B) If, in implementing subparagraph (A), an agency is unable to resolve potential conflicts of interest within its current statu-lory framework, it shall propose necessary statutory changes to be forwarded to its au-thorizing committees in Congress. "(4) The laboratory director in deciding what cooperative research and development agreements to enter into shall— "(A) give special consideration to small business firms; and "(B) give preference to business units lo-cated in the United States which agree that products embodying inventions made under the cooperative research and development agreement or produced through the use of such inventions will be manufactured sub-stantially in the United States and, in the case of any industrial organization or other person subject to the control of a foreign company or government, as appropriate, take into consideration whether or not such foreign government permits United States agencies, organizations, or other persons to enter into coonerative research and development into coonerative research agencies, organization, or other person subject to the control of a foreign government permits United States agencies, organizations, or other persons to enter into cooperative research and develop-ment agreements and licensing agreements.

ment agreements and licensing agreements. "(5)(A) If the head of the agency or his des-ignee desires an opportunity to disapprove or require the modification of any such agreement, the agreement shall provide a 30-day period within which such action must be taken beginning on the date the agree-ment is presented to him or her by the head of the laboratory concerned. "(B) In any case in which the head of an agency or his designee disapproves or re-

agency or his designee disapproves or re-quires the modification of an agreement pre-sented under this section, the head of the agency or such designee shall transmit a written explanation of such disapproval or modification to the head of the laboratory concerned.

((6) Each agency shall maintain a record f all agreements entered into under this section. "(d) DEFINITION.—As used in this section.

"(1) the term 'cooperative research and de-elopment agreement' means any agreement velopment agreement' means any agreement between one or more Federal laboratories and one or more non-Federal parties under which the Government, through its laborato-ries, provides personnel, services, facilities, equipment, or other resources with or with-out reimbursement (but not funds to non-Federal parties) and the non-Federal parties provide funds, personnel, services, facilities, equipment, or other resources toward the conduct of specified research or develop-ment efforts which are consistent with the conduct of specified research or develop-ment efforts which are consistent with the missions of the laboratory; except that such term does not include a procurement con-tract or cooperative agreement as those terms are used in sections 6303, 6304, and 6305 of title 31, United States Code; and "(2) the term Taboratory' means a facility or group of facilities owned, leased, or other-wise used by a Federal agency, a substantial purpose of which is the performance of re-search, development, or engineering by em-ployees of the Federal Government. "(e) DETERMINATION OF LABORATORY MIS-

"(e) DETERMINATION OF LABORATORY MIS-SIONS.—FOT purposes of this section, an agency shall make separate determinations of the mission or missions of each of its laboratories.

•

"(f) RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER LAWS. -Noth ing in this section is intended to limit or diminish existing authorities of any agency. SEC. 3. ESTABLISHMENT OF FEDERAL LABORATORY CONSORTIUM FOR TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER.

Section 11 of the Stevenson-Wydler Tech-nology Innovation Act of 1980 (15 U.S.C. 3710) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (e) as sub-section (f); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (d) the following

(e) ESTABLISHMENT OF FEDERAL LABORATORY CONSORTIUM FOR TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER.—(1) There is hereby established the Federal Labo-ratory Consortium for Technology Transfer (hereinafter referred to as the 'Consortium') which, in cooperation with Federal laborato-

which, in cooperation with Federal laborato-ries and the private sector, shall-"(A) develop and (with the consent of the Federal laborotary concerned) administer techniques, training courses, and materials concerning technology transfer to increase the awareness of Federal laboratory employ-ees regarding the commercial potential of laboratory technology and innovations; "(B) furnish advice and assistance re-quested by Federal agencies and laboratories for use in their technology transfer pro-grams (including the planning of seminars for small business and other industry); "(C) provide a clearinghouse for requests, received at the laboratory level, for technical assistance from States and units of local H-888A

H-8884

governments, businesses, industrial develop-ment organizations, not for profit organiza-tions including universities, Federal agencies and laboratories, and other persons,

and— "(i) to the extent that such requests can be "the published information responded to with published information available to the National Technical Infornation Service, refer such requests to that Service, and "(ii) otherwise refer these requests to the

appropriate Federal laboratorics and agen-

cies; "(D) facilitate communication and co-Offices of Research and ordination between Offices of Research and Technology Applications of Federal labora-

utilize (with the consent of the agency involved) the expertise and services of the National Science Foundation, the De-partment of Commerce, the National Aero-nautics and Space Administration, and

other Federal agencies, as necessary; "(F) with the consent of any Federal labo-ratory, facilitate the use by such laboratory of appropriate technology transfer mechanisms such as personnel exchanges and com-

puter-based systems; "(G) with the consent of any Federal laboratory, assist such laboratory to establish programs using technical volunteers to pro-vide technical assistance to communities re-

lated to such laboratory; "(H) facilitate communication and coop-eration between Offices of Research and Technology Applications of Federal labora-tories and regional, State, and local technol-ogy transfer organizations; "(1) when requested, assist colleges or uni-versities businesses nonpmöt organiza-

"(1) when requested, assist colleges or uni-versities, businesses, nonprofit organiza-tions. State or local governments, or region-al organizations to establish programs to stimulate research and to encourage tech-nology transfer in such areas as technology program development, curriculum design, long-term research planning, personnel needs projections, and productivity assess-ments; and

BNA's Patent, Trademark & Copyright Journal

"(J) seek advice in each Federal laboratory

"(J) seek advice in each Federal laboratory consortium region from representatives of State and local governments, large and small business, universities, and other ap-propriate persons on the effectiveness of the program (and any such advice shall be pro-vided at no expense to the Government). "(2) The membership of the Consortium shall consist of the Federal laboratories de-scribed in clause (1) of subsection (b) and such other laboratories as may choose to join the Consortium. The representatives to the Consortium shall include a senior staff member of each Federal laboratory which is a member of the Consortium and a repre-sentative appointed from each Federal agency with one or more member laborato-ries.

(3) The representatives to the Consortium shall elect a Chairman of the Consortium. "(4) The Director of the National Bureau

of Standards shall provide the Consortium, on a reimbursable basis, with administra-tive services, such as office space, personnel, and support services of the Bureau, as requested by the Consortium and approved by

such Director. "(5) Each Federal laboratory or agency shall transfer technology directly to users or shall transfer technology directly to users or representatives of users, and shall not trans-fer technology directly to the Consortium. Each Federal laboratory shall conduct and transfer technology only in accordance with the practices and policies of the Federal agency which owns, leases, or otherwise uses such Federal laboratory. "(6) Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this subsection, and every year thereafter, the Chairman of the Consortium shall submit a report to the President, to the appropriate authorization

Consortium shall submit a report to the President, to the appropriate authorization and appropriation committees of both Houses of the Congress, and to each agency with respect to which a transfer of funding is made (for the fiscal year or years in-volved) under paragraph (7), concerning the activities of the Consortium and the expend-itures made by it under this subsection during the year for which the report is made. made.

"(7)(A) Subject to subparagraph (B), and amount equal to 0.005 percent of that por-tion of the research and development budget tion of the research and development budget of each Federal agency that is to be utilized by the laboratories of such agency for a fiscal year referred to in subparagraph (B)(ii) shall be transferred by such agency to the National Bureau of Standards at the be-ginning of the fiscal year involved. Amounts so transferred shall be provided by the Bureau to the Consortium for the purpose of carrying out activities of the Consortium under this subsection.

"(B) A transfer shall be made by any Fed-eral agency under subparagraph (A), for any fiscal year, only if— "(i) the amount so transferred by that agency (as determined under such subpara-graph) would exceed \$10,000; and "(ii) such transfer is made with respect to the fiscal year 1987, 1988, 1989, 1990, or 1991

1991

1991. "(C) The heads of Federal agencies and their designees, and the directors of Federal laboratories, may provide such additional support for operations of the Consortium as they deem appropriate. "(8) (A) The Consortium shall use 5 per-cent of the funds provided in paragraph (7)(A) to establish demonstration projects in technology transfer. To carry out such projects, the Consortium may agrange for

projects, the Consortium may arrange for grants or awards to, or enter into agree-ments with, nonprofit State, local, or priments with, nonprofit State, local, or pri-vate organizations or entities whose pri-

10-16-86

BNA's PATENT, TRADEMARK & COPYRIGHT JOURNAL

682 (Vol. 32)

mary purposes are to facilitate cooperative research between the Federal laboratories and organizations not associated with the Federal laboratories, to transfer technology from the Federal laboratories, and to ad-vance State and local economic activity. "(B) The demonstration projects estab-

vance state and local economic activity. "(B) The demonstration projects estab-lished under subparagraph (A) shall serve as model programs. Such projects shall be de-signed to develop programs and mechanisms for technology transfer from the Federal lab-oratories which may be utilized by the States and which will enhance Federal, State and local programs for the transfer of technology.

State and local programs for the transfer of technology. "(C) Application for such grants, awards, or agreements shall be in such form and con-tain such information as the Consortium or its designee shall specify. "(D) Any person who receives or utilizes any proceeds of a grant or award made, or agreement entered into, under this para-graph shall keep such records as the Consor-lium or its designee shall determine are nec-essary and appropriate to facilitate effective audit and evaluation, including records which fully disclose the amount and disposi-tion of such proceeds and the total cost of tion of such proceeds and the total cost of the project in connection with which such proceeds were used."

SEC. 4. UTILIZATION OF FEDERAL TECHNOLOGY

"(a) RESPONSIBILITY FOR TECHNOLOGY. "(a) RESPONSIBILITY FOR TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER.—Section 11(a) of the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980 (15 U.S.C. 3710(a)) is amended— "(1) by inserting "(1)" after "POLICY.—";

and "(2) by adding at the end thereof the fol-

lowing new paragraphs: "(2) Technology transfer, consistent with mission responsibilities, is a responsibility of each laboratory science and engineering professional.

"(3) Each laboratory airector sum that efforts to transfer technology are considered positively in laboratory job descriptions and momentum policies, and "(3) Each laboratory director shall ensure tions. employee promotion policies, and evaluation of the job performance of scien-tists and engineers in the laboratory.".

lists and engineers in the laboratory.".
(b) RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY APPLICA-TIONS OFFICES.—(1) Section 11(b) of such Act
(15 U.S.C. 3710(b)) is amended—
(A) by striking out "a total annual budget
exceeding \$20,000,000 shall provide at least
one professional individual full-time" and
inserting in lieu thereof "200 or more full-time equivalent scientific, engineering, and
related technical positions shall provide one
or more full-time equivalent positions"; or more full-time equivalent positions";

(B) by inserting immediately before the (B) by inserting immediately before the next to last sentence the following new sen-tence: "Furthermore, individuals filling po-sitions in an Office of Research and Tech-nology Applications shall be included in the overall laboratory/agency management de-velopment program so as to ensure that highly competent technical managers are full participants in the technology transfer process.";

process."; (C) by striking out "requirements set forth in (1) and/or (2) of this subsection" in the next to last sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "requirement set forth in clause (2) of the preceding sentence"; and

(D) by striking out "either requirement (1) or (2)" in the last sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "such requirement".

(2) Section 11(c) of such Act (15 U.S.C. 3710(c)) is amended-

(A) by striking out paragraph (1) and in-serting in lieu thereaf the following:

"(1) to prepare application assessments r selected research and development for selected research and development projects in which that laboratory is engaged and which in the opinion of the laboratory

10-16-86

may have potential commercial application

(B) by striking out "the Center for the Utilization of Federal Technology" in para-graph (3) and inserting in lieu thereof "the National Technical Information Service, the Federal Laboratory Consortium for Technol-ogy Transfer,", and by striking out "and" after the semicolon;

after the semicolon; (C) by striking out "in response to requests from State and local government officials." in paragraph (4) and inserting in lieu there-of "to State and local government officials; and": and

(D) by inserting immediately after graph (4) the following new paragraph

eral laboratory is located." (c) DISSEMINATION OF TECHNICAL INFORMA-TION.—Section 11(d) of such Act (15 U.S.C. 3710(d)) is amended— (1) by striking out "(d)" and all that fol-lows down through "shall—" and inserting in lieu thereof the following: (d) DISSEMINATION OF TECHNICAL INFORMA-TION.—The National Technical Information Commics shall—"

Service shall-"

(2) by striking out paragraph (2); (3) by striking out "existing" in paragraph (3), and redesignating such paragraph as paragraph (2);

(4) by striking out paragraph (4) and in-serting in lieu thereof the following: "(3) receive requests for technical assist-ance from State and local governments, reance from state and local governments, re-spond to such requests with published infor-mation available to the Service, and refer such requests to the Federal Laboratory Con-sortium for Technology Transfer to the extent that such requests require a response H-8885

involving more than the published information available to the Service;

(5) by redesignating paragraphs (5) and (6) as paragraphs (4) and (5), respectively; and

(6) by striking out "(c)(4)" in paragraph (4) as so redesignated and inserting in lieu thereof "(c)(3)".

(d) AGENCY REPORTING.—Section 11(f) of such Act (15 U.S.C. 3710(e)) (as redesignaled

such Act (15 U.S.C. 3710(e)) (as reassignated by section 3(1) of this Act) is amended— (1) by striking out "prepare biennially a report summarizing the activities" in the first sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "report annually to the Congress, as part of the agency's annual budget submission, on the activities" and the activities": and

(2) by striking out the second sentence SEC. 5. FUNCTIONS OF THE SECRETARY OF COM-MERCE.

Section 11 of the Stevenson-Wydler Tech-Section 11 of the Stevenson-Wyller Tech-nology Innovation Act of 1980 (as amended by the preceding provisions of this Act) is further amended by adding at the end thereof the following new subsection: "(g) FUNCTIONS OF THE SECRETARY.—(1) The Secretary, in consultation with other Feder-

al agencies, may-"(A) make available to interested agen "(A) make available to interested agencies the expertise of the Department of Com-merce regarding the commercial potential of inventions and methods and options for commercialization which are available to the Federal laboratories, including research and development limited partnerships; "(B) develop and diverging to appoint.

"(B) develop and disseminate to appropri ate agency and laboratory personnel model provisions for use on a voluntary basis in cooperative research and development arrangements; and

"(C) furnish advice and assistance, upon request, to Federal agencies concerning their cooperative research and development pro-

cooperative research and development pro-grams and projects. "(2) Two years after the date of the enact-ment of this subsection and every two years thereafter, the Secretary shall submit a sum-mary report to the President and the Con-gress on the use by the agencies and the Sec-retary of the authorities specified in this Act. Other Federal agencies shall cooperate in the report's preparation. "(3) Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of the Federal Technology Transfer Act of 1986, the Secretary shall submit to the President and the Congress a report regarding—

submit to the President and the Congress a report regarding— "(A) any copyright provisions or other types of barriers which tend to restrict or limit the transfer of federally funded com-puter software to the private sector and to State and local governments, and agencies of such State and local governments; and "(B) the feasibility and cost of compiling and maintaining a current and comprehen-sive inventory of all federally funded train-ing software.".

SEC. 4. REWARDS FOR SCIENTIFIC, ENGINEERING, AND TECHNICAL PERSONNEL OF FED-ERAL AGENCIES.

The Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovations Act of 1980 (as amended by the preced-ing provisions of this Act) is further amend-ed by inserting after section 12 the following new section:

"SEC. IJ. REWARDS FOR SCIENTIFIC, ENGINEERING, AND TECHNICAL PERSONNEL OF FED-ERAL AGENCIES.

ERAL AGENCIES. "The head of each Federal agency that is making expenditures at a rate of more than \$50,000,000 per fiscal year for research and development in its Government-operated laboratories shall use the approriate statuto-ry authority to develop and implement a cash awards program to reward its scientif-ic, engineering, and technical personnel forfor

"(1) inventions, innovations, or other out-standing scientific or technological contri-butions of value to the United States due to commercial applications or due to contribu-tions to missions of the Federal agency or the Federal Government, or

the Federal Government, or "(2) exemplary activities that promote the domestic transfer of science and technology development within the Federal Govern-ment and result in utilization of such sci-ence and technology by American industry or business, universities, State or local gov-ernments, or other non-Federal parties.". SEC. 7. DISTRIBUTION OF ROYALTIES RECEIVED BY FEDERAL AGENCIES. The Stevenson-Wudler Technology Innova-

The Stevenson Wydler Technology Innova-tion Act of 1980 (as amended by the preced-ing provisons of this Act) is further amended by inserting after section 13 the following new section.

"SEC. 14. DISTRIBUTION OF ROYALTIES RECEIVED BY FEDERAL AGENCIES.

"(a) IN GENERAL -(1) Except as provided in paragraphs (2) and (4), any royalties or other income received by a Federal agency from the licensing or assignment of inven from the licensing or assignment of inven-tions under agreements entered into under section 12, and inventions of Government-operated Federal laboratories licensed under section 207 of title 35, United States Code, or under any other provision of law, shall be retained by the agency whose laboratory produced the invention posed of as follows: "(A)(i) The head of the agency or his desig-nee shall pay at least 15 percent of the royal-

nee shall pay at least 15 percent of the royal-ties or other income the agency receives on account of any invention to the inventor (or co-inventors) if the inventor (or each such

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., Washington, D.C. 20037

683

co-inventor) was an employee of the agency at the time the invention was made. This clause shall take effect on the date of the en-actment of this section unless the agency

actment of this section unless the agency publishes a notice in the Federal Register within 90 days of such date indicating its election to file a Notice of Proposed Rule-making pursuant to clause (ii). "(ii) An agency may promulgate, in ac-cordance with section 553 of title 5, United States Code, regulations providing for an al-ternative program for sharing royalties with inventors who were employed by the agency at the time the invention was made and whose names appear on licensed inventions. Such regulations must-"(1) guarantee a fixed minimum payment

"(1) guarantee a fixed minimum payment to each such inventor, each year that the agency receives royallies from that inven-tor's invention

tor's invention; "(II) provide a percentage royalty share to ch such inventor, each year that the ency receives royalties from that inven-'s invention in excess of a threshold each tor's amount

"(III) provide that total payments to all such inventors shall exceed 15 percent of total agency royalties in any given fiscal

Variand (IV) provide appropriate incentives from "(IV) provide appropriate incentives from royallies for those laboratory employees who contribute substantially to the technical de-velopment of a licensed invention between the time of the filing of the patent applica-tion and the licensing of the invention. ""iii in a commutate the substantia is in

"(iii) An agency that has published its in-tention to promulgate regulations under clause (ii) may elect not to pay inventors under clause (i) until the expiration of two years after the date of the enactment of this Act or until the date of the promulgation of such regulations, whichever is earlier. If an ender two days election and after two agency makes such an election and after two years the regulations have not been promul-gated. the agency shall make payments (in accordance with clause (i)) of at least 15 percent of the royalties involved, retroactive to the date of the enactment of this Act. If promulgation of the regulations occurs within two years after the date of the enact-ment of this Act, payments shall be made in accordance with such regulations, retroac-tive to the date of the enactment of this Act. The agency shall retain its royalties until the inventor's portion is paid under either clause (i) or (ii). Such royalties shall not be transferred to the agency's Government-op-erated laboratories under subparagraph (B) agency makes such an election and after two erated laboratories under subparagraph (B) and shall not revert to the Treasury pursu-ant to paragraph (2) as a result of any delay caused by rulemaking under this subpara-

graph. "(B) The balance of the royalties or other income shall be transferred by the agency to its Government-operated laboratories, with the majority share of the royalties or other income from any invention going to the lab oratory where the invention occurred, and the funds so transferred to any such labora-tory may be used or obligated by that laboratory during the fiscal year in which they are received or during the succeeding fiscal year

"(i) for payment of expenses incidental to the administration and licensing of inventions by that laboratory or by the agency with respect to inventions which occurred at that laboratory, including the fees or other costs for the services of other agencies, per-sons, or organizations for invention man-agement and licensing services;

"(ii) to reward scientific, engineering, and technical employees of that laboratory; "(iii) to further scientific exchange among

the government-operated laboratories of the agency; or

10-16-86

"(iv) for education and training of employees consistent with the research and development mission and objectives of the agency, and for other activities that increase the licensing potential for transfer of the technology of the Government-operated laboratories of the agency.

Any of such funds not so used or obligated by the end of the fiscal year succeeding the fiscal year in which they are received shall paid into the Treasury of the United Sta

"(2) If, after payments to inventors under paragraph (1), the royalties received by an agency in any fiscal year exceed 5 percent of the budget of the Government-operated lab-oratories of the agency for that year, 75 per-cent of such excess shall be paid to the Treasury of the United States and the re-maining 25 percent may be used or obligated for the purposes described in clauses (i) through (iv) of paragraph (1)/(B) during that fiscal year or the succeeding fiscal year. Any funds not so used or obligated shall be paid into the Treasury of the United States. "(3) Any payment made to an employee under this section shall be in addition to the regular pay of the employee, and shall not affect the entitlement of the employee to any regular pay, annuity, or award to which he is otherwise entitled or for which he is other-wise eligible or limit the amount thereof. Any payment made to an inventor as such '(2) If, after payments to inventors under

Any payment made to an inventor thereof, Any payment made to an inventor as such shall continue after the inventor leaves the laboratory or agency. Payments made under this section shall not exceed \$100,000 per year to any one person, unless the President approves a larger award (with the excess over \$100,000 being treated as a Presidential excert wider section 4500 of this 5. United award under section 4504 of title 5, United

award under section 4504 of title 5, online States Code). "(4) A Federal agency receiving royalties or other income as a result of invention management services performed for another Federal agency or laboratory under section 207 of title 35, United States Code, shall retain such royalties or income to the extent remained to offset the payment of royalties to quired to offset the payment of royallies to H-8886

inventors under clause (i) of paragraph (1)(A), costs and expenses incurred under clause (i) of paragraph (1)(B), and the cost clause (1) of paragraph (1)(B), and the cost of foreign patenting and maintenance for such invention performed at the request of the other agency or laboratory. All royalties and other income remaining after payment of the royalties, costs, and expenses de-scribed in the preceding sentence shall be transferred to the agency for which the serv-ices were performed, for distribution in ac-cordance with clauses (1) through (iv) of paragraph (1)(B). "(b) CERTAIN ASSIGMENTS.—If the invention

(b) CERTAIN ASSIGMENTS .- If the invention involved was one assigned to the Federal

agency-"'II by a contractor, grantee, or partici-pant in a cooperative agreement with the

by an employee of the agency who was not working in the laboratory at the time the invention was made.

the agency unit that was involved in such

the agency unit that was involved in such assignment shall be considered to be a labo-ratory for purposes of this section. "(C) REPORTS.—(1) In making their annual budget submissions Federal agencies shall submit, to the appropriate authorization and appropriation committees of both Houses of the Congress, summaries of the amount of royalties or other income received and expenditures made (including in-ventor awards) under this section. "(2) The Comptroller General, five years

after the date of the enactment of this sec-

BNA's Patent, Trademark & Copyright Journal

tion, shall review the effectiveness of the tion, shall review the effectiveness of the various royalty-sharing programs estab-lished under this section and report to the appropriate committees of the House of Rep-resentatives and the Senate, in a timely manner, his findings, conclusions, and rec-ommendations for improvements in such proceedings." programs.

SEC. & EMPLOYEE ACTIVITIES.

The Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innova-tion Act of 1980 (as amended by the preced-ing provisions of this Act) is further amend-ed by inserting after section 14 the following new section:

"SEC. 15. EMPLOYEE ACTIVITIES.

"(a) IN GENERAL —If a Federal agency which has the right of ownership to an in-vention under this Act does not intend to file for a patent application or otherwise to promote commercialization of such inven-tion, the agency shall allow the inventor, if the inventor is a Government employee or former employee who made the invention during the course of employment with the Government, to retain title to the invention (subject to reservation by the Government of (subject to reservation by the Government of a nonexclusive, nontransferrable, irrevoca-ble, paid-up license to practice the invention or have the invention practiced throughout the world by or on behalf of the Govern-ment). In addition, the agency may condi-tion the inventor's right to title on the timely filing of a patent application in cases when the Government determines that it has or may have a need to practice the in-vention. vention

(b) DEFINITION .- For purposes of this sec

"(b) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this sec-tion, Federal employees include 'special Government employees' as defined in sec-tion 202 of title 18, United States Code. "(c) RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER LAWS.—Noth-ing in this section is intended to limit or di-minish existing authorities of any agency.", SEC. 1 MISCELLANEOUS AND CONFORMING AMEND-MENTS.

MENTS. (a) REPEAL OF NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL TECH-NOLOGY BOARD.—Section 10 of the Steven-son-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980 (15 U.S.C. 3709) is repealed. (b) CHANGES IN TERMINOLOGY OR ADMINIS-TRATIVE STRUCTURE.—(1) Section 3(2) of the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980 is amended by striking out "cen-center of industrial technology. ters for industrial technology" and inserting in lieu thereof "cooperative research centers

75... (2) Section 4 of such Act is amended— (A) by striking out "Industrial Technolo-" in paragraph (1) and inserting in lieu vereof "Productivity, Technology, and Inthereof ation

novation"; (B) by striking out "'Director' means the Director of the Office of Industrial Technol-ogy" in paragraph (3) and inserting in lieu thereof "'Assistant Secretary' means the As-sistant Secretary for Productivity, Technolo-du and Innovation" gy, and Innovation".

(C) by striking out "Centers for Industrial Technology" in paragraph (4) and inserting in lieu thereof "Cooperative Research Centers

ters"; (D) by striking out paragraph (6), and re-designating paragraphs (7) and (8) as para-graphs (6) and (7), respectively: and (E) by striking out "owned and funded" in paragraph (6) as so redesignated and insert-ing in lieu thereof "owned, leased, or other-wise used by a Federal agency and funded". (3) Section S(a) of such Act is amended by striking out "Industrial Technology" and inserting in lieu thereof "Productivity, Tedehnology and Innovalion".

inserting in lieu thereof "Productivity, Tedchnology, and Innovation". (4) Section 5/b) of such Act is amended by striking out "DIRECTOR" and inserting in lieu thereof "ASSISTANT SECRETARY", and by striking out "a Director of the Office" and

TEXT

BNA'S PATENT, TRADEMARK & COPYRIGHT JOURNAL

(Vol. 32) 684

all that follows and inserting in lieu thereof "an Assistant Secretary for Productivity, Technology, and Innovation.". (5) Section 5(c) of such Act is amended— (A) by striking out "the Director" each

(A) by striking out "the Director" each place it appears and inserting in lieu there-of "the Assistant Secretary"; (B) by redesignating paragraphs (7) and (8) as paragraphs (9) and (10), respectively;

(C) by inserting immediately after para-graph (6) the following new paragraphs: "(7) encourage and assist the creation of centers and other joint initiatives by State of local governments, regional organiza-tions, private businesses, institutions of higher education, nonprofit organizations, or Federal laboratories to encourage tech-nology transfer, to stimulate innovation, and to promote an appropriate climate for investment in technology-related industries; "(8) propose and encourage cooperative research involving appropriate Federal enti-ties, State or local governments, regional or-ganizations, colleges or universities, non-

ties, State or local governments, regional or-ganizations, colleges or universities, non-profit organizations, or private industry to promote the common use of resources, to im-prove training programs and curricula, to stimulate interest in high technology ca-reers, and to encourage the effective dissemi-nation of technology skills within the wider community.". (6) The heading of section is of such test in

(6) The heading of section 8 of such Act is amended to read as follows: "SEC. 6. COOPERATIVE RESEARCH CENTERS."

(7) Section 6(a) of such Act is amended by striking out "Centers for Industrial Technol-ogy" and inserting in lieu thereof "Coopera-

(8) Section 6(b)(1) of such Act is amended by striking out "basic and applied".
(9) Section 6(e) of such Act is amended to a such a

read as follows:

read as follows: "(e) RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT UTILIZA-TION.—In the promotion of technology from research and development efforts by Centers under this section, chapter 18 of tille 35, United States Code, shall apply to the extent not inconsistent with this section." (10) Section 6(f) of such Act is repealed. (11) The heading of section 8 of such Act is amended by striking out "CENTERS FOR INDUS-TRIAL TECHNOLOGY" and inserting in lieu thereof "COOPERATIVE RESEARCH CENTERS". (12) Section 8(a) of such Act is amended by striking out "Centers for Industrial Tech-nology" and inserting in lieu thereof "Coop-

nology" and inserting in lieu thereof erative Research Centers". "Coop

(13) Section 19 of such Act (as redesignated by section 2 of this Act) is amended by striking out "pursuant to this Act" and inserting in lieu thereof "pursuant to the provisions of this Act (other than sections 12, 13, and 14)".

(c) RELATED CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Sec-tion 210 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new subsection:

"(e) The provisions of the Stevenson-"(e) The provisions of the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980, as amended by the Federal Technology Transfer Act of 1986, shall take precedence over the provisions of this chapter to the extent that they permit or require a disposi-tion of rights in a which impediate schemes the set tion of rights in subject inventions which is inconsistent with this chapter.".

(d) ADDITIONAL DEFINITIONS.—Section 4 of such Act (as amended by subsection (b)(2) of this section) is further amended by adding at the end thereof the following new para-graphs:

"(8) 'Federal agency' means any executive agency as defined in section 105 of title 5, United States Code, and the military departnts as defined in section 102 of such title

in the second

į.

.

10-16-88

"(9) 'Invention' means any invention or discovery which is or may be patentable or otherwise protected under title 35, United States Code, or any novel variety of plant which is or may be protectable under the Plant Variety Protection Act (7 U.S.C. 2321 et sen i et seq.).

"(10) 'Made' when used in conjunction with any invention means the conception or first actual reduction to practice of such invention.

vention. "(11) 'Small business firm' means a small business concern as defined in section 2 of Public Law 85-536 (15 U.S.C. 632) and im-plementing regulations of the Administrator of the Small Business Administration. "(12) 'Training technology' means com-puter software and related materials which are developed by a Federal agency to train employees of such agency, including but not limited to software for computer-based in-structional systems and for interactive video disc systems." video disc systems.".

video disc systems.". (e) REDESIGNATION OF SECTIONS TO REFLECT CHANGES MADE BY PRECEDING PROVISIONS.— (1) Such Act (as amended by the preceding provisions of this Act) is further amended by redesignating sections 11 through 19 as sec-tions 10 through 18, respectively. (2)(A) Section 5(d) of such Act is amended by inserting "(as then in effect)" after "sec-tions 5, 6, 8, 11, 12, and 13 of this Act." (B) Section 8(a) of such Act is amended by striking out the last sentence. (C) Section 9(d) of such Act is amended by

striking out the last sentence. (C) Section 9(d) of such Act is amended by striking out "or 13" and inserting in lieu thereof "10, 14, or 16." (3) Section 13((a)(1) of such Act (as redes-ignated by paragraph (1) of this subsection) is amended by striking out "section 12" in the metter preceding subargaraph (4) of

is amended by striking out "section 12" in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) and inserting in lieu thereof "section 11." (4) Section 18 of such Act (as redesignated by paragraph (1) of this subsection) is amended by striking out "sections 12, 13, and 14" and inserting in lieu thereof "sec-tions 11, 12, and 13."

tions 11, 12, and 13." (f) CLARIFICATION OF FINDINGS AND PUR-POSES.—(1) The second sentence of section 2(10) of such Act (15 U.S.C. 3701(10)) is amended by inserting ", which include in-ventions, computer software, and training technologies," immediately after "develop-ments" ments.

(2) Section 3(3) of such Act (15 U.S.C. 3702(3)) is amended by inserting ", includ-H-8887

ing inventions, software, and training tech-nologies." immediately after "developmente

And the Senate agree to the same. That the House recede from its disagree-ment to the amendment of the Senate to the title of the bill and agree to the same.

Do	N PUQUA.
	UG WALGREN.
	N LUNDINE.
	NUEL LUJAN, Jr.
	erwood L. Boehlert,
Managers o	in the Part of the House.
JAC	K DANFORTH.
FR	ITZ HOLLINGS.
Do	N RIEGLE.
ິ້ຽມ	DE GORTON.
LA	RRY PRESSLER.
Managers o	n the Part of the Senate.

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 3773) to amend the Stevenson-Wydler Techalogy Innovation Act of 1980 to promote

technology transfer by authorizing Govern-ment-operated laboratories to enter into cooperative research agreements and by estab-lishing a Federal Laboratory Consortium for Technology Transfer within the Nation-al Science Foundation, and for other pur-poses, submit the following joint statement for to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the ac-

The Senate amendment to the text of the bill struck out all of the House bill after the enacting clause and inserted a substitute te**xt**.

text. The House recedes from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate with an amendment which is a substitute for the House bill and the Senate amendment. The differences between the House bill, the Senate amendment, and the substitute agreed to in conference are noted below, except for clerical corrections, conforming changes made necessary by agreements reached by the conference, and minor draft-ing and clarifying changes. The following section-by-section analysis explains actions for the managers in the conference report to accompany H.B. 373.

conference report to accompany H.R. 3773.

SECTION 1.-SHORT TITLE

The Conferees chose to use the Senate version of the title: "Federal Technology Transfer Act of 1986."

IN 2.—COOPERATIVE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS SECTION 2.

There were marked similarities between the House and Senate-passed versions of this section. Both reflected the concern that the Federal laboratories need clear author-ity to do cooperative research and that they ity to do cooperative rescarch and that they need to be able to exercise that authority at the laboratory level. Both permit the lab-oratories to enter into cooperative research and development agreements with a wide range of parties. Both strive to make the en-tering of these agreements as easy as possi-ble from the point of view of the private sector participant, while protecting the le-gitimate concerns of the government. This authority is optional in both versions and is not intended to affect previously existing cooperative agreement authority, such as the Space Act provisions, which for almost three decades have permitted NASA labora-tories to enter into cooperative agreements. The conferees deleted the House version's

The conferees deleted the House version's requirement of an agency plan within 180 days of enactment of the section. Instead of requiring a plan or regulations, the conference version of the legislation makes regulations optional and makes it clear that imple-mentation of the cooperative research and development authority can begin in advance

development authority can begin in advance of any regulations. The conferees adopted many of the modi-flications the Senate made to the House-passed version of this section. The confer-ence version specifically states that a labo-ratory may accept funds, personnel, and services, and collaborating parties may accept the same, with the exception of funds, as their contribution under a cooper-ative agreement. It applies to any inventions occurring under a cooperative R&D agree-ment, the long-standing tradition of reserv-ing the right in the government to a paid-up ment, the long-standing tradition of reserv-ing the right in the government to a paid-up non-exclusive license in that invention. It also clearly gives permission to present and former federal employees of a laboratory to be a party to efforts to commercialize that laboratory's inventions, to the extent they can do so and not be in violation of agency requirements and standards of conduct. The conditions on the exercise of the co-operative agreement authority which agree

operative agreement authority which

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., Washington, D.C. 20037

part of the Agency Plan under the House version of the legislation are still to be con-sidered by the laboratories in deciding with whom to contract. Special consideration is still to be given to small businesses and con-sortia involving small business. The purpose of this requirement is to ensure access by these groups to the laboratories and is not intended to limit access by non-profit orga-nizations and universities.

intended to limit access by non-profit orga-nizations and universities. The provisions from both versions dealing with the preference to U.S. business units were accepted. Therefore, laboratories are to give preference to business units located in the United States which agree to domes-tic manufacture. When evaluating whether to grant access by a foreign company, the Federal laboratories may examine the will-ingness of the foreign government to open its own laboratories to U.S. firms. The House-passed provisions on conflict on interest are retained as is, and its provi-sions for review of a cooperative research

slons for review of a cooperative research and development agreement and for limited headquarters review of agreements are accepted substantially as passed by that body.

SECTION 3.—ESTABLISHMENT OF FEDERAL CONSORTIUM FOR TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER

The conferences recommend adopting the Senate decisions to affiliate the Federal Laboratory Consortium with the National Bureau of Standards to establish a program for demonstration projects in technology transfer. They further recommend funding the consortium at House-recommended levels

levels. Both the House and the Senate-passed ve Both the House and the Senate-passed ve-sions of this legislation address the need of the Federal Laboratory Consortium (FLC) to have a permanent connection with a fed-eral agency and a more predictable source of funding for the next five years. These two changes will permit the FLC, which has operated with very limited funding for much of its 15 years, to coordinate its pro-gram better and to expand its efforts at per-mitting the technology transfer officers of the various Federal laboratories to work more closely together. It is the clear intent of both Houses that, to the extent possible, the existing programs and initiatives of the FLC be continued uninterrupted as the or-ganizational changes required by the Act FLC be continued uninterrupted as the or-ganizational changes required by the Act are made. As soon as practical after enact-ment, the current FLC officers are asked to begin the FLC's transition by convening a meeting both of the current FLC represent-atives and of representatives of any labora-tories added to the Consortium by this Act. Because of the twin goals of continuity an increased effectiveness for the FLC, these and efforts should not await funds transfers under the FLC set-aside provision.

The Federal Laboratory Consortium is expected to remain a networking organization of the Federal laboratories and their technology transfer officers. The consortium is to function as a clearinghouse of information and has purposely been established with a small budget and small paid staff so that the volunteer spirit that has made the organization a success to date will continue. The consortium is not to engage directly in the transfer of technology. Rather, it is ex-pected to help the laboratories that develop the technology to do a better job of trans-ferring it by themselves or through appropriate agents.

The conferees felt, however, for the FLC perform this function properly, increased funding is necessary for such projects as ex-panding the Consortium's electronic mail system and strengthening its regional operations. These efforts, plus the planned re-es-

的的

10-16-86

tablishment at the National Bureau of Standards of a small Washington presence, led the conferees to recommend that the FLC set-aside be the House-passed figure of .005% to fund the operations of the organi-zation. Five percent of these funds would be รลกร์zation. Five percent of these funds would be used to cover the Senate-passed program of demonstration projects in technology trans-fer. The Conferees see these demonstrations as a useful complement to the Federal Labo-ratory Consortium. At least two such dem-onstrations are to be funded over the five year life of the demonstration program, and the Consortium should look for diversity both in the types of demonstrations funded the Consortium should look for diversity both in the types of demonstrations funded and in the states hosting the demonstra-tions. The Federal Laboratory Consortium is expected to develop program specifica-tions, but the conference expect the actual competition and awards process to be con-ducted at the request of the FLC either by a federal agency or by a laboratory with exist-ing capabilities to administer such a pro-gram. gram.

The conferees recommend establishment of the House-passed concept of regional ad-visors for the Federal Laboratory Consorti-um but did not choose to establish formal advisory committees. These volunteers will provide insights from the business commu-nity which will help the consortium stay on target in its efforts to make the laboratories target in its efforts to make the laboratories helpful and accessible to the business com-munity. The conferees also recommend in-clusion of the Senate provision authorizing the Consortium to encourage laboratories, when requested, to assist interested organi-zations and businesses in various facets of technology program planning and curriculum design.

SECTION 4. -- UTILIZATION OF FEDERAL TECHNOLOGY

The House and Senape-passed versions of this section, designed to upgrade the status of laboratory professionals who do technolo-gy transfer, were similar. The conferees rec-ommend accepting from the House version, the policy statement that technology trans-fer is a responsibility of every laboratory's scientific and engineering professional, and the requirement that technology transfer professionals be included in overall labora-tory/agency management development pro-grams. From the Senate version, the conferthis section, designed to upgrade the status grams. From the Senate version, the confer-ees recommend inclusion among the func-tions of technology transfer professionals, participation, where feasible, in state, local and regional technology transfer efforts. The House requirements of technology transfer reports as part of agency annual budget submissions is retained budget submissions is retained.

H-8888

SECTION 5. - FUNCTIONS OF THE SECRETARY

SECTION 5. -FUNCTIONS OF THE SECRETARY The conferees recommend acceptance of the Senate's two additions to the bill's lists of duties of the Secretary of Commerce. The Secretary is required to submit biennial reports to the President and the Congress on the use by agencies of Stevenson-Wydler Act authorities. The original Stevenson-Wydler Act required one such report. The Secretary also is required to submit a one-time report to the President and Congress on copyright provision and other types of legal barriers which limit the transfer of federally funded computer software and on the feasibility and cost of compiling and maintaining a current and comprehensive inventory of federally funded training soft-ware. The report is to identify recurring problems rather than to attempt to compile a comprehensive list of barriers facing indi-vidual software projects. vidual software projects.

BNA's Patent, Trademark & Copyright Journal

SECTION 6.-REWARDS FOR SCIENTIFIC, ENGI-NEERING, AND TECHNICAL PERSONNEL OF FED-ERAL AGENCIES

This section is identical in the House and enate versions of this legislation.

SECTION 7.-DISTRIBUTION OF ROYALTIES RECEIVED BY PEDERAL AGENCIES

Both the House and Senate-passed ver-sions of this section direct agencies to retain royalties from the licensing or assignment of inventions and to allocate them to their government-operated laboratories. Both vergovernment-operated laboratories. Both ver-sions have identical limits on the amount of money the laboratories may retain. Both have similar uses to which the laboratory directors may allocate the money, one of which is to reward employees of the agency for innovative work, both in furtherance of the agency's mission and in advancing in-ventions with commercial potential. The Senate bill additionally directs agen-cies to allocate at least 15% of royalties from an invention to the inventor or coin-ventors, before allocating the remainder to its laboratories. The house had chosen not to include a percentage royalty share, pre-

to include a percentage royalty share, pre-ferring to leave maximum flexibility in re-warding inventors with laboratory management.

The conferees recommend acceptance of a compromise provision, which requires agen-cies either to allocate at least 15% of royal-ties from an invention to the inventor or

ties from an invention to the inventor or coinventors, or to promulgate regulations providing an alternative set of rights in the inventor whose invention produces royalties for the government. The conferees believe agencies should have the flexibility to formulate royalty payments to employees that best meet the unique circumstances of each agency and that meet the purpose of the Act. At the same time, the conferees agree that provid-ing a predictable, guaranteed reward from royalties to federally employed inventors provides a strong incentive to report, devel-op, and help license inventions with com-mercial potential. The conferees agree that royalty sharing

mercial potential. The conferees agree that royalty sharing alone, although effective, is an imperfect tool in promoting technology transfer. The process of turning an invention into a suc-cessful commercial product is complex, and involves the work of more than just the in-ventors. Within a laboratory a team of sci-entists and engineers, beyond those involved in patenting an invention more contribute to in patenting an invention, may contribute to its development and licensing, and their contribution may be as important to the commercial success of the invention as that of the inventors. In addition, a single, fixed royalty share may be an inadequate reward for an inventor, depending on the amount

Therefore, the conferees believe that laboratory directors should use the authority in section 14(a)(1)(B)(ii) to reward those em-ployees who contribute to innovative work, In mission-related work with or without commercial potential. Similarly, agencies that choose to promulgate rules to set alternative royalty percentages should consider tiered allocation of royalties, which give more weight to the inventor's contribution when royalty income is small, but which also recognize the contributions of a wider team.

In the Federal laboratories, depending on size, a percentage of royaities could be allosize, a percentage of royatiles could be allo-cated to the research team or project, in ad-dition to the inventor's share, before the re-mainder is allocated to the Laboratory Di-rector. Such an allocation is possible withrector. Such an allocation is possible with-out formal rulemaking, provided the allocation is in addition to the minimum inven-tor's share of 15% under clauses 14(a)(1) (A)(i) or (A)(i).

685

The initial 15 percent allocation for royal-ties is to take effect on enactment of the bill unless an agency publishes its intention to promulgate rules. The 15% or any alterna-tive allocation is to apply to all royalty income received by an agency in a given year, including that from inventions patent-ed and licensed before the date of enact-ment of this Act, and is to continue for as long as the agency receives income from an invention, including after the inventors may have left the agency. The compromise pro-vides that a Federal employee may not re-ceive more than \$100,000 per year in royalty income without the approval of the Presi-dent. This coincides with the limits on agen-cies' statutory authority to make cash awards to employees. The initial 15 percent allocation for royal awards to employees.

awards to employees. If an agency's rulemaking is completed within two years after enactment and the 15 percent royalty sharing has not gone into effect, the effective date of royalty sharing under the rule is to be the effective date of the Act. If there is no rule within two years of enactment and royalty sharing is not in effect, 15% mandatory royalty sharing is to mainto affect for that seency retroactive to effect, 15% mandatory royalty sharing is to go into effect for that agency retroactive to the date of enactment. If a rule goes into effect more than two years after enactment, the effective date of the royalty sharing under the rule for that agency is to be the same as the effective date of the rule. The conference with the starse the flexibility.

same as the effective date of the rule. The conferees wish to stress the flexibility of the compromise on royalty sharing. It is intended to give each agency the freedom to devise different employee award systems that accomplish the purposes of the Act and that best meet the unique needs, cultures, and technology transfer problems of the agencies' laboratories. In order to strength-en the program so that all agencies can ben-efft from what is learned in the varying ap-proaches to royalty sharing, Comptroller General report has been mandated evaluat-General report has been mandated evaluat-ing the first five years of this royalty sharing program.

The conferees value the licensing activi-ties that have been performed by the Na-tional Technical Information Service for other agencies including other parts of the Department of Commerce. Section 14(a)(6)has been added to permit NTIS to continue this work without interruption after enact-ment ment.

The conferees are in agreement that there The conferees are in agreement that there are inherent differences in the way public sector and private sector employees can be rewarded. Furthermore, they have provided agencies with flexibility in the establish-ment of programs to reward inventors. The conferees, therefore, do not expect any par-ticular agency's approach for rewarding in-ventors, whether it includes 15 percent man-datory royality sharing or not, to be viewed datory royalty sharing or not, to be viewed as setting a precedent for the private sector.

SECTION 8.--EMPLOYEE ACTIVITIES

The Conferees recommend acceptance of this provision from the Senate version of the legislation as modified. The provision is intended to assure that a Government em-ployee has a chance to obtain title to an invention if the government does not plan to arrange for the commercialization of the invention. The conferees recommend giving the inventor an automatic right to request an invention where the government neither intends to file for a patent nor intends to promote the transfer of this information to the U.S. private sector by alternate means.

SECTION 9.-MISCELLANEOUS AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS

The only significant difference between the House and Senate versions of these provisions is the Senate's addition of two new

10-16-86

responsibilities for Department of Com-merce's Office of Productivity, Technology and Innovation. The conferees recommend inclusion of both new responsibilities: pro-motion of joint initiatives in technology transfer and encouragement of cooperative programs among all appropriate parties re-garding development and dissemination of technological skills. technological skills

DON FUQUA, DOUG WALGREN, STAN LUNDINE, MANUEL LUJAN, Jr., SHERWOOD L. BOENLERT, Managers on the Part of the House. JACK DANFORTH, FRITZ HOLLINGS. DON RIEGLE, SLADE GORTON, LARRY PRESSLER

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

S-15131

FEDERAL TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER ACT-CONFERENCE RE RE-PORT

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, ĩ submit a report of the committee of conference on H.R. 3773 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The eport will be stated.

The legislative clerk read as follows: The committee of conference on the dis-agreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 3773) to amend the Stevenson-Wydler Tech-nology Innovation Act of 1980 to promote technology transfer by authorizing Govern-ment-operated laboratories to enter into cooperative research agreements and by estab-lishing a Federal Laboratory Consortium for Technology Transfer within the National Science Foundation, and for other pur-poses, having met, after full and free confer-ence, have agreed to recommend and do rec-ommend to their respective Houses this report, signed by all of the conferees.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the Senate will proceed to the consideration of the conference report.

(The conference report will be printed in the House proceedings of the RECORD.)

Mr. HOLLINGS. Mr. President, the conference report now before the Senate is a truly historic piece of legislation. At a time when the U.S. econofaces unprecedented foreign competition, the Federal Technology Transfer Act will help government, industry, and academia work together to maintain America's technological leadership.

The Federal Government spends tens of billions of dollars annually on research and development. Federal laboratories alone spend some \$18 billion and employ one-sixth of the Na-tion's scientists and engineers. Of course, the Federal Government makes this huge investment to serve public needs, particularly in the areas of defense, health, and space. But in the process, laboratory scientists and other federally supported researchers create a wealth of unclassified inventions and ideas which, if properly

used, could be of enormous help to State governments and American in-dustry. Yet, historically, legal barriers and lack of communication have preand lack of communication have pre-vented the private sector and the States from taking full advantage of this important national resource. With international competition so strong, we can no longer afford to ignore this technology technology.

The Federal Technology Transfer Act is the latest in a series of biparti-san initiatives to reduce these barriers. In 1980, the Stevenson-Wydler Tech-nology Innovation Act directed Federal laboratories to create offices to assist in the transfer of Federal inven-tions and expertise. That same year, the landmark Bayh-Dole patent amendments gave small businesses and nonprofit organizations, including universities, the right to own and develop inventions that result from federally funded research. Until those amend-ments, most Federal inventions sat on the shelf, never used by American companies or universities. In 1984, these patent rights were extended to those nonprofit organizations that manage federally supported national laboratories. Already, these new laws are resulting in new products that help industry and benefit the Ameri-can public can public.

The bill before us today is an impor tant series of amendments to the origi-nal Stevenson-Wydler Act. This bill nal Stevenson-Wydler Act. This bill gives Federal agencies the authority to let their "Government-operated" laboratories—that is, laboratories operat-ed by civil service personnel—enter into cooperative R&D work with industry, State government, universities, and others. For the first time, the Nation's almost 700 Government-operat-ed laboratories will have clear authority to work side-by-side with industry and the States to better utilize unclas-sified Federal inventions and ideas. The agencies and laboratories will decide for themselves how much, and what kinds, of cooperative work to undertake.

This bill in no way reduces national security controls on classified Federal technology. Nor will it cost the taxpayers an additional dime. Any funds for cooperative research will be provided by the non-Federal partner. In fact,

S-15132

this new law will make money for the Government. When a Federal labora-tory licenses an existing or new invention to a company, the laboratory may Government receives about \$1.6 mil-lion per year in royalties from licens-ing inventions such as the new AIDS blood test. Royalties to the Govern-ment should increase under the new legislation.

Besides allowing Government-operated laboratories to work with non-Federal partners, the bill also has several other valuable provisions. It pro-vides modest, stable funding for the

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., Washington, D.C. 20037

686

687

existing network of laboratory technology transfer officers. This Federal laboratory consortium allows a businessman or State official to call their nearest Federal laboratory and, through the network, find out which Federal laboratories throughout the United States have expertise in a given area of technology. The FLC's modest funding is provided not through new expenditures but rather through new expenditures but rather through a small set-aside from the Federal research agencies whose laboratories benefit from the network. The National Bureau of Standards will provide administrative support to the

consortium. The bill also provides that agencies will share some of the royalties earned from Federal inventions with the scientists and engineers who created them. This provision is designed both to reward important work and to provide an incentive for scientists to report patentable ideas which may benefit the country. Agencies are allow great flexibility in designing their royalty-sharing programs.

their royalty-sharing programs. Finally, the bill contains various conforming and miscellaneous amendments to the Stevenson-Wydler Act.

Mr. President, this conference report results from a remarkable bipartisan effort. The House version of H.R. 3773 passed that body unanimously; its prime sponsors were a Democratic committee chairman and the House Republican leader. A bipartisan group of Senators introduced our version, which later passed this body unanimously. Both the Senate and House have benefited greatly from the work done by the Commerce Department's Office of Productivity, Technology, and Innovation. Mr. President, all of us who have

Mr. President, all of us who have worked on this legislation see it as a vital step toward better utilizing our national R&D resources in an era of intense foreign economic competition. I am pleased to be an original cosponsor of the Federal Technology Transfer Act, and I urge my colleagues to support this conference report.

support this conference report. Mr. RIEGLE. Mr. President, I am delighted that we and our House colleagues have reached agreement on a conference report for H.R. 3773, the Federal Technology Transfer Act. This important legislation will allow our Federal laboratories to contribute more fully to American industrial innovation and State economic development. At a time when many other countries are challenging our technological leadership, we no longer can afford to ignore the great amount of technology created by the Nation's 700 Federal laboratories.

Federal laboratories exist, of course, to do research in support of Government missions in areas as diverse as agriculture, space, health, and defense. But in the process of serving the Government, Federal scientists and engineers also create a great deal

BNA's Patent, Trademark & Copyright Journal

of unclassified technology that could benefit American industry and State development efforts. Over the years, however, less than 5 percent of the almost 30,000 patents granted to personnel in Federal laboratories have been developed into commercial products.

we on the Commerce Committee's We on the Commerce Committee's Subcommittee on Science, Technology, and Space have long supported efforts to transfer more Federal technology to the private sectors and States. Work on this particular bill began 2 years ago, when it became clear that many Government-operated laboratories—that is laboratories operated by Federal civil service personnel—lacked clear legal authority to enter into cooperative research projects with companies. State agencies, universities, and others. Yet never before have we seen such interest in working with Federal laboratories to commercialize new inventions, to undertake joint research, and to utilize the great expertise of Federal scientists and engineers. Clearly, we needed to reduce the legal barriers that prevent such cooperation.

The conference report before us today is the result of that work. It provides clear authority to Federal agencies to allow their Government-operated laboratories to enter into cooperative research and development agreements with non-Federal partners. It will, in my opinion, open up an entirely new era of American research--one in which Government, industry, and the States work together instead of in isolation of each other. This bill has several notable fea-

This bill has several notable features. It gives agencies and laboratories greater flexibility in deciding when to enter into cooperative research ventures, while stating Congress' view that preference should go to ventures which benefit both small business and companies that manufacture within the United States. The bill continues all existing protections for classified information while encouraging our laboratories to share unclassified technology.

This bill will not increase Federal expenditures. The cost of these joint research projects will be borne by the non-Federal partners. In fact, this bill could generate money for the Government by making it easier for Federal agencies to collect royalties on the inventions they license to industry. The legislation also provides rewards to Federal inventors whose ideas result in commercially successful products.

Mr. President, this is an important step toward the better utilization of the taxpayer's investment in Federal technology. The bill enjoys broad bipartisan support, and I urge our colleagues to vote for the conference report.

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, I congratulate the Senate conferees for bringing this conference report to the

of unclassified technology that could floor. It is an extremely important bill, benefit American industry and State because it will do a lot to increase U.S. development efforts. Over the years, international competitiveness.

Each year the Federal Government spends \$18 billion on research and development conducted at over 700 Federal laboratories. We employ one-sixth of our Nation's scientists in this effort. Yet, 95 percent of the work product of their efforts is unavailable for commercial development.

The Federal Technology Transfer Act of 1986 implements one of the recommendations of the Young Commission Report on Industrial Competitiveness by making it easier to transfer technology out of the Federal labs and into the marketplace. This bill grants blanket authority to

This bill grants blanket authority to all Federal laboratories to set up cooperative research-and-development agreements with businesses. As Timothy Smith reported in the Wall Street Journal on October 1. 1986, "It will provide money to expand a communications system linking Federal labs, and giving businesses centralized access to a smorgasbord of government research."

Most importantly, the bill will create incentives for Federal researchers to stay on the job by requiring agencies to share at least 15 percent of the royalties received from their patents.

Mr. President, in his book "The Zero Sum Solution," economist Lester Thurow stated that the essence of comparative advantage is not static relative factor endowments or natural resources, but the creation of dynamic technological or efficiency advantages.

Comparative advantage is not something inherited. It's created—as the Japanese are doing now, and as America has done in the past.

The United States used to have the technological edge. It no longer does. While countries like Japan and West Germany coordinate ressearch and development between the public and private sectors and then share the information with their businesses, American policy is to bottle it up and fail to coordinate its use.

coordinate its use. The Federal Technology Transfer Act is a major step toward strategic coordination of our research and development that will improve our industries' performance in world markets. I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. ROCKEFELLER. Mr. President, I am pleased to join my colleagues from the Commerce Committee in urging final passage of H.R. 3773, the Federal Technology Transfer Act.

S-15133

This measure is about technological innovation, and about the process of turning inventions into marketable products and services. It recognizes that Federal laboratories represent a promising resource and provides a way to strengthen the links between government scientists and researchers in the private sector.

10-16-86

TEXT

The Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980, which this bill amends, attempted to focus national attention on the issue of technology transfer. Regrettably, it was never fully implemented. But despite the ad-Tininistration's opposition to the act's central feature, a network of government-industry research centers.

ment-industry research centers, progress was made within individual agencies to encourage commercial ap-plications of technology developed under their auspices.

Building on this fledgling effort, H.R. 3773 would facilitate cooperative research projects by Federal laboratories and private companies. The Gov-ernment invests approximately \$18 billion on research by Federal laborato-ries, and much of this work leads to patentable inventions. Yet only a small fraction of these Federal patents licensed by private industry for are commercial use.

In contrast, other countriesticularly Japan—are blazing the trail in the area of technology transfer. They encourage cooperative govern-ment-industry research efforts, and actively look for ways to translate the results of promising lines of research into commercially viable products. Japan has been exceptionally adept at identifying technology with commer-cial potential. And the inventions with commercial promise-the raw material

of this process—often comes from us. This country should be concerned about the implications of a one-way flow of technological information. The flow of knowledge is a real threat to our competitive position in a wide range of industries. America's comparative advantage has always been superior technology—our ability to inno-vate and invent. But we are no longer self-sufficient in technology: without access to technology developed else-where, major advances will pass us by.

I am very pleased that the final ver-sion of H.R. 3773 incorporates a Senate amendment, which I jointly sponsored with the distinguished ma-jority leader, to improve U.S. access to technology developed with the help of foreign governments. Under the bill, foreign applicants will have opportunities to acquire technology developed in our laboratories—and we think our companies and researchers should get equivalent treatment by other countries in return.

Federal laboratory directors are empowered by this legislation to approve cooperative R&D arrangements and li-censing agreements with private indus-try. Where applications to enter into these agreements come from foreign parties, our provision would permit the laboratory directors to take into account whether or not the countries involved permit U.S. agencies, compa-nies, or other parties to participate in similar arrangements. It would apply to U.S. subsidiaries of foreign companies, as well as to other persons and organizations subject to the control of a foreign government.

We hope, by giving this discretion to our Federal laboratories, to open doors for our corporations and researchers to the work in foreign government-supported laboratories. By making reciprocal access a consideration, we should increase our leverage with forwe eign research organizations—and gain access to much valuable technical information. If foreign scientists, engi-neers, and other researchers can have relatively unrestricted access to path-breaking research at NIH or NASA, it's only right to expect comparable opportunities for our researchers in exchange.

In closing, I consider this legislation an important element of what should be a comprehensive, determined effort to improve the competitiveness of our industries. It will encourage industry to draw on the impressive technological resources and expertise of our Fed-eral laboratories. It will strengthen our national investment in R&D—and help this country gain access to pio-neering research and technology de-veloped elsewhere. I'm proud to have worked on this measure and hope it will encourage us to address other aspects of our competitiveness problems with the same imagination and vigor.

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I ask the Senate to adopt the conference report. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The

question is on agreeing to the conference report.

The conference report was agreed to. Mr. STEVENS. I move to reconsider the vote by which the conference report was agreed to. Mr. BYRD. I move to lay that

motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

H-9399

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 3773, FEDERAL TECHNOLOGY 3773, FEDERAL TECHN TRANSFER ACT OF 1986

Mr. FUQUA. Mr. Speaker, I call up the conference report on the bill (H.R. 3773) to amend the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980 to promote technology transfer by au-thorizing Government-operated laboratories to enter into cooperative research agreements and by establishing a Federal Laboratory Consortium for Technology Transfer within the Na-tional Science Foundation, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the conference report is considered as having been read. (For conference report and state-

ment, see proceedings of the House of October 2, 1986.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Florida (Mr. FUGUA) will be recognized for 30 minutes, and

the gentleman from New Mexico [Mr. LUJAN] will be recognized for 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida [Mr. Fuqua].

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. FUQUA. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on the conference report now under consideration.

SPEAKER pro tempore. The IS there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection. Mr. FUQUA. Mr. Speaker, I yield

Mr. Focora. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume. Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption of the conference report on H.R. 3773, the Federal Technology Transfer Act of 1986

This bill gives us the opportunity to do something very positive about com-petitiveness, something very positive H-9400

about getting the most out of our tax dollars. By changing the rules on how our Federal laboratories do business, this bill will aid our private sector in many ways at no extra cost to the taxpayers.

The conference report is not dra-matically different from the original House-passed version of H.R. 3773. The bill has the same main themes. All federally owned, federally operated laboratories if their parent agency so desires, will be able to contract with private businesses, universities, local governments and others to solve problems of mutual interest. The Federal Laboratory Consortium [FLC] will be formally established at the National Bureau of Standards rather than at the National Science Foundation. However, the Federal Laboratory Con-sortium will still be the facilitator of the transfer of Federal inventions and ideas into the private sector that was envisioned in the House-passed bill. The bill in its present form still re-quires all agencies to setup programs to reward their most innovative re-searchers for their most innovative researchers for their most exceptional contributions both to mission work and to the development of laboratory

ideas with commercial potential. What is new in the bill is a series of expansions, additions, and procedural modifications to the House-passed bill none of which are fundamental in nature. Additional minor responsibil-ities are given to the Secretary of Commerce and the Federal Laboratory Consortium for technology transfer. Inventors whose inventions produce royalties for the Government are now allowed to share in those royaities under one of two alternate plans. A small Federal Laboratory Consortium program of grants to local technology transfer organizations is established. The House-passed requirement agency plans for implementation of of the cooperative research authority is

10-16-86

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., Washington, D.C. 20037

dropped in exchange for a series of preferences which accomplish the same purpose. The integrity of the House-passed bill has been preserved and H.R. 3773 indeed has been strengthened by the Senate's and conferees' careful review.

There is one specific subsection I feel could use some additional explanation beyond the statement of managers. The alternate section is intended to give agencies a great amount of leeway in setting up a program of rewarding inventors. Agencies are encouraged to experiment, to setup programs which they feel met their unique circumstances as long as the program includes both a lump sum payment to inventors each year royalties are received on the inventor's invention and also a percentage share of royalties when receipts exceed a level that is set by the agency. For instance, an agency in its discretion could setup a first year lump sum payment amount that is different from the amount paid in subsequent years or it could choose to make its largest lump sum payment to the inventor in the year of licensing rather than when royalties are received and have that payment count toward the requirement of 15 percent of royalties going to the inventor.

to the inventor. In closing, I urge my colleagues to endorse the work of your conference committee.

Mr. LUJAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in strong support of accepting the conference report on H.R. 3773. One of the central themes running through our debates this Congress has been the need to make the United States more competitive economically.

There are many steps that must be taken to achieve that improvement. One of the simplest and most obvious is making better commercial use of the unparalleled advances in basic science and engineering being made in this country.

Country. One example should suffice to show the importance of this step. An article in last week's Wall Street Journal began as follows:

Clifford Hesseltine's experience as U.S. Government scientist was classic. He did some research on toxins, published results that caught the eye of industrialists with a problem, and won a Government citation for saving an industry. The citation was the Third Order of the

The citation was the Third Order of the Rising Sun, bestowed on behalf of the Emperor of Japan, in recognition of Mr. Hesselltine's service to Japan's soy sauce brewing industry.

This bill is designed to prevent the recurrence of similar embarrassments in the future. It is the culmination of years of work, going back at least as far as the Packard Commission on Federal laboratories in 1982, which noted the untapped potential of Government laboratories to help American industry.

10-16-86

This bill attacks the problem in several ways. First, it allows Federal laboratories to work with industry, nonprofits, and other levels of government on research and commercialization of inventions. The bill replaces statutory hindrances to cooperation with statutory encouragement.

The bill recognizes the importance and fine work of the Federal laboratory consortium [FLC], the ad hoc organization of Federal labs that acts as a networking agent to promote technology transfer. It gives the consortium a basis in statute, a steady stream of income and a permanent home.

Finally, the bill gives Government employees an incentive to work on projects that have commercial potential.

Although agencies have the authority to make cash awards to innovative scientists, this authority has been little used. In the 31-year period between 1954 and 1985, for example, agencies other than NASA made only nine invention awards that required approval of the Office of Personnel and Management; that is, awards of more than \$5,000 before 1978 and more than \$10,000 since then.

This bill guarantees that Federal inventors will receive a share of the royalties from the licensing of their inventions. Agencies can either guarantee a 15-percent royalty share to each of their inventors, or can propose an alternative that will give the agencies greater flexibility in deciding how to reward inventors through a combination of lump-sum cash payments and royalty shares. However, under the alternative, total payments to all inventors must exceed 15 percent of an agency's royalty income.

Royalty payments were the stickiest issue in the conference. The Senate wanted to guarantee inventors a share of the royalties. The House wanted to ensure that agencies could tailor royalty payments to the peculiarities of their labs. This compromise fulfills both those goals.

The bill makes clear that royalties should also be used to reward other members of the laboratory team who help bring inventions to commercialization.

At long last, we have a bill that provides both the institutions and the individuals the incentives needed to put our more than 700 Federal labs to work for the American economy. I urge my colleagues to support this conference report.

Mr. LUJAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. MICHEL]. (Mr. MICHEL asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MICHEL. Mr. Speaker, as one of the authors of H.R. 3773, the Federal

BNA's Patent, Trademark & Copyright Journal

Technology Transfer Act of 1985, I rise in support of the conference report and strongly urge its adoption. The Federal Technology Transfer

The Federal Technology Transfer Act is designed to increase research cooperation between Federal laboratories and private entities, and would help clear the way for greater commercial use of the ideas and inventions resulting from such research.

At present, we have some 380 Federal laboratories, in such diverse fields as health, space, energy, agriculture and defense. They spend upwards of \$17 billion a year, and employ onesixth of the Nation's research workers.

Yet, despite this major effort, the National Governors' Association concludes in a recent report that "these national laboratories are far from having begun to realize their full potential as catalysts for close industryuniversity research cooperation or as collaborators in joint university/industry research."

This is particularly damaging when it comes to our competitive position in the world market. We all know what our trade deficit is. We all know how our position as world leader in the areas of technology, innovation, engineering, and manufacturing has been eroding. While there are many reasons H-9401

H-9401

for this, certainly one of the significant ones is the failure of our industry to consistently translate new technology into competitive products.

But while many companies may not be fully taking advantage of our Nation's research, foreign nations have no qualms in this regard. Much of the research which is not being used domestically is in fact being used abroad. Foreign countries have access to any research that is not patented or licensed for use in this country, and many foreign governments, particularly the Japanese, are taking full advantage of this.

This was brought home graphically to us in my own district, where they tell me that Japanese representatives are frequently seen prowling the halls of the agriculture research lab in Peoria looking for ideas. In fact, one of the scientists in that lab was awarded a medal by the Japanese Government for helping to solve some of their agriculture problems.

Now, I have no problem with our being a friendly neighbor, but when other nations use our research to better compete against us by developing products which they in turn often import back into the United States, I think that's going too far. It's time we take steps to turn this situation around, and that is what this bill is designed to do.

The Federal Laboratory Review Panel of the White House Science Council has recommended greater collaboration between Federal laborato-

TEXT

4

ries and industry. But if industry is to become involved and provide resources and capital, it must be able to protect its investment through patent and licensing rights. Without this protection, such investment and ultimate commercialization of a research product will not take place.

I became particularly aware of this problem, and undertook the introduction of this legislation as a result of a collaborative effort that is being undertaken in my hometown of Peoria.

The Peoria Economic Development Council is presently organizing an Agriculture Research and Development Consortium which would pull together a number of corporations involved in agriculture research along with the Department of Agriculture Regional Research Laboratory in Peoria and several universities for the purpose of undertaking combined research endeavors. It is also expected that venture capital will be provided to turn the research findings into usable commercial products. The potential for jobs and new business investment is considerable.

I should also mention that the Illinois Legislature has enacted legislation which provides \$50 million in lowinterest bond funds for agriculture research and development. This indicates the importance which the entire State of Illinois places on cooperative research endeavors. For this State program to become effective, Congress must enact this measure.

This bill will help us become more competitive in the world market, increase the use of federally funded research, and assist in the creation of additional jobs in this country.

The conference report represents a reasonable compromise between the House and Senate versions of the bill. The most controversial area was the issue of whether a specified royalty percentage should be awarded to Federal inventors, as the Senate provided, or whether it should be left up to the laboratories--the House position. The conference report comes down closer to the Senate position, in that it goes with a 15-percent royalty sharing percentage for the inventor, but waives that provision if an agency chooses to develop its own plan for rewarding inventors.

Some business groups seem to feel that such a mandated royalty percentage would set a standard which the private sector would have to follow as well. I don't think that's the case. I know that's not the intent of the conferees. Besides, most businesses normally allow Government practices to be used as precedents only when it serves their own interests, so I think some of the expressions of concern in this regard ring a little hollow.

This is a good conference report which will enhance our Nation's competitive position, and I urge its adoption.

Mr. Speaker, I certainly want to compliment the chairman, the distinguished ranking member, and the gentleman from New York [Mr. LUNDINE], the gentleman from Florida [Mr. FUQUA], the gentleman from New Mexico [Mr. LUJAN], and the others who have been so helpful in getting this legislation moved through the Congress and finally to see its full fruition by way of the conference report. Mr. LUJAN, Mr. Speaker, will the

gentleman yield? Mr. MICHEL. I yield to the gentleman from New Mexico.

Mr. LUJAN. Mr. Speaker, I just want to take this time to congratulate the gentleman on introducing this legislation. It is something that has been needed for a long, long time. That is the way to get technology out into the private sector and for the benefit of our citizens, and so I congratulate the gentleman on introducing this legislation.

Mr. MICHEL. I thank the gentleman. It was a pleasure for me to testify before the committee earlier in the year, and I just felt all along that as a government we really had our head in the sand by doing all this research for which I was responsible in part for helping to fund through the Appropriations Committee process for many years, and then seeing that research simply lying on the shelves, for what reason we do not know. Now we are bringing it together, hopefully to put it to good work, and I am very happy to see it all happen.

Mr. FUQUA. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I would also like to join in my compliments to the distinguished minority leader for his efforts in bringing this bill about, and hopefully, as he elucidated in his comments, we will bring about, as a result of that, more information becoming available in the marketplace so that it can be commercialized.

I again congratulate the gentleman, and express my thanks for his support and help.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from New York [Mr. LUN-DINE], a distinguished member of our committee.

(Mr. LUNDINE asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LUNDINE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to urge the adoption of the conference agreement accompanying H.R. 3773, the Federal Technology Transfer Act of 1986. This legislation is designed to promote technology transfer from the Federal laboratories and represents a reasonable compromise between the legislation passed by the House last year, and the measure adopted in the other body in August. It enjoys strong bipartisan support in both Houses and deserves our approval here today.

It is clear that if the United States is to regain its position in the international marketplace, we will have to do a better job of translating new technology into competitive products. One way the Federal Government can contribute to this process is by promoting more effective utilization of technology produced by Federal laboratories. The Federal Government funds approximately half of this country's total research and development and much of this work is performed in Government-owned laboratories. The President's Commission on Industrial Competitiveness agreed and recommended that the Federal Government manage its research and development with more concern for commercial application and competitiveness.

In my view, the Federal Technology Transfer Act takes a step in this direction by promoting more effective utilization of the technology produced by Federal laboratories and encouraging cooperative research agreements between government operated laboratories and industry. The scientific and engineering expertise, the technology base, and the facilities and equipment within these laboratories are valuable national resources. This legislation allows these resources to be more readily shared with private companies wishing to develop new products and with local governments in need of technical solutions to their problems.

First, H.R. 3773 would give Federal agencies clear authority to permit their laboratories to conduct coopera-H-9402

H-9402

tive research with universities, industry, industrial development organizations, units of State and local government and others. Interest in cooperative research is increasing especially from State and local technology transfer organizations. These relationships should be encouraged and easier access to Federal technology permitted.

These cooperative agreements would be subject to a few conditions as proposed in the House measure. In negotiating agreements, preference must be given to business units located in the United States which agree that products embodying inventions made under the cooperative research and development agreement will be manufactured substantially in the United States. I feel that taxpayer-supported Federal technology should be used to create jobs and income at home, not abroad. A small business preference is also included.

In addition, Federal laboratories would have the authority to negotiate patent rights and licensing agreements with the collaborating party in an agreement.

Second, to further promote technology transfer from the Federal laboratories, the proposal formally recognizes the Federal Laboratory Consorti-

Published by THE BUREAU OF NATIONAL AFFAIRS, INC., Washington, D.C. 20037

10-16-86

H.R. 3773 recognizes the important contributions being made by the Federal Laboratory Consortium and the need to enhance the consortium's capabilities. This is accomplished by providing a temporary source of funding for the FLC and a Washington presence within the National Bureau of Standards, not by altering the present nature of the consortium as an organization of technology transfer officials from throughout the Government.

Finally, the proposal will provide incentives for Federal laboratories and their employees. Agencies will share some of the royalties earned from Federal inventions with the scientists and engineers who created them and with their laboratories. At the same time, agencies will have some flexibility in designing their awards programs.

If this authority is used effectively, incentive awards programs will encourage creativity and innovation among scientists, engineers, and technical personnel of the Federal Government. They will also boost employees morale and productivity by making individuals aware that their contributions to technology transfer are important.

In conclusion, I believe that this country's ability to provide high quality jobs and a higher standard of living for ourselves and our children depends on how well we promote technological innovation. The measure before us today is a step in the right direction. It will help put technology to work to create jobs and I strongly urge its adoption.

1740

Mr. LUJAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from New York [Mr. BOEH-LERT].

(Mr. BOEHLERT asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BOEHLERT. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of this conference

report. The Technology Transfer Act of 1986 is designed to improve our economic competitiveness by tapping the talent that has been somewhat bottled up in our 700 some-odd Federal labs.

The United States continues to be the world leader in scientific research, yet, disturbingly, we lag behind our allies in applying the fruits of our research. American science and technology has been more often transferred overseas than around the corner,

This bill should reverse that situation. It encourages Federal laboratories and their scientists to work more closely with private industry and to be sensitive to the commercial applications of their work.

The bill also would provide a permanent home and a predictable source of funding for the Federal Laboratory Consortium. This group has been doing an exemplary job of bringing Federal science to the outside would, despite the organization's fragile, ad hoc structure.

The House and Senate committee staffs have labored long and hard to hammer out a compromise that provides definite economic incentives to promote technology transfer, while allowing each laboratory to tailor the system to its needs.

Mr. Speaker, I think this conference report and the whole bill, the whole process demonstrates what positive can be accomplished through good, bipartisan cooperation. What we have before us today is a tribute to the innovativeness and to the tenacity of the distinguished minority leader, the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. MICHEL] and the gentleman from New York [Mr. LUNDINE] my colleague on the committee. We need this bill to help our economy and I urge my colleagues to support it.

Mr. WALGREN. Mr. Speaker, I rise to support acceptance of the conference report on H.R. 3773, the Federal Technology Transfer Act of 1986 and urge my colleagues to give this legislation their wholehearted support.

For most of the 20th century the United States has been the undisputed world leader in new product development. When we studied history as school children we learned of the many American inventors who came up with the products and processes that make up modern life. These Americans, often from humble beginnings through sweat and tenacity transformed an idea into a commercial product and sometimes into a major company bearing their name.

Recently, we have slipped. While we still are probably the most creative people in the

world, we have lost something in the implementation phase. All too often an American invention, like the videocassette recorder, becomes a commercial reality overseas rather than here at home.

In H.R. 3773 we partially address this problem by making the national laboratories more available to American industry. One out of six American scientists and engineers works for the Federal Government in a Federal laboratory. These 700 laboratories with a combined budget of over \$18 billion wrestle with every kind of scientific problem imaginable ranging from a better understanding of the basic laws of physics to the problems of modern manufacturing. Yet foreigners seem to be more aware of this gold mine of ideas than Americans do. Lab employees frequently tell us that while the Japanese are constantly at their doors, it is relatively unusual to find American companies looking to them for new ideas to develop.

H.R. 3773 looks to correct this problem in a number of ways. It authorizes all Federal laboratories, with their agency's consent, to enter into cooperative research arrangements with industry, universities, local governments, and other interested parties to solve problems of mutual interest. It enhances the position of technology transfer officers in the Federal laboratories with the goal of increasing the number and quality of ideas moved from the laboratories into the American private*sector and into commercial development. It formalizes a voluntary association of Federal laboratories called the Federal Laboratory Consorti-um for Technology Transfer (FLC). FLC is given money through a set-aside to become a clearinghouse for businessmen with technical problems and to continue its present activities including teaching technology transfer officers to do their jobs better. H.R. 3773 also provides rewards to creative people in the laboratory for contributions to the mission of the laboratory and royalty sharing for inventors whose ideas bring income to the Government.

H.R. 3773 is clearly a significant step in the right direction and a bill we approved unanimously last year. Let's vote for a more competitive America by approving the conference report.

Mr. LUJAN. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. FUQUA. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the conference report.

The previous question was ordered. The conference report was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

10-16-86

BNA's Patent, Trademark & Copyright Journal

TEXT

6