2. The Administration suppoints the concept and substratively all the details of 5.1657.

3. SiNce its introduction, S. 1657 has been materially changed in some nespects.

H. Because of small business and onlyons, by sensitivity about the possibility of losing the benefits gained in the 96th congress the proposed repost of P.L. 86-517 has been dropped in the for Improving amendments to P.L. 96-517. The Administration believes that this approach substantially enhances the possibility of passage of s. 1657. The university sector is Now actively supporting S. 1657 while still opposing the Entel bill IN part because of its proposed repeal of P.L. 96-517.

5. ANother uniferial change is the christon of the truditional Right of the government to sublicense foreign governments ender treaties or expected - AN agency may obtain this Right water true bet only call an except on which must be justified at the time of contracts. The Administration supports this change since historically foreign constants have not negronocated in

The addition to the reporter provision the (2)

Extelbill sufters from other problems which should

be addressed. Sec. 301(A) of Entel

Extel 6:11 (4.R.4564)

THE THE CONTAINS SUCH BROAD EXCEPTIONS TO THE GENERAL RULE OF ALLOWING

CONTRACTORS TO RETAIN THE FIRST OPTION TO TITLE AS TO LARGELY NULLIFY THE

IN empourison the Schmith bill

PROSPECT OF A UNIFORM POLICY. THE ABMINISTRATION MARK-UP HAS CAREFULLY, WRITTEN

AND LIMITED EXCEPTIONS. THE H.R. 4564 EXCEPTIONS ARE SO BROADLY WRITTEN AS TO

Title was specifically reserved till has Gov't.

ALLOW ALMOST ANY AGENCY TO DECIDE TO TAKE TITLE IN EVERY CASE. FURTHER THE

THE SCHMITH BITLE

ADMINISTRATION MARKEUP REQUIRES THAT ALL EXCEPTIONS BE IN WRITING AND THAT MOST

BE JUSTIFIED. IN ADDITION AN OVERSIGHT IS PROVIDED IN THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

AND OFPP IN ORDER TO PRECLUDE AGENCY ABUSE OF THE EXCEPTIONS. GREET Demits

THE AGENCIES TO EXERCISE EXCEPTIONS WITHOUT OVERSIGHT.

Sec. 301(B)(2)(B)

THE LICENSE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN 301(B) (2)(B) WAS DROPPED IN THE Schmith 6-11

ADMINISTRATION MARK-UP. (THE ALSO WAS NOT IN P.L. 96.517). THE INCLUSION OF THIS LICENSE.

HAS THE UNFORTUNATE EFFECT OF DISCOURAGING COMMERCIALIZATION OF THOSE VERY

INVENTIONS THAT WOULD MOST BENEFIT STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS.

## Sec. 304(A1(4)

IN Gafel

THE ANTI-TRUST GROUND FOR MARCH-IN AT 304(A)(4) HAS BEEN DROPPED IN THE School H bill.

ADMINISTRATION MARK-UP. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE REPRESENTATIVES HAVE SUGGESTED THAT IT IS IMPRACTICAL TO EXPECT AGENCIES TO BE EQUIPPED TO EXERCISE THIS RIGHT.

Sec. 304 (B)

THIS SECTION PERMITS 3RD PARTIES TO INITIATE A MARCH-IN DETERMINATION AND HEARING IF THE AGENCY CONSIDERS THIS JUSTIFIED. THIS RIGHT IN 3RD PARTIES SERIOUSLY IMPAIRS THE OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OF AM INVENTION CONTRACTOR BY OPEN ENDING THE ABILITY OF 3RD PARTIES TO BRING LAWSUITS TO FORCE A MARCH-IN. THE ADMINISTRATION MARK-UP DOES NOT PROVIDE AN EQUIVALENT RIGHT.

Both 6: 1/s

THE BIEL IMPACTS ON GRANTS, CONTRACTS, COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS AND WIDE RANGE OF PERFORMERS OF RESEARCH FROM NON-PROFITS, UNIVERSITIES, STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS the Local Businessess. As such, OMB rather than GSA, DoD, NASA or any single agency, Gafel should have the responsibility for developing uniform regulations and clauses that will impact on this wide range of performers and activities. Moreover, P.L. 96-517 PLACED THIS RESPONSIBILITY IN OMB/OFPP, AND EXPERIENCE UNDER THAT ACT HAS DEMONSTRATED THE WISDOM OF THAT APPROACH.

Sec. 307

THE ADMINISTRATION OPPOSES RECOUPMENT CLAUSES AS A DISINCENTIVE TOWARD FURTHER INVESTMENT AND DEVELOPMENT OF SUBJECT INVENTIONS. The Schmitt bill

does not welvede such charses white butel does.

AND THE UNIVERSITY SECTOR DURING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF 96-517 AS TO WHETHER IT WAS REASONABLE

TO EXPECT CONTRACTORS TO FILE BEFORE ANY FOREIGN BAR (I.E. BEFORE PUBLICATION). THESE

The Schmith of U is

SUBSECTIONS ARE INTENDED TO MAKE CLEAR THAT CONTRACTORS NEED NOT BE FORCED TO FORFEIT THEIR

RIGHTS BECAUSE OF AN INABILITY TO MAKE AN INITIAL FILING IN TIME TO AVOID THE LOSS OF FOREIGN

RIGHTS. The bill finder infended to provide adequate time

to make an infended to provide adequate time

applications before the contractor is required to

Applications before the contractor is required to

Applications before the contractor is required to

WE HAVE EXPANDED THE SECTION DEALING WITH THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE ACT, SO AS TO

LEAVE AGENCIES WITH AUTHORITY TO TREAT INVENTIONS MADE UNDER CONTRACTS THAT PREDATE

THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE ACT IN A MANNER CONSISTENT WITH THE ACT. EXPERIENCE IN THE

The Schmitt bill

DISPUTES ACT. THIS IS AN ISSUE UNDER P.L. 96-517 WHERE CONTRACTS ARE INVOLVED. IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FOR A UNIFORM, HIGH-LEVEL PROCEDURE, IN BOTH GRANTS AND CONTRACTS, IT IS NECESSARY TO ELIMINATE ANY ARGUMENTS THAT THE CONTRACT DISPUTES ACT WOULD APPLY.

Some of the improving emendants

to 96-517 agree by the Sohm H bill

a) Repeal of the limit on the

peniod of exclusive licenses that

whireas, ties may yound to wantstay.

Commence / OFPP ovens ght procedure For the G.B.O procedure of 86-517, E. A more definitive undication of

the time with which contractors must neport to the putent on application?

ender which Agenties may wrive netwined nights to the cathractor

Administration's position on the Administration on the Phandling of Mirentions made by Goco's is 8th 11 under Consideration. He you's knows \$ 1657 hundles Goco's as it does all other continutions white the Enter bill leaves disposition of coco inventors to the discretions to the discretions of the agencies as does 11.96-517.

R

1. Sixce this group is Not covered by 1.1.96-517 or its implementing quidance OMB Circular A-124, I will Not go into it in great detail other than mention a few generic concepts which have been we hope will appelled out in the implementation of but bill if passed. a). Of course I've already mentioned the vaniation on the oversight concept from 96-517 that we support IN Schmitt. (Commerce OFPP Nather trad G.A.D.) However Commerce is lead agency for 96-517 in aneas
b) Note that 35 U.S.C. 205 (from 96-517) gives the agencies the authority to hold in confidence all inventina disclusines whether made by small bus, wess, els, vens, tres on Not. Under A-1214, the agencies are directed NOT to direlose to 310 panties presunt to FOIA ady invention disclusing for a neasurable time to permit the filing of patent applications. We think this is and important contractor night which should against agency abuse in discussions with G.A.O. whether some agencies are abusing the use of the "exceptional cincomstance" exception of 96-517. Since Schmitt + Gatel provide En this exception We are taking the agency actions Man w (PA. hour ).



Al Ne've attempted to Nail down how the agencies should handle invent, ons made under estimate entered before the Act whereas quidance is left this authorizes the implementing quidance is Schmitt necks the Act whereas network whereas quidance is wheneas quidance is wheneas quidance is wheneas quidance is wheneas quidance whereas quidance of site whereas quidance whereas quidance whereas quidance whereas quidance is so was experiently in encountry of the quidance of a quidance is for apply the quidance of a quidance

e) The blee of manch-in is fightly cincumsen, bad by a due-process proced Ine which we will canny of the implementing quidance Be Schm. H.

F) Some Right to appeal within and agency has been provided when ownership Rights are affected. This will be carried into implanenting guidance for Schmith

TP. FARE Coverage for patents of

technical data rights (Letter to Reed)

The Wastenmereier - employed inventors Bill (4732)

continued with Garmet patent policy.