FOR CENTER USE ONLY
File #
Return to Client
Registered First Class
Security Storage

Submitted by: _		(Please print or typ	pe your name)	
			, et	
_		Street Ad	dress	
-	City [57-73]		State [74-75]	Zip [76-80]
Home Phone:		_		
1 .	Area Code			
Work Phone:				
	Area Code			

### PIES — III

PRELIMINARY INNOVATION EVALUATION SYSTEM

# CONFIDENTIAL INNOVATION REGISTRATION AND DISCLOSURE DOCUMENT

Revised Edition

CENTER FOR INNOVATION EVALUATION RESEARCH
2265 SHIELDS AVE.
EUGENE, OREGON 97405

© Copyright 1982 by Gerald G. Udell

# ABOUT THE CENTER FOR INNOVATION EVALUATION RESEARCH (CIER)

#### **TESTED EVALUATION SYSTEM**

• CIER uses the third generation of the *Preliminary Innovation Evaluation System* (PIES) developed by Dr. Gerald G. Udell at the University of Oregon under funding by the National Science Foundation. This system has been used to evaluate over 7,000 ideas received from throughout the U.S. and over 70 other countries.

#### **COMPLETE CONFIDENTIALITY**

- All evaluations are done in *strict confidence*. We won't discuss your disclosure with anyone without *your written permission*.
- If you don't like our confidential disclosure agreement, we'll use yours. If you have doubts, see your attorney.
- At your option (and cost), all materials you submit will be returned to you after evaluation. We will make no copies.

#### NO CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

• Some so-called evaluation services are only interested in signing you up for larger development fees. There is an *inescapable* conflict of interest if a business does *both* evaluation *and* development on an up-front fee basis. We avoid this by doing *only* evaluations.

#### **DEVELOPMENT REFERRAL SERVICE**

• We maintain relations with a number of public and private venture capital, technology transfer and management and technical assistance programs in the U.S. and abroad. If your invention passes our evaluation, we'll refer you at no cost to those we think may be able to help you.

Policy changed at client request. Business & technology transfer assistance is now available, but is limited to top 15-20% of evaluations.

#### **FULL DISCLOSURE EVALUATIONS**

• Some "evaluation services" are bogus. They may tell you that only 1 or 2 out of every 100 ideas make it. That's true. But beware of anyone who won't tell you in writing how many ideas they accept and how many they reject. Historically, less than 15% have passed the PIES Evaluation. But to get more specific, we will tell you how you rank compared to a random sample of the over 6,000 evaluations done at the University of Oregon using earlier versions of the PIES system.

#### **FEEDBACK**

• In the tradition of the PIES evaluation service, our program is designed to give you as much *feedback* as possible. As soon as you send us your disclosure we will send you a copy of the *Guide to Invention* and *Innovation Evaluation* which is specifically designed to help you understand the innovation process and the implications of your evaluation. We recommend you *study it* while waiting for your evaluation report.

#### FEE STRUCTURE

• The fee for our basic service—a preliminary commercial feasibility analysis—is  $$\frac{75^{\circ\circ}}{}$ . If you wish a preliminary technical feasibility analysis, there is an additional fee of  $$\frac{75^{\circ\circ}}{}$ . Technical evaluations are normally not necessary for non-technical ideas and inventions. Don't spend the money for the technical evaluation unless you need it.

#### **TIME REQUIRED**

• In many cases we will be able to get back to you within two weeks. But we won't promise it. Expect commercial evaluations within 30 days. Technical evaluations may take up to 60 days.

# CONFIDENTIAL INVENTION DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT

Date .....

TO:	Center for Innovation Evaluation Research 2265 Shields Avenue Eugene, Oregon 97405	
Rese	osed is a description and other materials, of my idea for registra earch (hereinafter called the Center). After your review, I understantial for my idea. I understand that:	
	The Center will keep my disclosure confidential. Staff and evaluator isclosure agreement with the Center stating that they will keep m	
fe	Furthermore, the Center hereby agrees, covenants and contracts no for profitable gain, the suggestion, invention and/or idea hereby prior written permission is obtained from the person(s) signing this	y disclosed by the below-named inventor unles
	any assistance beyond this initial evaluation is provided at the option of the first the first interest and the availability of Center staff and resources.	ion of the Center and will depend upon the meri
	Materials submitted herewith or in the future in connection with my or returned to me at my option and my expense. After you have fi Keep my disclosure on file for a period of one year.  Destroy my disclosure.  Return to me at my expense. Please return my disclosure by First Class Mail (\$1.50 is enclosed)  Registered Mail (\$3.00 is enclosed)	finished my evaluation please: (check one)
THE	NAME OF MY IDEA IS:	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ENC	ve carefully read this and the enclosed Registration form and und CLOSED is my check (or money order) made payable to the Cer ng my idea named above. I understand the fee for a commercial	enter for Innovation Evaluation Research for sub
	nig my idea named above. I understand the fee for a commercial nical evaluation is available for an <i>additional</i> fee of \$	in leastomity arialysis is \$ and that a
	(signature	e)
I des	ire a: Commercial Evaluation Technical Evaluation	(Please print or type your name here)
		Street Address
	Phone:	City State Zip
	rnone:	Area

#### **INVENTION DISCLOSURE**

Please give a detailed description of your invention or innovation. Include information on the size of the device, materials, uses, and so forth. Attach any photographs you may have, but DO NOT SEND ANY PROTOTYPES UNTIL WE REQUEST THEM.

(Please describe your invention in both a technical and nontechnical manner. Use the space below for nontechnical description, attach technical description on separate page.)

	iception of your idea. A D			SARY.
		·		
On this	day of	, 19	, before me,	
Notary Public, p (or proved to m	personally appeared e on the oath of			
Witness my ha	nd and official seal.			
County of	n evnires		<u> </u>	

#### **INNOVATION INFORMATION**

PLEASE fill out this form accurately and completely. This information is necessary for the evaluation of your invention. For your protection, do not send original documents; copies only, please.

1. DEVELOPMENT STATUS	b:		
A. I currently have			
Idea only			
Rough Sketches	and/or diagramsEn	closed	
Finished, worki	ng drawingsEn	closed	
Photographs	En	closed	
Copies of drawing	gs and photographs would l	oe helpful for evaluation-	—no prototypes please.
B. PROTOTYPE AVAILA	BILITY	•	
No prototype			
Functional mod	el or prototype		
Market-ready p	ototype		
(If you	ı have a model or prototype	e, please enclose a photo	ograph of it.)
The prototype is available, if	requested Yes N	lo	
1	DO NOT SEND PROTOT	YPE UNLESS REQUE	STED
2. LEGAL PROTECTION: I	currently have		
NO protection			
A PATENT Nu	mber	Issue Date	Copy Attached
a patent applied for	Application Date		
a Preliminary paten	t search Date of search	Copy of	findings attached
a Disclosure Docum	ent with U.S. Patent Office	Date	
COPYRIGHT Issu	e Date	COPYRIGHT num	nber
notarized records of	invention		
3. PRODUCT TESTING: Te	sting which has already bee	n conducted includes:	
none			
functional testing	conducted by self	other	
user testing	conducted by self _	other	
market testing	conducted by self	other	
product safety testing	conducted by self	other	

l. MA	ARKET INFORMATION: (Please be complete, as t	his information is extremely in	
Α.	Current competition—Please list existing products	or processes that do a similar	job.
B.	Competitive advantages—Why is your innovation most important advantages in order of importance		
C.	Projected Market—Who will use your invention?		portance, i.e., Number 1 equal
	1. Major users:		
	2. Possible other users:		
D.	Previous Marketing effort—If a previous attempt lowing information (please include complete result Date(s) of marketing effort	has been made to sell your lts):	
	Location of marketing effort		
	Number sold Selling price		
	Manufactured by		
	Reason for discontinuing marketing effort. Please	list reasons in order of impor	tance.
5. PI	RODUCT COST (Please include accurate source i	nformation, including telepho	ne numbers and addresses.)
	Estimated product costs:		
	Materials (per unit)	Date of estimate	Source
	Labor (per unit)	Date of estimate	Source
	Manufacturing equipment (dies, molds, etc.	Date of estimate	Source
	(If additional space is needed, please use separate	e sheet.)	

7.	URTHER DEVELOPMENT NEEDED: Please indicate in what order the items in each section are important to $ou$ , i.e., Number 1 equals most important, Number 2 equals second most important.
	A. RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT  determining technical feasibility  researching manufacturability  obtaining cost information  analyzing customer acceptance and use patterns  prototype development
	B. NEW OR ONGOING VENTURE
	locating a manufacturer locating a distributor locating a new or expanded market preparing a marketing plan preparing a business plan locating a source of venture capital
	C. LICENSING OR SALE OF INVENTION
	locating a buyer for outright sale of invention
	licensing, manufacturing and marketing for a royalty
8.	PLEASE STATE YOUR SPECIFIC NEEDS IF NOT COVERED BY QUESTION 7.

FROM THE
CENTER FOR INNOVATION EVALUATION RESEARCH
2265 SHIELDS AVENUE
EUGENE, OREGON 97405
DR. GERALD G. UDELL, Director

TO:

### CENTER FOR INNOVATION EVALUATION RESEARCH

## INNOVATION EVALUATION REPORT

PREPARED FOR:

j		Project no.	·
Instructions:  This report summarizes outling questions about these criter and Invention Evaluation before writing so that they may be gitter.	e contacting the Center. If you	we suggest you refer to the G have any questions, please p	luide for Innovation
SOCIETAL FACTOR  1. LEGALITY CRITERION  product standards, this ide	: In terms of applicable laws	(particularly product liabil	lity), regulations,
might not meet them, e might require substant might require modest r might require minor ch will meet them withou	even if changed ial revision to meet them evision nanges		DK
2. SAFETY CRITERION: C  wery unsafe, even when unsafe under reasonab melatively safe for caref safe when used as inter wery safe under all cond	used as intended oly foreseeable circumstances ul, instructed users aded, with no foreseeable hazards		ll be:DKNA
have no effect on the have no effect on the	regulations or have dangerous en ect on the environment environment if properly used nvironment		use of naturalDKNA
( have a positive impact	on the chanolingue		•

4.	SOCIETAL IMPACT CRITERION: In terms of the impact (benefit) upon the general v of society, use might	velfare
r. ion	have substantial negative effect have some negative effect	DK
Your Invention	have no effect if properly used have no effect on society have a positive benefit to society	NA
'nľ	JSINESS RISK FACTOR:	-
	FUNCTIONAL FEASIBILITY CRITERION: In terms of intended functions, will it actual it is intended to do?	lly do what
E	the concept is not sound; cannot be made to work it won't work now, but might be modified	
Your Invention	it will work but major changes might be needed it will work but minor changes might be needed	DK
	(it will work — no changes necessary	NA
	PRODUCTION FEASIBILITY CRITERION: With regard to technical processes or equired for production, this invention will	iipment
co	be impossible to produce now or in the foreseeable future be very difficult to produce	DK
Your Invention	be very difficult to produce have some problems which can be overcome have only minor problems have no problems	NA
7.	STAGE OF DEVELOPMENT CRITERION: Based on available information, there is .	
ur tion	only an idea with drawings and/or description; no prototype a rough prototype which demonstrates the concept but is not fully developed and tested	DK
Your Invention	a rough prototype which demonstrates the concept but is not fully developed and tested  a rough prototype with performance and safety testing completed  a final prototype with testing completed; however, minor changes might be needed  a market-ready prototype	NA
8.	INVESTMENT COSTS CRITERION: The amount of capital and other costs necessary development to the market-ready stage would be	tor
ır ion	greater than returns – should be dropped  excessive – might not be recoverable	DK
Your Invention	heavy — probably recoverable moderate — recoverable within five years low — recoverable within two years	NA
9.	PAYBACK PERIOD CRITERION: The expected payback period (time required to recoinitial investment) is likely to be	ver
Ę	over 10 years7 to 10 years	DK
Your Invention	4 to 6 years1 to 3 years	NA
	( less than one year	

10. PROFITABILITY CRITERION: Profitability is defined as the extent to which anticrevenues will cover the relevant costs (direct, indirect, and capital). Anticipated revenues	
/might not cover any of the relevant costs	
might cover direct costs but contribute minimally to indirect and capital costs (ROI) might cover direct and indirect costs but might not meet capital costs (ROI) might cover direct and indirect costs and meet minimum capital costs (ROI)	DK
might cover direct and indirect costs but might not meet capital costs (ROI)	374
might cover direct and indirect costs and meet minimum capital costs (ROI)  will cover direct and indirect costs and easily exceed capital cost (ROI)	NA
(ROI)	•
11. MARKETING RESEARCH CRITERION: The marketing research required to demarket-ready product is estimated to be:	velop a
extremely difficult and complex	
relatively difficult and complex moderately difficult relatively easy and simple	DK
moderately difficult	***
relatively easy and simplevery simple and straightforward	NA
very shiple and straightforward	•
12. RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CRITERION: The research and development to reach the production-ready stage will be	required
extremely difficult and complex	-
relatively difficult and complex	DK
Complex   Comp	***
relatively easy and simplevery simple and straightforward	NA
(	
DEMAND ANALYSIS FACTOR	
13. POTENTIAL MARKET CRITERION: The total market for products of this type	might be:
very small – very specialized or local in nature	
small – relatively specialized or regional in nature medium – limited national market large – broad national market	<b>DK</b>
medium - limited national market	27.1
large – broad national marketvery large – extensive national and possible international market	<b>NA</b>
(	
14. POTENTIAL SALES CRITERION: Expected sales of this product might be:	-
/very small	-
	DK
small medium large	
	NA
very large	
15. TREND OF DEMAND CRITERION: The market demand for products of this ty to be:	ype appears
rapidly declining - product might soon become obsolete	
declining declining	DK
declining steady — demand expected to remain constant growing slowly	
	NA
(rapidly expanding	

16. STABILITY OF DEMAND CRITERION: The fluctuation in demand is likely to be:  /highly unstable - subject to severe unpredictable fluctuations	
4	DK
unstable — susceptible to moderate unpredictable fluctuations predictable stable — variations can be accurately foreseen	NA
highly stable — not susceptible to fluctuations	NA
17. PRODUCT LIFE CYCLE CRITERION: The product life cycle is likely to be:	
less than two years two to four years	DK
two to four years  five to seven years  eight to ten years	
Eight to ten years	NA
more than ten years	
18. PRODUCT LINE POTENTIAL CRITERION: The potential for additional products,	multiple
styles, qualities, price ranges, etc., is:	munpic
very limited - single product only	20.27
limited to minor modifications only moderate — multiple markets/use potential high — new product spin-offs likely	D <b>K</b>
high – new product spin-offs likely	NA
very high - could be foundation of a new industry	
	•
MARKET ACCEPTANCE FACTOR	£ :a.
19. COMPATIBILITY CRITERION: Compatibility with existing attitudes and methods o	r use is:
very low – will block market acceptance low – some conflict; will slow market acceptance	DK
low - some contlict; will slow market acceptance   moderate - no negative effects   high - compatibility will aid marketing effort	
moderate — no negative effects high — compatibility will aid marketing effort wery high — will give market acceptance a strong boost	NA
(very high will give market acceptance a strong boost	
20. LEARNING CRITERION: The amount of learning required for correct use is:	
/very high — expensive and/or time consuming training required	
high – detailed instructions required	DK
high — detailed instructions required — moderate — normal instructions sufficient for most users — low — minimal instructions needed	NA
very low – no instructions needed	
21. NEED CRITERION: The level of need filled or utility provided by this innovation	15:
very low – gimmick soon forgotten by the owner  low – would only superficially fulfill psychological non-essential needs	DK
low — would only superficially fulfill psychological non-essential needs  moderate — fulfills both psychological and physical non-essential needs  high — fulfills either basic psychological or physical needs  very high — fulfills both psychological and physical needs	
high – fulfills either basic psychological or physical needs	NA
Cvery mgn - tunns both psychological and physical needs	
22. DEPENDENCE CRITERION: The degree to which the sale or use of this product is de	pendent
upon other products, processes or systems is:	L
/very high — no market control	Y~ T/
high – little market control moderate – reasonable market control low – strong market control	DK
Section   Sect	NA
very low complete market control	

·		
10. PROFITABILITY CRITERION: Profitability is revenues will cover the relevant costs (direct, ind		
/might not cover any of the relevant costs		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	y to indirect and capital costs (ROI)	DK
한 불 ረmight cover direct and indirect costs but might no	ot meet capital costs (ROI)	
	inimum capital costs (ROI)	NA
will cover direct and indirect costs and easily exce	eed capital cost (ROI)	•
11. MARKETING RESEARCH CRITERION: The market-ready product is estimated to be:	e marketing research required to de	evelop a
· -		
extremely difficult and complex		47. 49
relatively difficult and complex  moderately difficult  moderately easy and simple		DK
moderately difficult relatively easy and simple		NA
very simple and straightforward		**************************************
(		•
10 DECEMBER AND DESIGN OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONTRO		
12. RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CRITER	ION: The research and development	t <b>requir</b> ed
to reach the production-ready stage will be		•
extremely difficult and complex	•	
relatively difficult and complex		DK
relatively difficult and complex moderately difficult relatively easy and simple		
relatively easy and simple		NA
very simple and straightforward		
DEMAND ANALYSIS FACTOR		
13. POTENTIAL MARKET CRITERION: The to	otal market for products of this tun	e might he
	sur market for products of tills typ	o might be.
very small - very specialized or local in nature		
small - relatively specialized or regional in natu	ıre	DK
small – relatively specialized or regional in natural market limited national market limited national market		<b>37</b> Å
large — broad national marketvery large — extensive national and possible inters	national market	NA
\ and possible internative national and possible intern	national market	
		-
14. POTENTIAL SALES CRITERION: Expected	sales of this product might be:	
(very small		<u></u>
		DK
word and the state of the state		
조 <u>출</u> )large		NA
. (very large		
•		
15 TREND OF DEMAND CRITERION, The	anket demand for must describe of the	tuno anno
15. TREND OF DEMAND CRITERION: The m to be:	arket demand for products of this	type appears
·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
rapidly declining – product might soon become of	bsolete	
declining		DK
steady – demand expected to remain constant growing slowly		· ****
growing slowly		NA

16. STABILITY OF DEMAND CRITERION: The fluctuation in d	emand is likely to be:
/highly unstable - subject to severe unpredictable fluctuations	
unstable – susceptible to moderate unpredictable fluctuations	DK
unstable — susceptible to moderate unpredictable fluctuations predictable stable — stable — variations can be accurately foreseen	
	NA
highly stable — not susceptible to fluctuations	
17. PRODUCT LIFE CYCLE CRITERION: The product life cycle	is likely to be:
less than two years	
two to four years	DK
two to four years  five to seven years  eight to ten years	NA
more than ten years	
18. PRODUCT LINE POTENTIAL CRITERION: The potential fo	r additional products, multiple
styles, qualities, price ranges, etc., is:	additional products, muniple
/very limited — single product only	
	D <b>K</b>
हैं हैं ८moderate – multiple markets/use potential	
high – new product spin-offs likely	NA
very high — could be foundation of a new industry	
MARKET ACCEPTANCE FACTOR	
19. COMPATIBILITY CRITERION: Compatibility with existing at	titudes and methods of use is:
/very low - will block market acceptance	•
low — some conflict; will slow market acceptance	DK
low — some conflict; will slow market acceptance  moderate — no negative effects  high — compatibility will aid marketing effort	
high – compatibility will aid marketing effort	NA
very high - will give market acceptance a strong boost	
CO AND MINIS CRITERION TO	
20. LEARNING CRITERION: The amount of learning required f	or correct use is:
wery high — expensive and/or time consuming training requiredhigh — detailed instructions required	DK
moderate – normal instructions sufficient for most users	
high – detailed instructions required moderate – normal instructions sufficient for most users low – minimal instructions needed	NA
very low – no instructions needed	
21. NEED CRITERION: The level of need filled or utility provid-	ed by this innovation is:
very low gimmick soon forgotten by the owner	•
	sDK
low — would only superficially fulfill psychological non-essential need moderate — fulfills both psychological and physical non-essential need high — fulfills either basic psychological or physical needs	ds
high – fulfills either basic psychological or physical needs	NA
\very high - fulfills both psychological and physical needs	
22. DEPENDENCE CRITERION: The degree to which the sale or us	se of this product is dependent
upon other products, processes or systems is:	
very high—no market control	DK
high – little market control  moderate – reasonable market control	
high — little market control  moderate — reasonable market control  low — strong market control	NA
very low – complete market control	

23.	VISIBILITY GRITERION. The advantages and benefits are.	
5	very obscure – very difficult and/or costly to communicate  obscure – requires substantial explanation	DK
Your Invention	visible — requires some explanation	37.4
É	visible – easily communicatedvery visible – might generate word of mouth communication	NA
	(	
24.	PROMOTION CRITERION: The costs and effort required to promote the advantages, for and benefits are likely to be:	eatures,
	/very high - prohibitive in relation to expected sales	
r 10u	high relative to expected salesmoderate — commensurate with expected saleslow relative to expected sales	DK
You	moderate - commensurate with expected sales   moderate - commensurate with expected sales	NA
111	very low relative to expected sales	
25.	DISTRIBUTION CRITERION: The cost and difficulty of establishing distribution chare likely to be:	annels
	/very high - prohibitive in relation to expected sales	
ır tion	high relative to expected sales	DK
Your Invention		NA
_	wery low relative to expected sales	
26.	SERVICE CRITERION: The cost and difficulty associated with providing product serv likely to be:	ice is
	/very high - will require frequent service and parts	
ur ition	high — will need periodic service and parts	DK
Your Invention	moderate - will need occasional service and partslow - need for service and parts will be infrequent	NA
	very low - will require little or no parts and service	
CC	OMPETITIVE FACTOR:	•
27.	APPEARANCE CRITERION: Relative to competition and/or substitutes, appearance	is likely
	to be perceived as:	•
	very inferior – no customer appeal	
ur	inferior – little customer appeal	DK
Your Invention	similar to other productssuperior — has customer appeal	NA
	very superior — has strong customer appeal	
28	FUNCTION CRITERION: Relative to competing and/or substitute products, services	or processe
,	the function performed might be perceived as:	
	very inferior	DV.
Your Invention	inferior – offers no improvement similar – not noticeably better	DK
Inve	superior — a noticeable improvement	NA
	very superior — a major improvement	•
29.	DURABILITY CRITERION: Relative to competition and/or substitutes, durability of t	his product
	is likely to be perceived as:	To Product
	/very inferior - a definite competitive disadvantage	
ur tion	inferior - cannot be promoted as an improvement	DK
Your Invention	similar – not noticeably bettersuperior – easily promoted as a major improvement	AT A
-	very superior	NA

30. PRICE CRIT likely to be:	ERION: Relative to con	mpetition and/or sul	ostitute products, the se	lling price is
higher — about the lower — a	gher — a definite competitiv a competitive disadvantage e same a competitive advantage wer — an important compet			DK
31. EXISTING C to be:	COMPETITION CRIT	ERION: Existing con	mpetition for this inno	vation appears
high – o moderate low – a s	n — new entry will be difficul nly a small market share can e — market penetration can b significant market share can b — market dominance possibl	be gained be gained with reasonable be gained	c effort and expense	DK
32. NEW COMP	ETITION CRITERIO	N: Competition from	n new entrants or comp	petitive reaction
high — p moderate low — pr	n — product lead will be very roduct lead will be relatively e — market share can be main oduct lead will be relatively — a strong chance to sustain	short ntained long		DK
	ON CRITERION: Cons for protection appear to		copyrights), technical di	fficulty or secrecy,
no legal	protection or secrecy possible protection but some secrecy legal protection but some se- patented, copyrighted and/ nitely be patented, copyrighted	might be possible crecy might be possible	sible crecy possible	DK
	nion the likelihood of this			ne marketplace is:
it will definitely not be successful	it will probably not be successful	it may be successful	it will probably be successful	it will definitely be successful
	į.	• 1		4

it will definitely it will probably it may be successful successful be s

In comparison to a random sample of over 6,000 inventions using the PIES procedure. Your score ranks in the \_\_\_\_\_\_ percentile. Our experience indicates that inventions receiving a score below \_\_\_\_\_ may be risky ventures. Further investment in the development of your invention (IS/IS NOT) RECOMMENDED. Thank you for permitting us to serve you.