BROWDY AND NEIMARK

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

PATENT AND TRADEMARK CAUSES

SUITE 300

419 SEVENTH STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20004

TELEPHONE (202)-628-5197

August 11, 1995

TELECOPIER FACSIMILE (GROUPS I, II, & III)

(202) 737-3528 (202) 393-1012

TELEX: 248633

SENIOR COUNSEL ALVIN BROWDY

PATENT AGENT ALLEN C. YUN, PH.D.

Mr. Ronald Cansler KETEMA INC. Special Filaments Division 8335 Telegraph Road Odenton, MD 21113

PRIVILEGED LEGAL OPINION

Re:

Infringement Review Relating to Use and/or Sale of Honeycomb Bristles in the USA HONEYCOMB BRUSH BRISTLES AND BRUSH...

Our reference: CANSLER 3

Dear Ron:

SHERIDAN NEIMARK

ROGER L. BROWDY

ANNE M. KORNBAU NORMAN J. LATKER

NICK BROMER*

OF COUNSEL IVER P. COOPER

A. FRED STAROBIN

As I indicated to you in our report of February 13, 1995, on the above identified subject, I was not fully certain whether claim 1 of the Nakashima patent could be read on KETEMA's honeycomb brush bristle without reviewing the file history of the Nakashima patent.

Summary Opinion

After careful review of that file history, it is our opinion¹ that the Nakashima patent 4,559,268 presents no problem of infringement with respect to the manufacture, sale or use in the U.S.A. of the KETEMA honeycomb brush bristle.

Infringement Analysis

As previously indicated, the Nakashima USP 4,559,268 relates to a brush filament which appears to have a plurality of hollow cavities when viewed in cross-section. The single independent claim reads as follows:

1. A filament for brushmaking made of a thermoplastic polymer and having a plurality of cavities or hollow structures, a lateral

Please be advised that our opinion is not a guarantee. The patent law in the U.S.A. is complex and is not consistently applied by patent examiners or the courts, or even by patent attorneys. Consequently, there is no certainty, if suit were to be filed, that the Court or Jury would agree with our opinion.