

Mr. Alberto Gianelli  
Page 2  
May 3, 1995

the desired air pressure of  
the inflated tire;

said inflator comprising  
first(I) and second(II) air  
flow circuits,

each having an inlet  
end(IE, IE<sub>2</sub>) for communicating  
with a discharge side of the  
device and an outlet end(OE,  
OE<sub>2</sub>),

the outlet end(OE<sub>2</sub>) of  
said second flow circuit being  
adapted to communicate with an  
inlet valve on the pneumatic  
tire;

the first circuit(I)  
includes a manually adjustable  
regulator means (R) having an  
inlet communicating with the  
first circuit inlet end(IE)  
and an outlet(R<sub>2</sub>),

said regulator means(R)  
being preset whereby the air  
pressure at the outlet(R<sub>2</sub>)  
thereof substantially

inflator with an air source  
having an air pressure greater  
than the desired air pressure  
of the inflated tire.

The client's inflator has  
a first air flow circuit shown  
in blue and second air flow  
circuit shown in red in the  
attached figure.

Each of the client's air  
flow circuits has an inlet end  
(16, 18) in communication with  
a discharge side of the device  
and an outlet end (17, 19).  
Here it is assumed that any  
point of discharge is a  
discharge side of the device.

The outlet end (19) of  
the second flow circuit is  
adapted to communicate with an  
inlet valve on a pneumatic  
tire.

The client's inflator  
includes a regulator 8 in the  
first circuit. The regulator  
8 has an inlet (17) and an  
outlet (20) communicating with  
the first circuit inlet end  
(18). The client indicates  
that regulator 8 has "a hole"  
for excess of flow which was  
not shown on the submitted  
drawings but for purposes of  
review is shown as element  
(20) in the attached figure.  
The client indicates that the  
regulator is manually  
adjustable.

If the client's regulator can  
be manually adjusted as  
presumed, it can be preset.  
The client has indicated that

Mr. Alberto Gianelli  
Page 3  
May 3, 1995

corresponds to the desired  
tire air pressure,

a pressure sensitive  
first valve means (11) biased  
to normally assume an open  
position and provided with a  
first inlet(11a) communicating  
with the outlet( $R_2$ ) of said  
regulator means (R),

an outlet(11b) adapted to  
normally communicate with said  
first inlet,

a second inlet(11c)  
segregated from said first  
inlet(11a) and said  
outlet(11b),

and pressure responsive  
means(11d) for effecting  
interruption of the  
communication between said  
first inlet(11a) and said  
outlet(11b) when there is a  
predetermined pressure at said  
second inlet(11c) overcoming  
the opening bias,

and an air accumulator  
means(12) communicating with  
the outlet(11b) of said first  
valve means(11) and being  
charged with air flowing from  
the outlet(11b) of said first  
valve means(11),

the final pressure at which  
the device shuts off (pressure  
in the tire) is a function of  
the outlet pressure of the  
regulator 8 which therefore  
corresponds to the desired  
tire air pressure.

The client's first valve  
I is normally open and does  
have a first inlet (21) from  
pipe 4 which communicates with  
the outlet (20) of regulator  
8.

The client's valve I does  
have an outlet (22) to pipe 7  
which normally communicates  
with the first inlet (21) from  
pipe 4.

The client's valve I does  
have a second inlet (23) from  
pipe 1 which is segregated  
from the first inlet (21) from  
pipe 4 and the outlet (22) to  
pipe 7.

The client's valve I has  
an unnumbered diaphragm which  
is pressure responsive but  
does not interrupt  
communication between said  
first inlet (21) from pipe 4  
and the outlet (22) to pipe 7  
when there is a predetermined  
pressure at the second inlet  
from pipe 1.

The client's inflator  
does not have an air  
accumulator communicating with  
outlet (22).

Mr. Alberto Gianelli  
Page 4  
May 3, 1995

said accumulator means(12) having an outlet(12b) communicating with the first circuit outlet end(OE);

said second circuit means including a pressure sensitive second valve means(13) biased to normally assume a closed position and provided with a first inlet (13a) communicating with the second circuit inlet end(IE<sub>2</sub>),

a second inlet (13b) communicating with the first circuit outlet end(OE<sub>1</sub>),

an outlet(13c) communicating with the second circuit outlet end(OE<sub>2</sub>),

and a pressure responsive means(13d) for effecting opening of said second valve means(13) and communication between the first inlet(13a) and outlet(13c) thereof when the air pressure at the second inlet(13b) overcome the closing bias,

and the second inlet(11c) of said first valve means(11) and the outlet end(OE<sub>2</sub>) of said second circuit being in continuous communication with

The client's inflator has no accumulator having an outlet communicating with the first circuit outlet end.

The second circuit of the client's inflator has a second valve IV with a first inlet (17) communicating with the second circuit inlet end (18). However, this valve IV is normally open not closed.

Valve IV does have a second inlet (25) communicating with the outlet end of pipe 4 of circuit 1 but there is no air accumulator between the first valve and second valve as claimed in the patent.

Valve IV does not appear to have an outlet communicating with the second circuit outlet end.

Valve IV does have an unnumbered diaphragm which is pressure responsive and is normally open. But it does not permit communication between first inlet and outlet thereof because there is no such outlet. This valve is normally open not closed and a certain air pressure from the second inlet would open the valve from a closed position.

The second inlet (23) of said first valve means I is not in continuous communication with the outlet end (26) of the second circuit

Mr. Alberto Gianelli

Page 5

May 3, 1995

one another whereby the pressure responsive means (11d) of said first valve means (11) is responsive directly to air pressure within the inflated pneumatic tire.

in the client's inflator. Instead, the pressure responsive means of the first valve means I when closed prevents communication between second inlet (23) and the outlet (26) of the second circuit.

There appears to be no literal infringement by the device of the sketch in regard to claim 1, the only independent claim.

#### Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents

There is a chance that the device of the sketch could be found to infringe the McAnally patent through doctrine of equivalents. Under this doctrine, infringement can be found regardless of the literal meaning of the claims if the accused device performs the same function, in the same way, to get the same result. We note however that this doctrine has considerably eroded in recent years to the point that very few infringements have been declared under the doctrine. The function for both devices is to control the flow of air from a source of pressurized air into a tire to be inflated. It could be argued that the way this function is achieved is the same or at least similar.

However, there are several factors against a finding of equivalence. First, the client's inflator does not rely on the use of an air accumulator as required in McAnally. In addition, neither McAnally's first or second valve works in the same sequence as the client's valves. The diaphragm of the client's first valve does not interrupt communication between inlet (21) and outlet (22) as in McAnally. Further, the client's second valve is normally open not closed as in McAnally. Because of this it could be counterargued that the device of the sketch is not functionally equivalent to the McAnally device, and that therefore it does not perform the same function, leading away from any finding of infringement under the doctrine of equivalence.

This is further supported by the fact that the client's second inlet (23) in the first valve is not in continuous communication with the outlet end (26) of the client's second circuit. Indeed, the pressure responsive means of the client's first valve when closed prevents communication between second inlet (23) and the outlet (26) of the second circuit. This strongly suggests that the two inflators function on the basis of different principles.

Mr. Alberto Gianelli  
Page 6  
May 3, 1995

Because the other claims are dependent from claim 1, they can only be narrower still, and therefore under the doctrine of equivalence could likewise not be found infringed by the device of the sketch where claim 1 has not been found so infringed.

#### CONCLUSION

It appears that it is very unlikely that the device of the sketch would be found to infringe the claims of McAnally U.S. Patent 4,872,492, even if valid.

However, please note that this opinion must be considered as being preliminary because it was done without having considered the file history of U.S. Patent 4,872,492. A complete evaluation would require consideration of the file history, since patent claims are properly interpreted in light of the file history.

Furthermore, conclusions reached are based on information received from the client. If such information is not accurate or incomplete, the legal conclusion might be different.

Finally, we must advise that patent law is complex, and is not consistently applied by patent attorneys, by examiners or by the courts. Consequently, no guarantee can be made that the client will not be sued, or, if sued, that he will prevail, even if you have been advised that a patent claim is not infringed, or, if nominally infringed, that it is invalid or unenforceable.

Our debit note for services is attached. Our charges are much higher than estimated because we found after making a first comparison that we could not render an opinion without knowing how regulator 8 worked. Because the client's April 25 description of how the regulator worked was not consistent with the original descriptions, we needed to redo the comparison. Even so we have not charged for all the time involved. We apologize if this creates a problem with the client. If so, given our long standing relationship we would be open to a suggested adjustment.

Sincerely,

Norman J. Latker  
Managing Attorney

NJL:edg  
Enclosures  
f:\wp51\ekd\bugnion.opi