Re: * - USSN 07/* * Your Ref: * <u>Our Ref: *</u>

Dear *:

*

Nov. 22, 1993

),)

Enclosed herewith is a copy of the Examiner's / Final Official Action on the above-identified application.

As you will note, * We have hade NU of with the Grammen

permits an examiner to refuse any amendment of the claims apple cution intended to overcome cited prior art, which is generally what FUNMAZ should be expected when such amendments are offered However, amendments to overcome formal rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 are permissible. (w C

nstanding, you may stik

and claims For

ancoder

toffen such an an

Given the finality of the Examiner's action, we are left with the usual options:

File a response for purpose of putting the claims 1) netiling on NW custing tion application in better condition for appeal;

File a response without amending the claims to 2) overcome the prior art but rebutting the prior art rejection;

Refile the application as a continuation with new 3) claims (if necessary) to distinguish the invention from the cited prior art; or

> Abandon the invention in the U.S.A. 4)

In this case, we recommend pursuing option 2), as we believe the formal matters in the first paragraph of the Action can be easily resolved and because we believe the rejection on prior/art is not justified,

Brease the France i use of New Prish ant to Support his position we nequest your le FF we le ON how to proceed INS TRUCK OR trapez

A shortened statutory period for response has been set to expire in three months, i.e. the last day of the term will be *, unless the term is extended upon petition and payment of an appropriate late fee. As is usual in the case of final rejections, we recommend that if you wish to proceed, the response should be filed within only two months, namely by *. Since the Examiner is required to answer within ten days from a response, timely responses permit negotiating with the Examiner without incurring government extension fees. In order to enable us to have sufficient time to prepare and file a response, we would appreciate receiving your instructions by

<u>*</u>-

A debit memorandum for our services is also enclosed.

Sincerely

Norman J. Latker Managing Attorney

NJL:hrh Enclosure

بسيده بسديشين مكانيسانوسان والدوار

Re: * - USSN 07/* * Your Ref: * <u>Our Ref: *</u>

Dear *:

Enclosed herewith is a copy of the Examiner's * Final Official Action on the above-identified application.

As you will note, *		
	Contraction of the second s	
	and the second se	
	100 March 100	
	Sector Contraction	

WP

INSTRUCTIONS

en clain permits an examiner to refuse any amendment of the claims applecution intended to overcome cited prior art, which is generally what Funktoz should be expected when such amendments are offered. However, amendments to overcome formal rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 are permissible.

No tw. to standing, 405 may stra

Levelop the

wish toffen sigh an green

Given the finality of the Examiner's action, we are left with the usual options:

File a response for purpose of putting the claims 0n nefined $i\omega$ 1) CUNTINUA tion application in better condition for appeal;

File a response without amending the claims to 2) overcome the prior art but rebutting the prior art rejection;

Refile the application as a continuation with new 3) claims (if necessary) to distinguish the invention from the cited prior art; or

> Abandon the invention in the U.S.A. 4)

In this case, we recommend pursuing option 2), as we believe the formal matters in the first paragraph of the Action can be leasily resolved and because we believe the rejection on prior/art is not-justified Brassen the Frances ; use of Ant to Support his position have left we newsert your New Priva

10

15

Pleas

ON Kow to proceed

A shortened statutory period for response has been set to expire in three months, i.e. the last day of the term will be \pm , unless the term is extended upon petition and payment of an appropriate late fee. As is usual in the case of final rejections, we recommend that if you wish to proceed, the response should be filed within only two months, namely by \pm . Since the Examiner is required to answer within ten days from a response, timely responses permit negotiating with the Examiner without incurring government extension fees. In order to enable us to have sufficient time to prepare and file a response, we would appreciate receiving your instructions by

<u>*</u>.

and the second secon

A debit memorandum for our services is also enclosed.

Sincerely

Norman J. Latker Managing Attorney

NJL:hrh Enclosure

منابعة المرويين والمراجع

permits an examiner to refuse any amendment of the claims intended to overcome cited prior art, which is generally what should be expected when such amendments are offered. However, amendments to overcome formal rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 are permissible.

Given the finality of the Examiner's action, we are left with the usual options:

 File a response for purpose of putting the claims in better condition for appeal;

, 2) File a response <u>without</u> amending the claims to overcome the prior art but rebutting the prior art rejection;

3) Refile the application as a continuation with new claims (if necessary) to distinguish the invention from the cited prior art; or

4) Abandon the invention in the U.S.A.

In this case, we recommend pursuing option 2), as we believe the formal matters in the first paragraph of the Action can be easily resolved and because we believe the rejection on prior art is not justified.

Re: * - USSN 07/* * Your Ref: * <u>Our Ref: *</u>

Dear *:

Enclosed herewith is a copy of the Examiner's * Final Official Action on the above-identified application.

As you will note, *_

	-				 1997 - 1997 1997 - 1997 - 1997 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1					4 19-1					
* . . * .		in ea Dathair									y trus trus a				
	- 							1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997							
	· ·			1.					· · · ·						
		· · ·					 	_				 		and the second se	-
	, . 		•											Addressed	To r Sar
		1.		÷. •										 and the second	
						•							•	 As of contrast of the second se	
· .				·				· · ·				•	· .	and the second se	 -

permits an examiner to refuse any amendment of the claims intended to overcome cited prior art, which is generally what should be expected when such amendments are offered. However, amendments to overcome formal rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 are permissible.

Given the finality of the Examiner's action, we are left with the usual options:

 File a response for purpose of putting the claims in better condition for appeal;

 File a response <u>without</u> amending the claims to overcome the prior art but rebutting the prior art rejection;

3) Refile the application as a continuation with new claims (if necessary) to distinguish the invention from the cited prior art; or

4) Abandon the invention in the U.S.A.

In this case, we recommend pursuing option 2), as we believe the formal matters in the first paragraph of the Action can be easily resolved and because we believe the rejection on prior art is not justified.

A shortened statutory period for response has been set to expire in three months, i.e. the last day of the term will be *, unless the term is extended upon petition and payment of an appropriate late fee. As is usual in the case of final rejections, we recommend that if you wish to proceed, the response should be filed within only two months, namely by *. Since the Examiner is required to answer within ten days from a response, timely responses permit negotiating with the Examiner without incurring government extension fees. In order to enable us to have sufficient time to prepare and file a response, we would appreciate receiving your instructions by

A debit memorandum for our services is also enclosed.

Sincerely

Norman J. Latker Managing Attorney

NJL:hrh Enclosure

> مرد می مربق می ورد و در منطق می است. مسلمان می مربق میکی می وست اور در مربق

Re: * - USSN 07/* * Your Ref: * <u>Our Ref: *</u>

Dear *:

م محمد من الروان

4

Enclosed herewith is a copy of the Examiner's * Final Official Action on the above-identified application.

As you will note, *_____

permits an examiner to refuse any amendment of the claims intended to overcome cited prior art, which is generally what should be expected when such amendments are offered. However, amendments to overcome formal rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 are permissible.

Given the finality of the Examiner's action, we are left with the usual options:

 File a response for purpose of putting the claims in better condition for appeal;

2) File a response <u>without</u> amending the claims to overcome the prior art but rebutting the prior art rejection;

3) Refile the application as a continuation with new claims (if necessary) to distinguish the invention from the cited prior art; or

4) Abandon the invention in the U.S.A.

In this case, we recommend pursuing option 2), as we believe the formal matters in the first paragraph of the Action can be easily resolved and because we believe the rejection on prior art is not justified.