

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
PATENT AND TRADEMARK CAUSES

SHERIDAN NEIMARK
ROGER L. BROWDY

ANNE M. KORNBAU
NORMAN J. LATKER

OF COUNSEL
IVER P. COOPER
A. FRED STAROBIN
PATENT AGENT
C. KEVIN TOWNSEND

SUITE 300
419 SEVENTH STREET, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20004
TELEPHONE (202)-628-5197

TELECOPIER FACSIMILE
(GROUPS I, II, & III)
(202) 737-3528
(202) 393-1012

TELEX 248633

SENIOR COUNSEL
ALVIN BROWDY
TECHNICAL ADVISER
ALLEN C. YUN, PH.D.

November 8, 1993

INTERNATIONALT PATENT-BUREAU
Hoje Taastrup Boulevard 23
DK 2630 Taastrup
DANMARK

Re: JOHANNESSEN - USSN 07/910,342
FLOOR DRAIN
Your reference: Bar/Aju/20813
Our reference: JOHANNESSEN-3

Dear Sirs:

Enclosed herewith is a copy of the Examiner's ~~October~~
~~27, 1993~~ Final Official Action on the above identified
application.

As you will note, we have made little, if any, progress
with the Examiner.

It is important for you to recognize that under U.S.
patent practice, an examiner can, at his discretion, preclude
further prosecution of the claims after a final rejection. This
permits and examiner to refuse any amendment of the claims
intended to overcome cited prior art, which is generally what
should be expected when such amendments are offered.
Notwithstanding, you may still wish to offer such an amendment, to
develop amended claims for a continuation application and the
because there is some possibility they may be allowed. Further,
amendments to overcome formal rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 are
permissible.

Given the finality of the Examiner's action, we are left
with the usual options:

- 1) File a response for purpose of putting the claims
in better condition for appeal or refiling in a
continuation application;
- 2) File a response without amending the claims to
overcome the prior art but rebutting the prior art
rejection;

- 3) Refile the application as a continuation with new claims (if necessary) to distinguish the invention from the cited prior art; or
- 4) Abandon the invention in the U.S.A.

Because of the number of the prior art cited, we have not analyzed the rejection. If you wish us to analyze the cited art please advise.

A shortened statutory period for response has been set to expire in three months, i.e. the last day of the term will be January 27, 1994, unless the term is extended upon petition and payment of an appropriate late fee. As is usual in the case of final rejections, we recommend that if you wish to proceed, the response should be filed within only two months, namely by December 27, 1993. Since the Examiner is required to answer within ten days from a response, timely responses permit negotiating with the Examiner without incurring government extension fees. In order to enable us to have sufficient time to prepare and file a response, we would appreciate receiving your instructions by

December 15, 1993.

A debit memorandum for our services is also enclosed.

Sincerely,

Norman J. Latker
Attorney Director

NJL:ekd
Enclosures