

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY.'S DOCKET: LEE=65

In re Application of:	)	Art Unit: 1761
LEE	)	Examiner: SIMONE
Appln. No.: 09/068,892	)	Washington, D.C.
Filed: May 22, 1998	)	February 23, 1999
For: POT HAVING AUTOMATIC	)	
SEALING FUNCTION	)	

AMENDMENT

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Examiner's November 12, 1998,  
Official Action, please amend as follows:

IN THE SPECIFICATION

Please delete the original specification and substitute therefor the attached new specification under the authority to voluntarily file substitute specifications provided by Section 608.01(a) of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure.

IN THE CLAIMS

Please change "Claims:" to --What is Claimed:--.

Please cancel claims 1-9 without prejudice in favor of the following new claims 10-20:

assembly screw inserted into said tube hole to fix the lid to the knob, wherein said fixing hole communicates with an interior of the vessel.

--19. The pot according to claim 12 wherein said circular handle part and said open seat part are a unitary element.

--20. The pot according to claim 15, wherein said lid, said inverse outflow cut-off flange, said projection jaw and said insert flute are a unitary element--.

#### THE ABSTRACT

Attached herewith please find an abstract on single page for insertion behind the claims.

#### REMARKS

Applicant has carefully reviewed the Examiner's November 12, 1998, Official Action and respectfully requests reconsideration based on the above amendments and the following comments.

Claims 1-9 have been cancelled in favor of new claims 10-20 which remain in the application for examination.

Because of the number of changes necessary to the specification in order to meet the Examiner's requested cooperation in corrected errors thereto and the disclosure informal arrangement, Applicant has elected to submit as substitute specification as permitted under section 608.01(a) of

In re Appl. 09/008,852

the MPEP. Applicant has also enclosed a marked-up version of the original disclosure which reflects the differences between the original and substitute specifications. The undersigned avers that the substitute specification contains no new matter and reflects only the changes shown on the enclosed marked-up specification. Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner's objection to the specification has now been overcome.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his indication that claims 1-9 are allowable subject to overcoming his 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejection.

In response to the Examiner's objection to the introduction to the claims and rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, applicant has provided the suggested introduction and cancelled claims 1-9 in favor of new claims 10-20 which have been rewritten to eliminate each of the Examiner's identified problems.

Applicant submits that this rejection has now been overcome.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY.'S DOCKET: LEE=65

In re Application of:	)	Art Unit: 1761
LEE	)	Examiner: SIMONE
Appln. No.: 09/068,892	)	Washington, D.C.
Filed: May 22, 1998	)	February 23, 1999
For: POT HAVING AUTOMATIC	)	
SEALING FUNCTION	)	

AMENDMENT

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Examiner's November 12, 1998,  
Official Action, please amend as follows:

IN THE SPECIFICATION

Please delete the original specification and  
substitute therefor the attached new specification under the  
authority to voluntarily file substitute specifications provided  
by Section 608.01(a) of the Manual of Patent Examining  
Procedure.

IN THE CLAIMS

Please change "Claims:" to --What is Claimed:--.

Please cancel claims 1-9 without prejudice in favor of  
the following new claims 10-20:

assembly screw inserted into said tube hole to fix the lid to the knob, wherein said fixing hole communicates with an interior of the vessel.

--19. The pot according to claim 12 wherein said circular handle part and said open seat part are a unitary element.

--20. The pot according to claim 15, wherein said lid, said inverse outflow cut-off flange, said projection jaw and said insert flute are a unitary element--.

#### THE ABSTRACT

Attached herewith please find an abstract on single page for insertion behind the claims.

#### REMARKS

Applicant has carefully reviewed the Examiner's November 12, 1998, Official Action and respectfully requests reconsideration based on the above amendments and the following comments.

Claims 1-9 have been cancelled in favor of new claims 10-20 which remain in the application for examination.

Because of the number of changes necessary to the specification in order to meet the Examiner's requested cooperation in corrected errors thereto and the disclosure informal arrangement, Applicant has elected to submit as substitute specification as permitted under section 608.01(a) of

the MPEP. Applicant has also enclosed a marked-up version of the original disclosure which reflects the differences between the original and substitute specifications. The undersigned avers that the substitute specification contains no new matter and reflects only the changes shown on the enclosed marked-up specification. Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner's objection to the specification has now been overcome.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his indication that claims 1-9 are allowable subject to overcoming his 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejection.

In response to the Examiner's objection to the introduction to the claims and rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, applicant has provided the suggested introduction and cancelled claims 1-9 in favor of new claims 10-20 which have been rewritten to eliminate each of the Examiner's identified problems.

Applicant submits that this rejection has now been overcome.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY.'S DOCKET: LEE=65

In re Application of:	)	Art Unit: 1761
LEE	)	Examiner: SIMONE
Appln. No.: 09/068,892	)	Washington, D.C.
Filed: May 22, 1998	)	February 23, 1999
For: POT HAVING AUTOMATIC	)	
SEALING FUNCTION	)	

AMENDMENT

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Examiner's November 12, 1998,  
Official Action, please amend as follows:

IN THE SPECIFICATION

Please delete the original specification and substitute therefor the attached new specification under the authority to voluntarily file substitute specifications provided by Section 608.01(a) of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure.

IN THE CLAIMS

Please change "Claims:" to --What is Claimed:--.

Please cancel claims 1-9 without prejudice in favor of the following new claims 10-20:

assembly screw inserted into said tube hole to fix the lid to the knob, wherein said fixing hole communicates with an interior of the vessel.

--19. The pot according to claim 12 wherein said circular handle part and said open seat part are a unitary element.

--20. The pot according to claim 15, wherein said lid, said inverse outflow cut-off flange, said projection jaw and said insert flute are a unitary element--.

#### THE ABSTRACT

Attached herewith please find an abstract on single page for insertion behind the claims.

#### REMARKS

Applicant has carefully reviewed the Examiner's November 12, 1998, Official Action and respectfully requests reconsideration based on the above amendments and the following comments.

Claims 1-9 have been cancelled in favor of new claims 10-20 which remain in the application for examination.

Because of the number of changes necessary to the specification in order to meet the Examiner's requested cooperation in corrected errors thereto and the disclosure informal arrangement, Applicant has elected to submit as substitute specification as permitted under section 608.01(a) of



the MPEP. Applicant has also enclosed a marked-up version of the original disclosure which reflects the differences between the original and substitute specifications. The undersigned avers that the substitute specification contains no new matter and reflects only the changes shown on the enclosed marked-up specification. Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner's objection to the specification has now been overcome.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his indication that claims 1-9 are allowable subject to overcoming his 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejection.

In response to the Examiner's objection to the introduction to the claims and rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, applicant has provided the suggested introduction and cancelled claims 1-9 in favor of new claims 10-20 which have been rewritten to eliminate each of the Examiner's identified problems.

Applicant submits that this rejection has now been overcome.