- An agency muy obtain this night under the Meth only cal an except of which must be justified at the three of earthacts the Manihastraction sypoonts persed 1 C trat This approach aubstructurelly eduarces the possibility at passage of S.1657. The entrousity sector is Now actively supporting S. 1657 while shill opposing the Entre 1 bill the part because of its proposed repeal the proposed neport of P.L. 86-5-17 has been The government to subhance Foreign governments ender treaties on agreened B beed 1. Because of small business and antening sensitivity about the pussibility at losing the benefits gained in the gard congress (a) Jr C currept truch to ward no ght & F The Administration suppoints the concept substantially all the details of S. 1657. This charge SINC historically Faneign costines have with Repared un dropped in they of improving anendmeath to P.L. 96-517. The Administration believes has The Committee on Commence, Science and Then Tpoortation 14-1. The bill may neach Proor structed and witness a fear days negpects ON Max. 15, 1982 The Schmitt bill, S. 1657 Mystner maken al change is the 5.163 7 materially changed in some Sinte its introduction, end substrating all the Christon of the The government in contracts 14 Part becu of P.L 96-517, グ

Extel bill suffers from other problems which should be addressed. Sec. 301 (A) of Entel Extel 6:11 (H.R.4564) THE WECTTON CONTAINS SUCH BROAD EXCEPTIONS TO THE GENERAL RULE OF ALLOWING CONTRACTORS TO RETAIN THE FIRST OPTION TO TITLE AS TO LARGELY NULLIFY THE IN EURoparison the Schmitt Bill THE ADMINISTRATION MARK-UP HAS CAREFULLY, WRITTEN PROSPECT OF A UNIFORM POLICY. AND LIMITED EXCEPTIONS. THE H.R. 4564 EXCEPTIONS ARE SO BROADLY WRITTEN AS TO Title Was specifically neserved interms Goo't ALLOW ALMOST ANY AGENCY TO DECIDE TO TAKE TITLE IN EVERY CASE. FURTHER THE the schmitt bill For DNA investigals ADMINISTRATION TARK UP REQUIRES THAT ALL EXCEPTIONS BE IN WRITING AND THAT MOST BE JUSTIFIED. IN ADDITION AN OVERSIGHT IS PROVIDED IN THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND OFPP IN ORDER TO PRECLUDE AGENCY ABUSE OF THE EXCEPTIONS. GR fel permits the agencies to exercise exceptions without oversight. Sec. 301(B) (2)(B) Repenved THE LICENSE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN 301(B) (2)(B) was dropped in the Schmitt 6-11 ADMINISTRATION MAR 51 (THE ALSO WAS NOT IN P.L. 96.517). THE INCLUSION OF THIS MARK-UP. license HAS THE UNFORTUNATE EFFECT OF DISCOURAGING COMMERCIALIZATION OF THOSE VERY INVENTIONS THAT WOULD MOST BENEFIT STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS Sec. 304(A)(4) W Gafel THE ANTI-TRUST GROUND FOR MARCH-IN AT 304(A)(4) HAS BEEN DROPPED IN THE Schmitt bill . DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE REPRESENTATIVES HAVE SUGGESTED THAT IT IS IMPRACTICAL TO EXPECT AGENCIES TO BE EQUIPPED TO EXERCISE THIS RIGHT. Sec. 304 (B) Entel THIS SECTION PERMITS 3RD PARTIES TO INITIATE A MARCH-IN DETERMINATION AND HEARING IF THE AGENCY CONSIDERS THIS JUSTIFIED. THIS RIGHT IN 3RD PARTIES SERIOUSLY IMPAIRS THE OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OF AM INVENTION CONTRACTOR BY OPEN ENDING THE Schmitt ABILITY OF 3RD PARTIES TO BRING LAWSUITS TO FORCE A MARCH-IN. HE ADMINISTRATION 61/1 MARK UP DOES NOT PROVIDE AN EQUIVALENT RIGHT.

Bith bills THE-BILL IMPACTS ON GRANTS, CONTRACTS, COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS AND WIDE RANGE OF PERFORMERS OF RESEARCH FROM NON-PROFITS, UNIVERSITIES, STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS The Schmill bill provides that SMALL BUSINESSESS. As such, OMB RATHER THAN GSA, DOD, NASA, OR ANY SINGLE AGENCY, SHOULD HAVE THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR DEVELOPING UNIFORM REGULATIONS AND CLAUSES THAT WILL IMPACT ON THIS WIDE RANGE OF PERFORMERS AND ACTIVITIES. MOREOVER, P.L. 96-517 PLACED THIS RESPONSIBILITY IN OMB/OFPP, AND EXPERIENCE UNDER THAT ACT HAS DEMONSTRATED THE WISDOM OF THAT APPROACH.

Sec 305 (A)

Sec 307

THE ADMINISTRATION OPPOSES RECOUPMENT CLAUSES AS A DISINCENTIVE TOWARD FURTHER INVESTMENT AND DEVELOPMENT OF SUBJECT INVENTIONS. The Schmitt bill

does Not include such etarses while botel does,

THERE WAS SUBSTANTIAL CONTROVERSY BETWEEN THE AGENCIES AND THE UNIVERSITY SECTOR DURING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF 96-517 AS TO WHETHER IT WAS REASONABLE TO EXPECT CONTRACTORS TO FILE BEFORE ANY FOREIGN BAR (I.E. BEFORE PUBLICATION). THESE The Schmith Bill is SUBSECTIONS ARE INTENDED TO MAKE CLEAR THAT CONTRACTORS NEED NOT BE FORCED TO FORFEIT THEIR RIGHTS BECAUSE OF AN INABILITY TO MAKE AN INITIAL FILING IN TIME TO AVOID THE LOSS OF FOREIGN RIGHTS. THE BIT HERE INTENDED TO MAKE AN INITIAL FILING IN TIME TO AVOID THE LOSS OF FOREIGN RIGHTS. THE BIT HERE INTENDED TO MAKE AN INITIAL FILING IN TIME TO AVOID THE LOSS OF FOREIGN RIGHTS. THE BIT HERE INTENDED TO MAKE AN INITIAL FILING IN TIME TO AVOID THE LOSS OF FOREIGN RIGHTS. THE BIT HERE INTENDED TO MAKE AN INITIAL FILING IN TIME TO AVOID THE LOSS OF FOREIGN RIGHTS. THE BIT HERE INTENDED TO MAKE AN INITIAL FILING IN TIME TO AVOID THE LOSS OF FOREIGN RIGHTS. THE BIT HERE INTENDED TO MAKE AN INITIAL FILING IN TIME TO AVOID THE LOSS OF FOREIGN RIGHTS. THE BIT HERE INTENDED TO MAKE AN INITIAL FILING IN TIME TO AVOID THE LOSS OF FOREIGN RIGHTS. THE BIT HERE INTENDED TO MAKE AN INITIAL FILING IN TIME TO AVOID THE LOSS OF FOREIGN RIGHTS. THE BIT HERE INTENDED TO MAKE AN INITIAL FILING IN TIME TO AVOID THE LOSS OF FOREIGN HERE INTENDED TO MAKE AN INITIAL FILING IN TIME TO AVOID THE LOSS OF FOREIGN HERE INTENDED TO MAKE AN INITIAL FILING IN TIME TO AVOID THE LOSS OF FOREIGN HERE INTENDED INTENDE

to make and informed judgement on Filing pytent applications before the contractor is reputed to Ale.

The scheme of 6.11 WE HAVE EXPANDED THE SECTION DEALING WITH THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE ACT, SO AS TO LEAVE AGENCIES WITH AUTHORITY TO TREAT INVENTIONS MADE UNDER CONTRACTS THAT PREDATE THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE ACT IN A MANNER CONSISTENT WITH THE ACT. EXPERIENCE IN THE

The Schmitt bill [On ovides LANGUAGE TO MAKE CLEAR THAT MARCH-IN IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE CONTRACTS DISPUTES ACT. THIS IS AN ISSUE UNDER P.L. 96-517 WHERE CONTRACTS ARE INVOLVED. IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FOR A UNIFORM, HIGH-LEVEL PROCEDURE, IN BOTH GRANTS AND CONTRACTS, IT IS NECESSARY TO ELIMINATE ANY ARGUMENTS THAT THE CONTRACT DISPUTES ACT WOULD APPLY.

to 96-577 a gre a) Repay of the limit on the peniod of exclusive licenses that UNivensities may yourt to warstry. b) Internat of Substitution of the Commence / OFPP ovensight procedure For the G. N.O procedure of 96-517. E. A more definitive indication of The time with W which contractors must neport total inventions elect ourvership and file patent d) Indusion of the Read tours under which Agencies may wrive Administration's position on the Administration's position and dispositions made by Goco's The Thurdling of inventions made by Goco's is still under consideration. As you Know S. 1657 hundles Goco's as it does all other continutous white the Enter bill leaves disposition of coco intentions to the discretion of the agenties as does p.L. 96-517,

Ŧ

made by Small business blainers the squeries on not. Under H+224 the squeries and digected NUT to disclose to 320 parties pussiont to disclose to 320 parties pussion to disclose to invention disclosure for a personable time to partit the film of patent inventions. We hidle this is an importations we hidle this is an importations we hidle this is an importation with G.A.O. whether a pume lo heept from New 17 hat we a support in Schmitt. (Connecc/OFPP Ration trud G.M.) Howen Commence is lead agency for 96-517 in areas Note that 35 U.S.C. 205 (from Sirce this group is Not correct by P.L. 96-517 as its Implement ing guidance ome ciaculue 4-124 I will Not go intra it in great detail other thank mentions a few generic concepts which have been we have will spelled out in the bill and typementation of the bill if passed (5) + Eatel provide En this excepted abusing the use of medhoned 96-517) gives the squeries the authority to hold in confidence the genery actuals all investigated disclosures after a a) Of course the almeady meals the Vaniation on the oversight agenties are abusing the Use We are taking exception of (J U.

An Start tog again to ally "encurry" made when estimates entered belose then the when estimates entered belose 96-537 left to 2 to 2 this to wheneas 96-537 left tris to the Implementing guidance Schmitt mess the Actives Manch-12 15 fightly agencies should handle interious Technical data rights (letter to Reed) Al Ne've attempted to vari down how L'aust dansverein - samplinged une der Rill (4732) contrad wit Count palent policy e) The Wee of manch-in is hight circumsca, bad by a dre-process in the process proced to e which we will canny into the implementance guidance beed provided when () Some Right to appeal within p Rights are affected Pris carnied into unplanenting TAR Course for patents of gurdnuce Pa Schmith hus ownership Rights will be carried Be Schm. H. an againg guidance tre \$