Others have identified objectives in the form of questions. For example, can an agency that supports research also effectively regulate that research? Is the focus of attention on this issue accurate—the narrow view is concern about accidents—the longer range view deals with question of the potential impact of crossing species barriers? Is it necessary at this time to continue using E. coli? How much effort should be devoted to making available alternative and more acceptable test organisms? Shouldn't the potential risks of genetic engineering be postponed by delaying research in this field until the ethical and social problems of more immediately available biomedical application of other techniques in genetics are resolved. The list of questions is almost endless and includes critical legal issues associated with patent policies for discoveries in this field of research. This is an area under special study at this time by the Director of NIH.

Since the momentum of research on DNA recombinant molecules is increasing, it now appears that the basic science policy actions to be taken are those which will provide the most appropriate solution to these questions and similar objectives. The interface between legislation and research will determine which direction these actions will take.

1월 27일 - 2011년 월 1912년 - 월 19 1911년 - 11일 - 21일 - 11일 1911년 - 21일 - 21일 - 11일 - 11일 - 11일 이가 있다. 가지 않는 가지? 정말 것 가지는 것 같이 공가 이 상태가 주말 것이

₹)

APPENDIXES

APPEND

•

. L

APPENDIX I

مستحمد بالاعتراب الي المراجع ا ولي من من المراجع المراجع

a second and defining a strategy of the community of the property of the

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

Ahkong, Q. F. et al. Fusion of hen erythrocytes with yeast protoplasts induced by polyethylene glycol. Nature, v. 255, May 1, 1975: 66-67.

Anderson, E. S. Viability of, and transfer of a plasmid from E. coli. K12 in the human intestine. Nature, v. 255, June 5, 1975: 502-504. Asilomar conference on DNA recombinant molecules. Nature, v. 255, June 5,

1975: 442-444.

Atkins, John. Expression of a eukaryotic gene in Escherichia coli. Nature, v. 262, July 22, 1976; 256-257.

Auerbach, Stuart. Scientists split on rules for genetic experiments. The Washington post, February 10, 1976: A2. Backman, Keith et al. Construction of plasmids carrying the cI gene of bac-

teriophage lambda. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, v. 73, November 1976: 4174-4178.

Berg, Patricia E. et al. Cloning of Escherichia coli DNA that controls cell division and capsular polysaccharide synthesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, v. 73, March 1976: 697-701.

Berg, Paul et al. Asilomar conference on recombinant DNA molecules. Science, v. 188, June 6, 1975 : 991-994.

Bernardi, Giorgio. Expression of eukaryotic genes in prokaryotes. Nature, v. 259, January 22, 1976: 173-174.

Beware of those who muck about in genes. The economist, v. 26, July 20, 1976: 79. Bishop, Jerry E. Stiff curbs on gene-transplant research are urged by Michigan

University panel. The Wall Street Journal, March 23, 1976: 12. Brody, Jane E. 1976 marks tricentennial of the discovery of sperm. New York Times, December 20, 1975 : 29.

Campbell, Allan M. How viruses insert their DNA into the DNA of the host cell. Scientific American, v. 235, December 1976: 102-113. Cavalieri, Liebe F. New strains of life-or death. The New York Times magazine,

August 22, 1976: 8-9, 58-59, 62, 64, 67-68. Chakrabarty, A. M. Which way genetic engineering. Industrial research, Jan-

uary 1976: 45-50.

Chang, C. Y. and Stanley N. Cohen. Genome construction between bacterial species in vitro: replication and expression of Staphylococcus plasmid genes in Escherichia coli. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, v. 71, April 1974 : 1030-1034.

Chargaff, Erwin, On the dangers of genetic meddling, Science, v. 192, June 4, 1976: 938, 940,

- Profitable wonders : a few thoughts on nucleic acid research. The Sciences. August/September 1975: 21-26.

Chedd, Graham, Threat to U.S. genetic engineering. New scientist, July 1, 1976: 14 - 15.

Significantly sickly bug. New scientist, v. 69, February 19, 1976: 410.

Claude, Albert. The coming of age of the cell. Science, v. 189, August 8, 1975: 433-435.

Cobb, John C. Public involvement in scientific decision-making. Science, v. 194, November 12, 1976 : 674.

Cohen, Stanley N. Transposable genetic elements and plasmid evolution. A Review Article, Nature, v. 263, October 28, 1976: 731-738.

Committee advises on guidelines for recombinant DNA research. The NIH record, February 24, 1976: 4-5.

Crossland, Janice. Hands on the code. Environment, v. 18, September 1976: 6-16. Crotty, Nicholas. The technological imperative: reflections on reflections. Theological studies, v. 33, September 1972: 440–449. Culliton, Barbara J. Recombinant DNA: Cambridge City Council votes mora-

torium. Science, v. 193, July 23, 1976: 300-301.

----- Public participation in science: still in need of definition. Science, v. 192, April 30, 1976: 451-453.

Curtiss, Roy III. Genetic manipulation of microorganisms: potential benefits and biohazards. Annual review of microbiology, v. 30, 1976: 507-533.

Davis, Bernard D. Evolution, epidemiology, and recombinant DNA. Science, v. 113. August 6, 1976: 442.

Delbecco, Renato. From the molecular biology of oncogenic DNA viruses to cancer. Science, v. 192, April 30, 1976: 437-440.

Dixon, Bernard. Recombinant DNA-rules without enforcement? New scientist, January 29, 1976: 218.

DNA and the environment: what NIH hopes won't happen. Medical world news, October 4, 1976: 53.

DNA committee has its critics. Nature, v. 257, October 23, 1975: 637.

DNA research rules being sent worldwide. U.S. medicine, v. 12, July 1, 1976: 1, 20.

Dyson, Freeman J. Costs and benefits of recombinant DNA research. Science, v. 193, July 2, 1976: 6.

Edsall, John T. Scientific freedom and responsibility. Science, v. 188. May 16, 1975: 687-693.

Ehrlich, S. D. et al. Expression of the thymidylate synthetase gene of the Bacillus subtilis bacteriophage Phi-3-T in Escherichia coli. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, v. 73, November 1976: 4145-4149.

Eisinger, J. The ethics of human gene manipulation. Introductory remarks. Symposium. Federation proceedings, v. 34, May 1975: 1418-1420.

Enquist, I. et al. Safer derivatives of bacteriophage lambda gt. lambda C for use in cloning of recombinant DNA molecules. Nature, v. 259, February 19, 1976: 596-598.

Faculty to oversee safety standards in genetic research. The New York times, December 28, 1975: 30.

Francoeur, Robert T. We can-we must: reflections on the technological imperative. Theological studies, v. 33, September 1972: 428-439.

Fried, John J. Is science creating dangerous new bacteria? Readers digest, v. 107, December 1975; 133-136.

Bacterial experiments offer promise—and danger. The Sunday sun. Baltimore. October 12, 1975: 1-3.

Fruits of gene-juggling: blessing or curse. Medical world news, October 4, 1976: 45-56.

Gardner, Barbara Jeremiah. The potential for genetic engineering: a proposal for international legal control. Virginia journal of international law, v. 16,

Winter 1976: 403–430.

Gene cloning : one milestone in a very long road. The lancet, April 24, 1976 : 893. Genes that jump. The economist, v. 258, February 21, 1976 : 15.

Genetic engineering gets British go-ahead. New scientist, September 2, 1976: 475. Genetic guidelines: handle with care. Nature, v. 263, September 2, 1976: 1.

Genetic improvement of seed proteins. Board on Agriculture and Renewable Resources. National Academy of Sciences. Washington, 1976: 394 p.

Genetic manipulations with plant material. NATO advance study institutes series. Series A : life sciences. Plenum Press, New York, 599 p.

Glover, David M. et al. Characterization of cloned DNAs from *Drosophila melano*gaster, including one that contains the genes for RNA. Cell, v. 5, June 1975: 149-157.

Gore, Rick. The awesome worlds within a cell. National geographic, v. 150, September 1976: 355-395.

Grobstein, Clifford. DNA research steps toward control of biological destiny. San Diego Union, August 22, 1976: B1.

Recombinant DNA research : beyond the NIH guidelines. Science, v. 194, December 10, 1097: 1133-1135.

Gwynee, Peter, et al. Politics and genes. Newsweek, v. 87, January 12, 1976: 50-52.

the National Academy of Sciences, v. 73, May 1976: 1537-1541.

Haring, Bernard. Ethics of manipulation. New York. Seabury Press, 1975: 159-211.

Helling, Robert B. and Sally L. Allen. Freedom of inquiry and scientific responsibility. Bioscience, v. 26, October 1976; 609-610.

Hershfield, V, et al. Plasmid Col El as a molecular vehicle for cloning and amplification of DNA. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, v. 71, September 1974: 3455-3459.

Heynecker, Herbert L. Synthetic lacoperator DNA is functional in vivo. Nature, v. 263, October 28, 1976: 748-752.

Higuchi, Russ. et al. A general method for cloning eukaryotic structural gene sequences. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, v. 73, September 1976: 3146-3150.

Hopson, Janet L. Genetic sabotage in the public interest. Science news, v. 109, March 20, 1976 : 188-190.

Horwitz, Nathan. Human-plant cell fusion more than dramatic lab advance. Medical tribune, v. 17, September 1, 1976: 1, 12.

Hubbard, Ruth. Gazing into the crystal ball. Bioscience, v. 26, October 1976: 608, 611.

Hubbard, Ruth. DNA research and 'path of prudence'. The Boston Globe, July 14. 1976 : 18.

Recombinant DNA: unknown risks. Science, v. 193, September 3, 1976: 834, 836,

- Industry wary about genetic guidelines. Chemical and engineering news, June 7, 1976 : 7.
- Irwin, John and Gerald D. Stoner. A facet of the biohazard control program agent registration, risk assessment and computerization of data. American journal of public health, v. 66, April 1976 : 372-374.
- Jackson, David A. et al. Biochemical method for inserting new genetic information into DNA of Simian virus 40: circular SV40 DNA molecules containing lambda phage genes and the galactose of eron of Escherichia coli. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, October 1972: 2904–2909. Jacob, A. E. and N. J. Grinter. Plasmid RP4 as a vector replicon in genetic engi-
- neering. Nature, v. 255, June 5, 1975: 504-506. Jukes, Thomas H. Wildcat story, Nature, v. 262, August 26, 1976: 736. Katzman, Sandra. The trouble with genes. Human behavior, v. 4, December 1975:

44-45. Genetic counseling.

Kennedy, Edward M. Where should the money go? The Sciences, v. 16, November/December 1976; 10-11, 27.

Khorana, H. Gobind et al. Total synthesis of the structural gene for the precursor of a tyrosine suppressor transfer RNA from Escherichia coli. The journal of biological chemistry, v. 251, February 10, 1976: 565-570.

Kifner, John. Creation of life experiment at Harvard stirs heated dispute. The New York Times, June 17, 1976: 22C.

Kolata, Gini Bari. DNA sequencing: a new area in molecular biology. Science.

v. 192, May 14, 1976: 645-647. Kotulak, Ronald. The great promise and grave peril of genetic manipulation. Chicago Tribune magazine, September 21, 1975: 22-24, 26, 28, 30.

Lane, Charles. Rabbit hemoglobin from frog eggs. Scientific American, v. 235, August 1976: 60-71.

Lappé, Marc. Moral obligations and the fallacies of "genetic control." Theological studies, v. 33, September 1972: 411-427.

Lawrence, Eleanor. Genetic manipulation: guidelines out. Nature, v. 263, September 2, 1976: 4-5.
Lawrence, Eleanor. Nuts and bolts of genetic engineering. Nature, v. 263, Octo-

ber 28, 1976 : 726-727.

Lederberg, Joshua. DNA splicing; will fear rob us of its benefits? Prism, November 1975: 33-37.

Levy, Stuart B. et al. Spread of antibiotic-resistant plasmids from chicken to chicken and from chicken to man. Nature, v. 280, March 4, 1976: 40-42.

Lewin, Roger. Genetic engineers ready for stage two. New scientist, October 14, 1976:86-87.

Unnatural union of plants and animals. New scientist, August 5, 1976: 270-271.

Linsweaver, Brad. Genetics: conformity or liberation. New guard, v. 16, March 1976: 6-10.

Lock the labs in Cambridge? [Editorial]. The Washington Star, July 12, 1976: A14.

Loeb, Lawrence A. and Kenneth D. Tartof. Construction of human tumor viruses? Science, v. 193, July 23, 1976: 272.

McCurdy, Patrick P. Genetic engineering: moving piton by piton. Chemical week, May 12, 1976: 5.

McDougall, Kenneth J. Genetic engineering: hazard or blessing? Intellect, v. 104, April 1976: 528-530.

McElheny, Victor K. Genetic engineering, an advancing science. The New York Times, December 15, 1975: 37.

Work on genetic manipulation must continue, scientists insist. The New York Times, July 28, 1975: 22.

McWethy, Jack. Science's newest magic, a blessing or a curse. U.S. News and World Report, v. 81, July 12, 1976: 34-35.

Maniatis, Tom and Mark Ptashne. A DNA operator-repressor system. Scientific American, v. 234, January 1976: 64-76.

Marians, K. J. Cloned synthetic lac operator DNA is biologically active. Nature, v. 263, October 28, 1976: 744-748.

Marx, Jean L. Molecular cloning: powerful tool for studying genes. Science, v. 191, March 19, 1976: 1160-1162.

Maugh, Thomas H. II. The artificial gene: it's synthesized and it works in cells. Science, v. 194, October 1, 1976: 44.

Miller, Julie Ann. What controls the genes? Science news, v. 110, November 26, 1976: 348-349.

Minimizing the risks in genetic engineering. Business Week, August 9, 1976: 66-67.

Mintz, Morton. U.S. in dark on industry DNA efforts. The Washington Post, September 23, 1976: A2.

Morrow, John F. et al. Replication and transcription of eukaryotic DNA in Escherichia coli, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. v. 71, May 1974: 1743-1747.

Nanvati, A.N.D. Genetic engineering (letter). Nature, v. 260, April 22, 1976: 664.

NAS/NRC group urges caution in DNA studies. Journal of the American Medical Association, v. 229, August 19, 1974: 1029.

New guidelines on gene grafting studies may be issued soon. Journal of the American Medical Association, v. 235, March 8, 1976: 991-993.

Norman, Colin. Berg conference favors use of weak strains. Nature, v. 254, March 6, 1975: 6-7.

- Genetic manipulation: guidelines issued. Nature, v. 262, July 1, 1976: 2-4.

- Genetics and the common man. Technology review, v. 78, February, 1976: 8-9.

- Laying the guidelines bare. Nature, v. 263, September 9, 1976: 89. - Now New York steps in. Nature, v. 263, October 28, 1976: 718.

- The public's case is put. Nature, v. 259, February 19, 1976, 521.

Pauling, Linus. Reflections on the new biology. UCLA law review, February 1968.

Perutz, M. F. Fundamental research in molecular biology: relevance to medicine. Nature, v. 262, August 5, 1976: 449-453.

Plant/animal hybrids create a new era in biology. New scientist, July 29, 1976: 211.

Playing with genes. British Medical Journal. February 7, 1976: 302.

Polisky, Barry et al. A plasmid cloning vehicle allowing regulated expression of eukaryotic DNA in bacteria. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, v. 73, November 1976: 3900-3904.

Ptashne, Mark. The defense doesn't rest. The sciences, v. 16, September/October 1976: 11-12,

- Turning genes on and off. Mosaic, v. 6, July/August 1975: 20-23.

Rawls, Rebecca L. NIH genetic guidelines get mixed reviews. Chemical and engineering news, July 5, 1976: 29-30.

Recombinant DNA: the last look before the leap. Science, v. 192, April 16, 1976: 236-238.

Recombinant DNA meets the Cambridge City Council. Science news, v. 110, July 17, 1976: 36.

Rerun of German genetic therapy. Medical World News, August 25, 1975: 30-31.

Rivers, Caryl. Genetic engineers: now that they've gone too far, can they stop? Ms, v. 4, June 1976: 49-51, 112, 114, 116, 118.

Robertson, Miranda. ICI puts money on genetic engineering. Nature, v. 251, October 18, 1974: 564-565.

Roblin, Richard. Ethical and social aspects of gene manipulation. Federation proceedings, v. 34, May 1975: 1421-1424.

Some recent developments in genetics. Theological studies, v. 33, September 1972: 401-410.

Rogers, John. Untangling the genetic core of RNA tumor viruses. New scientist, v. 68, November 27, 1975: 515.

Rosenfeld, Albert, Should we tamper with heredity? Saturday Review, v. 2, July 26, 1975: 44-45.

Russell, Cristine. Weighing the hazards of genetic research: a pioneering case study, Bioscience, v. 24, December 1974: 691-694, 744

Disarming the doomsday bug: scientists rally to fight the real dangers of man made germs. Science Digest, July 1975; 70–77.

Sadowski, Paul D. and Dan Vetter. Genetic recombination of bacteriphage T7 DNA in vitro. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, v. 73, March 1976: 692-696.

Schmeck, Harold M. Jr. Parley dicusses gene study rules. The New York Times, June 3, 1976 : C33.

Progress reported in developing bacteria safe for gene tests. The New York Times, February 10, 1976: C26.

Vircuses said to shift genes of the species. The New York Times, November 27, 1975 : 38.

Shumacher, Edward. City blocks DNA research. The Washington Post, July 9, 1976; A3.

Shanmugam, K. T. and Raymond C. Valentine. Molecular biology of nitrogen fixation. Science, v. 187, March 14, 1975: 919-924.

Shen-Miller, J. Report of a NATO Institute on Plant Genetic Manipulations. Bioscience, v. 25, June 1975: 389-391.

Sherratt, David. Biological safeguards in genetic engineering. Nature, v. 259, February 19, 1976: 526-527.

Should genetic engineering be curbed by public protest? New scientist, July 1, 1976: 3.

Simring, Francine Robinson. Recombinant DNA risks and benefits. Science, v. 192, June 4, 1976: 940.

Committee for Genetics, Friends of the Earth, 72 Jane St. New York 10014. Singer, Maxine and Paul Berg, Recombinant DNA: NIH guidelines. Science, v. 193, July 16, 1976: 186–187.

Sinsheimer, Robert L. Recombinant DNA-on our own. Bioscience, 1. 26, October 1976: 599.

Troubled dawn for gentic engineering. New scientist, October 16, 1975:

Skinner, Karen Joy. Expression of yeast DNA in E. coli, achieved. Chemical and engineering news, June 14, 1976: 21-22.

Smith, H. Williams. Survival of orally administered E. coli K 12 in alimentary tract of man. Nature, v. 255. June 5, 1975 : 500–502.

Spector, Deborah H. and David Baltimore. The molecular biology of poliovirus. Scientific American, v. 232, May 1975: 25-31.

Steinfels, Peter, Biomedical research and the public: a report from the Airlie House conference: Hastings report, June 1976: 21-25.

Stern, Curt. High points of human genetics. The American biology teacher, v. 37, March 1975 : 144-149.

Streicher, Stanley L. et al. The nitrogen fixation genes. Nature, 1. 239, October 27, 1972: 495-499.

Struhl, Keven, et al. Functional genetic expression of eukaryotic DNA in Escherihia coli. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, v. 73, May 1976: 1471-1475.

Synthetic genes works well in living cell. New scientist, September 2, 1976: 475. Szelely, Maria. Two approaches to gene synthesis. Nature, v. 263, September 23, 1976: 277-278.

Teaching old cells new tricks. Chemical week, May 12, 1976: 67-68.

Test ban: DNA poll results. F.A.S. public interest report, v. 29, June 1976: 506. The Gene makers. Time magazine, December 22, 1975: 53.

The Total synthesis of a gene. New scientist, April 29, 1976: 228.

Thomas, Lewis. Disease-free life. The Washington Post, May 2, 1976: C8.

- Toufexis, Anastasia. Genetic engineering storm brews anew. Medical tribune, August 4, 1976 : 3, 20.
- Ubell, Robert. The donor, the hose, and the foreign cell. The sciences, v. 16, September/October 1976: 1.
- U.S. Congress. House. Committee on Science and Astronautics. Subcommittee on Science, Research and Development. Genetic engineering: evolution of a technological issue. 92d Congress, 2d session. Serial W. November 1972. Washington, U.S. Govt. Print. Off. 119 p.

Genetic engineering: evolution of a technological issue. Supplemental report I. 93d Congress, 2nd session. Serial BB. December 1974. Washington, U.S. Govt. Print. Off. 1974. 215 p.

U.S. Congress, Senate, Committee on Labor and Public Welfare, Subcommittee on Health. Genetic engineering. Examination of the relationship of a free society and its scientific community April 22, 1975. Hearings. 94th Congress, 1st session. Washington, U.S. Govt. Print. Off. 1975. 35 p. Recombinant DNA research and the NIH guidelines. Hearings, 94th

Congress, 2nd session. September 21, 1976. Washington, U.S. Govt. Print Off. To be published.

U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Public Health Service. National Institutes of Health. Biohazards Safety Guide. Washington, U.S. Govt. Print, Off. 1974.

Valentine, James W. and Cathryn A. Campbell. Genetic regulation and the fossil record. Amercian scientist, v. 63, November/December 1975: 673-680.

Volpe, E. Peter. Guided human evolution: a new challenge to social and moral philosophy. Journal of social and political affairs. January 1976: 77-89.

- Wade, Nicholas, Recombinant DNA : a critic questions the right to free inquiry. Science, v. 194, October 15, 1976: 303-306.
- Recombinant DNA: NIH sets strict rules to launch new technology. Science, v. 190, December 19, 1975: 1175-1179.

Go-ahead for recombinant DNA. New scientist, December 18/25, 1975: 682-684.

Recombinant DNA: chimeras set free under guard. Science, v. 193, July 16, 1976: 215-217.

- Recombinant DNA: NIH group stirs storm by drafting laxer rules. Science, v. 190, November 21, 1975: 767-769. _____Man-made evolution. The Washington Post, June 18, 1976: A27.

Wald, George. The case against genetic engineering. The sciences, v. 16, September/October 1976: 6-11.

Walters, LeRoy, Recombinant DNA molecule research: the search for a balance between safety and progress. The Kennedy Institute. Quarterly report, v. 2, May 1976 : 1-3.

Watson, James D. Molecular biology of the gene. Menlo Park. W. A. Benjamin, Inc. 3rd Ed. 1976, 739 p.

Weiss, Robin. Virological hazards in routine procedures. Nature, v. 255, June 5, 1975:445-447.

Williamson, Bob. First mammalian results with genetic recombinants. Nature, v. 260, March 18, 1976: 189-190.

Wilson, Sara McCormack et al. Human genetic engineering: a survey of student value stands. The American biology teacher, December 1975: 522-527. Wright, Susan. Doubts over genetic engineering controls. New scientist, Decem-

ber 2, 1976 ; 520-521.

anda ana ang baada daabada ay ay ahaa bir di sa waatiga sa pateera a caa di aayaa ay ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahada ahaa saasa sinte waatigada sa ay ahii si sa saacidada sha ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa gaatiga gaata

abara atangka kulaseka katang beraka pana bara bara ake se su ang ayen katang ang Baraka sabara na mana mpula katang mung bara sana katang mung katang ang su ang su katang ang sang sang sang sa Baraka sabarang

a ha a she a

THE MANIPULATION OF GENES

by Stanley N. Cohen



Reproduced from the Scientific American, July 1975, by permission of the publisher, W. H. Freeman and Company.

PUBLISHED BY W. H. FREEMAN AND COMPANY 660 MARKET STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94104

Copyright 0 1975 by Scientific Arrenoan, Inc, Al rights reserved. Printed in the U.S.A. No part of this offerint may be reproduced by any mechanical, photographic or electronic process, in the form of a phonographic recording, nor may it ba stored in a retrieval system, transmitted or otherwise copied for public or private use without written permission of the publishe

APPENDIX 2

1324

ŧ

SCIENTIFIC

AMERICAN

OFFPRINTS

closed-loop, molecule of DNA about three micrometers in circumiterence that curvies the genetic information for replicating itself in *E. coli* indo for conferring visitance to the artibiotic terreveline: *E. coli* indo for conferring visitance to the artibiotic terreveline *E. coli* indo for conference and the second second second *E. coli* where the second second second second second the second second second second second second second the plasmid was incoduced into *E. coli*, where it replicated and second pressed both its own and the foreign DNA's genetic information.

Ë PLASMID pSC101 is shadow larged 230,000 diameters in ar me in a be uid is a mo teri in an d with platin repus DNA that ichau on its tists apart 2 볋 de by offen trom the carry h 6 3 5



THE MANIPULATION OF GENES

Techniques for cleaving DNA and splicing it into a carrier molecule make it possible to transfer genetic information from one organism to an unrelated one. There the DNA replicates and expresses itself

by Stanley N. Cohen

Mythology is full of hybrid creatures such as the Sphinx, the Minotaur and the Chinera, but the real world is not; it is populated by organisms that have been shaped not by the union of characteristics derived from very dissimilar organisms but by evolution within species that retain their basic identity generation after generation. This is because there are natural barriers that normally prevent the exchange of genetic information between unrelated organisms. The barriers are still poorly understood, but they are of fundamental biological importance.

The basic unit of biological relatedness is the species; and in organisms that reproduce sexually species are defined by the ability of their members to breed with one another. Species are determined and defined by the genes they earry, so that in organisms that reproduce asexually the concept of species depends on nature's ability to prevent the biologically significant exchange of genetic material-the nucleic acid DNAbetween unrelated groups.

The persistence of genetic uniqueness is perhaps most remarkable in simple organisms such as bacteria. Even when they occupy the same habitat most bacterial species do not exchange genetic information. Even rather similar species of bacteria do not ordinarily exchange the genes on their chromosomes, the structures that carry most of their genetic information. There are exceptions, however. There are bits of DNA, called plasmids, that exist apart from the chromosomes in some bacteria. Sometimes a plasmid can pick up a short segment of DNA from the chromosome of its own cell and transfer it to the cell of a related bacterial species, and sometimes the plasmid and the segment of chromosomal DNA can become integrated into the chromesome of the recipient cell. This

transfer of genes between species by extrachromosomal elements has surely played some role in bacterial evolution, but apparently it has not been widespread in nature. Otherwise the characteristics of the common bacterial species would not have remained so largely intact over the huge number of bacterial generations that have existed during the era of modern bacteriology.

In 1973 Annie C. Y. Chang and I at the Stanford University School of Medicine and Herbert W. Boyer and Robert B. Helling at the University of California School of Medicine at San Francisco reported the construction in a test tube of biologically functional DNA molecules that combined genetic information from two different sources. We made the molecules by splicing together segments of two different plasmids found in the colon bacillus Escherichia coli and then inserting the composite DNA into E. colt cells, where it replicated itself and expressed the genetic information of both parent plasmids. Soon afterward we introduced plasmid genes from an unrelated bacterial species, Staphylococcus aureus, into E. coli, where they too expressed the biological properties they had displayed in their original host; then, applying the same procedures with John F. Morrow of Stanford and Howard M. Goodman in San Francisco, we were able to insert into E, coli some genes from an animal: the toad Xenopus laevis.

We called our composite molecules DNA chimeras because they were conceptually similar to the mythological Chimera (a creature with the head of a lon, the body of a goat and the tail of a serpent) and were the molecular counterparts of hybrid plant chimeras produced by agricultural grafting. The procedure we described has since been used and extended by workers in several laboratories. It has been called plasmid engineering, because it utilizes plasmids to introduce the foreign genes, and molecular cloning, because it provides a way to propagate a clone, or line of genetically alike organisms, all containing identical composite DNA molecules. Because of the method's potential for creating a wide variety of novel genetic combinations in microorganisms it is also known as genetic engineering and genetic manipulation. The procedure actually consists of several distinct biochemical and biological manipulations that were made possible by a series of independent discoveries made in rapid succession in the late 1960's and early 1970's. There are four essential elements: a method of breaking and joining DNA molecules derived from different sources; a suitable gene carrier that can replicate both itself and a foreign DNA segment linked to it; a means of introducing the composite DNA molecule, or chimera, into a functional bacterial cell, and a method of selecting from a large population of cells a clone of recipient cells that has acquired the molecular chimera.

In 1967 DNA ligases—enzymes that can repair breaks in DNA and under certain conditions can join together the loose ends of DNA strands-were discovered almost simultaneously in five laboratories, A DNA strand is a chain of nucleotides, each consisting of a deoxyribose sugar ring, a phosphate group and one of four organic bases: adenine, thymine, guanine and cytosine. The sugars and phosphates form the backbone of the strand, from which the bases project. The individual nucleotide building blocks are connected by phospholiester bonds between the carbon atom at position No. 3 on one sugar and the carbon atom at position No. 5 on the adjacent sugar. Double-strand DNA, the form found in most organisms, consists of two



DNA LIGASE is an enzyme that repairs "nicks," or breaks in one strand of a double-strand molecule of DNA (top). A strand of DNA is a chain of nucleotides (bottom), each consisting of a deoxyribose sugar and a phosphate group and one of four organic bases: adenine (A), thynine (T), guanine (G) and cytosine (G). The sugars and phosphates constitute the backbone of the strand, and paired bases, linked by hydrogen bonds (broken black lines), connect two strands. The ligase catalyzes synthesis of a bond at the site of the hreak (broken colored line) between the phosphate of one nucleotide and the sagar of the next nucleotide.

chains of nucleotides linked by hydrogen bonds between their, projecting bases. The bases are complementary: adenine (A) is always opposite thymine (T), and guanine (C) is always opposite cytosine (C). The function of the ligase is to repair "nicks." or breaks in single DNA strands, by synthesizing a phosphodiester bond between adjoining nucleotides [see illustration above].

In 1970 a group working in the laboratory of H. Gobind Khorana, who was then at the University of Wisconsin, found that the ligase produced by the bacterial virus T4 could sometimes catalyze the end-to-end linkage of completely separated double-strand DNA segments. The reaction required that the ends of two segments be able to find each other; such positioning of two DNA molecules was a matter of chance, and so the reaction was inefficient. It was clear that efficient joining of DNA molecules required a mechanism for holding the two DNA ends together so that the ligase could act.

An ingenious way of accomplishing this was developed and tested independently in two laboratories at Stanford: by Peter Lobban and A. Dale Kaiser and by David Jackson, Robert Symons and Paul Berg. Earlier work by others had shown that the ends of the DNA molecules of certain bacterial viruses can be joined by base-pairing between complementary sequences of nucleotides that are naturally present on single-strand segments projecting from the ends of those molecules: A's pair with T's, G's pair with C's and the molecules are held together by hydrogen bonds that form between the pairs. The principle of linking DNA molecules by means of the single-strand projections had been exploited in Khorana's laboratory for joining short synthetic sequences of nucleotides into longer sey .ents of DNA.

The Stanford groups knew too that an enzyme, terminal transferase, would catalyze the stepwise addition, specifically at what are called the 3' ends of single strands of DNA, of a series of identical nucleotides. If the enzyme worked also with double-strand DNA, then a block of identical nucleotides could be added to one population of DNA molecules and a block of the complementary nucleotides could be added to another population from another source. Molecules of the two populations could then be annealed by hydrogen bonding and sealed together by DNA ligase. The method was pocies of DNA, While Lobban and Kaiser tested the terminal-transferase procedure with the DNA of the bacterial virus P22, Jackson, Symons and Berg applied the procedure to link the DNA of the animal virus SV40 to bacterial-virus DNA.

The SV40 and bacterial-virus DNA molecules Berg's group worked with are closed loops, and the loops had first to be cleaved to provide linear molecules with free ends for further processing page]. (As it happened, the particular enzyme chosen to cleave the loops was het Eco RI endonuclease, which was later to be used in a different procedure for making the first biologically functional gene combinations. At the time, however, the enzyme's special property of producing complementary singlestrand ends all by itself had not yet been

The cleaved linear molecules were treated with an enzyme, produced by the bacterial virus lambda, called an exonuclease because it operates by cutting off nucleotides at the end of a DNA molecule. The lambda exonuclease chewed back the 5' ends of DNA molecules and thus left projecting single-strand ends that had 3' termini to which the blocks of complementary nucleotides could be added. The next step was to add, with the help of terminal transferase, a block of A's at the 3' end of one of the two DNA species to be linked and a block of T's at the 3' ends of the other species. The species were mixed together. Fragments having complementary blocks at . their ends could find each other, line up and become annealed by hydrogen bonding, thus forming combined molecules. To fill the gaps at the 5' ends of the original segments the investigators supplied nucleotides and two more enzymes: exonuclease III and DNA polymerase. Finally the nicks in the molecules were sealed with DNA ligase.

The method of making cohesive termini for joining DNA molecules in the first successful genetic-manipulation experiments was conceptually and operationally different from the terminaltransferase procedure. It was also much simpler. It depended on the ability of one of a group of enzymes called restriction endonucleases to make complementary-ended fragments during the cleavage of DNA at a site within the molecule, instead of requiring the addition of new blocks of complementary nucleotides to DNA termini.

Viruses grown on certain strains of E. coll were known to be restricted in their ability to grow subsequently on other strains. Investigations had shown that this restriction was due to bacterial enzymes that recognize specific sites on a "foreign" viral DNA and cleave that DNA. (To protect its own DNA the bacterial cell makes a modification enzyme that adds methyl groups to nucleotides constituting the recognition sites for the restriction endouvclease, making them resistant to cleavage.) Restriction endonucleases (and modification methylases) are widespread in microorganisms; genes for making them were found on viral chromosomes and extrachromosomal plasmid DNA as well as on mary bacterial chromosomes. During the early 1970's the nucleotide sequences at the cleavage sites recognized by several re-



TERMINAL TRANSFERASE procedure for joining DNA molecules involves a number of steps, each dependent on a different enzyme. If one of the molecules to be joined is a closed loop, it nust frat be cleaved. The linear molecules are treated with lambda exonuclesse, an enzymo that cuts nucleotides of the 5' end of DNA strands (the end with a phosphate group on the No. 5 carbon). Then specific nucleotides are added to the 3' end (the end with an OH group on the No. 3 carbon) by the action of the enzyme termi-

ہے

Ъ

nal transferase. One DNA species is supplied with adenosing triphosphate (ATP), the other with thymidine triphosphate (ATP), so that A nucleotides are added to one species and complementary T nucleotides to the other. When the two species are mixed, the complementary bases pair up, annealing the molecules. Nucleotides and the enzymes DNA polymerase and exonuclease III are added to fill gaps and DNA ligste is added to seal the DNA hackhones. The result is a double molecule composed of two separate DNA segments. 5 In every instance, it developed, the cleavage was at or near an axis of rotational symmetry; a palindrome where the nucleotide base sequences read the same on both strands in the 5'-to-3' direction [see illustration below].

In some instances the breaks in the DNA strands made by restriction enzymes were opposite each other. One particular endonuclease, however, the Eco RI enzyme isolated by Robert N. Yoshimori in Boyer's laboratory in San Francisco, had a property that was of special interest. Unlike the other nucleases known at the time, this enzyme introduced breaks in the two DNA strands that were separated by several nucleotides. Because of the symmetrical, palindromic arrangement of the nucleotides in the region of cleavage this separation of the cleavage points on the two strands yielded DNA termini with projecting complementary nucleotide sequences: "sticky" mortise-and-tenon ter-

AXIS OF ROTATIONAL SYMMETRY я ABLE WAS I ERE I SAW ELBA ΑĄG т 5' The second secon h CONTRACTIC DE CTTAAS CLEAVAGE BY Eco RI Junin a ЗШ

RESTRICTION ENDONUCLEASES cleave DNA at sites where complementary nucleotides are arranged in rotational symmetry: a palindrome, comparable to a word palindrome (a). The endonuclease Eco RI has the additional property of cleaving complementary strands of DNA at sites (colored arrows) four nucleotides apart. Such cleavage (b) yields DNA fragments with complementary, overlapping single-strand ends. As a result the end of any DNA fragment produced by Eco RI cleavage can anneal with any other fragment produced by the enzyme.

striction endonucleases were identified. ... mini. The Eco RI enzyme thus produced in one step DNA molecules that were functionally equivalent to the cohesiveend molecules produced by the complicated terminal-transferase procedure.

The experiments that led to the discovery of the capabilities of Eco RI were reported independently and simultaneously in November, 1972, by Janet Mertz and Ronald W. Davis of Stanford and by another Stanford investigator, Vittorio Sgaramella, Sgaramella found that molecules of the bacterial virus P22 could be cleaved with Eco RI and would then link up end to end to form DNA segments equal in length to two or more viral-DNA molecules. Mertz and Davis observed that closed-loop SV40-DNA molecules cleaved by Eco RI would reform themselves into circular molecules by hydrogen bonding and could be sealed with DNA ligase; the reconstituted molecules were infectious in animal cells growing in tissue culture. Boyer and his colleagues analyzed the nucleotide sequences at the DNA termini produced by Eco RI, and their evidence confirmed the complementary nature of the termini, which accounted for their cohesive activity.

n late 1972, then, several methods were available by which one could join double-strand molecules of DNA, That was a major step in the development of a system for manipulating genes, More was necessary, however. Most segments of DNA do not have an inherent capacity for self-replication; in order to reproduce themselves in a biological system they need to be integrated into DNA molecules that can replicate in the particular system. Even a DNA segment that can replicate in its original host was not likely to have the specific genetic signals required for replication in a different environment. If foreign DNA was to be propagated in bacteria, as had long been proposed in speculative scenarios of genetic engineering, a suitable vehicle, or carrier, was required. A composite DNA molecule consisting of the vehicle and the desired foreign DNA would have to be introduced into a population of functional host bacteria. Finally, it would be necessary to select, or identify, those cells in the bacterial population that took up the DNA chimeras. In 1972 it still seemed possible that the genetic information on totally foreign DNA molecules might produce an aberrant situation that would prevent the propagation of hybrid molecules in a new host,

Molecular biologists had focused for many years on viruses and their rela-, tions with bacteria, and so it was natural that bacterial viruses were thought of as the most likely vehicles for genetic manipulation. For some time there had been speculation and discussion about. using viruses, such as lambda, that occasionally acquire bits of the E. coltchromosome by natural recombination · mechanisms for cloning DNA from foreign sources. It was not a virus, however, but a plasmid that first served as a vehicle for introducing foreign genes into a bacterium and that provided a mechanism for the replication and selection of the foreign DNA.

A ubiquitous group of plasmids that confer on their host bacteria the ability to resist a number of antibiotics had been studied intensively for more than a decade. Antibiotic-resistant E. coli isolated in many parts of the world, for example, were found to contain plasmids, designated R factors (for "resistance"), carrying the genetic information for products that in one way or another could interfere with the action of specific antibiotics [see "Infectious Drug Resistance," Tsutomu Watanabe; Scientific Ameri-CAN, December, 1967]. Double-strand circular molecules of R-factor DNA had been separated from bacterial chromosomal DNA by centrifugation in density gradients and had been characterized by biochemical and physical techniques [see "The Molecule of Infectious Drug Resistance," by Royston C. Clowes; SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN, April, 1973].

In 1970 Morton Mandel and A. Higa of the University of Hawaii School of Medicine had discovered that treatment of E. coli with calcium salts enabled the bacteria to take up viral DNA, At Stanford, Chang and I, with Leslie Hsu, found that if we made the cell membranes of E. coli permeable by treating them with calcium chloride, purified Rfactor DNA could be introduced into them [see illustration on opposite page]. The R-factor DNA is taken up in this transformation process by only about one bacterial cell in a million, but those few cells can be selected because they live and multiply in the presence of the antibiotics to which the R factor confers resistance, whereas other cells die. Each transformed cell gives rise to a clone that contains exact replicas of the parent plasmid DNA molecules, and so we reasoned that plasmids might serve as vehicles for propagating new genetic information in a line of E: coli cells,

In an effort to explore the genetic and molecular properties of various re-gions of the R-factor DNA we had begun to take plasmids apart by shearing their DNA mechanically and then, transforming E. coli with the resulting fragments. Soon afterward we began to cleave the plasmids with the *Eco A*I onsyme, which had been shown to produce multiple site-specific breaks in several viruses. It might therefore be counted on to cleave all molecules of a bacterial plasmid in the same way, so that any particular species of DNA would yield a specific set of cleavage fragments; and do so reproducibly. The fragments could then be separated and identified according to the different rates at which they would migrate through a gel under the influence of an electric current.

When the DNA termini produced by W_{Eco} RI endonuclease were found to be cohesive. Chang and I, in collaboration with Boyer and Helling in San Francisco, proceeded to search for a plasmid that the enzyme would cleave without affecting the plasmid's ability to replicate or to confer antibiotic resistance. We hoped that if such a plasmid could be found, we could insert a segment of foreign DNA at the *Eco* RI cleavage site, and that it might be possible to propagate the foreign DNA in *E. coli*.

In our collection at Stanford there was a small plasmid, pSC101, that had been isolated following the mechanical shearing of a large plasmid bearing genes for multiple antibiotic resistance. It was less than a twelfth as long as the parent plasmid, but it did retain the genetic information for its replication in E. coli and for conferring resistance to one antibiotic, tetracycline. When we subjected pSC101 DNA to cleavage by Eco RI and analyzed the products by gel electrophoresis, we found that the enzyme had cut the plasmid molecule in only one place, producing a single linear fragment. We were able to join the ends of that fragment again by hydrogen bonding and reseal them with DNA ligase, and when we introduced the reconstituted circular DNA molecules into E. coli by transformation, they were biologically func-tional plasmids; they replicated and conferred tetracycline resistance.

The next step was to see if a fragment of foreign DNA could be inserted at the cleavage site without interfering with replication or expression of tetracycline mid's ability to serve as a cloning'vehicle. We mixed the DNA of another E. coil plasmid, which carried resistance to the autibiotic knamycin, with the pSC101 DNA. We subjected the mixed DNA to cleavage by Eco RI and then to lightion, transformed E. coli with the resulting DNA and found that some of the transformed bacteria were indeed resist-

د :



PLASMID DNA can be introduced into a bacterial cell by the procedure called transformation. Plasmids carrying genes for resistance to the antibiotic tetracycline (top left) are separated from bacterial chromosomal DNA. Because differential binding of ethidium bromide by the two DNA species makes the circular plasmid DNA denser than the chromosomal DNA, the plasmids form a distinct hand on centrifugation in a ceatum chlorido gradient and can be separated (bottom left). The plasmid DNA is mixed with bacterial cells that are not resistant to tetracycline and that have heen made permetable by treatment with a calcium sil, The DNA enters the cells, replicates there and makes the colls resistant to tetracycline.



FOREIGN DNA is spliced into the pSC101 plasmid and introduced with the plasmid into the bacterium Escherichia colt. The plasmid is cleared by the endoancelease *Eco* RI as a single eite that does not interfere with the plasmid's genes for replication or for resistance to tatracycline (*top* left). The nucleotide sequence recognized by *Eco* RI is present also in other DNA, so that a forcing DNA exposed to the endoauclease is cleaved about once in every 4,000 to 16,000 nucleotide pairs on a random basis (top right). Fragments of eleaved foreign DNA are annealed to the plasmid DNA by hydrogen honding of the complementary base pairs, and the new composite molecules are scaled by DNA. ligans. The DNA chimeras, each consisting of the entire plasmid and a foreign DNA fragment, are introduced into *E. coli* by transformation, and the foreign DNA is replicated by virtue of the replication functions of the plasmid.

o

ant to both totracycline and kanamycin. The plasmids isolated from such transformants contained the entire pSC101 DNA segment and also a second DNA fragment that carried the information for knamycin resistance, although it lacked replication functions of its own. The results meant that the pSC101 could serve as a cloning while for introducing at least a nonreplicating segment of a related DNA into E, coli. And the procedure was extraordinarily simple.

Could genes from other species be introduced into E. coli plasmids, however? There might be genetic signals on foreign DNA that would prevent its propagation or expression in E. coli. We decided to try to combine DNA from a plasmid of another bacterium, the pI258 plasmid of Staphylococcus aureus, with our original E. coli plasmid. The staph-ylococcal plasmid had already been studied in several laboratories; we had found that it was cleaved into four DNA fragments by Eco RI, Since pl258 was not native to E, coli or to related bacteria, it could not on its own propagate in an E. coli host, And it was known to carry a gene for resistance to still another antibiotic, penicillin, that would serve as a marker for selecting any transformed clones. (Penicillin resistance, like combined resistance to tetracycline and kanamycin, was already widespread among E. coli strains in nature. That was important; if genes from a bacterial species that cannot normally exchange genetic information with the colon bacillus were to be introduced into it, it was essential that they carry only antibiotic-resistance traits that were already prevalent in E. coli. Otherwise we would be extending the species' antibiotic-resistance capabilities.)

Chang and I repeated the experiment that had been successful with two kinds of E. coli plasmids, but this time we did it with a mixture of the E. coli's pSC-101 and the staphylococcal pI258: we cleaved the mixed plasmids with Eco RI endonuclease, treated them with ligase and then transformed E. coli. Next we isolated transformed bacteria that expressed the penicillin resistance coded for by the S. aureus plasmid as well as the tetracycline resistance of the E. coli plasmid. These doubly resistant cells were found to contain a new DNA species that had the molecular characteristies of the staphylococcal plasmid DNA as well as the characteristics of pSC101.

The replication and expression in *E. coli* of genes derived from an organism ordinarily quite unable to exchange genes with *E. coli* represented a breach in the barriers that normally separate

biological species. The bulk of the genetic information expressed in the transformed bacteria defined it as E. coli, but the transformed cells also carried replicating DNA molecules that had molecular and biological characteristics derived from an unrelated species, S. aureus. The fact that the foreign genes were on a plasmid meant that they would be easy to isolate and purify in large quantities for further study. Moreover, there was a possibility that one might introduce genes into the easy-to-grow E. coli that specify a wide variety of metabolic or synthesizing functions (such as photosynthesis or antibiotic production) and that are indigenous to other biological classes. Potentially the pSC101 plasmid and the molecular-cloning procedure could serve to introduce DNA molecules from complex higher organisms into bacterial hosts, making it possible to apply relatively simple bacterial genetic and biochemical techniques to the study of animal-cell genes.

'ould animal-cell genes in fact be introduced into bacteria, and would they replicate there? Boyer, Chang, Helling and I, together with Morrow and Goodman, immediately undertook to find out. We picked certain genes that had been well studied and characterized and were available, purified, in quantity: the genes that code for a precursor of the ribosomes (the structure on which proteins are synthesized) in the toad Xenopus laccis. The genes had properties that would enable us to identify them if we succeeded in getting them to propagate in bacteria. The toad DNA was suitable for another reason: although we would be constructing a novel biological combination containing genes from both animal cells and bacteria, we and others expected that no hazard would result from transplanting the highly purified ribosomal genes of a toad.

Unlike the foreign DNA's of our earlier experiments, the toad genes did not express traits (such as antibiotic resistance) that could help us to select bacteria carrying plasmid chimeras. The tetracycline resistance conferred by pSC101 would make it possible to select transformed clones, however, and we could then proceed to examine the DNA isolated from such clones to see if any clones contained a foreign DNA having the molecular properties of toad ribosomal DNA. The endonuclease-generated fragments of toad ribosomal DNA have characteristic sizes and base compositions; DNA from the transformed cells could be tested for those characteristics. The genes propagated in bacteria could also be tested for nucleotidesequence homology with DNA isolated directly from the toad.

When we did the experiment and analyzed the resulting transformed cells, we found that the animal-cell genes were indeed reproducing themse ves in generation after generation of bacteria by means of the plasmid's replication functions. In addition, the nucleotide sequences of the toad DNA were being transcribed into an RNA product in the bacterial cells.

Within a very few months after the first DNA-cloning experiments the procedure was being used in a number of laboratories to clone bacterial and animal-cell DNA from a variety of sources, Soon two plasmids other than pSC101 were discovered that have a single Eco RI cleavage site at a location that does not interfere with essential genes. One of these plasmids is present in many copies in the bacterial cell, making it possible to "amplify," or multiply many times, any DNA fragments linked to it. Investigators at the University of Edinburgh and at Stanford went on to develop mutants of the virus lambda (which ordinarily infects E. coli) that made the virus too an effective cloning vehicle. Other restriction er donucleases were discovered that also make cohesive termini but that cleave DNA at different sites from the Eco RI enzymes, so that chromosomes can now be taken apart and put together in various ways.

The investigative possibilities of DNA cloning are already being explored intensively. Some workers have isolated from complex chromosomes certain regions that are implicated in particular functions such as replication. Others are making plasmids to order with specific properties that should clarify aspects of extrachromosomal-DNA biology that have been hard to study. The organization of complex chromosomes, such as those of the fruit fly Drosophila, is being studied by cloning the animal genes in bacteria. Within the past rew months methods have been developed for sclectively doning specific genes of higher organisms through the use of radioactively labeled RNA probes. instead of purifying the genes to be studied before introducing them into bacteria, one can transform bacteria with a heterogeneous population of animal-cell DNA and then isolate those genes that produce a particular species of RNA. It is also possible to isolate groups of genes that are expressed concurrently at a particular stage in the animal's development.

The potential seems to be even broader. Gene manipulation opens the pros-

pect of constructing bacterial cells, which can be grown easily and inexpensively, that will synthesize a variety of biologically produced substances such as antibiotics and hormones, or enzymes that can convert sublight directly into food substances or usable energy. Perhaps it even provides an experimental basis for introducing new genetic information into plant or animal cells.

It has been clear from the beginning of experimentation in molecular cloning that the construction of some kinds of novel gene combinations may have a potential for biological hazard, and the scientific community has moved quickly to make certain that research in genetic manipulation would not endanger the public. For a time after our initial experiments the pSC101 plasmid was the only vehicle known to be suitable for cloning foreign DNA in E. coli, and our colleagues asked for supplies with which to pursue studies we knew were of major scientific and medical importance. Investigators normally facilitate the free exchange of bacteria and other experimental strains they have isolated or developed, but Chang and I were concorned that manipulation of certain genes could give rise to novel organisms whose infectious properties and ecological effects could not be predicted. In agreeing to provide the plasmid we therefore asked for assurance that our colleagues would neither introduce tumor viruses into bacteria nor create antibiotic-resistance combinations that were not already present in nature; we also asked the recipients not to send the plasmid on to other laboratories, so that we could keep track of its distribution.

When still other cloning vehicles were

discovered, it became apparent that a more general mechanism for ensuring experimental safety in gene-manipulation research was advisable. The groundwork for such control had been established earlier: the National Academy of Sciences had been urged to consider the "possibility that potentially biohazardous consequences might result from widespread or injudicious use" of these techniques and had asked Paul Berg to form an advisory committee that would consider the issue. Berg too had been concerned about the potential hazards of certain kinds of experimentation for some years, and had himself decided to abandon plans to try to introduce genes from the tumor virus SV40 into bacteria because of the possible danger if the experiment were successful

Berg brought together a number of investigators, including some who were then directly involved in molecular cloning, in the spring of 1974. In a report released in July and in a letter to leading professional journals the members of the committee expressed their "concern about the possible unfortunate consequences of indiscriminate application" of the techniques and formally asked all investigators to join them in voluntarily deferring two types of experiments (which had, as a matter of fact, been avoided by informal consensus up until that time). Experiments of Type I involved the construction of novel organisms containing combinations of toxinproducing capabilities or of antibioticresistance genes not found in nature. Type 2 experiments involved the introduction of DNA from tumor viruses or other animal viruses into bacteria; the committee noted that "such recombinant molecules might be more easily disseminated to bacterial populations in humans and other species, and might thus increase the incidence of cancer or other diseases."

The Academy committee was concerned largely because of our mability to assess the hazards of certain experiments accurately before the experiments were undertaken, Cuidelines for safety had long been available in other areas of potentially hazardous research, such as studies involving known disease-causing bacteria and viruses, radioactive isotopes or toxic chemicals. Because of the newness of the microbial gene-manipulation methods, no such guidelines had yet been developed for work in this area, however; there was the possibility that potentially hazardous experiments might proceed before appropriate guidelines could be considered and implemented: We recognized that most work with the new methods did not and would not involve experiments of a hazardous nature but we recommended the deferral of Type I and Type II experiments until the hazards were more carefully assessed, until it was determined whether or not the work could be undertaken safely and until adequate safety precautions were available. The committee also proposed that an international meeting be held early in 1975 to consider the matter more fully.

Such a meeting was held in February at the Asilomar Conference Center near Pacific Grove, Calif, It brought together 86 American biologists and 53 investigators from 16 other countries, who spent three and a half days reviewing progress in the field of molecular cloning and formulating guidelines that would allow most types of new hereditary characteristics to be introduced into bacteria and



GEL ELECTROPHORESIS demonstrates the presence of toad DNA in chimeric plasmide. Fragments of DNA migrate through a gel at different rates under the influence of an electric current, depending on their size. Linear molecules of plasmid DNA (*right*) and the cleavage products of toad rihosomal DNA (*left*) therefore 10 have characteristic sizes and migrate characteristic distances in a given time. The bands of DNA, visualized by a fluorescent dye, are photographed in ultraviolet. All five chimeric plasmids (center) coutain a plasmid DNA molecule; in addition each chimera includes one or more fragments characteristic of original to ad DNA.

viruses safely. Invited nonscientists from the fields of law and ethics participated in the discussions and decisions at Asilomar, along with representatives of agencies that provide Federal funds for scientific research; the meetings were open to the press and were fully reported, The issues were complex and there were wide differences of opinion on many of them, but there was consensus on three major points. First, the newly developed cloning methods offer the prospect of dealing with a wide variety of important scientific and medical problems as well as other problems that trouble society, such as environmental pollution and food and energy shortages, Second, the accidental dissemination of certain novel biological combinations may present varying degrees of potential risk. The construction of such combinations should proceed only under a graded series of precau-tions, principally biological and physical barriers, adequate to prevent the escape of any hazardous organisms; the extent of the actual risk should be explored by experiments conducted under strict containment conditions. Third, some experiments are potentially too hazardous to be carried out for the present, even with the most careful containment, Future research and experience may show that many of the potential hazards considered at the meeting are less serious and less probable than we now suspect. Nevertheless, it was agreed that standards of protection should be high at the beginning and that they can be modified later if the assessment of risk changes.

2

0

Ł

Physical containment barriers have long been used in the U.S. space-exploration program to minimize the possibility of contamination of the earth by extraterrestrial microbes. Containment procedures are also employed routinely to protect laboratory workers and the public from hazards associated with radioactive isotopes and toxic chemicals and in work with disease-causing bacteria and viruses. The Asilomar meeting formulated the additional concept of biological barriers, which involve fastidious cloning vehicles that are able to propagate only in specialized hosts and equally fastidious bacterial strains that are unable to live except under stringent laboratory conditions.

In the past the scientific community has commonly policed its own actions informally, responding to ethical concerns with self-imposed restraint. Usually, but not always, society at large has also considered the public well-being in determining how knowledge obtained by basic scientific research should be applied. Extensive public scrutiny and



HETERODUPLEX ANALYSIS identifies regions of a total DNA (black) that have been incorporated in a chimeric plasmid DNA molecule. DNA isolated from toad eggs and the DNA of the chimera are deniatured, that is, such natural double-strand molecule is aplit into two single strands of DNA, by alkali treatment. The toad and the chimeric DNA's are mixed together, and any complementary sequences are allowed to find cach other. The toad DNA incorporated in the chimeres has mucleotide sequences that are complementary to sequences in the DNA taken directly from the similal source. These homologous sequences announce of the clearing and the clearing and to form heteroduplex double-strand DNA that can be identified in clearton fairors the clearing the strand DNA that can be identified in clearton fairstrate the strands of the strand DNA that can be identified in clearton fairstrate to able strand DNA that can be identified in the clearton fairto form heteroduplex double-strand DNA that can be identified in the clearton fairstrate the strands of the strand DNA that can be identified in the fairtor fair between the strand DNA that can be identified in the fair of the strand strands of the fair of the strand the strand strands of the strand the strand the strand strands of the strand the strand strands of the strand strands of the strand the strand strands of the strand the strand strands of the strands of the strands strands of the strands of the strands of the strands of the strand strands of the strandstrands of the str

open discussion by scientists and nonscientists of the possible risks and benefits of a particular line of basic research has been rare, however, when (as in this case) the hazards in question are only potential and, for some experiments, even hypothetical. As this article is being written it is still too early to know what the long-range outcome of the public discussions initiated by scientists working in genetic manipulation will be, One can hope that the forthright approach and the rigorous standards that have been adopted for research in the cloning of recombinant DNA molecules will promote a sharper focus on other issues relevant to public and environmental safety.





PRESENCE OF TOAD DNA in two separate chimetic plasmid molecules is demonstrated by an electron micrograph made by John F. Morrow at the Stanford University School of Modilienc. As is indicated in the drawing (bottom), there are DNA strands from two plasmids and a strand of toad DNA. The micrograph shows thickened regions of DNA where nucleotide sequences are houndlogous and two single strands have been annealed. The toad DNA in the chimeras codes for thosomes, and the space between the two heteroduplex regions is compatible with the spacing of multiple ribosomal genes in toad DNA.

The Author

STANLEY N. COHEN is associate professor of medicine at the Stanford University School of Medicine. A graduate of Rutgers University and the University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, he joined the Stanford faculty in 1968 after spending several years teaching and doing research in molecular biology at the Albert Einstein College of Medicine. His research has also involved a stint at the National Institute of Arthritis and Metabolic Diseases. A specialist in bacterial plasmids, Cohen was a member of the National Academy of Sciences committee that recently called for the voluntary deferral of certain potentially hazardous experiments involving recombinant DNA molecules.

Bibliography

CONSTRUCTION OF BIOLOGICALLY FUNCTIONAL BACTERIAL PLASNEDS IN VITRO. Stanley N. Cohen, Annie C. Y. Chang, Herbert W. Boyer and Robert B. Helling in Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, Vol. 70, No. 11, pages 3240-3244; November, 1973.

REPLICATION AND TRANSCRIPTION OF EURANYOTIC DNA IN ESCHERICHIA COLL. John F. Mortow, Stanley N. Cohen, Annie C. Y. Chang, Herbert W. Boyer, Howard M. Goodman and Robert B. Helling in Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, Vol. 71, No. 5, pages 1743-1747; May, 1974. POTENTIAL BIOHZARDS oF RECOMPT-

- POTENTIAL BIOHAZARDS OF RECOMMI-NANT DNA MOLECULES. P. Berg et al. in Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, Vol. 71, No. 7, pages 2595-2599; July, 1974.
- FIRST ASM CONFERENCE ON EXTRA-CHROMOSOMAL ELEMENTS IN BACTE-RIA in Microbiology-1974. American. Society for Microbiology, 1975.
- REPORT OF THE WORKING PARTY ON THE EXPERIMENTAL MANIPULATION OF MICROORGANISMS. Her Majesty's

Stationery Office, London, 1975.

19 A. A. A.

(a) Construction of the second secon second sec

and a second second

2961

. 15 m

Merelli in the second second and the second second

NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES¹ INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON RECOMBINANT DNA MOLECULES

Organizing committee

Paul Berg, Chairman, Department of Biochemistry, Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford, California.

David Baltimore, Center for Cancer Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Sydney Brenner, Medical Research Council Laboratory for Molecular Biology. Cambridge, England.

Richard O. Roblin III, Harvard Medical School and Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts.

Maxine F. Singer, Laboratory of Biochemistry and Metabolism, National Institute of Arthritis and Metabolic Disease, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, the Qu

NAS-NRC staff

1.1.1

Artemis P. Simopoulos, Division of Medical Sciences, National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C.

Elena O. Nightingale, Division of Medical Sciences, National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C.

Howard Lewis, Press Office, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C. Foreign participants

Ephraim S. Anderson, Enteric Reference Laboratory, Public Health Laboratory Service, London, England.

Toshihko Arai, Department of Microbiology, Keio University Shinjuku. Tokvo. Japan.

Werner Arber. Department of Microbiology. University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland.

A. A. Bayev, Institute of Molecular Biology, Moscow, USSR.

Douglas Berg, Department de Biologie Moleculaire, Universite de Geneve, Geneve, Switzerland.

Yuriy A. Berlin, M. M. Shemyakin Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow, USSR.

G. Bernardi, Institute de Biologie Moleculaire, Faculte des Sciences, Paris, France.

Max Birnstiel, Institute of Molecular Biology, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

Walter F. Bodmer, Genetics Laboratory, Department of Biochemistry, Oxford, England.

N. H. Carey, G. D. Searle and Company, Ltd., Research Division, Bucks, England.

Y. A. Chabbert, Bacteriology Department, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France.

Ray Dixon. ARC Unit of Nitrogen Fixation. University of Sussex, Brighton, England.

W. A. Englehardt, Institute of Molecular Biology, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow, USSR.

Walter Fiers, Laboratorium voor Moleculaire Biologie, Ghent, Belgium.

Murray J. Fraser, Department of Biochemistry, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

W. Gayewski, Department of Genetics, Warsaw University Ujazdowskie, Poland.

Stuart W. Glover, Department of Genetics, University of Newcastle-Upon-Tyne, England.

a children ¹U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Recombinant DNA research. Volume 1. August 1976: 48-58. (91)

eren andrenen zum einen 1913 - Heren Stade, eine eine Stade eine Stade eine eine einen Startus Starten (* 19 1920 - Heren B. Greentere Staderig eine State

all in the second product of the second part of the second second the second second second second second second

. damakan Series

Walter Goebel, Gesellschaft fur Molekularbiologische Forschung Braunschweig, West Germany.

Carleton Gyles, Department of Veterinary Microbiology and Immunology, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada.

Gerd Hobom, Institut fur Biologie II der Universitat Freiburg, Freiburg, West Germany.

Peter H. Hofschneider, Max-Planck-Institut fur Biochemie, Munchen, West Germany.

Bruce W. Holloway, Department of Genetics, Monash University, Victoria, Australia.

H. S. Jansz, Netherlands Biochemical Society, c/o Vondellaan 24A The Netherlands.

Mikhail N. Kolosov, M. M. Shemyakin Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow, USSR.

Philippe Kourilsky, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France.

Ole Maaloe, Department of Microbiology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.

Alastair T. Matheson, Division of Biological Sciences. National Research Council, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

Kenichi Matsubara, Department of Biochemistry, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan.

Andrey D. Mirzabekov, Institute of Molecular Biology, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow, USSR.

Kenneth Murray, Department of Molecular Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland.

Ramon Naranjo, Guadalajara University, Guadalajara, Mexico.

James Peacock, Division of Plant Industries, CSIRO, Canberra City, Australia. Lennart Philipson, Department of Microbiology, The Wallenberg Laboratory, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden.

James Pitard, Department of Microbiology, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia.

Mark H. Richmond, Department of Bactériology, University of Bristol, Bristol, England.

A. Rorsch, Department of Biochemistry, Leiden State University, Leiden, The Netherlands.

Vittorio Sgaramella, Instituto di Genetica, Pavia, Italy.

Luigi G. Silvestri, Gruppo Lepetit, Milano, Italy.

Lou Siminovitch, Department of Medical Genetics, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

H. Williams Smith, Houghton Poultry Research Station, Huntingdon, England. Peter Starlinger, Institut für Genetik der Universitat Koln, Koln West Germany.

Pierre Tiollais, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France.

Alfred Tissieres, Department de Biologie Moleculaire Sciences, Universite de Geneve, Geneve, Switzerland.

John Tooze, EMBO, Heidelberg, West Germany.

Alex J. Van der Eb, Laboratory of Physicological Chemistry, Leiden, The Netherlands.

Charles Weissmann, Institut fur Molekularbiologie, Universitat Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

Robert Williamson, Beatson Hospital, Glasgow, Scotland.

Ernest Winocour, Department of Genetics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel.

E. L. Wollman, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France.

Hans G. Zachau, Institut für Physiologische Chemie und Physikalische Biochemie, Universitat Munchen, Munchen, West Germany.

U.S. participants

Edward A. Adelberg, Department of Microbiology, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, addised to an additional additio

W. Emmett Barkeley, Environmental Control Section, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland.

Louis S. Baron, Department of Bacterial Immunology, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Washington, D.C.

Michael Beer, Department of Biophysics, The John Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland. Jerome Birnbaum, Basic Microbiology, Merck Institute, Rahway, New Jersey. J. Michael Bishop, Department of Microbiology, University of California Medical Center, San Francisco, California.

David Botstein, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.

Herbert Boyer, Department of Microbiology, University of California Medical Center, San Francisco, California.

Donald D. Brown, Department of Embryology, Carnegie Institution of Washington, Baltimore, Maryland.

Robert H. Burris, Department of Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.

Allan M. Campbell, Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, California.

Alexander Capron, University of Pennsylvania School of Law, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

John A. Carbon, Department of Biological Science, University of California, Santa Barbara, California.

Dana Carroll, Department of Embryology, Carnegie Institution of Washington, Baltimore, Maryland.

A. M. Chakrabarty, Physical Chemistry Laboratory, General Electric Company, Schenectady, New York.

Ernest Chu, Department of Human Genetics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Alfred J. Člark, Department of Molecular Biology, University of California, Berkeley, California.

Eloise E. Clark, Division of Biological and Medical Sciences, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C.

Royston C. Clowes, Department of Biology, Institute for Molecular Biology, University of Texas at Dallas, Texas.

Stanley Cohen, Department of Medicine, Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford, California.

Roy Curtiss III, Department of Microbiology, University of Alabama Medical Center, Birmingham, Alabama.

Eric H. Davidson, Department of Developmental Biology, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California.

Julian E. Davies, Department of Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.

Ronald W. Davis, Department of Biochemistry, Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford, California.

Peter Day, Connecticut Agricultural Experimental Station, New Haven, Connecticut.

Vittorio Defendi, Department of Pathology, New York University Medical Center, New York, New York.

Roger Dworkin, Department of Biomedical History, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington.

Marshall Edgell, Department of Bacteriology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina.

Stanley Falkow, Department of Microbiology, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, Washington.

W. Edmund Farrar, Jr., Department of Medicine, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina.

Maurice S. Fox, Department of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Pechnology, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Theodore Friedman, Department of Medicine, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, California.

1

William Gartland, National Institute of General Medical Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Harold Green, Fried, Frank, Harris, Schriver, and Kampelman, Washington, D.C.

Donald R. Helinski. Department of Biology, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, California.

Robert B. Helling, Department of Botany, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Alfred Hellman, Biohazards and Environmental Control, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland.

David S. Hogness, Department of Biochemistry, Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford, California.

David A. Jackson, Departmnet of Microbiology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Leon Jacobs, Office for Coilaborative Research, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Joshua Lederberg, Department of Genetics, Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford, California.

Arthur S. Levine, Section on Infectious Diseases, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland.

Andrew M. Lewis, Laboratory of Viral Diseases, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Herman Lewis, Cellular Biology Section, Division of Biological and Medical Sciences, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C.

Paul Lovett, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Maryland, Baltimore, Maryland.

Morton Mandel, Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of Hawaii School of Medicine, Honolulu, Hawaii.

Malcolm A. Martin, Physical Biochemistry Section, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Robert G. Martin, National Institute of Arthritis, Metabolism and Digestive Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Carl R. Merril, Laboratory of General and Comparative Biochemistry, Na-tional Institute of Mental Health, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

John Morrow, Department of Embryology, Carnegie Institution of Washington, Baltimore, Maryland.

Daniel Nathans, Department of Microbiology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland.

Richard P. Novick, Department of Microbiology, Public Health Research Institute, New York, New York. Ronald Olsen, Department of Microbiology, University of Michigan, Ann

Arbor. Michigan.

Richard J. Roberts, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.

Stanfield Rogers, Department of Biochemistry, University of Tennessee Medical Units, Memphis, Tennessee.

Bernard Roizman, Department of Microbiology and Biophysics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois

Philip Sharp, Center for Cancer Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Aaron J. Shatkin, Roche Institute of Molecular Biology, Nutley, New Jersey. George R. Shepherd, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico.

Joe Sambrook, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.

Jane Setlow, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, Long Island, New York.

Daniel Singer, Institute of Society, Ethics and Life Sciences, Hastings-on-Hudson, New York.

Robert L. Sinsheimer, Division of Biology, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California.

Anna Marie Skalka, Department of Cell Biology, Roche Institute of Molecular Biology, Nutley, New Jersey. Mortimer P. Starr, Department of Bacteriology, University of California,

Davis. California.

DeWitt Stetten, Jr., National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland. Waclaw Szybalski, McArdle Laboratory, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.

Gordon M. Tomkins, Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California, San Francisco, California. 3 84.50

Raymond C. Valentine, Department of Chemistry, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, California. and which where it is that

Jerome Vinograd, Department of Chemistry and Biology, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California.

Duard Walker, Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin. Rudolf G. Wanner, Office of Environmental Health and Safety, Division of

Research Services, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

James Watson, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.

Peter Weglinski, Department of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Bernard Weisblum, Department of Pharmacology, University of Wisconsin Medical School, Madison, Wisconsin.

Sherman Weissman, Department of Medicine, Biology and Molecular Biophysics, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut. Pieter Wensink, Rosenstiel Center, Brandeis University, Waltham, Massa-

chusetts.

Frank Young, Department of Microbiology, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York.

an said

Norton D. Zinder, The Rockefeller University, New York, New York.

Press participants

George Alexander, Los Angeles Times. Stuart Auerbach, Washington Post. Jerry Bishop, Wall Street Journal. Graham Chedd, New Scientist and Nova. Robert Cooke, Boston Globe. Rainer Flohl, Frankfurter Allgemeine. Gail McBride, JAMA. Victor McElheny, New York Times. Colin Norman, Nature. Dave Perlman, San Francisco Chronicle. Judy Randal, Washington Star-News. Michael Rogers, Rolling Stone. Cristine Russell, BioScience. Nicholas Wade, Science. Janet Weinberg, Science News.

المراقب معالم المراقب ا المراقب ال المراقب ال

Dermot A. O'Sullivan, Chemical and Engineering News.

Reprinted from

Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA Vol. 72, No. 6, pp. 1981-1984, June 1975

Summary Statement of the Asilomar Conference on Recombinant DNA Molecules*

PAUL BERG†, DAVID BALTIMORE‡, SYDNEY BRENNER§, RICHARD O. ROBLIN III¶, AND MAXINE F. SINGER

Organizing Committee for the International Conference on Recombinant DNA Molecules. Assembly of Life Sciences, National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C. 20418. I Chairman of the committee and Professor of Biochemistry. Department of Biochemistry, Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford, Californiz, I American Cancer Society Trolessor of Microbiology, Center for Cancer Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massa; I American Cancer Society Trolessor of Micro-Biesarch Council of the United Kingdom. Cumbridge, Engand, I Professor of Microbiology and Molecular Coneting, Harvard Medical Research Council of the United Kingdom. Cumbridge, Engand, I Professor of Microbiology and Molecular Coneting, Harvard Medical School, and Assistant Bacteriologist, Infections Disease Unit, Masschusetts General Hospital, Botton, Mass, and IJ Houd, Nucles And Enzymology Section, Laboratory of Biochemistry, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bothendar, Maryland,

I. INTRODUCTION AND GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

This meeting was organized to review scientific progress in research on recombinant DNA molecules and to discuss appropriate ways to deal with the potential biohazards of this work. Impressive scientific achievements have a remarkable potential for furthering our understanding of fundamental biochemical processes in pro- and eukaryotic cells. The use of recombinant DNA methodology promises to revolutionize the practice of molecular biology. Although there has as yet been no practical application of the new techniques, there is every reason to believe that they will have significant practical utility in the future.

Of particular concern to the participants at the meeting was the issue of whether the pause in certain aspects of research in this area, called for by the Committee on Recombinant DNA Molecules of the National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A. in the letter published in July, 1974** should end; and, it so, how the scientific work could be undertaken with minimal risks to workers in laboratories, to the public at large, and to the animal and plant species sharing our coosystems.

The new techniques, which permit combination of genetic information from very different organisms, place us in an area of biology with many unknowns. Even in the present, more limited conduct of research in this field, the evaluation of potential bioharards has proved to be extremely difficult. It is this ignorance that has compelled us to conclude that it would be wise to exercise considerable caution in performing this research. Nevertheless, the participants at the Conference agreed that most of the work on construction of recombinant DNA molecules should proceed provided that appropriate safeguerds, principally biological and physical barriers adequate to contain the newly created organisms, are employed. Moreover, the standards of protection should be greater at the beginning and modified as improvements in the methodology occur and assessments of the risks change. Furthermore, it was agreed that there are certain experiments in which the potential risks are of such a serious nature that they ought not to be done with presently available containment facilities. In the longer term, serious problems may arise in the large scale application of this methodology in industry, medicine, and agriculture. But it was also recognized that future research and experience may show that many of the potential biohnards are less serious and/or less probable than we now suspect.

II. PRINCIPLES GUIDING THE RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Although our assessments of the risks involved with each of the various lines of research on recombinant DNA molecules may differ, few, if any, believe that this methodology is free from any risk. Reasonable principles for dealing with these potential risks are: (i) that containment be made an essential consideration in the experimental design and, (ii) that the effectiveness of the containment should match, as closely as possible, the estimated risk, Consequently, whatever scale of risks is agreed upon, there should be a commensurate scale of containment. Estimating the risks will be difficult and intuitive at first but this will improve as we acquire additional knowledge; at each stage we shall have to match the potential risk with an appropriate level of containment. Experiments requiring large scale operations would seem to be riskier than equivalent experiments done on a small scale and, therefore, require more stringent containment procedures. The use of cloning vehicles or vectors (plasmids, phages) and bacterial hosts with a restricted capacity to multiply outside of the laboratory would reduce the potential biohazard of a particular experiment. Thus, the ways in which potential biohazards and different levels of containment are matched may vary from time to time, particularly as the containment technology is improved. The means for assessing and balancing risks with appropriate levels of containment will need to be reexamined from time to time. Hopefully, through both formal and informal channels of information within and between the nations of the world, the way in which potential biohazards and levels of containment are matched would be consistent.

Reproduced from the Proceedings of the National Academy of sciences, v. 72, June 1975, by permission of the publisher, the National Academy of Sciences.

^{*} Summary statement of the report submitted to the Assembly of Life Sciences of the National Academy of Sciences and approved by its Executive Committee on 20 May 1975.

Requests for reprints should be addressed to: Division of Medical Sciences, Assembly of Life Sciences, National Academy of Sciences, 2101 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20418.

^{**} Report of Committee on Recombinant DNA Molecules: "Potential Biohazards of Recombinant DNA Molecules," Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 71, 2593-2594, 1974.

1982 Summary Statement: Berg et al.

Containment of potentially biohazardous agents can be achieved in several ways. The most significant contribution to limiting the spread of the recombinant DNAs is the use of biological barriers. These barriers are of two types: (i) fastidious bacterial hosts unable to survive in natural environments, and (ii) nontransmissible and equally fastidious vectors (plasmids, bacteriophages, or other viruses) able to grow only in specified hosts. Physical containment, exemplified by the use of suitable hoods, or where applicable, limited access or negative pressure laboratories, provides an additional factor of safety. Particularly important is strict adherence to good microbiological practices which, to a large measure can limit the escape of organisms from the experimental situation, and thereby increase the safety of the operation. Consequently, education and training of all personnel involved in the experiments is essential to the effectiveness of all containment measures. In practice, these different means of containment will complement one another and documented substantial improvements in the ability to restrict the growth of bacterial hosts and vectors could permit modifications of the complementary physical containment requirements.

Stringent physical containment and rigorous laboratory procedures can reduce but not eliminate the possibility of spreading potentially hazardous agents. Therefore, investigators relying upon "disarmed" hosts and vectors for additional safety must rigorously test the effectiveness of these agents before accepting their validity as biological barriers.

III. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MATCHING TYPES OF CONTAINMENT WITH TYPES OF EXPERIMENTS

No elassification of experiments as to risk and no set of containment procedures can anticipate all situations. Given our present uncertainties about the hazards, the parameters proposed here are broadly conceived and meant to provide provisional guidelines for investigators and agencies concerned with research on recombinant DNAs. However, each investigator bears a responsibility for determining whether, in his particular case, special circumstances warrant a higher level of containment than is suggested here.

A. Types of containment

 ϵ

Ł,

1. Minimal Risk. This type of containment is intended for experiments in which the bioharards may be accurately assessed and are expected to be minimal. Such containment can be achieved by following the operating procedures recommended for clinical microbiological laboratories. Essential features of such facilities are no drinking, eating, or smoking in the laboratory, wearing laboratory coats in the work area, the use of cotton-plugged pipettes or preferably mechanical pipetting devices, and prompt disinfection of contaminated materials.

2. Low Risk. This level of containment is appropriate for experiments which generate novel biotypes but where the available information indicates that the recombinant DNA cannot alter appreciably the ecological behavior of the rocipient species, increase significantly its pathogenicity, or prevent effective treatment of any resulting infections. The key features of this containment (in addition to the minimal procedures mentioned above) are a prohibition on mouth pipeting, access limited to laboratory personnel, and the

Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 72 (1975) .

use of biological safety cabinets for procedures likely to produce acrosols (e.g., blending and sonication). Though existing vectors may be used in conjunction with low risk procedures, safer vectors and hosts should be adopted as they become available.

S. Moderate Risk. Such containment facilities are intended for experiments in which there is a probability of generating an agent with a significant potential for pathogenieity or ecological disruption. The principle features of this level of containment, in addition to those of the two preceding classes, are that transfer operations should be carried out in biological safety cabinets (e.g., laminar flow hoods), gloves should be worn during the handling of infectious materials, vacuum lines must be protected by filters, and negative pressure should be maintained in the limited access laboratories. Moreover, experiments posing a moderate risk must be done only with vectors and hosts that have an appreciably impaired capacity to multiply outside of the laboratory.

4. High Risk. This level of containment is intended for experiments in which the potential for ecological disruption or pathogenicity of the modified organism could be severe and thereby pose a serious biohazard to laboratory personnel or the public. The main features of this type of facility, which was designed to contain highly infectious microbiological agents, are its isolation from other areas by air locks, a negative pressure environment, a requirement for clothing changes and showers for entering personnel, and laboratories fitted with treatment systems to inactivate or remove biological agents that may be contaminants in exhaust air and liquid and solid wastes. All persons occupying these areas should wear protective laboratory clothing and shower at each exit from the containment facility. The handling of agents should be confined to biological safety cabinets in which the exhaust air is incinerated or passed through Hepa filters. High risk containment includes, in addition to the physical and procedural features described above, the use of rigorously tested vectors and hosts whose growth can be confined to the laboratory.

B. Types of experiments

Accurate estimates of the risks associated with different types of experiments are difficult to obtain because of our ignorance of the probability that the anticipated dangers will manifest themselves. Nevertheless, experiments involving the construction and propagation of recombinant DNA molecules using DNAs from (i) prokaryotes, bacteriophages, and other plasmids, (ii) animal viruses, and (iii) eukaryotes have been characterized as minimal, low, moderate and high risks to guide investigators in their choice of the appropriate containment. These designations should be viewed as interim assignments which will need to be revised upward or downward in the light of future experience.

The recombinant DNA molecules themselves, as distinct from cells carrying them, may be infectious to bacteria or higher organisms. DNA preparations from these experiments, particularly in large quantities, should be chemically inactivated before disposal.

 Prokaryotes, Bacteriophages, and Bacterial Plasmids. Where the construction of recombinant DNA molecules and their propagation involves prokaryotic agents that are known to exchange genetic information naturally, the experiments.

Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 72 (1975)

can be performed in minimal risk containment facilities. Where such experiments pose a potential hazard, more stringent containment may be warranted.

Experiments involving the creation and propagation of recombinant DNA molecules from DNAs of species that rodinarily do not exchange genetic information, generate novel biotypes. Because such experiments may pose biohazards greater than those associated with the original organisms, they should be performed, at least, in low risk containment facilities. If the experiments involve either pathogenic organisms or genetic determinants, that may increase the pathogenicity of the recipient organisms new metabolic activities not native to these species and thereby modify its relationship with the environment, then moderate or high risk containment should be used.

Experiments extending the range of resistance of established human pathogens to therapeutically useful antibiotics or disinfectants should be undertaken only under moderate or high risk containment, depending upon the virulence of the organism involved.

2. Animal Viruses. Experiments involving linkage of viral genomes or genome segments to prokaryotic vestors and their propagation in prokaryotic cells should be performed only with vector-host systems having demonstrably restricted growth capabilities outside the laboratory and with moderate risk containment facilities. Rigorously purified and characterized segments of non-oncogenic viral genomes or of the demonstrably non-transforming regions of oncogenic viral DNAs can be attached to presently existing vectors and propagated in moderate risk containment facilities; as safer vector-host systems become available such experiments may be performed in low risk facilities.

Experiments designed to introduce or propagate DNA from non-viral or other low risk agents in animal cells should use only low risk animal DNAs as vectors (e.g., viral; mitochondrial) and manipulations should be confined to moderate risk containment facilities.

S. Eukaryotes. The risks associated with joining random fragments of eukaryote DNA to prokaryotic DNA vectors and the propagation of these recombinant DNAs in prokaryotic hosts are the most difficult to assess.

A priori, the DNA from warm-blooded vertebrates is more likely to contain cryptic viral genomes potentially pathogenic for man than is the DNA from other eukaryotes. Consequently, attempts to clone segments of DNA from such animal and particularly primate genomes should be performed only with vector-host systems having demonstrably restricted growth capabilities outside the laboratory and in a moderate risk containment facility. Until cloned segments of warm-blooded vertebrate DNA are completely characterized, they should continue to be maintained in the most restricted vector-host system in moderate risk containment laboratories; when such cloned segments are characterized, they may be propagated as suggested above for purified segments of virus genomes.

Unless the organism makes a product known to be dangerous (e.g., toxin, virus), recombinant DNAs from cold-blooded vertebrates and all other lower eukaryotes can be constructed and propagated with the safest vector-host system available in low risk containment facilities. Purified DNA from any source that performs known functions and can be judged to be non-toxic, may be cloned with currently available vectors in low risk containment facilities. (Toxic here includes potentially oncogenic products or substances that might perturb normal metabolism if produced in an animal or plant by a resident microorganism.)

4. Experiments to be Deferred. There are feasible experiments which present such serious dangers that their performance should not be undertaken at this time with the currently available vector-host systems and the presently available combinant DNAs derived from highly pathogenic organisms (i.e., Class III, IV, and V citologic agents as classified by the United States Department of Health, Education and Welfare). DNA contrived series and large seale experiments (more than 10 liters of culture) using recombinant DNAs that are able to make products potentially harmful to man, animals, or plants.

å

IV. IMPLEMENTATION

In many countries steps are already being taken by national bodies to formulate codes of practice for the conduct of experiments with known or potontial biolanzed.[†][†],^{‡‡} Untilthese are established, we urgo individual scientists to use the proposals in this document as a guide. In addition, there are some recommendations which could be immediately and directly implemented by the scientific community.

A. Development of safer vectors and hosts

An important and encouraging accomplishment of the meeting was the realization that special bacteria and vectors which have a restricted enpacity to multiply outside the laboratory can be constructed genetically, and that the use of these organisms could enhance the safety of recombinant DNA experiments by many orders of magnitude. Experiments along these lines are presently in progress and in the near future, variants of λ bacteriophage, non-transmissible plasmids, and special strains of Escherichia coli will become available. All of these vectors could reduce the potential biohazards by very large factors and improve the methodology as well. Other vector-host systems, particularly modified strains of Bacillus sublilis and their relevant bacteriophages and plasmids, may also be useful for particular purposes. Quite possibly safe and suitable vectors may be found for cukaryotic hosts such as yeast and readily cultured plant and animal cells. There is likely to be a continuous development in this area and the participants at the meeting agreed that improved vector-host systems which reduce the biohazards of recombinant DNA research will be made freely available to all interested investigators.

B. Laboratory procedures

It is the clear responsibility of the principal investigator to inform the staff of the laboratory of the potential hazards of

tt Advisory Board for the Research Councils, "Report of the Working Party on the Experimental Manipulation of the Genetic Composition of Micro-Organisms. Presented to Parliament by the Secretary of State for Education and Science by Command of Her Majesty, January 1975." London: Her Majesty's Stationery Ollice, 1975, 25p.

11 National Institutes of Health Recombinant DNA Molecule Program Advisory Committee.

[67]

1984 Summary Statement: Berg et al.

such experiments before they are initiated. Free and open discussion is necessary so that each individual participating in the experiment fully understands the nature of the experiment and any risk that might be involved. All workers must be properly trained in the containment procedures that are designed to control the hazard, induding energoncy actions in the event of a hazard. It is also recommended that appropriate health surveillance of all personnel, including scrological monitoring, be conducted periodically.

C. Education and reassessment

25

Research in this area will develop very quickly and the methods will be applied to many different biological problems. At any given time it is impossible to foresee the entire range of all potential experiments and make judgments on them. Therefore, it is essential to undertake a continuing reassessment of the problems in the light of new scientific knowledge. This could be achieved by a series of annual workshops and meetings, some of which should be at the international level. There should also be courses to train individuals in the relevant methods since it is likely that the work will be taken up by laboratories which may not have had extensive experience in this area. High priority should also be given to research that could improve and evaluate the containment effectiveness of new and existing vector-host systems.

V. NEW KNOWLEDGE.

This document represents our first assessment of the potential biohazards in the light of current knowledge. However, little is known about the survival of laboratory strains of bacteria and bacteriophages in different ecological niches in the outside

Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 72 (1975)

world, Even less is known about whether recombinant DNA molecules will enhance or depress the survival of their vectors and hosts in nature. These questions are fundamental to the testing of any new organism that may be constructed. Research in this area needs to be undertaken and should be given high priority. In general, however, molecular biologists who may construct DNA recombinant molecules do not undertake these experiments and it will be necessary to facilitate collaborative research between them and groups skilled in the study of bacterial infection or ecological microbiology. Work should also be undertaken which would enable us to monitor the escape or dissemination of cloning vehicles and their hosts.

Nothing is known about the potential infectivity in higher organisms of phages or bacteria containing segments of cukaryotic DNA and very little about the infectivity of the DNA molecules themselves. Genetic transformation of bacteria does occur in animals, suggesting that recombinant DNA molecules can retain their biological potency in this environment. There are many questions in this area, the answers to which are essential for our assessment of the biohazards of experiments with recombinant DNA molecules. It will be necessary to ensure that this work will be planned and carried out; and it will be particularly important to have this information before large scale applications of the use of recombinant DNA molecules is attempted.

The work of the committee was assisted by the National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council Staff: Artemis P. Simopoulos (Executive Secretary) and Elena O. Nightingale (Resident Féllow), Division of Medical Sciences, Assembly of Life Sciences, and supported by the National Institutes of Health (Contract NOI-DD-5-2103) and the National Science Foundation (Grant GBMS75-05293).

APPENDIX 5

Constants of the second s

THE SECRETARY OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE, Washington, D.C.

we have a set of the set of the set of the set of the set of

CHARTER

RECOMBINANT DNA MOLECULE PROGRAM ADVISORY COMMITTEE

In accordance with Section 301 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 241), the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare is directed to conduct research, investigations, experiments, demonstrations, and studies relating to the causes, diagnosis, treatment, control and prevention of physical diseases and impairments of man. In carrying out this mandate, exploration of the genetics of microbial agents and of animal cells by use of the technology of study of DNA (deoxyribonucleic acid) recombinants offers tremendous promise of uncovering basic aspects of health and disease, and is appropriate for support by the National Institutes of Health. However, the use of this technology has various possible hazards because new types of organisms, some potentially pathogenic, can be introduced into the environment if there are no effective controls. The technology is also capable of producing microbial organisms which can be useful or harmful to agriculture or industry, and thus secondarily affect human health. The goal of the Committee is to investigate the current state of knowledge and technology regarding DNA recombinants, their survival in nature, and transferability to other organisms; to recommend programs of research to assess the possibility of spread of specific DNA recombinants and the possible hazards to public health and to the environment; and to recommend guidelines on the basis of the research results. This Committee is a technical committee, established to look at a specific problem.

Authority

Purpose

42 U.S.C. 217a. This Committee is established in accordance with, and is governed by, the provisions of Public Law 92-463, which sets forth standards for the formation and use of advisory committees.

Function

The Recombinant DNA Molecule Program Advisory Committee shall advise the Secretary, Health, Education, and Welfare, the Assistant Secretary for Health, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, and the Director, National Institutes of Health, concerning a program for the evaluation of potential biological and ecological hazards of DNA recombinants of various types, for developing procedures which will minimize the spread of such molecules within human and other populations, and for devising guidelines to be followed by investigators working with potentially hazardous recombinants. The Committee may recommend special workshops for exploration of particualr problems.

Structure

Ļ

The Committee shall consist of twelve members, including the Chairman. Members shall be selected by the Secretary, or his designee, from authorities knowledgeable in the fields of molecular biology, virology, genetics and microbiology.

Members shall be invited to serve for overlapping 4-year terms; terms of more than two years are contingent upon the renewal of the Committee by appropriate action prior to its termination.

Management and staff services shall be provided by the Division of Research Grants, Office of the Associate Director for Scientific Review, who shall designate an Executive Secretary.

Meetings

Meetings shall be held approximately four times a year at the call of the Chairman, with the advance approval of a government official who also approves the agenda. A government official is present at all meetings.

Meetings shall be open to the public except as determined otherwise by the Secretary; notice of all meetings shall be given to the public.

Meetings shall be conducted, and records of the proceedings kept, as required by applicable laws and Departmental regulations.

RECOMBINANT DNA MOLECULE PROGRAM ADVISORY COMMITTEE

CHAIRMAN

Stetten, DeWitt, Jr., M.D., Ph.D., Deputy Director for Science, Office of the Director, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

VICE CHAIRMAN

Jacobs, Leon, Ph.D., Associate Director for Collaborative Research, Office of the Director, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Members

10 10 10 10

Adelberg, Edward A., Ph.D., Professor, Department of Human Genetics, School of Medicine, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut.

Chu, Ernest H.Y., Ph.D., Professor, Department of Human Genetics, Medical School, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Curtiss, Roy, III, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, University of Alabama, Birmingham, Alabama.

Darnell, James E., Jr., M.D., Professor, Department of Molecular Cell Biology, Rockefeller University, New York, New York.

Helinski, Donald R., Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biology, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California.

Hogness, David S., Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, California.

Kutter, Elizabeth M., Ph.D., Member of the Faculty, in Biophysics, The Evergreen State College, Olympia, Washington.

Littlefield, John W., M.D., Professor & Chairman, Department of Pediatrics, Children's Medical & Surgical Center, Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, Maryland.

Redford, Emmette S., Ph. D., LL. D., Ashbel Smith Professor of Government and Public Affairs, Lyndon B. Johnson School of Public Affairs, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas.

Rowe, Wallace P., M.D., Chief, Laboratory of Viral Diseases, National Institute of Allergy & Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Setlow, Jane K., Ph.D., Biologist, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, Long Island, New York.

Spizizen, John, Ph.D., Member and Chairman, Department of Microbiology, Scripps Clinic & Research Foundation, La Jolla, California.

Szybalski, Waclaw, D.Sc., Professor of Oncology, McArdle Laboratory, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.

Thomas, Charles A., Jr., Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biological Chemistry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts.

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

Gartland, William J, Jr., Ph.D., Health Scientist Administrator, National Institute of General Medical Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

> RECOMBINANT DNA MOLECULE PROGRAM ADVISORY COMMITTEE LIAISON REPRESENTATIVES

Hedrich, Richard, Ph.D., Coordination Program of Science, Technology & Human Value, National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, D.C.

Lewis, Herman W., Ph.D., Division of Biological and Medical Sciences, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C.

Nightingale, Elena O., Ph.D., Assembly of Life Sciences, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C.

Shepherd, George, R., Ph.D., Division of Biomedical and Environmental Research, Energy Research and Development Administration, Washington, D.C.

APPENDIX 6

ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE DIRECTOR, NIH

Membership February 9, 1976

Dr. Donald S. Fredrickson, <u>Chairman</u> Director, NIH

Dr. Charles R. McCarthy Executive Secretary

Dr. Joseph J. Dodds Medical Director Campbell General Hospital

Dr. Roy D. Hudson President Hampton Institute

ð

5

÷

ę,

Dr. James F. Kelly Executive Vice-Chancellor State University of New York

Dr. Robert G. Petersdorf Chairman, Department of Medicine University of Washington School of Medicine

Dr. Charles C. Sprague President, Health Science Center University of Texas, Dallas

Former Members of the Committee

Dr. Marian Koshland Prof. of Bacteriology and Immunology Department of Molecular Biology University of California, Berkeley Professor Walter A. Rosenblith Provost Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Dr. Robert Sinsheimer Chairman, Division of Biology California Institute of Technology

Consultants

The Honorable David L. Bazelon Chief Judge United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit

Dr. Daniel Callahan Director, Institute of Society, Ethics and the Life Sciences

Dr. Philip Handler President National Academy of Sciences

Ms. Margo Haygood Graduate Student Harvard University

Mr. Peter Barton Hutt Attorney Covington & Burling Law Offices Mr. Alan Ladwig President Forum for the Advancement of Students in Science and Technology

Dr. Joseph Melnick Professor of Virology Baylor University

Mrs. Esther Peterson President The National Consumers League

Dr. Margery Shaw Director, Medical Genetics Center Houston, Texas

*Mr. William C. Smith Staff Attorney Children's Defense Fund

Dr. LeRoy Walters Director, Center for Bioethics Kennedy Institute, Georgetown University

*Unable to attend the February 9-10 meeting.

[141]

CONTENTS

	4 ¹¹	$(1,1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1,1) \sum_$	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	Page
Participants			• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2
Public Interest Groups No	tified	:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5
Opening Remarks, Dr. Fred	rickson, Chairm	an		6
Safeguards for Recombinan	t DNA Research,	Dr. Berg	•••••	11
Development of NIH Policy	, Dr. Stetten			27
Proposed Guidelines: Sum	mary & Review,	Dr. Singer	•••••••••	34
Viewpoints of the Draftin	g Committee, Dr	s. Hogness & C	urtiss	60
Committee Discussion		•••••	••••••••••	70
Physical Containment, Dr.	Barkley	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • •	77
Committee Discussion			•••••	87
Questions from Public		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		104
Public Statements to Comm	ittee	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	107
Adjournment, First Day		् • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	152
Further Public Comments,	Second Day	in Erige St.	: :	152
Summary of Major Issues,	Dr. Stetten			176
Committee Discussion			••••••••••	180
Adjournment, Second Day		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	208
APPENDIXES			••••	209
A: Communication fr	om Boston Area	Recombinant DN	A Group	
B: "The Detrick Exp Efficacy of P4 M for Studies on M A.G. Wedum, Jan.	erience as a Gu dicrobiological dicrobial Recomb 20, 1976	nide to the Pro Containment Fa Dinant DNA Mole	bable cilities cules,"	i antificia Li e presenta Li è presenta
	[142]		and a state	an de de la sur Second
12. – Arisen C	1975 D	an an an teach. An an teach	n y Antonia. A	1414, 1411)
PARTICIPANTS

Presentations maid S. Frontier Dr. Donald S. Fredrickson Director National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Dr. DeWitt Stetten, Jr. Deputy Director for Science National Institutes of Health Professor Bethesda, Maryland 20014 Department Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Dr. Emmett Barkley Director Office of Research Safety National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Dr. Maxine Singer Laboratory of Biochemistry National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Dr. David Hogness Department of Biochemistry Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

Dr. Paul Berg Department of Biochemistry School of Medicine Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

Dr. Roy Curtiss III Professor Department of Microbiology Department of medicine School of Medicine University of Alabama 35294

Dr. John Sedat Yale University

Dr. David Balrimore Massachusetts Institute of Technology P 1. 1

Dr. Susan Wright University of Michigan

e e de la constante de la const Constante de la constante de la

Dr. Richard Goldstein Harvard University

Mr. Charles Madansky Harvard University

Dr. Donald Brown Carnegie Institution of Washington na Tha Anna Tha Anna

ing a star week in a star we start

[143]

2

ð

Ë

Mr. Dan Singer Fred, Frank, Harris, Shriver and Kampelman

Dr. Burke Zimmerman Environmental Defense Fund

Dr. Marshall Edgell University of North Carolina Dr. Wallace Rowe National Institutes of Health

Dr. Elena Nightingale National Academy of Sciences

Dr. Allen S. Nerstone Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Dr. Stephen Wiesenfeld National Jewish Hospital and Research Center

Other Participants

The Honorable David L. Bazelon Chief Judge United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit Constitution Avenue and John Marshall Place, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

Dr. Daniel Callahan Director Institute of Society, Ethics and the Life Sciences 360 Broadway Hastings-on-Hudson, New York 10706

Dr. Joseph J. Dodds Medical Director Campbell General Hospital 525 McCallie Avenue Chattanoga, Tennessee 37402

Dr. Philip Handler President National Academy of Sciences 2101 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20418

Ms. Margo Haygood 35 Elm Street Somerville, Massachusetts 02143 Dr. Roy D. Hudson President Hampton Institute Hampton, Virginia 23368

Mr. Peter Barton Hutt Covington & Burling 888 16th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Dr. James F. Kelly Executive Vice-Chancellor State University of New York 99 Washington Avenue Albany, New York 12210

Dr. Marian Koshland Professor of Bacteriology and Immunology Department of Molecular Biology University of California Berkeley, California 94720

Mr. Alan Ladwig President Forum for the Advancement of Students in Science and Technology 1785 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20038

[144]

Dr. Joseph Melnick Professor of Virology Baylor University Houston, Texas 77025

4

e

Dr. Robert G. Petersdorf Chairman Department of Medicine School of Medicine University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98103

Mrs. Esther Peterson President The National Consumers League P.O. Box 1804 Washington, D.C. 20013

Professor Walter A. Rosenblith Provost Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Dr. Margery Shaw Director Medical Genetics Center 6420 Lamar Fleming Boulevard Houston, Texas 77025

Dr. Robert Sinsheimer Chairman Division of Biology California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California 91109 Dr. Charles C. Sprague President Health Science Center University of Texas Dallas, Texas 75235

Dr. LeRoy Walters Director Center of Bioethics Kennedy Institute Georgetown University Washington, D.C. 20007

Dr. Milton Zaitlin Professor Department of Plant Pathology Cornell University Ithaca, New York 14853

Dr. Leon Jacobs Associate Director for Collaborative Research National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Dr. Ronald W. Lamont-Havers Deputy Director National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Dr. Joseph Perpich Associate Director-Designate Office of Program Planning and Evaluation National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland 20014

[145]

PUBLIC INTEREST GROUPS THAT WERE NOTIFIED

Jeremy Stone, Director FEDERATION OF AMERICAN SCIENTISTS 307 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D. C. 20002

Joe Browder Executive Vice President ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY CENTER 324 C Street, S.E. Washington, D.C. 20003

Jeff Knight Active Legislative Director FRIENDS OF THE EARTH 620 C Street, S.E. Washington, D.C. 20003

Dr. Lois Sharpe Staff Coordinator, Environmental Quality The League of Women Voters of the United States 1730 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Albert Fritsch CENTER FOR SCIENCE IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST 1779 Church Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

John Gardner, Chairman COMMON CAUSE 2030 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

State Contractor

Arlie Schardt, Executive Director ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND 1525 18th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Jim Rathlesberger, Staff Director ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY CONFERENCE 2456 Rayburn House Office Building U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515 Joseph Onek, Director Center for Law and Social Policy 1751 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Mrs. Margaret Mickey, President CONCERN, INC. 2233 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20007

James Turner CONSUMER ACTION 1625 Eye Street, N.W. - Rm. 922 Washington, D. C. 20006

Brock Evans, Esq. Advances of Silerator, Washington Office and Silerator CLUB 324 C Street, S.E. Washington, D.C. 20003

Mrs. Carol Foreman, Executive Director CONSUMER FEDERATION OF AMERICA 1012 14th Street, N.W., Suite 901 Washington, D.C. 20005

Ms. Susan Byrnes, Executive Director NATIONAL CONSUMERS LEAGUE 1785 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Mrs. Ellen Zawel, President NATIONAL CONSUMERS CONGRESS Room 1019 1346 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Nancy Erwin, President MARYLAND CITIZENS CONSUMERS COUNCIL P.O. Box 5767 Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Ms. Judy Kory, President VIRGINIA CITIZENS CONSUMER COUNCIL 8404 Wesleyan Street Vienna, Virginia 22180

[146]

APPENDIX 7

- 1

ŗ

ų

Invitees to Meeting with Private Industr	y on the DNA Guidelines JUN 2 13/3
	and the second
Ira Ringler, Ph.D.	and the second
Corporate Vice President	
	printer and the second s
Research and Experimental Inerapy	
ABBOTT LABORATORIES	
Abbott Park	
North Chicago, Illinois 60064	 A set of the set of
	 A second state production of the second s
Dr. Bishard Denomiak	and the second
DI. AICHALD DOHOVICK	
Director	
AMERICAN TYPE CULTURE COLLECTION	
12301 Parklawn Drive	and the state of the Margabel state of
Rockville, Maryland 20852	and the second
ibentitte, inijana poose	and the second
Des Hilling O Belieg	15 (S. 1997) - 16 (S. 1997) - 17 (S. 1997)
Dr. William U. Baker	
President	
BELL TELEPHONE LABORATORIES, INC.	 Market and the second se Second second s
Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and the second
Br. Padro Custrocasses	and the second
Mar Bury Last Can Barranah	and the second
vice President for Research	
BURROUGHS WELLCOME	
3030 Cornwallis Road	the second s
Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709	and the second
E Contra de	
Ronald Cape, Ph.D.	化化学学 化化学学 化化学学 化化学学
President	(a) A set of the se
CETUS CORPORATION	
600 Bancroft Way	
Berkeley, California 94710	2.2. A starting of the start of the balance of the start of the sta
Dr. Karl J. Brunings	the Meridian Article (Martheological)
Vice President	
Pharmacoutical Division	CONTRACTOR REPORT OF BUILD AND A
ATTA ATTAL CONDON ATTAL	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
CIEA-GEIGY CORPORATION	
Ardsley, N.Y. 10502	
W. Vern Hartwell, Ph.D.	
Environmental Health Specialist	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Office of Environmental Affairs	
DEDADEMENT OF COMMEDCE	
DEFARIMENT OF CONTREASE	
Room 3425	
Washington, D.C. 20230	
Dr. D. J. Kilian	
Revional Director	 A second sec second second sec
Commational Realth and Medical	the second se
Descend for Don U.C. Anon	
Research for Dow, U.S. Area	
DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY	
Building B1222	
Freeport, Texas 72541	

2.

Dr. C. C. McDonald Research Supervisor Central Research and Development Dept. DUPONT COMPANY Experimental Station Wilmington, Delaware 10898

Mr. Arthur M. Bueche Vice President for Research GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY P.O. Box 8 Schenectady, N.Y. 12301

Dr. Louis G. Nickell Vice President BioProducts Research Department W. R. GRACE & COMPANY 7379 Rt. 32 Columbia, Maryland 21044

Mr. Charles F. Larson Secretary-Treasurer and Executive Director INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE 100 Park Avenue, Suite 2209 New York, N.Y. 10017

Dr. Cornelius W. Pettinga Executive Vice President ELI LILLY AND COMPANY P.O. Box 618 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206

Mr. Albert C. Clark Director, Technical Section MANUFACTURING CHEMISTS ASSOCIATION, INC. 1825 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20009

P. Roy Vagelos, M.D. Senior Vice President MERCK, SHARP AND DOHME RESEARCH LABORATORIES Rahway, N.J. 07065

Dr. Edward G. Bassett Manager Department of Science Information and Communication Services MILES LABORATORIES Elkhart, Indiana 46514

ŗ

ante e data 1995 - State Colores de Colores de Colores 1996 - State Colores de Colores 1996 - State Colores de Colores 1996 - State Colores de Colores de Colores

design with the

e e e e La deserva de la companya de la companya La deserva de la companya de la companya La deserva de la companya de la companya de

a - Artista Barlandi, and an Artista Barlandi, and an Artista Barlandi, and an Artista - Artista Artista Barlandi, and an Artista - Artista Barlandi

an an an an an an Arian 1910 - Arian 1910 - Arian Andrea, an Arian 1910 - Arian Andrea, an Arian 1910 - An Arian Andrea, an Arian

> Baran Marina (Baran) Marina Santa (Baran) Marina Santa (Baran) Baran Marina (Baran) Marina (Baran)

> > . 1.

(a) Statistical and the set of 3.

Dr. Ernest Jaworski Agricultural Research Program MONSANTO CHEMICAL COMPANY 800 North Lindberg Boulevard St. Louis, Missouri 63166

Mr. Philip Gordon Agricultural Research Program CHARLES PFIZER COMPANY Groton, Connecticut 06340

Mr. C. Joseph Stettler President PHARMACEUTICAL MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION 1155 15th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Dr. Albert Sjoerdsma Vice President for Research RICHARDSON MERRILL COMPANY Cincinnati, Ohio 45215

Sidney Udenfriend, Ph.D. Director ROCHE INSTITUTE OF MOLECULAR BIOLOGY Nutley, N.J. 07065

Dr. Bryce Douglas Vice President, Research and Development SMITH, KLINE AND FRENCH LABORATORIES 1500 Spring Garden Street Philadelphia, Penn. 19101

Dr. D. L. Heywood UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION 909 Blanco Circle Salinas, California 93901

William N. Hubbard, Jr., M.D. President THE UPJOHN COMPANY 7000 Portage Road Kalamazoo, Michigan 49001

Dr. Howard Tint Director Biological and Chemical Development Division WYETH LABORATORIES P.O. Box 8299 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

Ę,

...

.

APPENDIX 8

医贫力

WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 1976



PART II:

(ب

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

National Institutes of Health

RECOMBINANT DNA RESEARCH

Guidelines

(113)

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE National Institutes of Health RECOMBINANT DNA RESEARCH Guidelines

On Wednesday, June 23, 1976, the Di-rector, National Institutes of Health, with the concurrence of the Secretary of with the concurrence of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Weifaro, and the Assistant Secretary for Health, Issued guidelines that will govern the conduct of NIH supported research on recombi-nant DNA indecules. The NIH is also undertaking an environmental impact assessment of these guidelines for recombinant DNA research in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1989.

Act of 1969. The NHH Guidelines establish carefully controlled conditions for the conduct of experiments involving the production of such molecules and their insertion into such noiceulies and their insertion into organisms such as bacteria. These Guidelines replace the recommendations contained in the 1975 Summary State-ment of the Asilomar Conjerence on Re-combinant DNA Molecules. The latter would have permited research under less strict conditions than the NIH Guidelines

lines. The chronology leading to the present Guidelines is described in detail in the NIH Director's decision document that follows. In summary, scientists engaged in this research called in 1974, for a moratorium on certain kinds of experi-ments until an international meeting could be convened to consider the poten-tial hazards of recombinant DNA mole-cules. They also called upon the NIH to establish a committee to provide advice establish a committee to provide advice on recombinant DNA technology.

on recombinant DNA technology. The international meeting was held at the Asilomar Conference Center, Pacific Grove, California, in February 1975. The consensus of this meeting was that cer-tain experiments should not be done at the present time, but that most of the work on construction of recombinant DNA molecules should proceed with ap-romeniate Buylend and biological buy-DNA molecules should proceed with ap-propriate physical and biological bar-riers. The Asliomar Conference report also made interim assignments of the potential risks associated with different types of experiments. The NIH then as-sumed responsibility for translating the broady based Asliomar recommenda-tions into detailed guidelines for re-entropy.

tions into detailed guidelines for re-search, the detailed guidelines for re-search, the detailed guidelines for re-these Guidelines was reached after es-tensive scientific and public atring of the issues during the statem months which have clapsed since the Asilomar Confer-ence. The issues were discussed ab pub-lic meetings of the Recombinant bNA Molecule Program Advisory Committee (Recombinant Advisory Committee) and the Advisory Committee to the NIH Di-rector. The Recombinant Advisory Com-nittee extra different versions of the Guidelines during this vertical. this period.

The Advisory Committee to the NIH Director, augmented with consultants representing law, ethics, consumer af-

fairs and the environment, was asked to fairs and the environment, was asked to advise as to whether the proposed Guide-lines balanced responsibility to protect the public with the potential benefits through the pursuit of new knowledge. The many different points of view ex-pressed at this insetting were taken into consideration in the decision. The NIH recognities a special obligation relations whethy as possible. Accord-ingly, the Guidelines will be sent to all the approximately 28 follow NIH constraines

of the approximately 25,000 NH grantees and contractors. Major professional so-cleties which represent scientists work-ing in this area will also be asked to en-dorse the Guidelines. The Guidelines will be sent to medical and scientific jour-nals and editors of these journals will be asked to request that investigators include a description of the physical and

include a description of the physical and biological containment procedures used in any recombinant research they report on. International health and scientific organizations will also receive copies of the guidelines for their review. Pilling of an environmental impact statement will provide opportunity for the scientific community, Federal, State and local agencies and the general public to do dress the potential banefas and has-there, to be turther amount for which there to be further opportunity for pub-lic comment and consideration, these guidelines are being offered for general comment in the **FDEFAR**. **FRENTRE**. It must be clearly understood by the reader that the material that follows is not proposed rulemaking in the technical sense, but is a document on which early public comment and participation is invited.

Please address any comments on these Please address any comments on these draft policies and proceedures to the Di-rector, National Institutes of Health, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Maryland 20014. All comments should be received by November 1, 1976. Additional copies of this notice are available from the Acting Director, Office

of Recombinant DNA Activities, National Institute of General Medical Sciences. National Institutes of Health, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Maryland 20014.

DONALD S. FREDRICKSON,

Directo

NIH National Institutes of Health. JUNE 25, 1976.

DECISION OF THE DIRECTOR, NATIONAL IN-STITUTES OF HEALTH TO RELEASE GUIDE-LINES FOR RESEARCH ON RECOMBINANT DNA MOLECULES

JUNE 23, 1976.

INTRODUCTION

General Policy Considerations.
 A. Science Policy.
 B. Implementation Within the NIH.
 C. Implementation Beyond the Purview of NIH.

D. Environmental Policy. J. Mathods of Contalument (See. Guide-

lines II). III, Frohibited Experiments (See Guide-

lines UI, A). IV. Permissible Experiments: E. Coli K-12 Host-Vector Systems (See Guidelines III, 9, 1).

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 131-WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 1976

V. Classification of Experiments Using the k. Goli K-12 Containment Systems (See VI. Classification of Experiments Using Containment Systems Othor than K. Goli K-12 (see Guidetimes III, 3), 4), VI. Roles and Responsibilities (See Guidetimes IV).

INTRODUCTION

. Today, with the concurrence of the Scoretary of Henith, Education, and Wel-fare and the Assistant Secretary for Health, I am releasing guidelines that will govern the conduct of NIH-sup-ported research on recombinant DNA molecules (molecules resulting from the recombination in cell-free systems of Segments of deoxriboundeles celd, tho material that determines the hereditary theoreteristics of all movem cells. These characteristics of all known cells). These guidelines establish carefully controlled guidelines establish carefully controlled conditions for the conduct of experiments involving the insertion of such recom-binant genes into organisms, such as bac-teria. The chronology leading to the pres-ent glidelines and the decision to release them are outlined in this introduction.

The matchines will be defined to the test them are outlined in this introduction. In an entropy of the second second second mental impact assessment and carvinde-lines for recombinant DNA research in accordance with the National Environ-mental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). The guidelines are being released prior to completion of this assessment. They will replace the current Asilomar guidelines, discussed below, which in many instances allow research to proceed under less strict conditions. Because the NIH guide-lines will alford a gracet degree of sort-tiny and protection, they are being re-leased today, and will be effective while the environmental impact assessments under way. under way

Recombinant DNA research brings to the fore certain problems in assessing the potential impact of basic science on society as a whole, including the manner-The potential impact of basic science on society as a whole, including the matmer-of providing public participation in those assessments. The fled of reserve involved is a rapilly moving one, at the leading including the second science of the second research have means of the second science in the second science of the second science of the second science of the second science of second science of the science of the second public nave diffi-culty in understanding advances in re-combinant DNA research. We public avariess and understanding of this line of investigation is vital. It was the scientists engaged in recom-limant DNA research who called for a moratorium on certain kinds of experi-tents in order to assess the risks and de-

moratorium on certain kinds of experi-ments in order to assess the risks and de-vise appropriate guidelines. The capa-bility to perform DNA recombinations, and the potential hazards, had become apparent at the Gordon Research Con-ference on Nucleic Acids in July 1973. ference on Nucleic Acids in July 1973. Those in a titendance voted to send an open letter to Dr. Philip Handler, Presi-dent of the National Academy of Sci-ences, and to Dr. John R. Hogmess, Presi-dent of the Institute of Medicine, NAB. The letter, appending in Science 131, 1114 (1973). suggested "that the Academies

Ido' testabilith a study committee to con-genedic actency or providem and to recomment of speeding actency or guidelines, should that "In reporter, Mass." In reporter, Mass. The report and the method for the method student for the student for the risk for the student for the student for the risk for the student for the student for the risk for the student for the student for the risk for the student for the risk for the student for the production that student for the production the student for the studen

Portrik, an international meeting of in-tractic sistentiation in the could be and metal and an analysis of the could year in the constant of the could year and be tractic discuss appropriate ways to deal and Data the discuss appropriate ways to deal and Data the discuss appropriate of recondi-ant Data to do the discussion of the discussion and Data the discussion of the discussion of the discussion and Data the discussion of the discussion of the discussion and Data the discussion of the dis

On October 1, 1914, the NIH Recember and DNA Molecule Frequent Advort Counciles facentary fragment Advort Counciles facentary. Harv, to Assistant Counciles of the Advort and the Director. Mark The Sectiany, Harv, the Assistant advise the Sectiany, Harv, the Arstein, MRL, "Denerming & program for develop-ing procedures which will minimize the press of such molecules which will be a contained for program for develop-ent of the colored burned and contained to be colored burned and combinations.

The international meeting proproced in the Science retuited (12) (3), 19(3), 19(3) and in February 19(3) and allomut and in February 19(3) and allomut and in February 19(3) and allomut Anatoria (Sciences and allomut Anatoria (Sciences and allomut Anatoria (Sciences and allomut Anatoria (Science and Allomut Allomut Anatoria (Science and Allomut Allomu

The conference reviewed progress in in the servent on the combinant DNA molecules is and discussed ways to deal with the po-stantial biolarans of the work. Partici-pauris feit that experiments on construct-point of recombinant DNA molecules in about proceed, provided that appropri-te biological and DNAGA molecules in a willized. The conference mode recom-is utilized. The conference mode recom-tantiment of the press of con-estimment with level of possible hazard for various potential dangen that the con-estimatia were judged, to none wich the efforts potential dangers that the con-

NOTICES

Ference recommended, against thair being a resort out the present that.
A resort on the conference was sub-initial (b) that has semily of the selence, National Research Council, Nels, and a supproved in the secondy of the selence, May 20, 1975, A summary statement of a sol (1875), Nature 525, 443, (1971). The report of the selence of the sol (1875), Nature 525, 443, (1971). The report of the report of the sol (1875), Nature 525, 443, (1971). The report of the report of the out of the report of the report of the report of the report of the sol (1875), Nature 525, 443, (1971). The report of the report of the sol (1875), Nature 525, 443, (1971). The report of the report of the out of the report of the solution of exercine the report of the report of the report of the report of the solution of exercine the report of the report of the report of the report of the solution of exercine the report of the report of the relation of the solution of exercine the report of the report of the relation of the recorded the report of the relation of of the recorded these recommendations of the solution of the relation of the solution of each of the relation of the relation of the relation of the recorded these recommendations. I first solution of each of the relation of the solution of each of the relation of the recorded the review of relation of the recorded the review of relation of the relation

Terration of the producted of the proximate region of the second seco

27903

P meeting was held at NIR, Bethesd, on Bechnary A-10, 196. The Artisloy Com-mittles is charged to advise the Director. In Mild Net with the containing development of the Malliny to the brand astronoment of the Malliny to the brand development of the Malliny to the brand development of the healing of the committee in the second of the healing of the committee theorem of the theorem of the committee theorem of the committee of the committee theorem of the theorem of the theorem of the absolute representatives to the theorem of the the absolute of the theorem of the theorem of the absolute of the theorem of the theorem of the absolute of the theorem of the theorem of the absolute of the theorem of the theorem of the absolute of the theorem of the theorem of the absolute of the theorem of the theorem of the absolute of the theorem of the theorem of the absolute theorem of the theorem of theorem of the theorem of the

GENERAL POLICY

A word of explanation might he into leader is this point: as to the neuron the studies in question. Within the po-deende, argurnes easable of hereal DNA strands at specific sites and of co DNA strands at specific sites and of co binations were discovered, this maki nto viruses NA stra ling the inations

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL

mids). These tors to intro genes into or animals inted, the

was do introduce the characteristic entropy of the product of the characteristic entropy of the entropy entropy of the entropy of the entropy of the entropy entropy of the entropy entropy of the entropy entropy of the entropy entrop

A. Science policy considerations Communicators were divided on how hest to steer a course between stilling research through excessive regulation and allowing it to continue with suffi-the public must five continue with suffi-the public must five continue with suffi-the public must five continue with suffi-the public must have assume that the benefits are substantial heavier. In the year of these commentators, the burden is on the scientific community to show that the public must have assume that the benefits are substantial mark in the pro-posed guidelines were an appropriate response to the potential benefits and far out-baards. Several found the subfigures to the scientific control is guidelines to assign the state the proportial response to the potential benefits and entry would be unnecessarily related to so easgentle stelly procedures that the heavier's diversaril promoting research in balance—in fact, a proper policy "bias"—between thore to be to be to be add those to progress rayfuldy. There was strang diasgreement halout the nature and level of the possible bazards or progress rayfuldy. There was there of the the haved be down were there in the haved by operageneous that the haved by operageneous that the haved by proceed were unlow. In the haved by operageneous that the haved by proceed were unlow. In the haved by proceed were unlow in the haved by proceed were unlow in the have the proprises many throws predet the action problement in the potential for the scale of the proof of an excident of the scale process with a potential for the and those arising from the multitude of

a recombinations that occur spon-usly in nature. These commenta-tress the moral obligation on the of the scientific community to do rm.

NOTICES

For example, the ability to produce, through "molecular cloning," relatively large amoughts of pure DNA from the chromosomes of any living organism will

not even

and autoray, service survey of the method of the service of autoray set of the service of the serv

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 131-WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 1976

must be full and threly exchange of ex-ever on the basis of new knowledge. The revet on the basis of new knowledge. The ever on the basis of new knowledge. The revet on the basis of new knowledge. The a manner task protects all concented— It a manner that protects all concented— to a subtling vockers may likely to en-counter unexpected, masards and all contror of the scientidis furly to en-counter unexpected masards and all the responsibility of the scientidis furly the responsibility of the scientidis furly the more unexpected and extreme as is their understanding.

B. Implementation considerations within the NIH

All the commentators had suggestions of concerning the structure and function of decision making sail trained to prin-dension investigators. We look holds and suggestions committee, the part review groups and making soil and structure and function of decision making sail trained to holds and revealing the part review groups and making soil in the structure of the main set the structure of the main set of the main set the structure of the main set of the main set the structure of the main set of the main set the structure of the main set of the main set the structure of the main set of the main set the structure of the main set of the main set the structure of the main set of the main set the structure of the main set of the main set the structure of the main set of the main set the structure of the main set of the set the structure of the main set of the main set the structure of the main set of the set the structure of the structure of the main set of the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of the main set of the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of the main set of the structure the structure of the structure of the structure the structure of the the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure the structure of the structure of

NOTICES

equivalent '0' that provided If sights equivalent '0' that provided If sights the state state of the provided state of the provided

mittee under the auspices of the Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association will be formed to review the guidelines for potential application to the drug industry. Further meetings will be soliduled with other groups that have an active interest in recombinant. DNA research.

T is any hope that the guidelines will be voluntarily adopted and hanored by all who support or conduct such research throughout the United States, and that at least very similar guidelines will obtain throughout the rest of the work of the highest priori bar and the states to inform and to work will be the work that and the states of the work of health Organization and the International Council of Scientific Unions, with standards in this most important research ares.

There has been considerable international cooperation and activity in the tonal cooperation and activity in the future. The dorementioned Ashby Eseport, presented to Parllament in January 1876. Gescribes the advances in knowledge and possible benefits to socledy of the experiments involving recombinant DNA molecules, and attempts to assess the hazards in these techniques. The Asjlómar meeting also had a number of international representatives, as mentioned previously. The European Molecular Biology organization (EMEO) has been involved in considering guidelines for recombinant DNA research. They have closely followed the activities of NHE, dhe will thus be encouraged, I believe, to monitor in the activities of NHE, dhe will thus be encouraged, I believe, to monitor in the activities of NHE, and will thus be encouraged, I believe, to monitor in the activities of NHE, and will thus be encouraged, I believe, to monitor in the activities than For example EMBO researdly announced plans for a voluntary registry of investigators and Institutions engaged in such research in Europe. Following this EMBO initiative, NHE shall similariy maintain a voluntary registry are under way.

D. Environmental policy considerations

A number of commentators urged NIH to consider preparing an environmental impact statement on recombinant DNA research activity. They evoked the possbility that organisms containing recombinant DNA molecules might escape and affect the environment in potentially harmful ways.

I am in full agreement that the potentally harmful affects of this research on the environment should be assessed. As gludelines are premised on physical and biological containment to prevent the release or propagailon of DNA recombinants outside the inhoratory. Deliberate release of organisms into the environment is prohibited. In my view, the sigulated physical and biological containment ensures that this research will proceed with a high degree of safety and precedution. But I recognize the legitimate concern of those urging that an environmental impact assessment be done. In view of this concern and ensuing pub-

lic debate. I have reviewed the appropriateness of such an assessment and have directed that one be undertaken. The purpose of this assessment will be to review the environmental effects. If

The purpose of this assessment will be to review the environmental effects, if any, of research that may be conducted under the guidelines. The assessment will provide further opportunity for all concerned to address the polential benefits and hazards of this most important research activity. Jexpect a draft of the environmental impact statement should be completed by September 1 for comment by the scientific community, Federal public.

It should be noted that the development of the guidelines was in large part tantamount to conducting an environmental impact assessment. For example, the objectives of recombinant DNA research, and alternate approaches to reach those objectives, have been considered. The potential harders and risk have been able to thoroughly conpletened, to maximize asfety and mininize potential risk. And an elaborate review structure has been oreated to achieve these safety objectives. From a public policy viewpoint, however, the environmental impact assessment will be yet another review that will provide further opportunity for the public to participate and comment on the conduct of this research.

II. METHODS OF CONTAINMENT

Comments on the containment provisions of the proposed guidelines were directed to the definition of both physleal and biological containment and to the safety and effectiveness of the frescribed levels. Several commentators found the concept of physical containment imprecise and too subject to the possibility for human error. Others questioned the concept of biological containment in terms of its safety and purported effectiveness in avertime potential hazards. The commentators were divided on provide the most effective and safe system to avoid hazards. Several suggested that each of the physical containment levels be more fully explanded.

levels be more fully explained. W. Emmet Barkley, Ph.D., Director of the Office of Research Safety, National Cancer Institute, was asked to review the section on physical containment in light of these comments. Dr. Barkley convened a special committee of safety and health experts, who met to consider not only this section of the guidelines but also the section on the roles and responsibilities of researchers and their institutions. The committee thoroughly reviewed the section on physical containment and recommended a number of changes. The Recombinant of the Barkley group. These are incorporated, with editorial revisions, in the final version of the guidelines.

The present section on physical containment is directly responsive to those commentators who asked for greater de-

tail and explanation. Although different in detail, the four levels of containment approximate those given by the Center for Disease Control for human etdologic agents and by the National Cancer Institute for oncogenite viruses. For each of the proposed with optimal Cancer Institute for oncogenite viruses. For each of the proposed with optimal terms have been excluded with optimal terms have been excluded in the container of the rar presented. Nocessary for inforgive further guidance to investigators and their institutions, a supplement to the guidefines explains more fully safely princtices appropriate to recombinant DNA research. And a new section has been added to ensure that shipmend of recombinant DNA materials conforms, where appropriate, to the standards, prescribed by the U.S. Public Health Service, the Department of Transportation, and the Civil Accomatics. Board.

The section on physical containment is carefully designed to offer a constructive approach to meeting potential hazards for recombinant experiments at all levels of presumed risk. Certain commentators had suggested that the first level of physical containment (P1) be merged with the second level (P2). This suggestion, however, would tend to apply overly stiringent standards for some experiments and might result in a lowering of standards necessary at the second level. Deliver the level of control roust be consistent with a reasonable estimate of the hazard; and the section on physical containment does provide this consistency. Accordingly, the first and second levels of physical containment remain as separate sections in the guidelines.

Because of the nature and operation of facilities required for experiments to be done at the fourth level of containment (P4), a provision has been finchuide that the NIH shall review such facilities prior to funding them for recombinant DNA studies. The situation merits the special attention of experts who have maximum familiarity with the structure, operation, and potential problems of P4 installations. Several commentators advocated that NIH arrange for sharing of P4 faclifties, both in the NIH intramural program and in institutions supported through NIH awards. In response to the Prederick Can de Hestarch Center (Representations) and the structure of the supported the prederick Can de Hestarch Center (Represent content) the structure of the support (Represent content) and the structure of the proderick Can de Hestarch Center (Represent can be the devised. It is most important that P4 facilities the made available to investigators. It is should be used of the infection by even the most highly infectious and dengeruis organisms are extremely infrequent at P4 facilities, and therefore the potential for hazard in certain complex experiments in recombinant DNA research

III. PROHIBITED EXPERIMENTS

1. Practically all commentators supported the present prohibition of certain experiments. There were suggestions for a clearer definition of the prohibition of certain experiments where increased autiliotic resistance may result. And it

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 131-WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 1976

was urged by some that ite prohibition be broadened to include experiments that result in resistance to any anilbiotic, ir respective of its use in medicino or agriculture. Consideration of such a suggestion must take into account that antibiotic resistance occurs naturally among bacteria, and that resistance is a valuable marker in the study of microbial genetics in general, and recombinants in particular.

In particular. In view of these concerns, however, the Recombinant Advisory Committee was asked to reconsider auxifully the prohibition and related sections concerning antibiotic resistance. The committee noted that the prohibition relating to drug resistance was intended to ban those experiments that could compromise drug use in controlling disease agents in veterinary as well as human mediche and this is now clearly stated. In the draft guidelines there were two

3

In the draft guidelines there were two statements concerning resistance to drugs which related to experiments with E. colf. The statements appoared to allow experiments that would extend the range of resistance of this bacterium to therapeutically useful drugs and disinfectanta, and thus seemed to be in conflict with the general prohibition on such research. There are numerous reports in the scientific literature indicating that *E. coli* can equires resistance to all antibiotics known to act against it. Since *E. coli* acquires resistance naturally, the prohibtion directed against increasing resisttion directed against increasing resisttion directed against increasing resistance does not apply. The ambiguous statements have been deleted from the section dealing with other prokaryoto species to set containment levels for permitted experiments.²

2. The Recombinent Advisory Committee was also asked to clarify whether the problemition of use of DNA derived from pathogenic organisms (those classified as 3, 4, and 5 by the Center for Disease Control, USPHP) also included the DNA from any host infected with these organisms. The committee explained that this prohibition did extend to experiments with cells known to be so infected. To avoid instunderstanding, the prohibition as now worded includes such cells. In addition, the prohibitions have been extended to include moderate-tisk oncogenic viruses; as defined by the Na-cells. In addition, the prohibitions the final concerning the instance of the sector of the transformation. S. Two other Institute, and cells known to be infected with them.

to be infected with them, 3. Two other issues relating to the section on prohibited experiments were raised by Roy Curtiss IIT, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology, University of Alabama School of Medicine, Birmingham, who is a member of the Recombinant Advisory Committee. Dr. Curtiss noted that for the class of experiments prohibited on the basis of profuetion of highly loxic substances, only

NOTICES

substances from micro-organisms were cited as examples. He suggested that other examples be included, such as venoms from insects and snakes. The committee approved the suggestion and I concur

In the proposed guidelines, release of organisms combining recombinant DNA molecules into the environment was prohibited unless a series of controlled tests had been done to leave no reasonable doubt of asteix, Dr. Curtiss feit that the guidelines should provide greater speclicity for testing and should include some form of review prior to release of the organism. I have decided that the guidelines should, for the present, prolibit any deliverate release of organisms confaining. recombinant DNA into the environment. With the present limited state of knowledge, it seems highly milikely that there will be in the near future, any recombinant organism that is universally accepted as boing baneficial to introduce into the environment. When the scientific evidence becomes available that the potential henefits of recombinant organisms, particularly for agriculture, are about to be realized, the needs for release. It is most important that the potential environment impact of the release be considered.

IV. PERMISSIBLE EXPERIMENTS: E. COLIK-,12 HOST-VECTOR SYSTEMS

The continued use of \mathcal{E} coil as a host has drawn considerable comment, in cluding some suggestions that its uses be prohibited presently or within a specified time limit. It should be stressed that the use of \mathcal{E} coil \mathbf{K} -12, a strain that has been carried in the laboratory for decades and does not involve the use of any strain of \mathcal{E} . coil kits is freshly isolated from a natural source. \mathcal{E} coil \mathcal{K} -12 does not usually colonize the normal bowel, even when given in large doses, and exhibits little if any multiplication while passing through the alimentary other single organism, and knowledge of its genetic makeup and recombinant behavior exceeds greatly that pertaining to any other organism. I believe that beccause of the experience, \mathcal{E} . coi \mathcal{K} -12 will provide a host-vector system that is safer than other conditione incoveranism.

NIH recognizes the importance of supporting the development of alternative host-vector systems (such as $B_{\rm cubiffly}$) and will encourage such development. It sholld be noted, however, that for each new host-vector system, the same questions of risk from altered properties attendant upon tho presence of recombinant genes will apply as apply to $E_{\rm coll}$. NIH does not believe it wise to set a time limit on replacement of $E_{\rm coll}$ systems by other organisms.

There were specific suggestions concerning the three levels of biological containment prescribed for use of E. coli K-12 host-vectors. Some commentators requested a more detailed explanation of the adequacy of protection for laboratory personnel with the first level of containment (EKC). Sections of the guidelines dealing with physical containment and roles and responsibilities now apecity the need for safety practices and accident blans.

The prime second level of containment (EK2), its required that a cloned DNA fragment be contained in a host-vector system that has no greater than a 10⁻² probability of survival in a nonpermissive or natural environment. It was surgested that the solection of this level of the solection of the second the seco

Possible tests to determine the level of biological containment halforded by these altered host-vector systems are outlined in this section. Because this is such a new area of scientific research and development, however, it is inappropriate to standardize such testing at the present time. Standards will gradually be set as more experience with EK2 host-vector systems is acquired. The committee, for example, during its April 1976 meetings are its first approval to an EK2 hostvector system. What is necesary is that here and more effective tests be devised by investigators, and this effort is very lines. For example, one present guided hust be committee is to clearity hore arvival of the organism and the closed DNA should be defined in terms of temperature, medium, and other variables.

perature, medium, and other variations. It is also very important to note here that like stringent requirements set by the committee for EES biological containment jeoparize considerably the capacity of auch ecipled organisms to survive and replicate even under permissive laboratory conditions. More experience will be required to determine whether EES constimuent will permit some lines of important research to be followed.

followed. Several commentators suggested that methods and procedures to confirm an

The SRI system presently consists of a battery of different vectors and of 2, colt 6x-12 mutants, all of which first a considerable degree of bloogleal containment. The diversity of vectors and of host mutants in this battery has permitted a wide range of important scientific questions to be attacked. For example, the availability of different trations wild indexage sites for different resttions wild indexage sites for different resting and the site of the site of the site of mutant, the site site of the battery equivalent to that available for the SKI system will be critical by the Recombinant Advisory Computite in the near future.

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 131-WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 1976

³Specifically, experiments that would extend resistance to therapoulically useful drugs must use P3 physical containment plus a host-vector comparable to EK1, or P2 containment plus a host-vector comparable to EK2.

EK system at the third level of contain-EK system at the third level of contain-ment (EK3) be more fully explained. The Recombinant Advisory Committee was asked to consider this suggestion. After considerable discussion the committee decimed to define the procedures more fully at this time, because development of an EK3 system is still far enough in the an EKS system is still far enough in this future not to warrant specific testing procedures. Further, it is not clear what tests are best suited. The language, therefore, remains general. The commit-bee, however, is aware of the concerns for a more completely defined system of test-ing, and has considered the possibility of organizing a symposium for purposes of designating tests. In my view, more fully developed protocols for testing EK3 sys-

designating tests. In may view, more fully developed protocols for testing EES avs-tere are warranted, and it is necessary view of the second second second second eventive such as system. In this regard the NHH is prepared through the National methods and the second second

CLASSIFICATION OF EXPERIMENTS USING THE E. COLI K-12 CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

The guidelines assign different levels of containment for experiments in which of containment for experiments in which. DNA from different sources is to be in-troduced into an *E. colt* X-12 host-vector system. The variation is based on both facts and assumptions. There are some prokaryotes (bacteria) which constantly exchange DNA with *E. colt*. Here it is assumed that experimental conditions beyond those obtained in careful, routine microbiology laboratories are superflu-ous, because any exchange experiments have undoubledly been performed al-ready in nature. In every instance of artificial recom-

ready in nature. In every instance of artificial recom-bination, consideration must be given to the possibility that forcing DNA may be translated into protein (expressed), and also to the possibility that normally re-pressed genes of the host may be ex-pressed and thus change, undestrably, the characteristics of the cell. It is as-sumed that the more similar the DNAs of donor and host, the greater the prob-bility of correstion of for land DNA or ability of expression of foreign DNA, or of possible derepression of host genes. In those cases where the donor ex-changes DNA with E. coli in nature, it is unlikely that recombination experiments will create new genetic combinations.

When prokaryote donors not known to exchange DNA with E coll in nature are used, however, there is a greater potential for new genetic combinations: to be formed and be expressed. Therefore, it is required that experiments involving prokaryotic DNA from a donor that is not known to exchange DNA with E, coll not known to exchange DNA with S. cost in nature be carried out at a higher level of containment. Recombination using prokaryotic DNA from an organism known to be highly pathogenic is prohibited.

There are only limited data available There are only limited data available concerning the expression of DNA from higher forms of life (eukaryotes) in Z. coli (or any other prokaryote). There-fore, the containment prescriptions for experiments inserting eukaryotic DNA into prokaryotes are based on risks hav-ing quite uncertain probabilities.

Ing quite uncertain pronounces. On the assumption that a prokaryote host might translate eukaryotic DNA, it is further presumed that the product of that foreign gene would be most harmful to man if it were an enzyme, hormone, or to man if it were an enzyme, hormone, or other protein that was similar (homol-ogous) to proteins already produced by or active in man. An example is a bas-terium that could produce insulin. Such a "roque" bacterium could be of benefit if contained, a muisance or possibly dan-gerous if capable of auriving in nature. This is one reason that the higher the phylogenetic order of the eukaryote, the higher the recommended containment, at least until the efficiency of expression of DNA from higher eukaryotes in pro-karyotes can be determined. There is a second, more concrete rea-

karyotes can be determined. There is a second, more concrete rea-son for scaling containment upward as the eukaryote host becomes similiar to man. This is the concern that viruses capable of propagating in human tissue, and possibly causing diseases, can con-taminate DNA, reploted in prokaryote hosts and infect the experimentalist. Such risks are greatest when total DNA from donor tissue is used in "sholgum" recombinant experiments; it diminishes to much lower levels when pure cloued DNA issued DNA is used.

The commentators were clearly divided on the classification of containment criteria for different kinds of recombincriteria for different kinds of recombin-ant DNAs. Many commentators con-sidered the guidelines too stringent and rigid. Others viewed the guidelines in certain instances as too permissive. And still others endorsed the guidelines as sensible and reasonable, affording the public an enormous degree of protection from the speculative risks. Several sug-gestions were made for the specific classes of experiments, and they follow: 1. Comment on the use of DNA from animals and plants in recombinant ex-periments varied widely. Some com-mentators suggested banning the use of DNA from primates, other manumals, and

mentators suggested bahning the use of DNA from primates, other mammals, and birds. Others suggested that higher levels of containment be used for all such ex-periments. Still others believed that the guidelines were too stirlt for experi-ments of this class. I have carefully re-viewed the issues, raked by the com-mentators and the responses of the committee to certain queries concerning use

of animal and plant DNA in these experiments

In my view, the classification for the use of DNA from primates, other mami-mais, and birds is appropriate to the po-tential hazards that might be posed. The prysical and biological containment levels are very strict. For example, bio-logical containment levels are at EK3 or EK3, and will effectively preclude ex-perimentation until useful EK3 existens are will in the initial stages of development, and the first system was only certified at the most recent meeting of the Recom-binant Activitory Committee. An EK3 host-vector system has yet to be tested, and its certification is for enough in the future to place a moraforium on those experiments requiring biological con-tainment at an EK3 level. The physical containment levels of Po P4 themselves siftord a very high degree of protection. I am satisfied that the guidelines dem-onstrate the coulton and prudence that must govern the conduct of experiments in this category. The guidelines allow reduced contain-ment levels for primate DNA when it is derived from embronic theme or event physical and biological containment levels are very strict. For example, bio-

The guidelines allow reduced contain-ment levels for primate DNA when it is derived from embryonic tissue or germ-line cells. This is based on evidence that embryonic material is less likely to contain viruses than is tissue from the adult. Obviously, the embryonic tissue must be free of adult tissue, and the present guidelines so indicate.

I have also carefully considered the I have also carefully considered the special concerns asialing from the use of DNA from cold-blooded vertebrates and other cold-blooded animals, because sev-eral commentators questioned the basis of lower physical and bloighcal contain-ment levels for DNA from these species. The Recombinant Advisory Committee has debated this extensively, and they were asked to do so once again in April.⁴ The committee has now recommended high containment levels (P3+EK2) when high containment levels (23+EK2) when the DNA is from a cold-blooded verte-brate known to produce a potent toxin. That recommendation is included in the present guidelines. Where no toxin is in-volved the committee supported lower

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 131-WEONESDAY, JULY 7, 1976

containment levels. The guidelines specify P3+EK2 levels for such work. There was considerable discussion concerning the advisability of recommending lower containment [P3+EK1] when the DNA is isolated from embryonic tissue or germ-line cells from cold-blooded vertebrates. Those supporting lower containment levels argued that the justification for P34 EK2 was the possibility that coldblooded verte-brates may carry viruses and that the distinction between adult and germ-cell tissue is real. Others argued finat, contrary to the situation with argumation of the situation with work blooded verter argumation of the set of the situation with a broblem with visit blooded verter and therefore no distinction should be under on the basis of tissue origin. Finally, the committee recommended, on is isolated from embryonic tissue or germ-line cells. Upon reriewing these considerations. I have decided verter brates as recommended by the committee.

'n

i.

In Anril the committee also reviewed, at our request, the classification of experiments where DNA is derived from other cold-blooded animals or lower euharyotes. Several commeniators, for example, had been concerned about the fact that insects are known to carry agents pathogenic to man. In the continitiee review, it was noted that vicroser carried by insects and known to transmit disease to man are RNA rather than DNA copied from RNA. In order, however, to make the intent clearer, the guidelines have been rewritten for experiments of this class. New language is inserted to ensure that strict containment levels are employed when the DNA comes from known pathogens or species known to carry them. Further, to reduce the potential heards, wave also included in the guidelines the requirement that any insect must be grown under laboratory conditions for at least 10 generations prior to its use as DNA source.

to its use as a DNA source. 2. As alluded to above, certain commentators expressed concern that when *E.* coll becomes the host of recombinant *BNA* from prokaryotes with which DNA by not usually exchanged, there is hazard of allered host characteristics resulting from translation of the DNA into functioning proteins. The committee was asked to review the guidelines and take into account this potential hasard. They arreed that the containment levels should be increased for this category of experiment, from P24-EKI to either P24-EK2 or P34-EKI. That recommendation is included in the present guidelines.

Innes. Comments were made concerning that elass of experiments in which the recomjumant DNA, regardless of source, has been cloned. A clone is a population of cells derived from a single cell and therefore all the cells are presumed to be gentically derived. As outlined in the proposed guidelines, clones could be used at lower containment levels if they had

been rigorously charackerised and shown to be free of harmful genes. Several commentators incuired how the characterization was to be performed and the freedom from harmful genes demonstrated. Although the committee acknowledges that these terms are unavoidably vague, they do cite appropriate scientific methods to make relevant' determinations. Again, this is a rapidly charging area and more clarity and precision can be expected with experience. Reduced containment requirements for this class of tarifficient and warranted because of the experiment and warranted because of the experiment and approve the clone offore containment conditions can be reduced, thus providing an additional element of review,

unced, thus proving in additional element of review. 4. Another connent was related to the the of entropy of the second second second the of entropy of the second second second the of entropy of the second second second the second second second second second of genes for particular cell functions). Concern was expressed about the potential contamination of purified organelle DNA with DNA from viruses because of the similarity of their structures. The committee agrees, and the guidelines now specify a requirement, that the organelles be isolated prior to extracting DNA, as a further means of roducing the hazard of viral contamination.

5. Some commentators were troubled about the lowering of containment for that class of experiments involving ro-combinations with cell DNA segments purified by chemical or physical methods. They asked that procedures for determining the state of purification he more fully detailed and that the Recombinant Advisory Committee certify the purity. There are, however, approprinte techniques, such as gel electrophoresis, with which a purity of 99 percent by mass can be achieved and accertained. There is no way for the committee to certify these results beyond repeating the experiments themselves. These dechniques are well documented and described in the itterature. I do not helieve it is necessary or feasible for the committee to retwe each procedure for purification of DNA.

6. Comments were made concerning the use of DNA derived from animal virtues. It was urged that containment beyond the containment constraints of the containment constraints and the containment constraints are to be for a site of the second lines, experiments are to be fond at very strict levels of containment constraints are to be and the second lines, experiments are to be fond at very strict levels of containment constraints are to be the second lines, experiments are to be shown to be free of possibly harmonic shown to be free of possibly harmonic shown to be free of possibly harmonic that is copied from RNA viruses. In no instance are the guidelines more lemiont, and in most instances they are more stringent than conditions obtaining in many laboratories where such viruses are studied in non-DNA-recombinant experiments.

VI. CLASSIFICATION OF EXPERIMENTS USING CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS OTHER THAN E. COLI K-12

I. No issue with regard to these guidelines raised more comment than the use of ainmal viruses as vectors. Of special concern to many commentators was the use of the simian (monkey) virus '40 (hereafter "SV60"). Some suggested a complete ban on the use of this virus; others urged its releation as a 'cector. SV40 is not known to produce any classase in man, although it can be grown in human share received SV40 virus indivertently in vaccines prepared from virus grown in monkey kilney-ceil cellures. An intensive search has been rande and is continuing for evidence that SV40 might cause cancer or be otherwise pathogenic for man. As present. It is my view that the extensive knowledge we have of SV40 virus provides us with sufficient sophistication to ensure its site hanling under the conditions developed for

anny under the condutions developed for its use in the guidelines. I believe work with SV40 should continue under the cost careful conditions, but I do recognize and appreciate the concerns expressed over its possible harmful effects in humans. In light of these concerns, I asked the Recombinant Advisory Committee to review this section of the guidelines, the committee reconsidered the containment conditions for this class of experiments and judged them appropriate to meet the potential hazards.

This class of experiments will proceed under the most careful and stragent conditions. Work with SV40 virus will be done at the maximum level of physical containment (P4). The extraordinary precaulons required in a P4 facility lessen the likelihood of a potential hazard from this work. Only defective SV40 virus will be used as vector; that is, the SV40 virus particles that carry the foreign DNA cannot multiply by themselves. When a number of strict conditions are met, this work will be permitted to go on at the third level of containment (P3), which in itself requires care and precision. It should be noted that SV44 virus and its DNA can be efficiently disinfected by Clorox and autoclaving. These are customary procedures for disintenting glassware and other items used in SV40 animal-cell work.

Some commentators suggested that the containment criteris for experiments using polycoma virus as the vector be strengthened. There is no evidence that polycoma infects humans or replectes to any significant extent in human cells; IL holds promises as a vector, as is more fully documented in an appendix to these guidelines.

2. Beveral commentators found the guidelines inadequate regarding experiments with plant host-vector systems. Because NIH shared these concerns, a group with extensive experience with plants was appointed to review this section. The group met concurrently with

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 131-WEONESDAY, JULY 7, 1976

^{&#}x27;One member dissented from this position. During the discussion, additional issuage was recommended (and adopted) to snarre that the defective SV40-wiruy/holpe-virus system, with its inserted non-SV40 DNA segment, does not replete in human relie with significantly more efficiency than does SV40.

the Recombinant Advisory Committee in April 1976 and made several modifica-

in April 1976 and made several indeinci-tions. The suggested revisions were ac-ceptable to the full committee, and we have included them in the guidelines. The modifications are responsive to the stated concerns of the commentators. A description of greenhouse facilities is given, and physical containment condi-tions have been modified to take into

tions have been modified to take into account operations with whole plants. On the whole, the respective portions of the guidelines relating to plants are more fully explained and the intent is clerified. I have also accepted the recommenda-tion of the subcommittee to lower the biological containment level from FR2 to EXI for experiments in which the DNA Each for experiments in which the DNA from plants is used in conjunction with the E. coli K-12 host-vector system, thereby setting containment in this in-stance at the same level required for ex-periments with lower-cukaryote DNA.

VII. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

1. Most commentators had suggestions Most commentators had suggestions for the section on the roles and responsi-bilities of investigators, their local insti-tutions, and NIEL Commentators gen-erally urged openness, candor, and public participation in the process, em-phasizing shared responsibility and ac-mentability, shore the local to the ro-mentability. phasizing snared responsibility and ac-countability from the local to the na-tional level. We reviewed that section of the guidelines in light of these comments and have asked the Recombinant Ad-visory Committee to review certain issues

It is clear that much of the success of It is clear that much of the success of the guidelines will lie in the window with which they are implemented. Because of the importance of this section, especially in terms of safety programs and plans, we have carrefully weighed the comments and suggestions made in this regret, NIH has a special responsibility to take a leading role in ensuring that safety pro-grams are part of all recombinant DNA research, Dr. Barkley and a specially convented committee were asked to pro-vide greater detail for safety, accident, and training plans for this section of the guidelines. Based on their recommenda-tions, the section has been extensively rewritten to clarify the respective re-sponsibilities of the principal investiga-tor, the institution (including the insti-utional bloazards committee), the NIH nitil review group (study section), the NIH Recombinant DNA Molecule Pro-gram Advisory Committee, and NIH staft. This section has a definitive admini-trative framework for -assuring that safety is an essential and integrated com-ponent of research involving recombinant DNA molecules. The guidelines require the guidelines will lie in the wisdom with

satety is an essential and integrated com-ponent of research involving recombinant DNA molecules. The guidelines require investigators to institute, monitor, and evaluate containment and safety pracevaluate containment and safety prac-tices and procedures. Before research is done, the investigator must have safety and accident plans in place and training exercises for the staff well under way.

exercises for the stah well indice way. Some commentators suggested that the investigator be required to obtain in-formed consent of laboratory personnel prior to their participation. Rather than rely explicitly on an informed consent document, the guidelines now make the

NOTICES

investigator responsible for advising his program and support staff as to the na-ture and assessment of the regl and po-tential bioinsards. He must explain and provide for any advised or requested pre-cautionary medical policies, vaccinations, or serum collections. Further, an appen-dix to the guidelines includes detailed explanations for dealing with accidents as well as instructions for the training of

as wern as instructions for the training of staff in safety and accident procedures. In response to suggestions for epi-demiological monitoring, the guidelines now require the principal investigator to report certain categories of accidents, in writing, to appropriate officials. NIH is investigating procedures for long-term surveillance of workers engaged in recombinant DNA research. 2. A number of comments on the role

and responsibilities of the institutional biohazards committee were received. Comments were directed to the structure of the committee, the scope of its respon-sibility, and the methods for operation. Comments on structure included sugges-tions that the committee have a broadly based representation, especially in terms of health and safety expertise. Some others suggested NIH require certain classes of representation. In response to these suggestions, the guidelines now recommend membership from a diversity of disciplines relevant to recombinant DNA molecule technology, biological safety, and engineering.

For broader representation beyond the immediate scientific expertise, the guide-lines now recommend that local commitlines how recommend that local commit-tees should possess, or have available, the competence necessary to determine the acceptability of their findings in forms of protoc, community attitudes, and health and environmental considerations. The names of and relevant background information on the committee members will be reported to NIH.

In response to NIH. In response to suggestions that deci-sions of the committee be made publicly available, the guidelines now recommend that minutes of the meetings should be kept and made available for public inspection.

spection. Commentators generally approved of the responsibility given to the institu-tional biohaards committee to serve as a source of advice and reference to the investigator on scientifor and safety ques-tions. It was further suggested that the committee's responsibility be broadened committees responsibility be broadened in the development, monitoring, and evaluation of safety standards and pro-cedures. In response to these suggestions, the guidelines now indicate that the institutional biohazards committee has the responsibility to certify, and recertify annually, to NIH that the facilities, procedures, practices, training, and exper-tise of involved personnel have been reviewed and approved. The Recombinant Advisory Committee suggested that ex-amination might be unnecessary for P1 facilities, but we believe that all facilities should be reviewed to emphasize the importance of safety programs.

Some commentators suggested that the guidelines should stipulate that the local

committees be required to determine the containment conditions to be imposed for a given project (which the draft guidelines specifically noted was not their re-sponsibility). The Recombinant Advisory Committee took exception to this sugges They urged NIH not to include tion. They urged NHI not to include these conditions as local requirements, argu-ing among other things that review by the NHI study sections would provide the necessary scrutiny at the antional level and assure uniformity of standards in application of the guidelines. I do not believe that NHI should require the local institution to hand its biomands com-marks as securited for a submission of the mittee assess what containment condi-tions are required for a given project. On the other hand, the guidelines should not prohibit the local institution from hav-ing its biohazards committee perform this function. Accordingly, I have deleted, the prohibition that appeared in the pro-roce-d midelines posed guidelines,

Another suggestion was that the local committee ensure that research is carried out in accordance with standards and procedures under the Occupational Safe-ty and Health Act (OSHA). This is an area of importance to the local institu-tions under Federal and State law, but tions inder reterat and state law, but need not be included as a requirement in the guidelines. NIH will maintain liaison with the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (Department of Labor) to ensure maximum Federal cooperation I would also encourage all institutions

as suggested by several commentators, to review their insurance compensation programs to determine whether their lab-oratory personnel, in the research area, are covered for injuries.

 The commentators approved of hav-ing the NIH study sections responsible ing the NIH study socilons responsible for making an independent evaluation of the classification of the proposed re-search under the guidelines, along with the customary judgment of the scientific meri of each grant application. This ad-ditional element of review will ensure careful attention to potential nazards in sciential communication and the scientific science of the sc careful attention to potential hazards in the research activity. The study sections will also scrutinize the proposed safe-guards. Biological safety expertise shall be available to the study section for con-sultation and guidance in this regard. 4. Several commentators made sugges-tions concerning the structure, function, and scope of responsibility of the NIH Recombinant DNA Molecule Program Advisory Committee.

Advisory Commutee. Comments on possible structural mechanisms for decision making in-cluded suggestions that there be a scicluded suggestions that there be a sci-entific and technical committee and a general advisory public policy committee. It was also suggested that the scientific committee include scientists who are not actively engaged in recombinant re-search, and that the public policy com-mittee have a broad scientific and pub-lic representation.

I have carefully reviewed these com-ments and suggestions. In response, the following structure has been devised. The Recombinant Advisory Committee shall serve as the scientific and technical committee. Its membership shall continue to

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 131-WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 1976

NOTICES

è,

Ŧ

tholudo scientidas who represent disciplination in the contraint function of the contraint of the contraint function of the contraint function of the contraint function of the contraint of

DONALD S. FREDRICKSON, Director, National Institute of Health, GUIDELAYSS FOR RESEARDL INVOLVING RECOMBLINANT DNA MOLECULES

- Throobaction.
 Jours 1905.
 T. Introduction.
 Scatakard prediction and the construction of the construction of the construction.
 P. Pacakard prediction and the construction of the cons

VNC D. NITR Recombinant DNA Molecute 77 Srun Adversy Committee, 7. Frontes. V. Relevence. V. Relevence. V. Relevence of the Recombinant DN Moleculo Fregram Advant Committee.

APPENDICES

A Sudament on the use of Saciitza sub-file incomparison to the use of Saciitza sub-transmary of Workshop on the Design C. Simmary of Workshop on the Design of Tachitz of Morkshop on the Design of Tachitz of Morkshop on the Design and Design of Safer Production. The Design of Morkshop Safer Safer Design of Containment Inciding Design Con-tained.

I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of these guidelines is to recommend streams and the second of the intuin intuines of Faulti and to other hearth intuines and the second intui-tion of the second intuines and another interaction of the second intui-hearth and the second intuines and another interaction of the second and the second interaction of the hearth interaction of the second section is well as predicting resetution the hearth second interaction of the section is well as predicting resetution the hearth second interaction of the section is well as predicting resetution in the hearth second in the second territor is an interaction of the second in the second in the second in the territor is an interaction of the second is were constantiant the second in the territor is an interaction of the second is the second in the second in the territor is an interaction of the second in the territor is an interaction of the second in the territor is an interaction of the second in the territor is an interaction of the second in the territor is an interaction of the second in the territor is an interaction of the second in the territor is an interaction of the second in the territor is an interaction of the second in the territor is an interaction of the second in the territor is an interaction of the second in the second territor is another second in the s

an insistence on the practice of a microbiological techniques, these s guards consist of providing both phys

12 41, NO.

and biological barriers to the disseminaand biological partners to the dissemina-tion of the potentially hazardous agents. (iii) The level of containment provided by these barriers is to match the esti-mated potential hazard for each of the mated potential hazard for each of the different classes of recombinants. For projects in a given class, this level is to be highest at initiation and modified subsequently only if there is a substan-inated change in the assessed risk or in the applied methodology, (iv) The guide-lines will be subjected to periodic review (at least annually) and modified to re-flect improvements in our knowledge of the potential biohazards and of the avail-able astermards. abl

ole safeguards. In constructing these guidelines it has been necessary to define boundary con-ditions for the different levels of physical and biological containment and for the and biological containment and for the classes of experiments to which they ap-ply. We recognize that these definitions do not take into account existing and anticipated speedal procedures and infor-mation that will allow particular experi-ments to be carried out under different conditions than indicated here without conditions than indicated here without sacrifice of safety. Indeed, we urge that individual investigators devise simple and more effective containment proce-dures and that study sections give con-sideration to such procedures which may allow change in the containment levels recommended here. It is recommended that all publications

dealing with recombinant DNA work in-clude a description of the physical and biological containment procedures prac-ticed, to aid and forewarn others who might consider repeating the work.

IL CONTAINMENT

II. CONTINUMENT Effective biological safety programs have been operative in a variety of labo-ratories for many years. Considerable in-formation therefore already exists for the design of physical containment facilities and the selection of laboratory proce-dures applicable to organisms carrying recombinant DNAs (4-17). The existing recombinant, DNAs (4-17). The existing programs rely upon mechanisms that, for convenience, can be divided into two categories: (i) a set of standard prac-tices that are generally used in micro-biological laboratories, and (ii) special procedures, equipment, and laboratory installations that provide physical bar-riers which are applied in varying degrees according to the estimated biobasard.

according to the estimated biomagnet. Experiments on recombinant DNAs by their very nature lend themselves to a third containment methanism.--namely, the application of highly specific biologi-cal barriers. In fact, natural barriers do exists which either limit the infectivity of the second second second second second exist which either limit the infectivity of a vector or vehicle. [diasmid, hacterio-phage or virus) to specific hosts, or its dissemination and survival in the envi-ronment. The vectors that provide the means for replication of the recombi-nant DNAs and/or the host cells in which they replicate can be genetically designed to decrease by many orders of magni-tude the probability of dissemination of recombinant DNAs outside the Isboratory.

As these three means of containment are complementary, different levels of

containment appropriate for experiments with different recombinants can be es-tablished by applying different combina-tions of the physical and biological bar-riers to a constant use of the standard practices. We consider these categories of containment separately here in order that such combinations can be conveniorder ently expressed in the guidelines for re-search on the different kinds of recom-

search on the different Ridds of recom-binant DNA (Section III). A. Standard practices and training. The first principle of containment is a strict adherence to good microbiological practices (4-13). Consequently, all per-sonnel directly or indirectly involved in experiments on recombinant DNAs must receive adequate instruction. This should include at least training in aspectic tech-niques and instruction in the biology of the organisms used in the experiments so that the potential biohazards can be

so that the potential biohazards can be understood and appreciated. Any research group working with agents with a known or potential blo-hazard should have an emergency plan which describes the procedures to be followed if an accident contaminates per-sonnel or environment. The principal in-vestigator must ensure that everyone in vestigator must ensure that everyone in the laboratory is familiar with both the potential hazards of the work and the emergency plan. If a research group is working with a known pathogen for which an effective vaccine is available, all workers should be immunized. Serologi-cal monitoring, where appropriate, should be provided. B. Physical containment levels. A va-

B. Physical containment levels. A variety of combinations (werels) or special practices, equipment, and laboratory installations that provide additional physical barriers can be formed. For example, all combinations are listed in "Laboratory for Safety at the Center for Disease Control" (4); four levels are associated with the "Classification of Etiologic Agents on the Basis of Hazard" (3), four levels were recommended in the "Sumary Statement of the Asilomar Con-ference on Recombinant DNA Molecule" (3): and the National Cancer Institute (3); and the National Cancer Institute uses three levels for research on onco-genic viruses (6). We emphasize that these are am fail to, and not a substitute for, good technique. Personnel must be for, good technique, rersonnel must be competent in the effective use of all equipment needed for the required con-tainment level as described below. We define only four levels of physical con-tainment here, both because the accuracy with which one can presently access the biohazards that may result from recom-binant DNAs does not warrant a more binant DNAs does not warrant a more detailed elassification, and because addi-tional flexibility can be obtained by com-bination of the physical with the biologi-cal barriers. Though different in detail, these four iveris (P1-P2-P3-2P4) ap-proximate those given for human etio-logic agents by the Center for Disease Control (i.e., classes 1 through 4; ref. 5), in the Asilomar summary statement (i.e., minimal, low, moderate, and high; ref. 3), and by the National Cancer Institute for oncogenic viruses (i.e., low, moderate, and high; ref. 6), as is indicated by the P-number or adjective in the following

headings. It should be emphasized that the descriptions and assignments physical containment detailed below of

big discriptions and assignments of the based on existing approaches to contain-ment of hazardous organisms. We anticipate, and indeed already know of, procedures (14) which enhance physical containment capability in novel ways. For example, ministurization of screening, handling, and analytical pro-cedures provides substantial containment of a given host-vector system. Thus, such procedures should reduce the need for the standard types of physical contain-ment, and such innovations will be con-sidered by the Recombinant DNA Mole-oule Frogram Advisory Committee. cule Program Advisory Committee.

The special practices, equipment and facility installations indicated for each level of physical containment are re-quired for the safety of laboratory workaver to physical containment are re-quired for the safety of laboratory work-ers, other persons, and for the protection of the environment. Optional items have been excluded; only those items deemed absolutely necessary for safety are pre-sented. Thus, the listed requirements present basic safety or riteria. for each level of physical containment. Other microbiological practices and laboratory techniques which promote safety are to be encouraged. Additional information giving further guidance on physical con-tainment is provided in a supplement to the guidelines (Appendix D). PI Level (Minimal). A laboratory suitable for experiments involving re-combinant DNA molecules requiring physical containment at the PI level is a laboratory that possessees no special

a laboratory that possesses no special engineering design features. It is a laboengineering design features. It is a labo-ratory commonly used for microorga-nisms of no or minimal biobazard under ordinary conditions of handling. Work in-this laboratory is generally conducted on open bench tops. Special containment equipment is neither required nor gen-erally available in this laboratory. The laboratory is not separated from the gen-eral traffle patterns of the building. Fub-lic access is permitted.

Ite access is permitted. The control of biohazards at the P1-level is provided by standard microbio-logical practices of which the following are examples: (1) Laboratory doors should be kept closed while experiments are in progress. (ii) Work surfaces should be decontaminated daily and following spills of recombinant DNA materials, (iii) Liquid - wastes containing recom-binant DNA materials should be decom-taminated before disposal (iv). Solid taminated before disposal. (iv) Solid wastes contaminated with recombinant DNA materials should be decontami-Solid DNA materials should be decontami-mated or packaged in a durable leak-proof coultainer before removal from the laboratory. (v) Although pipeting by moult is permitted, it is preferable that mechanical pipeting by mouth, cotion-plugged pipeting by mouth, cotion-plugged pipeting by mouth, cotion-ge of food in the working area should be discoursed, (vii) Facilite to wash hands should be available. (viii) An in-sect and rodent control program should be provided. (ix) The use of laboratory gowns, coats, or uniforms is discretionary with the laboratory supervisor.

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL 41, NO. 131-WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 1976

DNA moleculas travultar geomphants is community is commercian with the P2 level base and the P1 level base and the P1 level base and the P2 level base avoided available

¹ Footnotes at end of

Starth regretures uses a second secon evel has 23 16 at the NOTICES (Moderate) Eta

monasserstima jar ut grout ecconstraints, ku i Drescional air for k javoitsed train status i the indication of stabilistic and above for the access of indication to stabilistic and indiventivy is event and the indication of an indiventivy is distinguistic and and and indication of an into the building. In an indication of an into the building is that ib permitted with, in a stat appropriate trainable premitted with, in a stat status are stating applied and in the schwarzy of the stating applied in a stating approximation and applied in a stating and applied and applied and in the schwarzy of the stating applied and in a dominable is a required on a link above of the and only above of the stating applied and in a dominable is a required on a link above of the and the stating are stating applied and in a dominable is a required on a link above of the and the stating are stating applied and in a dominable is a required on a link above of the and the stating are stating applied and the stating of the stating applied and the stating applied and above of the and the stating above are and the stating above and applied and and the stating applied and applied and and the stating above and and applied and the stating above and and applied and and the stating above are and a stating above the and above above and and a stating a stating a transformed and and applied and and applied and the stating above are and a stating above are above a stating above are and a stating a transformed at the stating above are areased at the anon link applied and a st us shall be decontant-sal. Solid wastes astes con-DNA maated or pack oof containe sterli q H rolled laboratory s ble leak-proof cor a durab removal all be rials she ted in a re dis at are

x, and storage of fating in the laboratory. (x) hards shall be availa-boratory. Persons show experim-Persons shu tents involvi als and befo rolled laboratory all be sterilized 1 Trinking, smoking, and are not permitted in the Facilities to wash hands ble within the laborator wash hands after experi-recombinant DNA mate ontainer shall naterials are 1 y mouth is pr etting devices

wasn hards after errors, resons stall accombinant DNA mitchesi and before lawing the aboratory. Colding that protocis citis constructory processing and protocis of the constructory colding that he con-traction of the colding that he con-dition of the colding that he colding that and the colding that he colding the colding that he colding that the colding that he colding that the colding that he colding the colding that, only, and that he colding the colding that he colding that the colding that he colding that the colding that he colding the the colding that he colding that the colding the here the same here the the colding that here the same here the colding the hypotermited in the aboratory. (xity) Vacuum lines the protocol of the hypotermited in the aboratory is the coldinated in the aboratory is the coldinated and with all F3 protocors are aboratory is the same haboratory are to be conducted in the same habora-tory construction is the coldinated in the aboratory is the coldinated and with all F3 level in the same habora-and with a shall be sterilized or transferred to reakable, scaled container, which aremoved from the calinet through mean decontamination tank, auto ultraviolet air lock, or after th contami hemical decont ve. ultraviolet anda

It is a controlled area, within which is controlled area, within her areas of the building. Ac-facility is under strict control facility operations matural is facility operations matural is class. III Biological Eafery we available within work areas P4 Level (High), Experiments invol recombinant DNA molecules require providamment at the P4 is providament or work areas in a floo all be contined to work areas in a floo of the type designed to contain adic uy cause serious epiden aclity is either a separa s a controlled area, with ich is completely isolat vulding or it is rounding, wh from all other cess to the facil resented facility ganisms the man or m sease. The f

ility has engineering for designed to prevent the gaulans to the enviro 17). These features it 15, 16, đ

FEOER

27913

(1) Monolithic walls, floods, and cellings in which all penetrations such as for air ducts, electrical conducts, and utility pipes are sealed to assure the physical isolation of the work area and to facili-tate housekceping and space decontami-nation; (11) air locks through which sup-pites and materials can be brought action which personnel enter tho and exit from the facility; (11) contiguous cithin which personnel enter tho and exit from the facility; (11) contiguous cithin which personnel enter tho and exit from the facility; (11) contiguous cithin which personnel enter tho and exit from the facility; (11) double-door autoclaves to sterilize and asfer tenore wastes and other materials from the facility; (19) a diovasie treatment system to attraction and the second structures and other materials from the facility; (19) and directional air flow within the facility; (11) a facility contained the second tape of the structure system to dispersed to the structure system to dispersed to the structure system to dispersed to the structure shall apply to a single facility or individual habor to a laboratory shall be protected by a high efficiency particulate air filter. The following practices shall apply to a single is required on all scaliby accosts doors and all interior doors to in-dividual laboratory rooms where syneris or the term the facility or individual haboratory into a facility or individual haboratory into one is required on the basis of priorized to enter. Stud persons shall be au-torized to enter. Stud persons shall be active of the potential biohaxards and instructed as to the appropriate aste-gards to ensure their safety before instructions and all other posted en-thery and exit procedures. Under no con-dings be all owned entry, (10) Personnel entry or each exit procedures. Under no con-tioning shall children under 15 years of age be all the second who enter into the scale heat into and exit from the facility only through the ciolity. The entry of each exit procedures. They have a the inst

See footnotes at end of article.

removal from these cabinets, shall be sterilized or transforred to a non-break-able scaled container, which is then rg-moved from the system through a chemi-cal decontaminated tank, autociave, or after the entire system has been decontaminated.

(vii) No materials shall be removed from the facility unless they have been sterilized or decontaminatel in a manner to prevent the release of agents requiring P4 physical containment. All wastes and Pa physical containment. All wagtes and other materials and equipment not dam-aged by high temperautre or steam shall be sterilized in the double-door autoclave. Biological materials to be removed from the facility shall be transferred to a nonbreakable sealed container which is then removed from the facility through a chemical decontamination tank or a removed from the facility through a chemical decontamination tank or a chamber designed for gas sterilization. Other materials which may be daraged by temperature or steam shall be steri-lized by gaseous or vapor methods in an atr look or chamber designed for this purpose, (viii) Eating, drinking, smok-ing, and storage of food are not per-mitted in the facility. Froot-operated water fountains located in the facility corridors are permitted. Separate po-table water piping shall be provided for these water fountains. (k) Facilities to wash hands shall be available within the experiments. (x) An insect and rodent control program shall be provided. (ki) Animals and plants not related to the experiment shall not be primitted in the facility. Fortune under shall be protected by a filter and liquid trap in addition to the branch ine HEFA filter mentioned above. (kii) Oge the hypo-dermic neede and syringe shall be dermic needle and syringe shall be avoided when alternate methods are available. (xiv) If experiments of lesser biohazard potential are to be conducted in the facility concurrently with experiin the facility concurrently with experi-ments requiring F4 level containment, they shall be confined in Class I or Class II Biological Safety Cabinets ' or isolated by other physical containment equip-ment. Work surfaces of Biological Safety ment. Work suffaces of Biological Safety Cabinet's and other equipment shall be decontaminated following the comple-tion of the experimental activity con-tained within them. Mechanical pipet-ting devices shall be used. All other prac-tices listed above with the exception of (vib chil and): (vi) shall apply.
 C. Shipment. To protect product, per

C. Skipment. To protect product, per-sonnei, and the environment, all recom-binant DNA material will be shipped in containers that meet the requirements issued by the U.2.8 of Part T3, Title 42, Code of Federal Regulations), Department of Transportation (decition 173.38716) of Part 173, Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations) and the Civil Aeronautics Board (CA.B. No. 82, Official Air Trans-port Restricted Articles Tariff No. 6-D) for shipment of etiologic agents, Label ing requirements specified in these Federal regulations and tariffs will apply to all viable recombinant DNA materials in which any portion of the material is derived from an etiologic agent listed in

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41; NO. 131-WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 1976

paragraph (c) of 42 CFR 72.25. Addi-tional information on packing and ship-ping is given in a supplement to the guidelines (Appendix D, part X).

guidelines (Appendix D, part X). D. Biological containment levels. Bio-logical barriers are expecific to each host-vector system. Hence the criteria for this mechanism of containment cannot be generalized to the same extent as for physical containment. This is particu-larly true at the present time when our experience with existing host-vector sys-tems and our predictive knowledge about trolected systems are source. The clastems and our predictive knowledge about projected systems are sparse. The clas-slifaciton of experiments with recombi-nant DNAs that is necessary for the construction of the experimencal guide-lines (Section III) can be accomplished with least confusion if we use the host-vector system as the primary element and the source of the inserted DNA as the secondary element in the classifica-tion. It is therefore convenient to specify the nature of the biological containment under host-vector headings such as those firm below for Escherichia coli K-12.

III. EXPERIMENTAL GUIDELINES

II. EXPERIMENTAL CUIPELINES A general rule that, though obvious, descrives statement is that the level of containment required for tany experiment on DNA recombinants shall never be less than that required for the most hesard-ous component used to construct and close the recombinant DNA (i.e. vector, host, and inserted DNA). In most cases the level of containment will be greater, particularly when the recombinant DNA is formed from meetes that cofficient particularly when the recombinant DNA is forma; from species that ordinarily do not exchange genetic information. Handling the purified DNA will generally require less stringent precautions then Will propagating the DNA. However, the DNA fiself should be handled at least as oursefully as one would handle the most demonstrated to DNAs used to make it.

dangerous of the DNAs used to make it. The above rule by itself effectively pre-cludes certain experiments-mannely, those in which one of the components is in Class 5 of the "Classification of Ethologic Agents on the Basis of Haz-ard" (5), as these are excluded from the United States by law and USDA admin-istrative policy. There are additional ex-periments which may engender such seri-ous biohazards that they are not to be performed a this time. These are con-sidered prior to presentation of the con-

experiments. A. Experiments that are not to be per-formed. We recognize that it can be argued that certain of the recombinants placed in this category could be ade-quately contained at this time. Nonethe-less, our estimates of the possible tangers that may ensue if that containment fails are of such a magnitude that we consider experiments on these recombinant DNAs will the wises holds of at least defor until there is more information to accu-rately assess that danger and to allow the construction of more effective biological barriers. In this respect, these guidelines are more stringent than those initially recommended (1).

The following experiments are not to be initiated at the present time: (i) Clon-

A LEASE AND A MOTOR CONTRACTOR OF A DECEMPTING

NOTICES

127

Ing of recombinant JNKa derived from the origination of strong and built of school of strong and built of school of

We therefore consider DNA recom-We therefore consider DNA recom-but other host-vector systems. In Biological containment criteria us-in Biological containment criteria us-in Biological containment criteria us-in Biological containment, and include note to always contra-thet can be estimated to already provide induce note to the presently available and the vectors thellofe noncontustive plasmids (re., pSC101, ColEl or derive-plasmids (re., pSC101, ColEl or deriveweitman werte level systems The host is systems The host is plasmids fer

three thereof (19-26)] and variants of the suberbolynder of 37-30. The Stochystrate of 37-30. The Stochystrate of a stochast and the stochast of the suberbolynder of the suberbo

The experimental transfer system and the approximation of the approximat ans for plasmid , it should be does operate in transdu gon that

rito for Staphylococcus arreas (34) and data are available to indicate bib fre-data are available to indicate bib fre-ditate internies of patantia transformation. These observations: indicate mass to erroading for yostina distate mass to erroading for yostina distate mass to erroading for yostina distate mass to erroading and the set for the set of patential and the set for the set of the formation of the set of

The observatoris on the face of \mathbf{S}_{1} only however the the constantion \mathbf{S}_{1} is the human allinearies there are also relevant to the constantion of the end or the transmanneary there is an anorrelevant to the constantion of the period of the theory than the transmarks. Backerjohase an end or factor that the transmarks and the transmark and the transmarks and th

also occui carriers.

2 1974 Š FEDER

noted

when an in DNA (nfect and recomb prophage.

Drawn are interclous A containing choned and Airectage with a traditional providence of the metatra-propriate of the second second second second traditional second second second second second the and thus this route of second should be considered. The second second second second while not sugars, the settings is found the considered second second second second second with a not thus this route of second should be considered. The second second second second constationed to be second second second second second table to be second second second second second the second second second second second second constationed to be second second second second table to be second second second second the second second second second second the second second second second second the second s

The EXT host-rectars. These are host-records where the set results of the set of the

eff. and 775 mutations in Z. oof K-12 g, graits in no defectable surveyed in section in the section of the survey of the section of the complete lyse of cells superplate lyse in the complete lyse of the lyse of the lyse in the cells of the lyse of the lyse of the cells superplate lyse superplate lyse as lyses to the lyse such as for an of the lyses of the cells such such as for an of the lyses of the cells such as the lyse of the lyses of the cells and lyse such as the lyse of the lyses cells an interfed gene such as the lyses the lyse of the lyses of the lyses of the cells as the lyse of the lyses of the cells as the lyse of the lyses of the cells as the lyse of the lyses of the cells as which cells the lyses of the lyses the lyses which actual lyse superplate lyses are low the lyses which lyse of the lyses of the cells as which cells the lyse of the lyses the lyses which lyse cyses the lyses in

might ent

10

suggested that the sum types of a suggested that the sum of the weak and the sum of the

FEDERAL REGISTER; VOL. 41, NO. 131-WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 1976 caping phage in nature could further be blocked by adding various conditional mutations which would permit growth only under special laboratory conditions with suppressor or gro-type (more, daag, rpoB) mutations. An additional safety feature would be the use of an rm (kad3) laboratory host, which produces phage with unmodified DNA which should be resulted in i'm bacteria that are probably prevalent in nature. The likelihood of recombination between the λ vector and lambdoid prophages which are present in some E. cold status might be reduced by elimination of the Red function and the presence of the recombination-reducing Gam function to gether with mutations contributing to the high lethality of the λ phage. However, these second-order precautions might not be relevant if the stability and infectivity of the excapting λ particles are reduced by special mutations or by progagating the highly unstable heads.

reduced by special mutations or by propagating the highly unstable heads. Despite multiple mutations in the phage vectors and laboratory hosts, the yield of phage particles under suitable laboratory conditions should be high $(10^{sc}-10^{m}$ particles/mi). This permits phage propagation in relatively small volumes and constitutes an additional safety feature.

e

 \mathcal{O}_{i}

Ç,

Volumes and constitutes an according safety feature. The phenotypes and genetic stabilities of the mutations and chromosome alterations included in these λ -host systems indicate that containment well in excess of the required 10⁴ or lower auruval frequency for the λ vectow with or without a cloned DNA fragment should be attained. Obviously the presence of all mutations contributing to this high degree of bilogical containment must be verified periodically by appropriate tests. Laboratory tests should be performed with the bacterial host to measure all possible tory tests should be performed with the bacterial host to measure all possible to bacterial host to measure all possible of plasmid formation and the survival of plasmid formation and the survival of the broggen or carrier bacterium. Similarly, the potential for perpetuation of strains or a λ -somitive nonpermissive taboratory K-12 strain, especially one byoggen for a lambdoid phage. In view of the fact that accurate assessment of the probabilities for escape of infections λ -grown on r r m. Sut hosts

In view of the fact that accurate asessment of the probabilities for escape of infections λ -grown on r m Sut hosts is dependent upon the frequencies of r, Su', and λ -sensitive strains in nature, investigators need to soreen E, coll Strains for these properties. These data will also be useful in predicting frequencies of successful escape of plasmid cloning vectors harbored in r m Su' strains.

When any investigator has obtained data on the level of containment provided by a proposed EK2 system, these should be reported as rapidy as possible to permit general awareness and evaluetion of the safety features of the new system. Investigators are also encouraged to make such new safer cloning systems generally available to other scientists. NIR will take appropriate steps to ald

See footnotes at end of article.

in the distribution of these safer vectors and hosts.

EKS host-sectors. These are EK2 systems for which the specified containment shown by isomatory tests has been independently confirmed by appropriate tests in animals, including humans or primates, and in other relevant entities ments in order to provide additional data to validate the leves of containment al model by the EKS of containment al model by the EKS of containment al model by the EKS of containrest all order to provide additional ment all model by the EKS of the distance of the sector of the scheme the sector of the sector of the contributing to the biological containment should be performed as a means to confirm the degrees of safety provided and ho further advance the technology of doveloping even safet vectors and hosts. For the time being, no host-vector system will be considered to be a bona fide EKS host-vector system, until it is o certified by the NLR Recombinant DNA Molecule Program Advisory Committee.

2. Classification of experiments using the E. colt. Al2 containment systems. In the following classification of containment criteria for different kinds of recombinant DNAs, the stated levels of physical and biological containment are minimums. Higher levels of biological containment (EKS) > EK2) > EK1) are to be used if they are available and are equally appropriate for the purposes of the experiment.

(a) Shotgun Experiments. These experiments involve the production of recombinant DNAs between the vector and the total DNA or (preferably) any partially purified fraction thereof from the specified cellular source.

specified cellular source. (1) Eukaryotic DNA recombinants--Primates. P3 physical containment + an EK3 host-vector, or P4 physical containment + an EK2 host-vector, except for DNA from uncontaminated embryonic tissue or primary lissue cultures therefrom, and germ-line cells for which P3 physical containment + an EK2 hostvector can be used. The basis for the lower estimated hazard in the case of DNA from the hatter tissues (if freed of adult tissue) is their relative freedom from horizontally acquired adventitious viruses.

Other mammals. P3 physical containment + an EK2 host-vector.

Birds. P3 physical containment + an EK2 host-vector.

Cold-bloaded vertebrates, P2 by bised containment + an EEX bost-vector except for embryonic or germ-line DNA which require P2 physical containment + an EEX host-vector. If the sukaryote is known to produce a potent toxin, the containment shall be increased to P3, + EEX.

Other cold-blooded animals and lower eukaryotes. This large class of eukaryotes is divided into the following two groups:

(1) Species that are known to produce a potent toxin or are known pathogens (i.e., an agent listed in Class 2 of ref. 5 or a plant pathogen) or are known to carry such pathogenic agents must use P3 physical containment + an EK2 hostvector. Any species that has a demonstrated capacity for carrying particular pathogenic agents is included in this group unless it has been shown that those organisms used as the source of DNA do not contain these agents; in this case they may be placed in the second group.

(2) The remainder of the species in this class can use $P2 \rightarrow EKL$. However, any insect in this group should have been grown under laboratory conditions for at least 10 generations prior to its use as a source of DNA.

Piants, P2 physical containment + an EK1 host-vector. If the plant carries a known pathogenic agent or makes a product known to be dangerous to any species, the containment must be raised to P3 physical containment + an EK2 host-vector.

(II) Prokaryotic DNA recombinants— Prokaryotes that exchange genetic ingenetic with E scalarge genetic ingenetic with E scalarge genetic ingenetic with E scalarge genetic ingenetic scalarge genetic inmined by the rule of the most daugerous any network is a scalar scalar scalar of the scalar scalar scalar scalar component (see introduction to Section DNAs from those bacteris in Colling of 5 ("Agents of no or michinale genes "*", " which and E2 conditions should be used for such bacteris if the fall in Class 2 of ref. 5 ("Agents of ordinary potential hazard" * ","), or are plant pathogens or symbionts. EEL host-rectors can be used for all experiments requiring only PJ physical containment (e.g., conjugative plasmida) than EEL vectors with Ning A lesser containment (e.g., conjugative plasmida) than EEL vectors are of low pathogenicity (for example, enteropathogenic stell thry for scalar but those of moderate pathogenicity (for example, Solwowells typis, Sapella, A specific example, Solwowells typis, Sapella containment + an EE2 host-vectors, A specific example of an experiment with a plant pathogen requiring P2 physical containment + an EE2 host-vectors wild be cloning the tumor gene of Agrobacterium.

Protury of estimated on not exchange genetic information with E. coil: The minimann containment conditions for this class consist of P2 physical containment + an EE2 host-vector or P3 physical containment + at EK1 host-vector, and apply whom the risk that the recombinant DNAs will increase the pathogenicity or ecological potential of the host is judged to be minimal. Experiments with DNAs from pathogenic species (Class 2 ref. 5 plus plant pathogens) must use P3 \pm EE2.

(iii) Characterized clones of DNA recombinants derived from shoftam experiments. When a cloned DNA recombinant has been rigorously characterized and there is sufficient evidence that it is free of harmful genes, 'then experiments involving this recombinant DNA can be carried out under P1 + EKX conditions if the inserted DNA is from a

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 131-WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 1976

anoies hat exchanges genes with *E*, cold
D. Par de Editar 2014 and that has a periadic or part of the spectral or part of the spect 23. B.Z. Bertiment's with other prokaryotic variable is a static provider prokaryotic host-year vector systems are at the specialty the postaryotic host-year vector systems are at the specialty of the postaryotic host-year treatment here at this time. However, the postary of the postary sector system and the postaryotic host-year is guide the static state. The rewy diverse is a guide for constituent condition are any system is and of the postary sector with the add to be the postary sector with the sector postary sector share appropriate and of the postary sector with the sector postary sector with a postary sector with the sector postary sector with a postary sector postary sector with a postar

depend upon the prependerant habitat of the hast-vector. For example, if the up-result of non-vector programme is the property and the heat-vector programme is the property and the heat-vector programme is the such producting the barrier of heat is the such producting the programme is the such producting print-eless and the test over producting prin-eless with 2. coll X-12 host-vectors as a guide animent conditions given for test over producting the production of the producting the programme transfer over producting prin-eless with 2. coll X-12 host-vector comparable to animent conditions given for these two producting the production of the producting the programme transfer over producting prin-tice and the production of the producting printing experiments with grant of the producting of the programme transfer over printing the producting experiments with printing the producting experiments with grant of the printing the programme to the printing the printing the producting experiments with grant of the printing the production overlap hands for the corre-tion contained hand with the cort of the printing the printing experiments with grant of the printing the production overlap hands the terrest of the terms grant of the printing the printing the printing experiments with grant of the printing

э 1976 131

NOTICES

tees of the location within the molecule is the state at which DNA synthesis of the Jordand san the clawsed by re-ter and the state at a which DNA synthesis of the state at a state that are clawsed by re-(a). The state that are clawsed by re-ter (b). The state that are clawsed by re-ter (b). The state that are clawsed by re-soluting state state that are clawsed by re-soluting state state that are clawsed by re-ter and that advantation set with a state (b). The statematic has be integrated into the state that a state line of the state at a state (c). The statematic has be integrated into the state that a state line of the state at a state (c). The state at a state line of the state (c). The state at a state line of the state state at a state line of the state at a state (c). The state at a state line of the state (c). The state at a state line of the state state at a state line of the state at a state (c). The state at a state line of the state state at a state line of the state at a state (c). The state at a state line of the state state at a state line of the state at a state at state at a state line of the state at a state state at a state line of the state state at a state line of the state at a state of the state at a state at a state at a state state at a See tootnotes

(b) Flant host-vector systems. For cells in tissue cultures, seedings, or plant parts (e.g. tubers, stems, fruits, and de-

cells.

achted lesves) or whole medure shears on-genal species (ex., Arobioms, Thes shears on-stand species (ex., Arobioms, Thes shears on-stand species (ex., Arobioms, Thes shears on-stand sector and this adoption of the other shades or the acoustication erent nucle whether and this adoption of and a contrained by provided by the other shears of the operations with whole ylattic biological work. To physical constituence to a contrained by the operations with the other shades are modified by produce a negative pressure environment work with radioncitie isothers, provided and the operations with whole ylattic produce a negative pressure environment of the operations with the operations with the operation work with radio state of the specific operations with the operation work with radio state and the operation work work of the produce a negative pressure environment of the operation work work of the produce a negative pressure environment of the operation work work of the produce a negative pressure environment of the specific of the operation with the specific of the operation of the operati has been shown by suitable latoheminal in a boltominal weight of blocker in the cloaded in a start in a contraint weight of the cloaded in the poynam with weight heat strange of a single of the system in the received in the poynamic weight of the cloaded in the poynamic strange of the system in the receiver in the continued in the poynamic strange of the system in the receiver in the receiver

The development and use of host vector systems that exhibit a high leve of biological containment permit a de crease or one step in the physical con-

ĸ, ġ EDERAL

159

27919

nment specified above (P4→P3→P2→

(c) Fundal or similar loars endampedia acceleration of the second management relation for experiments on recombinant DNAs using these hast-rectors most provide resemble that for the proceeding rather than the three for proceeding rather than the host cells smally exhibit a capacity for distemmation on the containment guidelines fiven for ec-periments with *c*. cold E-12 and other for bacteria. We herefore could for that the containment guidelines fiven for ec-periments with *c*. cold E-12 and other for contexts. We herefore could a fitted the containment guidelines fiven for ec-periments with *c*. cold E-12 and other for contexts. This the second could be the procession of the back-vectors. This is lower entaryout the since the development of these host-vectors. In the second the provide the stage.

rr, noiss AND RESPONSIENTITES Bately in research (noulding recombi-mant DNA, molecules depends upon how the research team applies these guide-lings, Moderation and critical judgment are necessary, in addition to specific safely traveledge, to ensure protection of personnel, the public, and the envi-normerit.

on personnel, une public, and the anti-romment.
 The guidelines given here are to help the purtorpal investigator determine the incomplete in some respects because all concelvable experiments with resonance the incomplete in some respects because all concelvable experiments with resonance the estimation cut for an increase. In contrast, one cut hubble investigator is statuting cut for an increase in one shally in basilitation resident and approxi-tation of the anticipation of the estimation of the statution of the estimation of the statution of the statuting cut is an essential and inter-ential of the institutional and NTI lovels.
 The following roles and responsibility define an administrative framework in which as deep is an essential and inter-sting for in the guidelines should cut be deternased which and NTI lovels.
 A. Principal Instation of research involving resonablement of versions proposed and possible and the anticipation of setting and interpretation of the proposed interface. This princi-sonal is a second and proposed and possible of interpretation of the proposed interface in the guideline should cut proteines and hubbacker Token available in and the subtraction of the proposed research prior to bindiation on very correct interface and protection on purported resonal bubbacker Token withing the subtract is a subtraction. (v) the estimation is a subtraction on purported research prior to bindiation on very correct interface and hubbacker Token withing is a subtracting the subtraction on purported resonation bubbacker Token withing the subtraction resonation bubbacker Token withing the subtraction resonation on the proposed research prior to bubbacker token withing the submitting the order of the proposed research prior to the subtraction on purported resonation bubbacker Token withing the subtraction resonation bubbacker Token withing the subtraction resonation bubbacker Token withing the subtraction resonation bubbacker Token on the NIT interfa

footnotes at end of article.

Activiti the gui information bearing such as technical info

The subset of the second secon

tom.
 Turing the conduct of the research, the principal investigators is responsible for:
 (i) Supervisit, the safety performance in a principal investigator is responsible for:
 (ii) Supervisit, the safety performance in a principal investigator is resonant to an another investigator is and the institute infail boharactic constitute any performance is a principal investigator in the institute infail boharactic constituent is any indexent performance is a province of the principal investigator in the institution is any indexent performance in a province in the institution in the institution is any indexent performance in a province in the principal intermetation of the principal intermetation of the province is any province in the principal intermetation of blooked and principal intermetation of blooked and principal intermetation of blooked and principal intermetation is and principal intermetation of blooked and principal intermetation in the principal intermetation of blooked and principal intermetation integrity of the principal intermetation of blooked and principal intermetation integrity of the printegrity of the printegrity of the principal intermetation in

rence file and li-

phargy of citalogs, books, arkides, james, and herer, and during enventional seven of advice and reference regarding, and all level of biological constainment, the availability and quality of the NH of the N

FEGERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 131-WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 1976

Welfare, the Assistant Storetary for the teach, bench, ben

¹ Biological Stelpy Cablicle netfored to 'n Construction's Distance A Class 1, Class 1,

recenting) without glores, and [3] with an specification strain experiment of the strain equipole with a specific constraint for the strain equipole with the strain of the strain of the strain equipole with the strain of the st

yond its own staff, as an alternative when ad-ditional expertise outside the institution is needed for the indicated reviewa.

VI. REFERENCES

Warg, P., D. Mathinor, H. W. Doyn, S. M. Davis, D. S. Rognes, D. Stalander, H. W. Dovis, D. S. Rognes, D. Stalander, M. O. Norlin, J.D. Wanon, S. Waiso, S. Cohen, R. W. Dovis, D. S. Wanon, S. Wolson, S. Sterento, Science of Stalander and Sta

Merobloogy, John Wiley & Sons, Nov. York, P. (1997). Colour May et al. 2014. Section of Characteria Aschneid Constant and Science and Science

Kul, Byerick, R. P. and S. I. Mona, (1997).
 Yen Transmission of Drug Eacherson Structure of Saphylococcus enceut. J. Europ. Not. 123, 44–60.
 Kohnmold, Uryl, O. W. A. Ollingbi and M. J. R. Ekohamold. (1974). Of starbitrary and H. Bichmond. (1974). Of starbitrary and H. Bichmond. (1974).
 Kahmond. (1974). Of starbitrary and Antiblobia Eachigance Transfer between Ne-terobacteria in the Euroma Calcitrational Interf. J. Mod. Microbiol. 5, 451–473.

(36) Bonald Davis, personal on.

NOTICES

then, and the second communication of the second communication communicat

Alexandro Perrett, Reconstruction of Particular Construction
 Steiten, DoWitt, Jr., KD., Ph.D., Diputy Di-tector, To Galaxie, Nathan Stein, Status, J., Status,

Gartland, William J., Jr., Ph.D., Health Sci-entist, Administrator, National Institute of General Medical Sciences, National Insti-tutes of Health.

Merich, Bichard, Fh. Coordination Fre-generic Lanson, K. Statistical Sciences, Sciences J. Statistical Sciences, Sciences J. Sciences, S

A variable of the set of the se

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 131-WEONESDAY, JULY 7, 1974

though there is a surprishingly wide discrop- 2 course between DNX--DNX hyrkitization among there or DNX--DNX hyrkitization among these organization (19), aven though the frequency of transformations is low in the Amonylise relation of the among the Amonylise relation of the among the among the among the relation of the among transformers in the among the among transformers of a mutting the among the am

DEVELOPMENT OF VEHICLES ç

B. storing in Contractions of the storements of the storement of the store o

29 in the heat). We have recently isolated temperature-sensitive there mutants. If we can isolate a former-where dependent hysogen that will grow only at 40°C it should be pos-sible to make an unusual vehicle. NOTICES

STR-SPECIFIC ENDONUCLEASES

Recently wo restriction modification we shall have buellin. Transtrate ef al. have 189 and other bacilit. Transtrate ef al. have restorback effects and statistical back effects and statistical back and the effect of the statistical back and willion of the statistical back of the statistical back and 2 subtility 108 (28). The endomediane from 2 subtility for the statistical back and the statistical and the scopares has a settistical back and the statistical back and the statistical back and the statistical back and the statistical back and the scopares has a settistical subjects (20). More recently, wo net the statistical subjects (20). The recognised from and the statistical subjects (20). The recognised from and the statistical (20). The recognised from

193 07 ADVANTAOES AND LIABILITES
 "BUSTLIDE BYSTEM"

a. Advantages

B. schifts is nonpathogenic Asport-schifts is nonpathogenic Asport-schifts device uniquate are realized by the schift device the problem of persistence provide a proper schemessenal map is well of deneral. A basis robust schemessenal map is well of the schemessen are schemessenal map is scheme and schemessen and schemessen scheme and schemessen and schemessen in the fermetanistic invitation and also a schemessen industriation and and a schemessen and schemessen and well well with minibal environmental in the fermetanistic invitation and a schemessen and schemessen and a schemessen and schemessen and a schemessen and scheme and a scheme and scheme and a schemessen and a schemessen

b. Disadvantages

1. The Encretedge of genetics and physiol. If ogy of planutaria and viruse is printifyeo com- // proct entra control of the printifyeo com- // proct entra control of the printifyeo com- // proct entra control of good re-tion is not entraned as a fractura of good re-lation is not entraned as a fractura of good re-lation is not entraned as a fractura of good fractament. If the promine of the prominetor of the late of the prominetor of the prominet of the provident of the prominetor of the properties of the prominetor of the pro-tice of the provident of

Spikten, ATPARTERES
 Spikten, A. 1883. Transformation of be biolobenicity deflective terms of zacility be acad. Ed. 19 A, ASI (1997–1073.
 Jayenan, K. P. (19 A, ASI (1997–1073.
 Jayenan, K. P. (2004). And ASI (2004–1073.
 Jayenan, K. P. (2004). And ASI (2004). And ASI (2004).
 Jayenan, K. P. (2004). And ASI (2004).
 Jayenan, C. (2004). And ASI (2004).
 Jayenan, C. (2004). And ASI (2004).
 Jayenan, M. (2004). And ASI (2004).
 Jayenan, C. (2004). And ASI (2004).
 Jayenan, C. (2004). And ASI (2004).
 Jayenan, M. (2004).
 Jayenan, M.

Brunds ahilty of deorythonnella add in Suskerstol. (1) Styles aft. and or providents of providents in Suskerstol. (1) Styles aft. and or providents in Suskerstol. (1) Styles aft. and the Suskerstollar styles. The suskerstollar style in In Section In Suskerstollar styles. Journal and the Suskerstollar styles and the Suskerstollar styles and the Suskerstollar styles. Journal and the Suskerstollar styles and the Suskerstollar styles and the Suskerstollar styles and the Suskerstollar styles. Journal styles and the Suskerstollar Suske

WEDNESDAY,

(23) Wilson, G. A. and F. E. Young, Un-published data, (30) Wilson, G. A., R. Roberts, and F. E. Young, Unpublished data, Toming, Unpublished data, (31) Wilson, G. A. and F. K. Young, Re-striction and modification in bachill, find Schlessinger (ed.), Microbiology 1978, in press.

FENDIX B. POLYOMA AND SV40 VINUS

"Pelyona yrus i'n yrus o'r false and infe-tion o'r wid mawa synaistenia a an diafe-reaet, for ha tirur has o'tom ben isolaid from a high propertion o'r heatily scala animala, both wid and iaboratory bred, o' mary caloniz (cross, L. Pro: Son Xup, 120) animala, both wid and iaboratory bred, o' mary caloniz (cross, L. Pro: Son Xup, 20) animala, both wid and iaboratory bred, animala, Boteview, whom karge ensults in see animala. However, whom karge ensults in see animala. However, whom karge ensults in see the virus are incentated into zawhoro o the virus are incentated (cross, L. Onogen trustav, Second Jethion, Fergmann, Freis, NY,

NYD).
Folyona, virus, grown Jytheally, Yu, mouse scalin in stasse controls, with a mouse scalin in transmission of the other scalar and other scalar and scala

1 54

AEVICY &

Shah, Z., Balladeth, L. G., Prezoziki, T. J. Shah, Z. R. Balladeth, L. G. Prezoziki, T. J. Shah, J. S. Shah, J. S

A. PREFILE WORK
 A.

ON THE L PROKARYOT HOSTS FOR I MOLECULES TORNEY FINES INN, LA JOLLA, ANY OF THE WORKSHOP AND TESTING OF SAFER HULZS AND BACFRIAL HULZS AND BACFRIAL

Tonarrez Princi MC, ka Jonda, Calavaranta, A. M. S. A. Sonda, Calavaranta, M. S. Sanda, Calavaranta, M. S. Sanda, Calavaranta, M. S. Sanda, S. S. Sanda, S. S. Sanda, S. S. Sanda, S. Sanda, S. S. Sanda, Sanda,

REGISTER, ğ

FEDERAL 41, NO. 131-WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 1970

tens by rejoluting them with reparatols in the reparatols of the progress ends. The right should be the reparatol in the r

NOTICES

W. Y. YOLIN, G. WILCH and M. WILHIMME OF OUR CONSTRUCTION of SPECIFIC ACTION OF A CONSTRUCTION OF A

erobiology frattitufo, Wurburg) were due send and the fourth systems was supported entry in the iterutionation and effectively that entry in the iterutionation and effectively that entry in the iterutionation and flattities and an experimental systems and po-try constrained foregrams and an entry in the iterutionation and effectively and season on the centery statistic entry in the iterution and effectively and entry in the iterution and effectively and an experimental systems and an experi-tion and effectively and an experi-tion and effectively and another and an experimental systems and an experi-tion and effectively and an experimental experimental systems and an experimental experimental experimental systems and an experimental system and an experimental experimental experimental systems and experimental experimental experimental experimental experimental expensions and experimental experimental expensions and experimental expensions are and and an expension experimental expensions. Frome expensions are expension are are approximately or another expension. Frome expension are approximately ore expensing are appresented

EDERAL

B. cell Z.-J. a till nie spiper to offer a significant of participant is protected web-defined participants of partisment of participants o

Mer.
 More of the progress in developing safe hout has been achieved with *X*, cell E-33, a though *P*. Young described *R*, solving strain with a dealtion for apportability size strain with a dealtion for apportability size strain and the solving first size of the solving first and the solving first size of the dealties. The service of with biographics to be dealties. The spectra may be decayed and/or di-tering a D-achieve of with biographics to be dealties. The spectra may be decayed and/or di-tering a D-achieve of which biographics to be dealties. The spectra may be decayed and/or di-tering a D-achieve of the spectra may be dealties. The spectra may be decayed and/or di-tering the spectra may be decayed and/or di-tering the spectra may be decayed and/or di-main and may be developed and/or di-tering the spectra may be decayed and/or di-tering the spectra may be decayed and/or the spectra. The spectra may be dealty in the spectra of a maximum which shares sensitivity to bits salls and di-tering the spectra of a maximum size sensi-tion and may be due to the insertion with and maximum size and the work performed by the shares reported at the work performed by the solution survey and the spectrum of the maximum size and the spectra may be dealting numerous attains with different and the spectra first approaches the spec-alation function at a maximum size and construction and the spectra may be developed at the spectra first approaches the spectrum size and spectra first approaches the spectrum size and a spectra spectra with different and the spectra first approaches at the spectra spec-tra and spectra at a spectra spectrum size sensi-tions in the spectra at the spectra spectrum size and a spectra spectra spectrum size spectrum size spectrum the spectra spectra spectrum size spectrum size spectrum the spectra spectrum size spectrum size spectrum the spectra spectrum size spectrum spectrum size spectrum the spectra spectrum spectrum spectrum site spectrum spectrum spectrum spe

NOTICES

 fold and 10e-fold reductions, respectively, in according to the product set income the object of the income statistical set of the income stati D. Ocariffuging,
D. Ocariffuging,
P. Bigher, Bernsteinger,
P. Bigher, Bernsteinger,
P. Bigher, Bernstein, S. Statista, A. Fractieer,
O. Maschintzen Directulous & Recommendation,
V. Care, S. Borning, E. Koviet, A. Anna, F. Bigher, Babi, M. B. Statista, A. Fractieer,
O. Maschintzen, D. Fractien, A. Anna, F. Statista, J. Fractien, J. Bernstein, J. B. Dissen, J. B. Bernstein, J. B. Biotastan, J. B. Dissen, J. B. Biotasta, J. Bernstein, Spill, J. B. Biotastan, Spill, J. B. Biotastan, Spill, J. B. Biotastan, Spill, J. B. Biotastan, Spill, Outside, J. B. Biotastan, Spill, J. B. Biotastan, Spill, J. B. Biotastan, Spill, J. B. Biotastan, Spill, Dustate, J. B. Biotastan, Spill, Outside, J. B. Biotastan, Spill, Outside, J. B. Biotastan, Spill, Outside, J. Biotastan, Spill, Outside, J. B. Biotastan, Spill, Dustate, J. B. Biotastan, Spill, B. Bastan, Spill, B. Biotastan, J. B. Biotastan, Spill, B. Biotastan, J. B. Biotastan, Spill, B. Biotastan, Spill, Dustate, J. B. Biotastan, Spill, B. Biotastan, J. B. Biotastan, Spill, B. Biotastan, J. B. Biotastan, Spill, B. Biotastan, Spill, B. Biotastan, J. B. Biotastan, Spill, B. Biotastan, J. B. Biotastan, J. B. Biotastan, J. B. Biotastan, J. Biotastan, J. B. Biotastan, J. B. Biotastan, J. B. Biotastan, J. B. Biotastan, J. Bio

D. --- SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION ON PRISICAL CONTAINMENT

Biological Bafely Calinets.
 Table J. Biological Bafely Calinets.
 Table J. Biological Biological Warning Symbol.
 The Calinet Development of Piological Construction Piological Construction Piological Construction Piological Construction Piological Construction of Piological Construction Piological Construction of Piological Construction Piological Construction of Piological

I. DEORETA ANSET CARDING TO I. DEORETA TO I. DEORETA ANSET CARDING TO I. DEORETA TO

VOL. 41, NO. 131-WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 1976

Marketton, and a track sources to converse the state sources of the source of the s

-Biological safety cabinets, safe PAULE I.-

and, ager test interactors, and mur-percirations in synthesized, and mur-gar first coentractions in a subject final der presentel and protite from interact in strente to be subjeck. The isoparteel inder a rigeure All supply state of the subject in the supply state of the subject in the supply state of the subject in the sup-state of the subject in the sup-tion of the subject in the supply in the supply supply for supply supply to sup-tion and protodo supply for any subject doputed on the subject subject in the subject in the subject of the subject in the subject in the subject of subject in the subject in the subject in the subject of subject in the subject in the subject in the subject of subject in the subject in the subject in the subject of subject in the subject in and specificainte, ety performance requirem June 1976

the minimur sure that th tion of perso The intervent n utilizee isk ageni). See Tu gent us

ay cabluct depends on ovaluation to moot es-tests. Table 1 outlines mance required to as-mance required to as-the only provide protec-the onvironment.

	1.1		
Pathent	filter	(montan)	58
durrements -		50000007.2km	Not applienble. Obs tight: leak rate
riormaneo re	Mr (cubho minute)	Brit bood	<u>8</u> 8
D.A.	Ethaust feet per	1-ft hood	88
	Face velocity	(linear feet per misute)	27 27
	selfication	CDC1	11
	. Use cle	ANG	. P1-P3
	Cabinet		Class I. type I.

ц, туре 1 Р1-Р2	11		22	88	8 8	Not applicable Ons tight; haak rate <1 by 10-1 cashs 2d1 water grate	88
type 2 P1-P3	7	а	8	220	22	Pressure tight; no air/ soan bubble at 2-in	g
P4	•	£		÷	9	waior gage presults. One tight; leek rate <1 by 10-1 emils at	:8
···•			· .			3-ju water gaga presente.	

5 isture that would require more seat or m recombinant DNA molecules. 230 Control (U. B. Public Heelth Berrice). I for work with recombinant DNA moseume. Cheme for Disease Control (U. 8. Public Realth Se Not application. Based on I vol. of air change acch 3 min, in the abs

A multitude in the first fir store were, thus the symbol can be analy seen from a many contractors as possible seen from a many factors as possible seen from a strong as a factor set of the strong strong and the strong strong strong and the strong Ξ UNIVERSAL BIOHAZARD WARNING SYMI

thun or potential pr and to identify equi pma, materials, expe combinations, there re contaminated wi The biological messed biobazard symbol) specifi biobazard symbol) specifi actor of the signation of the actor containers, rooms, act, containe or com actor contain or are or fable mesardous stents,

which contain or are contaminated with the interaction agains. The interaction agains, and proportioned as illustrated here:



The blohazard symbol shall he used or dis-played only to signify the actual or potential presence of biological hazard.

britail t-JoH Morate¹ Tite Arrys of the Pollare Distinut, San (s. ULL) an 44 photometric BIOHAZARD

the meso-the ma-of indi-precau-should on the er Br or its conta etc., but n superim đ۵

ntifation 70-68, and min-

I for ver limitation

NOTICES



ADMITTANCE TO AUTHORIZED PERSONNEL ONLY

alle leradorien. S omorpour ziel

III. LABORATORY TECHNIQUES 702 M01

5

5

÷1

1. Non-interloss or bard, materials about 3. Specieta by anome, 10, 33, 40, and 39, pri-prover, by hubbing approach, 10, 33, and 39, pri-stream and the start about 30, and 30, pri-stream and another and 30, and 30, pri-stream and 10, 24, 34, and 30, and 30, pri-stream and 10, 24, 34, and 30, and 30, pri-stream and 10, 24, 34, and 30, and 30, pri-stream and 10, 24, 34, and 30, and 30, pri-stream and 10, 24, 34, and 30, and 30, prise and 10, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 30, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 30, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 30, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and 20, and and 20, and

material to which it is unatrial to which it is uportions shown above in any case, that the feen from as many

ed the propo ed, and, in be easily se xessible.

symbol shall be as prominent as prac-and of a size consistent with the size equipment or material to which it is

the

B. Syringes and Needles (9)

1. To lessen the chance of accidental li jection, asresol production or spills, avoi unnecessary use of the syrings and need

For inst (1) U but use

(1) Virtures: a vice seciels for parenternal higherdone but to use the seciels for parenternal mean taxas springe for out or a distrurant business to a (1) Do not use a writinge and meeting as a substitute for a physical in making dilutions of damagenum minda. Use the prices and reache in a Bloogi-cal Barely Cablant orige and aved quick and uncereasity norvements of the hand holding an unceessity norvements of the hand holding and a substitute for the hand holding and unceessity norvements of the hand holding and a substitute for the holding h

the syringe.

due glass syringes for chips and ad needles for barbs and plugs. cracks,

9261.12

REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 131-WEDNESDAY, JULY INSTRAL

S.

27927

٨

May Typhe should be dance prior to starillate the photore unaverage only, and be save that the norder of the photore start the norder of the photore start. A disposable degrade scenario y mito the barret. A disposable degrade with the proper dishterial has disposed with the proper dishterial models. The disposed with the proper dishterial models and disposed and disposed and disposed in the barret. The disposed with the proper dishterial models and disposed in the distart default with the hand. The disposed with the proper dishterial models and disposed into the distart default distart default disposed in the disposed in the distart default disposed in the distart default disposed in the distart default disposed in the disposed into the distart disposed in the disposed into the distart disposed into the distart disposed in the disposed into the distart disposed into the distart disposed into the disposed into the distart disposed in the disposed into the disposed inthe disposed into the disposed into the disposed into the

Plazos, trubes and bottles of thing! may relate approve in large human decision of plate. Suppose in large human decision of plate. Suppose in large human decision of plate. The absence of defails excitant the opvious splitage, this not excitant the optimum ing of plates, thus an audel characterist the plate human decision of defails and the human decision of defails and the super-ing of plates, the set excitant the optimum decision of the superior of other in the 80% for which no known act or acci-dent is accidented in the other and the excitant is the 80% for which no known act or acci-dent is accidented in the form a first between the support of the intervent plate. Accessed optimum and its of the inverted plate accessed optimum and its of the inverted plate accessed optimum and its of the inverted plate. Accessed optimum and its of the inverted plate accessed optimum and its of the inverted plates. The plates are been likely to offer this hadron optimum and the support of the support optimum and the support of the ing property first plates. The support (when incoluted assentiated by use ing property first plates. The support of the incolution assentiated by the superior optimum in the support of the support of the support of the incolution and support of the support of the support of the incolution and support of the support of t

NOTICES

Thite paper fitted has the fits reduce, but the object of the series of t

artha a dialafeetaari: a bizerales tha hinderiouvi a. C. Areid Milley Dis Hier to visu point: Net-trance of the second and the second and the second arth collision of the constructive the second term of the second article second and the second term of the second article second and the second term of the second article second article second article term of the second article second article second article term of the second article second article second article term of the second article second article second article term of the second article second article second article terms of the second article second article second article terms are article second article second article second article second article second article second article terms are article second articl

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 1976

STORN.
havo as high explosible in an aldedare (b). It serves in several several

I. entabletions I. Water hattly and Warburg bakin used to historic phonolity, or vest in the entable of mater from the phonolity or the phonolity of the other phonolity of the phonolity of the phonolity of the other phonolity of the phonolity of the phonolity of the other phonolity of the phonolity of the phonolity of the other phonolity of the phonolity of the phonolity of the other phonolity of the phonolity of the phonolity of the other phonolity of the phonolity of the phonolity of the other phonolity of the phonolity of the phonolity of the phonolity of the other phonolity of the phonol

NOTICES

formed in A Biological Sketcy Cohmer, Filtra-tor the mean art from the norman pro-gump is describe effort af full cultures pil-servable prostered interflox mainten articulation.
 e. While provedered interflox mainten articulation are proved in early hundled. For provider interval served in early hundled. For provider interval provided in the full and provider interval provided in the filtra interval served in the filtra filtra and and served in early hundled.
 e. While provider in the provider interval interval and interval provider interval served in early hundled.
 mean interval in the filtra interval provided continuer (4, 10).
 mean interval interval and interval provided continuer (4, 10).
 mean interval interval and interval interval and interval interval interval and interval interval interval and interval interval interval and interval interva

Ξ.

T. Per producti protocidan, persons with an end-protocidan parameterization multiple and potentially sevies and allocations from straights into a protocidan parameterization protocidant p

normal cell cultures nals, especially the with susceptible ani-formal mouse colony

mana, support and the second matrix matter colory and the second matrix the

NOTICES

SE OF LABORATORY (10,32-37)

A. Gree and Jeruchny and the first in the interactive second accordance with the Attract Wolfner Action interactive second accordance with the action interactive second accordance with the action interactive second accordance with the Attract Wolfner Action interactive second accordance with a second action interactive second accordance with a second action interactive second action inthe sec

General Guidelines that Apply to Animal Room Maintenance (10)

Accourt adapterstantic (10)
 Decer so atalantal recent in found be keep charged at all three scept for increasing en-age for the schematic of districted at another beep in a schematic of districted at another beep in a schematic of districted at another beep in a schematic of districted at another beep increased. The schematic of districted at another being end in the schematic of districted at a for the schematic of districted at another beep increased. The schematic of districted at a for the schematic of districted at another beep increased. The schematic of districted at a for the schematic of districted at another beep increased. The schematic of districted at a for the schematic of districted at another beep increased. The schematic of districted at a for a schematic by or schematic of deven the schematic at be rescaled form: the being of the schematic and be schematic schematic of schematic and the schematic of the schematic of deven and a the schematic of the schematic schematic with a schematic of the schematic schematic for a former. The forms are schematic schematic form a schematic of the schematic schematic form a schematic of the schematic schematic schematic and a the districted at schematic schematic with a schematic form and a respiration schematic at the schematic schematic schematic form a formatic free port and a respiration schematic with a schematic schematic schematic form a formatic free port and a formatic schematic with a schematic schematic schematic schematic with schematic schematic schematis schematic with schematic schematic schematic with schematic

A Introduction August A stratute of the efficacy of various an econtaminant for sitelogic sprint index to the stratute and the self-acy of various the to make any stratute of the self-acy the stratute and self-acy of the self-acy the shorter of self-acy of the self-acy institution is not only the protection of the self-acy of the self-acy of the self-acy is an institution is not only the protection of the self-acy of the self-acy of the self-acy is an institution is not only the protection of the protection is not only the protection of the protection of meteric. The self-acy is an institution is not only the protection of the protection is not only the protection of the protection is not only the protection of the protection of meterical self-acy is an excited beforement of materials, and un-terical before acy is an acy of the protection. In the self-acy of the self-acy of the protection is and the self-acy of the self-acy of the pro-set which before acy of the protection of a self-acy of the self-acy of the protection of a self-acy of the self-acy of the protection of a self-acy of the self-acy of the protection of a self-acy of the self-acy of the protection of a self-acy of the self-acy of the protection of a self-acy of the self-acy of the protection of a self-acy of the self-acy of the protection of a self-acy of the self-acy of the protection of a self-acy of the self-acy of the self-acy of the methods.

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL: 41, NO. 131-WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 1976

Proprotection restruction transmission deteorophers in the standard entrule and the protection of the standard entrule and the standard entrule

solva only r material will problems. The o one procedure or decontamination pi

NOTICES

assuring the effcacy of selected les is to critically examine the ined in practical tosts with the

The standonoide is a cattellar is cattellar waith the the standonoide is a cattellar waith the standonoide is a cattellar of the standonoid the standonoide is a cattellar of th D, Disposal

La constanti Decontatination and digotal is infec-lated fistas has investigative sciency inferes-isted fistas has introvinced rates of disposal administration and appointed is inter-ational science intervention and and and administration and appointed is inter-ational and and appointed is and and administration and appointed is and administration and appointed in the administration and appointed is and administration admin

If not, have the objects or materials an approved manner for formediate on-site findineration or transfer to another laborator?

Does diaposal of the decontantineted objects on muterials involve any additional potential interneta, infological or otherwise, to personnel eltitet;

carrying out the immediate dis € 7

(ii) Those who might come into contast who miles are strained with a bytest and who makes an endancy contast.
(ii) Those who milest are marked with the strain who are strained with a significant problem who are strained with a significant problem. The strain who are strained with a significant problem who are strained with a significant problem. The strained with a significant problem who are strained with a significant problem. The strained with a significant problem who are strained with a significant problem who are strained with a significant problem. The strained with a significant problem who are strained with a significant problem. The strained with a significant problem with a significant problem with a significant problem. The strained with a strained with a strained with a significant problem with a significant problem. The strained with a strain

Characteristics of Chemical Decontani. 14842 in Common Use in Ladoratory Operations ъó

Surver present activity roughly with rubble rubroregredurans, no mixter hous rannes da and or specialization, with rubble rubble rubble thin find it necessary to doornizanizado y thin find it necessary to doornization activity optimizant doornization work was not matcher site and specialized interments. "Second and constitution in more activity of the matcher activity of the mixter activity of the mixter activity for activity of the mixter activity of method of sterilizatives the sterilizative sible for decontaminations, es, and stationary equi-윩둲

Ŋ,

To addid the components of the components of the component of the componen

a.e. to userutionic of ensyme subjective.
a.e. to userutionic of ensyme subjective.
a.e. to userutionic of ensyme subjective.
b. the setting of ensyme subjective.
b. the setting of the subjective of the subjective properties.
b. the subjective properties of the subjective of existing of the subjective properties.
b. the subjective properties of the subjective of the subjective properties.
b. the subjective properties of the subjective properties of the subjective properties of the subjective properties.
b. the subjective properties of the subjective properties.
b. the subjective properties and properties of the subjective properioe properities of the subjective properites of the

NOTICES

power. A trade-

That is a second second

F. Froperits of Some Common. Decontant. Bit.
I. Atomic. Exhift of signify within a sintener and the some set of the some

president year actors of activity of a sectory of a sectory of activity of a sectory of a sectory of a sectory of a sectory of a sector of activity activity of a sector of activity activity activity of a sector of activity activity of a sector of activity activity of a sector of activity acti

with game of whom it under the second second

41, NO. 131-WEDNESDAY, JULY FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL.

them in gastight bags of by insuring ade-quake arration following decontamination. I. Scienting Chemical Decontaminants for Research on Recombinant DNA Moic-cuits.

No angle drimtian decontantimation of entrast method with the effective of a processing in the stilluational in which effective or protections in a stilluational in which effective or protections in a stilluational in which effective or processing fractions in a stilluation and the propersitient fractions in the still consideration and the propessing fractions in the still consideration and the propessing fractions in the stilluation and the propersitient fractions in the stilluation of the propessing fractions in the stilluation of the propessing fractions in the stilluation of the propessing fractions of the stilluation of the propession of any given operations of the stilluation of any given operations of the stilluation of any given operations in the stilluation of any given operations in the stilluation of any given operations of the stilluation of any operations of the stilluation of an operations of the stilluation of the operation of the stilluation the operation stilluation stalling of the stilluation of the stollar and states in the stilluation the operation states of the stilluation of the operation states of the stilluation

normally observed in nuture. The decontantion of these light light matter and several protections of the light light matter and several protection of the light light matter and several protections of the light light matter and several protections of the light light matter and several protections and contrast at the light light matter and several protections and contrast at the light light matter and light light light matter and light light matter and light light matter and light light light matter and light light light matter and light light matter and light light matter and light l

softentiment selected on the balas of structures against uncorregations of super balas of ego of the restatance of a stab will be et-agins intrecognitism low of the et-barctory of decontinuuris that et-t alterized spore forms are selected for inderstory descrimination. It em inter that any other intrecognitism eff that any other intrecognitism eff that any other intrecognitism eff that any other interocognitism eff that any other interocommutation is any other effective eff

NOTICES

						voint		-						۰.		1	
		mentaria atomatica atoma		•	h-11, COL, MINING, MINING MILLION	1254 (Jan Gala Yana Yana Yana Ya	CHUMPER T. CONS., MAD	M-414, KNV2, EDGAM, MC244				Ctea	gunratin, orsectini, ethoretial				
		i		(ales			Ĺ	•				ŀ	•	1]		
		i		N75PI Intel1	[ŀ	ļ		1	Γ	I	ľ	Γ			
			555	ый Mi	[[1	-	İ			1.	1.	1.		
		ļ	mate black	u Meler Gange					—	İ	T	•	r	1	1		
		· · ·	di teche autori anno	161	~	1	<u> </u>	~	[~	-	~	~	1	1.	1 . •		
)	nin str	unu Ma					-	ŀ.		l	1	1-	1		
		a ·	10126 77	1/25 71 10	•	`	~	~	~	1	~	•	1	Γ] .		
		1	9111	trint T Hirr	ļ		_		L				L.,	Ē]		
	3	5	'HEAD THE HEAD'	1905	L		·		<u> </u>		L.	1	ļ.	-			
	Ξ.		mente.	ili a	È	ŀ	`	`	Ľ.	1	1	ì	-	<u> </u>			
¥.	÷ .	<u> </u>	1221,64	NOA	Ľ	Ľ	1	`	Ŀ	Ľ	`	-	1		ł		
	ş	1	L 1	alaatii Laatte	•	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ	Ŀ	Ŀ	-	1-	ŀ	17	Į		
7	đ. :		4000	1.5.3		-	÷	-			<u> </u>		⊢	Ŀ			
	1	Lever to the second sec	10/1101	100	•				÷	ŀ	•	Ŀ	Ŀ				
						-	-	-	-		-	-		-			
			12mm	1012 1012	_	-	-				_	L	Ľ	÷			
			Constructs	1431 1738	•	Ŀ					_	-	-	-			
			12 57303		-		-	-			-			-			
			101	110	-	۰,					•		-				
			1035	trulo	-		-	-						-			
						-	-		-								
					3/17	-	-	-			•	•	+	Т	3	11 2	
		-	and and	124			•	-			•	•			12		
÷		-	Areite Dispose	orte		-	٠	٠	-	-	•	٠	Ŧ			-	
			540	Den1	٠	÷	•	٠	·	٠	٠	÷		-	14	. 1	
-		<u> </u>		10	•	·	·	•	-		·	•	<u> </u>	•			
	· .	menter regiment		-	_		-	-	_	•				-	- 11		
				220	H	3			e.	•	*		3	1		É	
					3	3	*	*	-		3	-	1	3		1.	
	1		Ĩ	Transland (z	z	x			÷	*		ş	ş	15.6	1
				Ē	- B	i i i		-	•	Ŧ			Ĩ.	F.	at it	l.	
			L'AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	TIME I	Costs America	mult ou		-	Michel, Toy	alatest, Inde	Purintarian.	Classed Arty	and the second	entitional and			
	1.1	. ·	¥	I.	1								1		1.1	-	

VII, HOUSEKEEPING Introduction

÷

res and be risks in pro-cigram. Siggical n total

A the r the r the r theal proce-or con-or con-

Ň

REGISTER, FEDERAL

2.93

procedures found under prech bashtap, auch as descrittantiston, disposit, and all and assi, see, in resulty, specific interactions are acked as economication of the second interac-position age: specific and results in a trans-isologically is the second science of a address producting, it has seen a science to address producting, its has seen a science to address producting and tasks of a justicetant nature under the analysis of housekeeping. The objectives of housekeeping in the bio-ity induction of the research pro-gram.

b. Brovida vorti: area devid of physical pro-ing. Nov. 1999.
b. Brovida vorti: area devid of physical 3. Every contrast the optimization of the physical and physical contramination deally held to a zero bed but more relationship. The physical area physical contramination of the physical area physical contramination of the physical area physical and the physical and the physical area physical and the physical and the physical area physical and the physical and the physical area physical and the physical and the physical area physical and the physical and the physical area physical and the physical and the physical area physical and the physical and the physical area physical and the physical and the physical area physical and the physical and the physical area physical and the physical and the physical area physical and the physical and the physical apprecises and restrictions at a posterial physical and the physical and the physical apprecises and restrictions and the physical apprecises and restrictions and the physical apprecises and restrictions and the physical apprecises and restrictions and and apprecisions and (14) may state apprecision and area and apprecision area for a physical and apprecision and a structure and a constituation of a physical assess at a physical and constituation of a physical assess at a physical and constituation and to complete a set physical and apprecision and the physical assess at a physical and apprecision and the physical assess at a physical and apprecision and the physical assess at a physical and apprecision and the physical assess at a physical and apprecision and the physical assess at a physical and apprecision and the physical assess at a physical and apprecision and the physical assess at a physical and apprecision and the physical assess at a physical and apprecision at a structure as a physical approximation and the physical assess at a physical apprecision and apprecision at a structure apprecision and appr Journey of the second s

B. Floor Care

Avcidance of dry averaging and dualing with results the formular, of complete or working elemitize with a high-efficiency perturbation and (HEPA). Hitse on the enhance is recom-resulting with a high-efficiency perturbation of (HEPA). Hitse on the enhance is recom-resulting with a high-efficiency perturbation of perperturbation and the high-efficiency with obtained in the characteristic and the high-experiment the characteristic and the high-experiment the characteristic and the high-experiment the characteristic and the high-experiment the characteristic and the high-experiment the characteristic and the high-experiment the characteristic and the high-experiment the characteristic and the high-experiment the characteristic and the high-experiment the characteristic and the high-experiment the characteristic and the high-experiment the characteristic and the high-experiment the characteristic and the high-experiment the characteristic and the high-experiment the high-dimensional constant is the high-efficiency environment. There experiment the second high-dex the view of a sentially of interest ma-teristic the resultion sentials and the high-experiment the second high-teristic the resultion sentials and the high-experiment the sential sentiation and the high-discharged to a sentiatry sever untril it has a

Administration Areas Alales Animal Food Storage Animal Bedding Storage

NOTICES

 Bury Bau Anor Tray
 Bury Bau Anor Tray
 Bury Bau Anor Tray
 Bury Bau Anor Storage
 Charava I aborstory Equipment Cian
 Hartway
 Incethaorse
 Inset and Rodent Control
 Hartway
 Incethaorse
 Inset and Rodent Control
 Hartway
 Incethaorse
 Shorese
 Shorese
 UV Langa
 Ware Network Dispensi
 Ware Anoral Control
 Ware Anoral Control
 Ware Anoral Control
 Ware Anoral Control
 Shorese
 UV Langa
 Ware Shorese
 UV Langa
 Ware Shorese
 UV Langa
 Ware Shorese
 UV Langa
 Ware Anoral Control
 Wa Change Rooms Cleaning Solution Disposal Cages and Cage Kacks Dry Los Chests Dry For Chests Drep Fronze Chests Envry and Exit Ways Envry and Exit Ways Ical Safety Cabinets Tops and Other Work

been anteolared or Sivan further chemistan of sodium of s

FEDERAL . REGISTER, VOL. NO. WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 1974

Transling media from tariks in sees before the strain article methods with strain articles and strain articles and strain articles art in the strain articles artistar articles articles articles articles arti

NOTICES

Includes the mereurials quakemary and the transmission component of the dependent for mereurials quakemary and the dependent for general shares to dependent with the dependent for general shares and the dependent of the more hard and the dep

Ing solution to the floor dash with a here once squerges or plots, it was executed. Allow the floor dash with a here executed. Allow the floor was allowed to prove dutta in these series about to floor these and the series about the floor the floor data in these series about to floor these and the series and damates, and floor data. It has series about the floor these here, here are about to floor data floor data. It has series and damates and floor data. It has series and damates and floor data. It has series and damates and floor data. It has series and damates and floor data. It has series and damates and floor data. It has series and the data of the data series and the data series and the data series and deregon-bounders. Four event of the series into the data series weak doesn in the place was been and and the solution back of sever these these the series in the data of sever these these these these these the series into the data series weak on the solution back of sever these these these the solution back of the severe the series the solution back of the solution back of the severe the series the solution back of the solution back of the severe these th

u arru gusei. VII. arkat-vr of pionarhandes spills (6. 1. Biolacards Spill in a Biological Sclety Cabhreit Spill in a Biological Sclety

Cohords Technical describation procedures for other activity of the second set of the second second set of the second second set of the second second second second second second set of the second se

FEDERAL

9. The dust part and appropriate length and while be and while a second in our contained by the second of the involved provided of the involved provided by the involved provided by the involved provided by the involved provided by the involved provided by the involved provided by the involved provided by the involved provided by the involved provided by the involved provided by the involved provided by the involved provided by the involved provided by the involved provided by the involved provide by the involved provided proved proved provided proved provided provide

tive and biohazard warning the spixed to the waste con-neral rule, autoclaving should

be accided.
 D. J. Autoclaring has been approved, the action of the philo of philosof the philosof of the action of the philosof the philosof the action of the philosof the action of the philosof the action action of the philosof the action action of the philosof the philosof the action action of the philosof the action action of a activity of the action action of the action action of the action action action of the action action of a activity action action action of a activity action

•

NOTICES

27937

PATUS

. NBY 2001/PA 1

doral rogu 1 promuiga 5 of hazare 4 Guidelin 4 Guidelin 7 ant m tdition when nt DNA mat ic agent listed in paragrap 2.26 (which is included at 5.26 (which is included at 5.26) the labels 5.26) the aterials v any puterial 2 ; require-ter tariffs 6 riffs have fe trans-lais. The 98

Packaging of Rec apply **DNA** Materials

1. Volumo less than 80 ml. Naterial stall be placed in a sourcely losser, wateright, contains platmary one noised in a second, directly which haves noised in a second, directly which haves noised in a second direct in or an entry source (secondary contained in or a mangle de-container more be encoded in or a mangle desingle sec-

> d secondary o 1 in an outer 1 of corrugate d, or other ma ndary containers aball then n outer shipping container orrugated fiberboard, card-ther material of equivalent ontaine

in Table III. toe is used as a refrigerant, it must d outside the secondary container (a) . putons of this packaging method are

3. Voltants of 50 ml, of Coreter: 3. Voltants of 50 ml, of Coreter: 3. Voltants of 50 ml, of Coreter: 3. Normal Mill So Parked (primary mathematical source) and the source of the coreter: source or the source of the source of the coreter: source or the primary container of coreter: new or more primary core primary source or the primary core to coreter: new or more primary core to coreter: new or more primary core to core of the primary core of the these combined volumes do not excent 1. may be placed in a single second the Primary and Source the mathematical the primary and subject of the primary interprise shall coritain subject to corteate of the primary core and the corteate of the primary core and the corteate of the primary core and the corteate of the primary core and the corteate of the primary core and the corteate of the primary core and the corteate of the primary core and the corteate of the primary core and the corteate of the primary core and the corteate of the primary core and the corteate of corrupt of the core and the corteate of the primary of the core transfer of corrupt of the primary of the transfer of corrupt of the primary of the core transfer of corrupt of the primary of the core transfer of corrupt of the primary of the core transfer of corrupt of the primary of the core transfer of corrupt of the primary of the core transfer of corrupt of the primary of the core transfer of corrupt of the primary of the core transfer of corrupt of the primary of the core transfer of corrupt of the primary of the core transfer of corrupt of the primary of the core transfer of corrupt of the primary of the core transfer of corrupt of the primary of the core transfer of corrupt of the primary of the core transfer of corrupt of the primary of the core transfer of the core transfer of the core transfer of the core transfer of the core transfer of the core transfer of the core transfer of the core transfer of the core transfer of the core transfer of the core transfer of the core transfer of the core tran ides bety ontainers absorb the en-container(s) in ch set of prim-shall then be ondary con-oottom, and l secondary it non-par-orb the en-atn-' (secondar)

> 1 The maximum amoun may be enclosed within ig container should no ngximum an agyimum an e oute

(*if's)* is a load between the seconary con-bance and hen outer dispong constance, the hand's absorbert material shall be placed so that the secondary contributer does not become ones, inside the outer atheping container as the drive sublications. In polytopic to comply poteriptions of polytopic with comply poteriptions of polytopic with the twi-transportation (DOT) are given in Table IV. foo is us outside is used if the o a refrigerant, it mus condary container(s)

Labeling of Packages Containing Recom-binant DNA Materials

Moterials which do hot cortain any period of an elonget spent tisted in para-graph (c) of 42 cFer 22.5.
 Material data forms, letters, and other in-formation identifying or elserbing also mate-rial should be placed around the outside of the secondary contains. Place only the ad-dress label on the sub-place contraction on NOT USB THE LABEL NOR ELTOLOOTO AGENTS/BIOMADISCOLA MATERIAL.

). Materials which contain any portion of citologic agent listed in paragraph (c) of OFR 72.25.

Material des forms peters and other the-formation iterative or each other and the second period of the second are provided of the second period peri

To Collection Vessal

Material data forms, jetters, and o mormation identifying or describing material should be placed around the offse of the scondary monitories. In add the scondary monitories is the scale manage of the scondary monitories in a manage of the scondary monitories in a class day is using the scale of the Gan Courter's with and shipments of such as a shell be allowed to abe outer shipping as the scondary of the scondary scale of the scondary of the scondary scale of the scondary scale of the scondary scale of the scondary scale of the scale of the courter's with and shipments of scale of the scale of the scondary scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the courter's scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the sc nent of such a buter shipping and other ng , addition COL

e. Additional Shipping Requirements Limitations for Recombinant Materials DNA.

TO INCL

Domestic Transportation

۲

AGENT/BIOMEDICAL quired under the provi Civil Aeronautics Board Rulo No. 82 (Air Transport Association Restricted Articles Tar-inf -D) requires that a Shipper's Octificate-depicted below, bo, completed and affard to depicted below. The ETIOLOGIO all shipments which beer the ETIOLOGIO hear the EIIOI MATERIALS lab

to maximum... Volume (m9338ter) Plastie screw csp bottle ordo.... Pyrres glass with skirt rub-bar stopper, i Multiple watertight visit ordo..... One 20 by 150 mm test tube, ... taped stopper or multiple small visus,³ ealed wink(s) or small gines test tribe, server cap or stopper, taped." Primary container "Other-Group A-DNR' ICE LAIRS," affred to the outer ablyping cont amounts of dry ice used shall the d should be designated on the laber. I. Intermetional Transportation I. addition is the parkeding as requirements of the regulations of the requirements of ant DNA materials in which any TABLE III.—Description of packages for material in volume less than 50 ml. Number of Packages Nonparticulate absorbert ma- Metal can 1-iti diameter by None required levial at 20, bettom, and 7-iti, outside dimensions iden that will completely metal screw cop. many containers of the pri-many containers. do. 03 Specify Each Article Separately (Proper Shipping Name) ETIOLOGIC AGENT, no. Packing and labeling a previously 1 or sone their result in Kan required her with the Fiberbard bor easy 300 by 460 of hoger-easy and by 460 of hoger-easy and by 460 of hoger-easy and the sone of the sone of the material to prevent sattling. Matal can 2554n diamotor by 655-m high putside dimen-sions scraw cap. - da NOTICE (3) Interioritanti Parcel Rep-Instruc-(4) Disparch and (FOD 2012) Inter, (5) FUDAL Later.
 (4) Disparch and (FOD 2012) Rep. (5) FUDAL Later.
 (5) Subper's Certificate specified in the current Distance and Air Transport Associa-g tion Theff. secondary container ŝ 8 Individual country requirements are listed in "International Postage Rates and Fees" (USPO Publication 51). the material is derived from an etiologic spent listed in perspresh (c) of 42 OFR 72.26 must have one or more of the follow-(Signature of Shipper) 8885 ≥nrn Classification ETIO. AG. el Post Customs Declaration (PS ion: el Post Customs Declaration (PS dø. 40 ir transport, all stoppers, corks, and caps on primary polace with wire, tays, or othar means, and all ocesw polace with wire, tays, or or soull polywing that and polywing the properties of soull polywing that went atmospharic decompression that may result in Providing Net Quantity per Package Fiberbody; metal screw cap, top and bottom; 334-in diameter by 7-te ?15 in outside dimensions, Do. Fiberbody; metal screw cap, top and bettom; 1554n diam-eter by 7-to 7554n cutside di-mensiona. Outer shipping container !

1910910 10 tu oc	lo soumon us toword a	of sofoxond to uouc	ALOSOT - AT STRY.T.

(a) The first provide and the second provide the	ratistico	griqqiria rejuO	20)	084	Teologico vyohoooo	voldos T		emuloV alititiem)
vo buschen förskörnen för könnanden förskörnen inna sinder förskörnen för störker and inna förskörnen för störker för störker för störker för störker and inna sinder störker störker störker and inna sinder störker störker and inna sinder störker störker and inna sinder störker störker störker störker störker störker störker and inna sinder störker	Transfirmer Junut 14	Juaregitan dilW.	Jue series the subdive	^y uanogitlet d HW	Managers Chapterson	Some r		
 De sterietanto i e el intervención do serve cope con construction de serve cope construction de serve cope construction de serve cope construction de serve cope construction de serve cope construction de serve cope construction de serve construction de se serve construction de se sedificient de serve construction de	so breodredi bosseri beqat "tod breodbas Judi	interpretation of the standard C	An and a support of the second	-790ft zod meolorit? notjalnen i fosóroeðs	Constants of metal container and outer constainer espe util edge in table itt.	same(s) states a state of the second state of	wase endg inveit 10 ollfoff hide na valdori ollfof qas rifaqat i anqook anddra	
And the state of	A cardboard box P53 527 cardboard box P54 a type, 954 in by 854 of type by 11% in by 854 a type by 120 a type by 120 by 100 a type by 120 by 100 by 1	Аор	baingrissib as an an an an an an an an an an an an an	op	No. 5 ethny scal the can No. by 700 et a 1-gal friction, by 805 et al. 610 by 708, top soldered or clipped at top soldered or clipped at top.		n 1 100-ml plastic scraw cap. m 1 100-ml plastic scraw cap. Pyrex glass, inped. ¹	useixon: 00 uneixon: 00
التا المنافع المنافع التابي المنافع التابي المنافع التابي المنافع التابي المنافع التابي المنافع التابي المنافع التابي المنافع التابي المنافع التابي المنافع التابي المنافع التابي المنافع التابي الت التيبير التابي التابي التابي التابي التابي التابي التابي التابي التابي التابي التابي التابي التابي التابي التابي التابي التيبير التابي التيبي التيبير التابي التابي التابي التابي التابي التابي التابي التابي التابي التيبير التيبير التيبير التيبير الت التيبير التابير التابير التابير التيبير التابير التيبير التابير التابير التابير التابير التابير التيبير التيب التيبير التيبير الت التيبير التيبير التيبير التيبي التيبير التيبير ا	Do.	op	ob	op	t ob.		bottles of Pyrek glass, bottles of Pyrek glass, worren fastle martow	11 mi 14ta 031
المعادين المع المعادين المعادين المع معادين المعادين br>معادين المعادين المع معادين المعادين r>معادين المعادين	ni 2121 zad breadbrea De ni 2121 zad breadbrea De	Aop		op	2-gel friction-scal tin can, 804 by 908 (on soldered or	·op	e), 100 may contain the contained and a set of the contained and a set of the contained and a set of the contained and contai	numbrem 00
in hột tác hàng thết thể thết thể thết thết thết thết t	All a subside dimensions, 2000 and 2000	л ор	ο μ	, vy	Lainier # 16 bageio	oh -	althor manage arrest terms	Do
	by 12% in the rest of the rest				the provide the provided and the provided and the provided at		rubber-steirt stoped. bottle, fizarow or wide bottle, fizarow or wide month, some cap, taped.	·

976

Ξ

ā

227

151

27939

2 all diversions of 854 in by 554 in. 2 all diversions of 854 in by 5541 in.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALT TARE: PUBLIC HEALT DISEASE CONTROL: J TELEPHONE: (404) , and Wel-denter for rota 30333; . 3383

THE A CONTROL MARKET, CHAPTER I-PUTLAC INCLUME SERVICE AND WARKET OF INCLUDE TOTOTION, AND WARKET SHARINGTER P-OWARKTING, UNDERGRAUNTE, SHARAT C-INCLUME OF A CONTROL OF A CONTROL INCLUME OF A CONTROL OF A CONTROL SECTOR 722.0 of Part 72, THIS 43, COde of Sector 72.2.5 of Part 72, THIS 43, COde of Federal Begulations, is particled to fead as follows:

17.2.5 Ekilöpid agent.
(A) Döystiköra, Au used in tha sertion:
(A) Döystiköra, Au used in tha sertion:
(B) Publicki and than sertion in the sertion of the post-sertion of the sertion in the sertion of the post-balgoed for purposes of disposite.
(B) A "biological product" means a bio-bigical product prepared and manufactures prepared in the sertion of the post-bage in product prepared and manufactures prepared in the sertion of the post-bage in product prepared in the sertion of the post-bage in product prepared in the sertion of the post-bage in product prepared in the sertion of the post-bage in product prepared in the sertion of the post-bage in product prepared in the sertion of the post-bage in product prepared in the sertion of the post-bage in the setting of the sertion of the post-bage in the setting of the setting of the setting of the set interesty, and underside the setting of the set interesty is and the setting of the setting of the set interesty is and the setting of the setting of the set interesty is and the setting of the set in the setting of the setting posterial is postage in the set in the setting of the setting of the set in the setting of the setting postage in the setting of the setting of the setting is an all biological provides in the setting setting and biological in subsection in the setting of the setting is an all biological in the setting of the setting is an all biological in the setting setting and the postage in a site postage is a set in the setting setting and biological in subsection in the setting is a producting in the setting of the provides in the setting is producting in the setting of the provides in the setting is a producting in the setting in the setting is and setting

The requirements of this section so in particular to so and not in live of any charge particular to so and not in live of any charge particular of the section sector in liver take to be should

BACTERIAL AGENTS -all aproles. #ii--all aerotypes.

acupt Netters
Perintfutions present types
Perintfutions
<

Baciltar anthracki
 Barrisanika-ali species.
 Barrisanika-ali species.
 Barrisanika-ali species.
 Barrisanika-ali species.
 Barrisanika-ali species.
 C. S. Spickers, C. S. Statis, S. S. Statis, S. Stat



:153

NE OF A SAFEAT AND MANUEL FOR A P4 FACILITY

of Risk in the Cancer Vins

ns (bility

and Authority

S. Zircerker (1997). Or (The Lemma Theory 2017).
 Z. Zircerker (1997).
 Z. Zircerker (1997).
 Dormal-Gauyen Deconteminator of Lamma Theory 2017.
 Formal-Gauyen Decontemination of Lamma Theory 2017.
 Cartification of Class II. Character (2017).
 Gaussian Gaussian and Cartery Calabrates (2017).
 S. Banard Gaussian of Contamination Com-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca of Contamination Com-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca of Contamination Com-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca of Contamination Com-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca of Contamination Com-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca of Contamination Com-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca of Contamination Com-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca of Contamination Com-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca of Contamination Com-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca of Contamination Com-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca of Contamination Com-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca of Contamination Com-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca of Contamination Com-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca of Contamination Com-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca of Contamination Com-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca of Contamination Com-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca of Contamination Com-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca Sheare The Sheare of Con-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca Sheare of Control of Con-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca Sheare of Control of Con-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca Sheare of Control of Con-try (1998).
 Sheare Francisca Sheare of Con-try (1998).
 Sheare Control of Con-try (1998).
 Sheare Control of Construction Con-try (1998).
 Sheare Control of Construction Con-try (1998).
 Sheare France Sheare of Con-try (1998).
 Sheare Cont

Films

1, Air Sampling for Microbiological Par-entatos (M-626), 2, Randling the Laboratory Guines, Pig 29, 19, 201

(19-X). Handling the Laboratory Mouse (T2617-

M. Interious Hasarts of Bacteriological Pechagues (De-S82).
 Lakoracov Design for Microhological Bacter (De-S82).
 Francis Bachers: New Tools for Medical Research Bachers: New Tools for Medical Research Bachers (New Tools for Medical (Research Bachers).
 Surface Sampling for Microorganisms (Research Bachers).
 Surface Sampling for Microorganisms (Research Medical (Medical).
 Surface Sampling for Microorganisms (Research Medical).
 Surface Sampling for Microorganisms (Research Medical (Medical).
 Surface Sampling for Microorganisms (Research Medical Medical Executions).
 Surface Sampling for Microorganisms (Sampling from: Microorganisms Banch).
 These since films network 2 and 3) can be writed or beights from: National Science (Matter).
 The same films factors (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 Surface Medical (Medical).
 <li

Courses

Biohaszed and Julyur Control in the Biomedical Lukewiczy. Freenet by the Thursersity of Minneeka. School of Public Bankis and the National Concer Institute Teacht and the National Concer Institute Dr. Donald Weiker, Direct Inquirtes to Dr. Donald Weiker, Direct Inquirtes to Dr. Donald Weiker, Direct Inquirtes to Bahool of Yubits Health, 1325 Mayo Memo-rial Building, Minneyola, Main Ostober 7-9, 1976 Bahool of Yubits Health, 1325 Mayo Memo-rial Suidang, Mong, Lee Angeles, Cal, Ostober 26-28, 1976, Bacton, MA, December 7-9, 1976 Bahasela, NDD.
 Shohasert Conthinment and Control for Beneralisant DMA Matterules, Fressanded JV accombinant DMA Matterules, Bastander, Con-tes on Research Safery Direct Institutes and above. Supermitte 4-9, 1976; Scold Spring Earbor, NY.

Satety in Laboratory Presented by Na-tanal Insituto of Statistics and Manpoor Development, by special arrangement. Roo-nor and the statistic statistics of the statistics of the statistic statistics of the statistic statistics of the statistic statistics of the statistic statistics of the statistic statistics of the statistics of the Statistics of Laboratory and Training Division Bureau of Laboratory Room Training Division Bureau (Laboratory Room Training Division Bureau (Lab

 2 Interview Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Biohurs Software Committee
 5. Distance Committee
 C. 2. Supervis 3. Sach Er 4. Facility 5. Biohaze D. Facility / E. Reportin and In and In

W. Zhannetz, Elawdery (Chalrman), National Statutis, S. Barboiro, National Gancer Insti-tute, NIT.
 Birrer, N. Karboiro, National Gancer Insti-ute, NIT.
 Borette Hanal, Jr., Frederick Cuncer Research Ocerter, S. Michalson, Genool of Public Vision, T. Burler, Division of Research Serv-ison, NIT.
 Warren, V. Zweit, Division of Research Serv-ines, NIT.
 Warren, V. Zweit, Division of Research Serv-les, NIT.
 Warren, V. Wethm, Frederick Cancer Re-earch Control C.

REFERENCES

and A. S. (1997). A set of the second set of the second set of the second

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL

(4) Collta, C. F., Mathy, E. G., and Pite-yaoth. R. 1976. The presention of isoconcory sequired infection. Fusile Realth, Laboratory September Management Series No. 6. Mar Majority a September Office. London Solatomery Office. London Solatomery Office. London Manual, National Cancer Institute, Betherda, Manual, National Cancer Institute, Betherda.

MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.
MD.

mlero.. ty. FDR (28) F. A., C rmy. 1969. Safety regulations, rmy, 1969. Lader and Industrial safe-Port Detrick, Frederick, MD. J. O. M., Brock, B., Shooter, E. M., and Thomas, G. E. 1975.

4 N . 131-WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 197

(29) ONYOO, M. O. AND Devicer, M. M. 1985. (29) ONYOO, M. O. AND Devicer, M. 1986. Separatory Protection provided by fure new of contagions makes. Appl. Marceblol. 11:96-56. Sci.DJ. Francisch, D. T., Sagergi, J. R., and G. Shuj, Barranka, D. T., Sagergi, J. R., and G. Shuj, Sarranka, D. T., Sagergi, J. R., and G. Shuj, Sarranka, M. S. M. Shuj, S. G. S. Manada, C. Sarranka, M. Shuj, Sagers, Lak, Antin, Sqi, S. 2013. Barrellot, K. S. Mattalway, Or. and Phylor, J. A. 1997. Microbiological homotory, B. 2013. Barrellot, M. S. Mattalew, G. T. and Phylor, J. A. 1997. Microbiological homotory, B. 2016, S. P. Public Eacht, B. Facht, B. Favio, 103, B. 2016, C. H. South, S. Public Mattal, M. (2017). Muka, P. Durawa and Use of Loboratory, F. Antuka, D. BERW Publickking Offer, Wash, F. 22, U.G. OPARTAMENT, Frater, Tor, Suox Ni, Haudaga, C. 20403. Protein and M. (2017).

NOTICES

ade of Federal Regulations, Title 9-and Antani Products. For and by Documents, Government: Funkting Abliggton, DO, 20040, für this espital statistica D.O, 20040, für this espital statistica in the Sedes of OFF 1. Stitubilister A. Partis 1, 2, 3 enu df from the Federal Veteritation in infinal and Flant Hashth Inspection pter 1. btsined

Chowards Lindon and Fault Health Inspection. Catargo, Animal and Fault Health Inspection. (38) Samer, J. (ed.), 1972. Safety in the (18) Samer, J. (ed.), 1972. Safety in the (18) Samer, J. (ed.), 1974. Manual Haufolook S. (2000 Gard) N. (19) M. (2000 Gard), of Fans, Negro, N. (2000 Gard), p. M. (20) Petrikov, P. (2000 Gard), p. M. (20) Petrikov, P. (2000 Gard), p. M. (20) Petrikov, C. (2000 Gard), p. M. (20) Patrikov, M. (2000 Gard), p. M. (20) Manuards of handling shiman, Laboratory Animal Handbook 4, Laboratory Animal Patrix P. (2000 Gard), p. M. (2000 Gard), p. M. (2000 Gard), p. M. (2000 Gard), p. M. (2000 Animal Handbook 4, Laboratory Ani-tory Animal Handbook 4, Laboratory Ani-tory Animal Handbook 4, Laboratory Ani-atory Animal Handbook 4, Laboratory Ani-son P. (2000 Gard).

J. and Altman, N. H. (eds.). II. III. Handbook of Labo-

H, 1972. Chemical dis-psis in the hospital.

and provide the start of the start and th

10.76-19151 Filed 7-5-76;8:45 am] FRDC

JULY 7

L REGISTER, VOL 41 N

Inc.

Pre-OBC

Scler



158

CLASSIFICATION OF ETIOLOGIC AGENTS ON THE BASIS OF HAZARD

4th Edition, July 1974 Reprinted August 1975

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE CENTER FOR DISEASE CONTROL OFFICE OF BIOSAFETY ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30333 Prepared by the U.S. Public Health Service Ad Hoc Committee on the Safe Shipment and Handling of Etiologic Agents, with assistance from the staff of the Center for Disease Control.

i Sirg

I.	Introduction 1
II.	Principles of Classification
III.	Classification of Agents 4
	Classification of Bacterial Agents
	Classification of Fungal Agents
1 A.	Classification of Parasitic Agents
11 1	Classification of Viral, Rickettsial, and Chlamydial Agents
IV.	Level of Competence and Physical Containment Recommended for Each Class
V	General Safety
VI.	Federal Regulations Covering Etiologic Agents

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

I. INTRODUCTION

This document provides a standard for evaluating the hazards associated with various etiologic agents and defines minimal safety conditions for their management without restricting or hampering bona fide microbiological investigations. Human etiologic agents are placed in four classes of increasing hazard. A fifth class, composed of animal agents excluded from the United-States by law and United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) administrative policy, is described on page 2. The degree of hazard depends on the etiologic agent and the nature and kind of study in which it is being used. Aerosol studies, passage in animals, and infection of arthropod vectors markedly increase the hazard, whereas strict adherence to *in vitro* experiments decreases the hazard.

Other important factors must be considered when planning experiments with etiologic agents, particularly with those in Classes 3 and 4. These factors obviously vary from situation to situation, and it would be impossible to list all of them. Therefore, each investigator must use scientific judgment in interpreting the classification. For example, the public health risk accompanying animal infection or transmission experiments with yellow fever in southeastern states where the mosquito vector of the disease is prevalent would make them highly inadvisable except under the most rigidly controlled conditions, although a similar experiment in northern states, where natural vectors are lacking, could be conducted with far less risk.

Another factor that must be considered is whether the agent to be used naturally exists in the United States. This distinction is especially important when planning work with disease agents in

Classes 3 and 4 such as smallpox, which has been eradicated in this country. Experiments with such agents should be undertaken only when valid scientific consideration requires the use of the particular agents and no less hazardous agent can be substituted

for it.

Anyone planning to work with etiologic agents should be aware of the animal agents in Class 5 which are excluded from the United States by law (virus of foot and mouth disease) and USDA administrative policy (African horse sickness virus, African swine fever virus, Besnoitia besnoiti, Borna disease virus, bovine infectious petechial fever, camel pox virus, ephemeral fever virus, fowl plague virus, goat pox virus, hog cholera virus, louping ill virus, lumpy skin disease virus, Nairobi sheep disease virus, Newcastle disease virus (Asiatic strains), Mycoplasma mycoides (contagious bovine pleuropneumonia), Mycoplasma agalactiae (contagious agalactia of sheep), Rickettsia ruminatium (heart water), Rift valley fever virus, rinderpest virus, sheep pox virus, swine vesicular disease virus, Teschen disease virus, Trypanosoma vivax (Nagana), Trypanosoma evansi, Theileria parva (East Coast fever), Theileria annulata, Theileria lawrencei, Theileria bovis, Theileria hirci, vesicular exanthema virus, Wesselsbron disease virus, Zyonema farciminosum (pseudofarcy)).

II. PRINCIPLES OF CLASSIFICATION

Members from those offices of the Public Health Service (PHS) and the USDA which have regulatory responsibility for quarantine and interstate shipment of etiologic agents participated in the development of the "Basis for Agent Classifications" which begins on page 3. Therefore, the principles expressed in the "Basis for Agent Classifications" are equally applicable to human and animal pathogens, although the specific list of agents, with the few exceptions noted, includes only human pathogens.

The least hazardous agents are in Class 1, and those requiring the greatest restrictions are in Class 4. Since the number of relatively or completely nonpathogenic agents is very large, listing all of

them in Class 1 would be impractical. Therefore, all agents which are not listed in Classes 2 through 4 belong in Class 1. Three special viruses in Class 1 are listed because the PHS Ad Hoc Committee on the Safe Shipment and Handling of Etiologic Agents considered them suitable for science experiments at a junior level. Newly recognized agents will be classified in later editions.

104

Basis for Agent Classifications

CLASS 1

Agents of no or minimal hazard under ordinary conditions of handling.

CLASS 2

Agents of ordinary potential hazard. This class includes agents which may produce disease of varying degrees of severity from accidental inoculation or injection or other means of cutaneous penetration but which are contained by ordinary laboratory techniques.

CLASS 3

Agents involving special hazard or agents derived from outside the United States which require a federal permit for importation unless they are specified for higher classification. This class includes pathogens which require special conditions for containment.

CLASS 4

Agents that require the most stringent conditions for their containment because they are extremely hazardous to laboratory personnel or may cause serious epidemic disease. This class includes Class 3 agents from outside the United States when they are employed in entomological experiments or when other entomological experiments are conducted in the same laboratory area.

3.

CLASS 5

Foreign animal pathogens that are excluded from the United States by law or whose entry is restricted by USDA administrative policy. (These agents are listed on page 2.)

Note: Federally licensed vaccines containing live bacteria or viruses are not subject to these classifications. These classifications are applicable, however, to cultures of the strains used for vaccine production, or further passages of the vaccine strains.

III. CLASSIFICATION OF AGENTS^{1,2}

A. Classification of Bacterial Agents

CLASS 1

All bacterial agents not included in higher classes according to "Basis for Agent Classifications."

CLASS 2

Actinobacillus – all species except A. mallei, which is in Class 3

Arizona hinshawii – all serotypes

Bacillus anthracis

Bordetella – all species

Borrelia recurrentis, B. vincenti

Clostridium botulinum.

C1. chauvoei, C1. haemolyticum,

C1. histolyticum, C1. novyi,

C1. septicum, C1. tetani

Corynebacterium diphtheriae,

C. equi, C. haemolyticum,

C. pseudotuberculosis,

C. pyogenes, C. renale

Diplococcus (Streptococcus) pneumoniae

 This classification does not include strictly animal pathogens.
 A PHS permit is required to import any agent or to transfer within the United States any agent imported under permit.

Erysipelothrix insidiosa	
Escherichia coli – all enteropathogenic serotypes	teres fil
Haemophilus ducreyi, H. influenzae	u shu shu tu sh
Herellea vaginicola (2 saget patras ad ana conserva	
Klebsiella – all species and all serotypes	
Leptospira interrogans - all serotypes	at the post
Listèria - all species addispitable assistances applies	A State State
Mima polymorpha	de to versión
Moraxella – all species	saar udgaay
Mycobacteria – all species except those listed Class 3	in
Mycoplasma – all species except Mycoplasma	· .
mycoides and Mycoplasma agalactiae,	
which are in Class 5 (page 2)	n an
Neisseria gonorrhoeae, N. meningitidis	en en en en en en en en en en en en en e
Pasteurella – all species except those listed in Cla	ass
3	
Salmonella – all species and all serotypes Shigella – all species and all serotypes	
Sphaerophorus necrophorus	
Staphylococcus aureus	I. difference an
Streptobacillus moniliformis	
Streptococcus pyogenes	19
Treponema carateum, T. pallidum, and T. perten	ue
Vibrio fetus, V. comma, including biotype E1 Te	or,
and V. parahemolyticus	n Na sana sa Sina sa Si
CLASS 3	n (na 1945) An an Arthreac
Actinobacillus mallei*	
Bartonella – all species	
Brucella – all species	
Francisella tularensis	
Mycobacterium avium, M. bovis, M. tuberculosis	
Pasteurella multocida type B ("buffalo" and oth	her
foreign virulent strains*)	:
Pseudomonas pseudomallei*	-
Yersenia pestis	
-	

*USDA permit also required for import or interstate transport.

5

£

B.	Classification of Fungal Agents								
	CLASS 1 All fungal agents not included in higher classes according to "Basis for Agent Classifications"								
	CLASS 2								

Actinomycetes (including Nocardia species and Actinomyces species and Arachnia propionica) Blastomyces dermatitidis Cryptococcus neoformans Paracoccidioides brasiliensis

165

CLASS 3

2

Coccidioides immitis Histoplasma capsulatum Histoplasma capsulatum var. duboisii

C. Classification of Parasitic Agents

CLASS 1

All parasitic agents not included in higher classes according to "Basis for Agent Classification."

CLASS 2

Endamoeba histolytica Leishmanía sp. Naegleria gruberi Toxoplasma gondii Toxocara canis Trichinella spiralis Trypanosoma cruzi

CLASS 3

Schistosoma mansoni

D. Classification of Viral, Rickettsial, and Chlamydial Agents

CLASS 1

Class 1 includes all viral, rickettsial, and chlamydial agents not included in higher classes according to "Basis for Agent Classification." Specifically listed are:

Influenza virus A/PR8/34

Newcastle virus – strains licensed for vaccine use in U.S.

Parainfluenza virus 3, SF4 Strain

(These viruses are included because the Committee agreed that they are suitable for science experiments at a junior level.)

CLASS 2

Adenoviruses – human – all types Cache Valley virus Coxsackie A and B viruses Cytomegaloviruses Echoviruses – all types Encephalomyocarditis virus (EMC) Flanders virus Hart Park virus Hepatitis-associated antigen material Herpes viruses – except Herpesvirus simiae (Monkey B virus) which is in Class 4 Corona viruses Influenza viruses – all types except A/PR8/34, which is in Class 1 Langat virus

化合理器 推进手 计分子时间 化分子子的

Lymphogranuloma venereum agent

Measles virus

Mumps virus

Parainfluenza viruses – all types except Parainfluenza virus 3, SF4 strain, which is in Class 1 Polioviruses – all types, wild and attenuated

Poxviruses – all types except Alastrim, Smallpox, Monkey pox, and Whitepox, which, depending on experiments, are in Class 3 or Class 4

Rabies virus – all strains except Rabies street virus, which should be classified in Class 3 when inoculated into carnivores

Reoviruses – all types

Respiratory syncytial virus

Rhinoviruses - all types

Rubella virus

Simian viruses – all types except Herpesvirus simiae (Monkey B virus) and Marburg virus, which are

in Class 4

Sindbis virus

Tensaw virus

Turlock virus

Vaccinia virus

Varicella virus

Vole rickettsia

Yellow fever virus, 17D vaccine strain

CLASS 3

Alastrim, Smallpox, Monkey pox, and Whitepox, when used in vitro

Arboviruses – all strains except those in Class 2 and 4 (Arboviruses indigenous to the United States are in Class 3, except those listed in Class 2. West Nile and Semliki Forest viruses may be classified up or down, depending on the conditions of use and geographical location of the laboratory.)

Dengue virus, when used for transmission or animal inoculation experiments

Lymphocytic chorimeningitis virus (LCM) Psittacosis-Ornithosis-Trachoma group of agents Rabies street virus, when used in inoculations of carnivores (See Class 2.)

Rickettsia – all species except Vole rickettsia when used for transmission or animal inoculation experiments

10111111

Vesicular stomatitis virus*

Yellow fever virus - wild, when used in vitro

CLASS 4

Alastrim, Smallpox, Monkey pox, and Whitepox, when used for transmission or animal inoculation experiments

Hemorrhagic fever agents, including Crimean hemorrhagic fever (Congo), Junin, and Machupo viruses, and others as yet undefined Herpesvirus simiae (Monkey B virus) Lassa virus

Marburg virus

Tick-borne encephalitis virus complex, including Russian spring-summer encephalitis, Kyasanur forest disease, Omsk hemorrhagic fever, and Central European encephalitis viruses

Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus, epidemic strains, when used for transmission or animal inoculation experiments

Yellow fever virus — wild, when used for transmission or animal inoculation experiments

IV. LEVEL OF COMPETENCE AND PHYSICAL CONTAINMENT RECOMMENDED FOR EACH CLASS

The following recommendations describe the level of competence and physical containment suggested for working with agents of each Class.

*USDA permit also required for import or interstate transport.

CLASS 1

Distribution to all users; no special competence or containment required.

CLASS 2

Distribution to laboratories whose staffs have levels of competency equal to or greater than one would expect in a college department of microbiology. Requests for agents in Class 2 are placed on institutional letterhead.

CLASS 3

Distribution to laboratories whose staffs have levels of competency equal to or greater than one would expect in a college department of microbiology and who have had special training in handling dangerous agents and are supervised by competent scientists. For aerosol studies, passage in animals, and infection of arthropod vectors, the laboratory should be located in a geographical area in which the chance of accidental establishment of the agent in a susceptible ecologic focus is minimal. Requests for agents in Class 3 are signed by the chairman of the department or the head of the laboratory or research institute where the work will be carried out. Conditions for containment include:

- 1. A controlled access facility: suite or room separated from the activities of individuals not engaged in handling Class 3 agents and from the general traffic pattern of the rest of the building or laboratory.
- 2. Negative air pressure is maintained at the site of work in a preparation cubicle or under a hood. Air is recirculated only after it has been adequately decontaminated through high efficiency filters.
- 3. Animal experiments, including cage sterilization, refuse handling, disposal of animals, etc., are conducted with a level of precaution equivalent to conditions required for laboratory experiments.

4. Personnel at risk are immunized against agents for which immune prophylaxis is available.

CLASS 4

Distribution to laboratories whose staffs have levels of competency equal to or greater than one would expect in a college department of microbiology and who have had special training in handling dangerous pathogens and are supervised by competent scientists. For aerosol studies, passage in animals and infection of arthropod vectors, the laboratory should be located in a geographic area in which the risk of accidental establishment of the agent in a susceptible ecologic focus is minimal. Requests for agents in Class 4 are signed by the director of the institute or laboratory where the work is to be carried out. Conditions for containment include all those required for Class 3 agents and the following:

1. Work areas are in a facility which is in effect a separate building, or they are separated from other work areas by effective airlocks.

2. If the work area is not in a separate building, the entire area used for Class 4 agents has a separate air exhaust and negative pressure with respect to other areas of the building. Exhaust air is decontaminated by filtration through high efficiency filters or by some other suitable process. Class 4 agents are manipulated only in safety cabinets equipped with absolute filters.

- 3. Access to work areas is restricted to individuals immunized or otherwise under specific control.
- 4. Protective clothing is worn, and it is decontaminated before being removed from the laboratory area.
- 5. When an agent is used in entomological experiments, the windows, walls, floor, ceiling, and airlock of the work area are insect-proof, and pure pyrethrum insecticide or a suitable insect killing device is available in the airlock.

☑. GENERAL SAFETY

The best way to maintain laboratory safety is to practice correct and careful laboratory techniques, including effective decon-

tamination and sterilization procedures, at all times. The laboratory's isolation and containment requirements are to supplement, not to supplant, good laboratory practice and sound scientific judgment. However, in an adequately isolated and properly equipped laboratory with correctly directed airflow, a scientifically and technically competent investigator can confidently work even with the most hazardous agents, provided the safety cabinets are selected to meet the requirements of the work. Of the several available cabinet types, the investigator should select the one which meets requirements for the maximum risk he expects to encounter.

The Office of Biosafety of the Center for Disease Control, 1600 Clifton Road, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30333, is available for consultation on the handling of etiologic agents.

▼I. FEDERAL REGULATIONS COVERING ETIOLOGIC AGENTS

Several Federal agencies have regulations which cover the importation, interstate, shipment, and safe packaging of etiologic agents. Even though the requirements of the agencies differ somewhat, the same safety principles should apply to all shipments. The investigator who wishes to import etiologic agents from abroad or to forward imported agents to other laboratories should be aware of and observe the restrictions, thus avoiding delays, unpleasant situations and embarrassment.

The principal agencies concerned with the transportation of etiologic agents are the USDA and the PHS. The following should be consulted for current regulations and requirements:

1. For importation or interstate transportation of agents which are animal pathogens:

Chief Staff Veterinarian Organisms and Vectors Veterinary Services, APHIS, USDA Federal Building Hyattsville, Maryland 20782

 For importation and interstate movement of agents that cause human disease:**
 Center for Disease Control Attn: Office of Biosafety Atlanta, Georgia 30333

**In the case of zoonotic agents, both the USDA and the PHS should be consulted. A spectra of the case of zoonotic agents, both the USDA and the PHS should be consulted.

לידה אלולה – דביית דייית בין אי אי או הההלבר – המאצב להאנת 1997. לידהל ג'ל ג'ראי לביריי, אולי להגייני או להגיע או אנגעניים אלולה אי אאנה לייין להא הידה האנגעניים – האלה אני הני הני בילים לאנגעה בוניינאנים

On age model of a constant of the second sec

(2) A set of the data cape of the constraints of we can share any second set of the second

1945 – La Bellgeer, generation – La Stadional – Alin Annezio en edito († 1947) 1944 – Eric Robert, filosofia e Stadional († 1947) – La Stadional († 1947) 1944 – Eric Robert, filosofia e Stadional († 1947) – La Stadional († 1947)

(1) A start of the experimental strength of the strength of

Caroli Volta Valazoaren en estatuen ederen eta bertoten Casharate eta etatuen detatate etatuenen etatuen 200000

APPENDIX 10

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 1976





PART III:

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

National Institutes of Health

RECOMBINANT DNA RESEARCH GUIDELINES

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

(173)

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE National Institutes of Health

RECOMBINANT DNA RESEARCH GUIDELINES

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

On Wednesday, June 23, 1976, the Director of the National Institutes of Health, with the concurrence of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare and the Assistant Secretary for Health, issued Guidelines that will govern the conduct of NIH-supported research on recompinant DNA molecules.

The decision by the NIH Director to release the Guidelines was reached after extensive scientific and public airing of the issues. The issues were discussed at public meetings of the Recombinant DNA Molecule Program Advisory Committee (Recombinant Advisory Committee) and the Advisory Committee to the NIH Director, The Recombinant Advisory Committee debated three different ver sions of the Guidelines during this period, and made detailed recommendations to the NIH Director on how this line of research could proceed effectively with maximum protection of workers and the

environment against possible hazards. The Advisory Committee to the NiH Director, augmented with consultants representing law, ethics, consumer af-fairs, and the environment, was asked to advise on whether the proposed Guide-lines balanced responsibility to protect the public with the potential benefits through the pursuit of new knowledge. The many points of view expressed at an open meeting of the Committee on Feb-ruary 9 and 10, 1976, and in subsequent correspondence, were taken into con-

sideration in the Director's decision. A number of public commentators urged NIH to consider preparing an en-vironmental impact statement on re-combinant DNA research activity. They evoked the possibility that organisms containing recombinant DNA molecules might escape and affect the environment in potentially harmful ways. It should be noted that the development of the guidelines was in large part tantamount to conducting an environmental impact assessment. For example, the objectives of recombinant DNA research were conof recombining DNA research were con-sidered and the potential hazards and risks analyzed. Possible alternative ap-proaches to the objectives were thor-oughly explored, to maximize safety and minimize potential risks. And an elah-orate review structure to ensure safety has been created. The Guidelines are premised on physi-

cal and biological containment to pre-vent the release or propagation of DNA recombinants outside the laboratory. Deliberate release of organisms into the environment is prohibited. The stipulated physical and biological containment ensures that this research will proceed with a high degree of safety and precaution.

With a view to promoting public understanding of its issuance of the Guidelines, NIH conducted an environmental impact assessment and prepared the 11 I

NOTICES

present draft environmental impact statement in accordance with the Na-tional Environmental Policy Act of 1969. Notice of the availability of this document appeared in the FEDERAL REGISTER of September 2.

In order to extend the opportunity for public comment and consideration, the present draft environmental impact statement is offered for general comment. Please address any comments on this draft statement to the Director, National Institutes of Health, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Maryland 20014. All comments

Schuld be submitted by October 18, 1976. Additional copies of this draft are available from Dr. Rudolf G. Wanner, Associate Director for Environmental Health and Safety, Building 12A, Room 4051, National Institutes of Health, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Dated: August 26, 1976.

DONALD S. FREDRICKSON. Director, National Institutes of Health.

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

GUIDELINES FOR RESEARCH INVOLVING RE-

COMBINANT DNA MOLECULES

NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH BETHESDA, MARYLAND

August 19, 1976

GUIDELINES FOR RESEARCH INVOLVING RECOMBINANT DNA MOLECULES

 $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{L}}$ National Institutes of Health, Public Health Service, DHEW, Bethesda, Maryland

(X) Draft (). Final Environmental Impact Statement. Sec. D

Name of Action (X) Administrative () Legislative Action.

Additional Information

Additional information on the proposed action, including technical documents perti-nent to this statement may be obtained from;

Dr. Donald S. Fredrickson, Director, Na-tional Institutes of Health, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, Tele-phone: (301) 496-2433.

A copy of the "Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules" is attached. (Appendix D)

COMMENTS

The Department, in issuing this draft, is requesting comments on the accuracy of the factual information (including the absence of relevant material) and projections con-tained therein. Comments shall be submitted by October 18, 1976, the Council on Environ-mental Quality weakly notice in the Franza. Recourse, Address comments to Dr. Donald S. Fredrickson.

CONTENTS

I. Foreword.

- II. Authority. III. Objective of the NIH Action;
- IV. B₂ ckground.
 - A. Description of the recombinant DNA experimental process.
 - B. Events leading to the development of guidelines.
 - C. Description of issues raised by re-combinant DNA research.

I. Possible hazardous situations

- Expected benefits of DNA recombinant research.
 Long-range implications.
 Possible deliberate misuse.
 Description of the proposed action.
 The production of alternatives.
 No action.
 B. Nil+ prohibition of funding of all experiments with recombinant DNA.
 - DNA DNA. C. Development of different guidelines. D. No guidelines but NIE consideration
- D. No guidelines but NIE consideration of each proposed project on an in-dividual basis before funding.
 E. General Føderal regulation of all such research.
 VII. Environmental impact of the guidelines.
 A. Impact of issuance of NIH guide-1ines:
 - Impact of Bedniko & string guter
 Impact on the safety of laboratory personnel and on the spread of possibly Inzardous agents by infected laboratory personnel.
 Impact on the environmental spread of possibly hazardous agents.
 Cost impact.
 So contary impacts.
 Impact of experiments conducted undor the guidelines.
 Pressible impacts.

 - 'n
 - 1. Possible undesirable impacts. 2, Beneficial impacts of DNA recom-
 - binant res earch. به منزي
 - APPENDICES

يستنز في

- A. Glossary. B. Suggested references for additional
- Buggested references for additional roading.
 Documents describing the imple-mentation of the guidelines.
 Recombinant DNA Research" con-taining "Decision of the Director, National Institutes of Health to Release Guidelines for Research Release Guidelines for Research on Recombinant DNA Molecules" and "Guidelines for Research In-volving Recombinant DNA Mole-cules" as published in the Febrear Recussion, Part II, July 7, 1976.

FOREWORD

Recent developments in molecular genetics, particularly in the last 4 years, open avenues to science that were previ-ously inaccessible. In the "recombinant DNA" experiments considered here, genes—deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) molecules—from virtually any living organism can be transferred to cells of, certain completely unrelated organisms. certain completely unrelated organisms. For example, the genes from one species of bacteria have been transferred to bacteria of another species. And genes from toads and from fruit files have been introduced into the bacterium Escherichia coli.

Escherichia coit. If the recipient bacterium is then allowed to multiply, it will propagate these newly acquired genes as part of its own genetic complement. It appears likely that any kind of gene from any kind of organism could be introduced into E. coli and certain other organisms. This shifts to interpret the transfer

This ability to join together organisms, material from two different sources and to propagate these hybrid elements in bacterial and animal cells has resulted in a profound and qualitative change in the field of genetics. Now, for the first time, there is a methodology for crossing very large evolutionary boundaries, and for moving genes between organisms that are believed to have previously had little genetic contact.

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 176-THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 1976

The promise of recombinant DNA research for better understanding and improved treatment of human disease is great. There is also a possible risk that networganisms with foreign genes might cause disease or alter the environment should they escape from the laboratory and infect burnan beings, animals, or plants. However, in the absence of further experimental data neither the benefits nor the risks can be precisely identified or assessed.

fits nor the fisks can be precisely identified or assessed. On June 23, 1976, the Director of the National Institutes of Health released Guidelines governing the conduct of NIH-supported research on recombinant DNA molecules (See Appendix D). Promulgation of these Guidelines followed 2 years of intensive discussion and debate within the scientific community and NIH itself, with public participation, concerning the possible hazards of such research and the best means for averting them, although the possible hazards remain speculative. The Guidelines prohibit certain kinds of recombinant DNA expericautions and conditions designed to protect the health of laboratory workers, the general public, and the environment should the putative hazards prove real.

should the putative hazards prove real. The issuance of diddelines establishing conditions and precautions with respect to such experiments is viewed by NIH as a Federal action that may significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and NIH Director Dr. Donald S. Fredrickson ordered the preparation of this statement pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act.

Although NEPA assumes that such Federal actions will not be taken until the NEPA procedures are completed, the Director of MH concluded that the publo interest required immediate issuance of the Guidelines, rather than defortal for the months that would be required for completion of the NEPA process. This was because the escape of potentially hazardous organisms was more likely in the absence of NH action. Further, prompt issuance of the Guidelines was believed necessary in order to promote their acceptance by scientists. In the United States and stroad who do not come under the purview of NH. Issuance of and compliance with the.

Issuance of and compliance with the Guidelines is, in itself, expected to decrease the chance of any detrimental environmental impact. However, since there has been little actual experience to date with recombinant DNA experiments, the indicated confidence in the Guidelines resis essentially upon the judgment of scientists. Their confidence is based on two premises. First, it is believed that the containment measures specified in the Guidelines make the escape of potentially harmful recombinant organisms into the environment highly improbable. Second, it is believed that, even if an experiment performed in accordance with the Guidelines does result in actdental relaxes of recombinant organisms, adverse effects will either, not occur or not be serious.

~

NOTICES

In the absence of an adequate base of data derived from ether experiments or experience, it must be recognized that future events may not conform to these judgments. There is some statistical probability that recombinant organisms will find their way into the environment either from experiments under NHH auspices or from the activities of others. It is not difficult to construct scenarios in which injury could result. Although the possibility of significant environmental consequences is entirely speculative, the chance of an event that could cause severe injury, however low the probability, must be treated as an environmental impact.

The NIH Guidelines, in addition to ensuring the safety of NIH-supported researchers, the general public and the environment, are serving as a model for other laboratories throughout the world, thereby promoting environmental protection beyond that achievable through other actions available to the Federal Government, and the experiments themselves may be expected ultimately to lead vancement of medicine and other sciences.

Although the action in question—that is, issuance of the Guldelines—has already been taken, the Director of NIH belleves that the NEPA review will further enlighten the public and focus attention on the important issues involved, in the interest of gaining the understanding and views of the broadest possible segment of the American people. In issuing the Guldelines, the NIH Director pointed out that they will be subject to continuous review and modification in the light of changing circumstances, Constructive modification could result from information received during the NEPA process.

II. AUTHORITY

The Federal action discussed in this document is taken under the authority of Title III of the Public Health Service Act-General Powers and Duties of Public Health Service; Part A-Research and Investigation; sections 301 and 307 (42 U.S.C. 241 and 242).

III. OBJECTIVE OF THE NIH ACTION

The objective of the proposed actionrelease of the NIH Guldelines—is the protection of laboratory workers, the general public, and the environment from infection by possibly hazardous agents that may result from recombinant DNA research. The Guidelines are meant to ensure that experiments involving recombinant DNA molecules and which are supported by NIH, are carried out under conditions and safeguards that minimize the possibility of the harmful exposure of any human being or other component of the environment to these possibly hazardous agents.

It is NIH policy that all work supported by NIH, either in its own laboratories or through grants or contracts to various organizations, must be carried out according to the Guidelines. As part of this objective; the Guidelines describe procedures that will be used to ensure implementation. A further objective of establishing the Guidelines is 30 influence, to the extent possible, other Federal, non-Federal, and foreign organizations in their efforts to assure that recombinant DNA experiments will be carried out with minimal risk to laboratory workers, the general public, and the environment.

338427

IV. BACKGROUND

A. DESCRIPTION OF THE RECOMBINANT DNA EXPERIMENTAL PROCESS

All living things, from subcellular particles to higher organisms, require specific information for their reproduction and functions. The basic source of this information is deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), which is the principal substance of the genes, the units of heredity (1). Each cell of an organism is composed of various organized structures, several of which contain DNA. Figure 17-1 illustrates a typical cell.



FIGURE IV-1

DNA plays two roles: (1). Provides information for the reproduction, growth, and functions of the cell, and (2) preserves and directs replication of this information and transfers it to the offspring. These two roles of DNA are common to animals, plants, single-cell organisms, and many, viruses. The DNA of cells is mainly found in organized structures called chromosomes.

tures called chromosomes. Intracellular DNA also occurs outside of the chromosomes as separazely replicating-molecules, Such DNA molecules include the plasmids, found in bacteria; the DNA of chloroplasts, common to green plantis; and the DNA of mitochondria, the energy-producing units of the cells of complex organisms. These DNAs, while not strictly part of the inherent genetic inake-up of a cell, help define the cell's functional capability. Another type of DNA commonly found in cells is the DNA of infecting viruses.

In the past 30 years the structure of the DNA molecule has been studied in-

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 176-THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 1976

tensively, and it can now be described in much detail. The molecule may be comladder with thousands to millions of rungs (shown in Figure IV-2). The sides of the ladder are formed of sugar mole-cules (deoxyribose) attached end to end cules (deoxyrihose) attached end to end through phosphate groups. At right angles to each sugar molecule is one of four possible bases—adenine granalne, thymine, and cytosihe. The precise se-quence of these bases, the rungs of the ladder, codes the information content. The "reading" of the code contained in the sequence of bases results in the for-mation of proteins which in turn permit the associated functions of the cell

A gene is a portion of the cell. A gene is a portion of the DNA mole-cule which codes for the manufacture of a single protein. In higher organisms, much of the DNA may not serve as genes in this sense, but may regulate the activity of nearby genes. It is possible to break open cells and isolate DNA, free of other cellular constituents.



FIGURE IV-2

In recombinant DNA experiments DNA is first isolated from two different cell types. Each DNA is then broken into segments. Each segment may contain one or more genes, or it may contain a por-tion of the DNA that lacks functional genes. The breaking is accomplished by means of bacterial enzymes (restriction endonucleases), which cut the DNA in such a way that the chemical structure at the ends of the segments permits interchangeable rejoining when the two different DNAs are mixed. In this way single DNA molecules containing portions of the two different DNAs are constructed. The DNA recombined in these experiments can be derived from widely divergent sources. The DNA from one of the sources serves as a carrier, or vector. for the insertion of the recombined DNA into a cell, or host. The vector may be DNA from a virus or a plasmid, usually derived from the same species as will serve as the host of the recombinant DNA. From a growth culture of the host cells, those containing the DNA fragment of particular interest are selected

NOTICES

and allowed to multiply. 'The resulting population of identical cells is called a one." In some experiments the DNA will be extracted from the cells for study; in others, the properties of the

cells themselves will be investigated. In the experiments discussed in the Guidelines, the host cells are generally single-cell microorganisms such as bac teria, or animal or plant cells that were originally obtained from living tissue but are grown as single cells under special laboratory conditions.

The process of producing recombinant DNA molecules and introducing them into cells is illustrated in Figure IV-3.



The cell represented at the upper left con-tains chromosomal DNA and several sep-arately replicating DNA molecules. The non-chromosomal DNA molecules can be isolated chromssomal DNA molecules can be isolated from the cell and manipulated to serve as vectors (carriera) for DNA from a foreign cell. Most DNA molecules used us vectors are circular. They can be cleaved, as shown, by eazymen (restriction endonucleases) to yield linear molecules with rejoinable ends. At the unpar thet is concluse cell. repra-

At the upper right is another cell, repre-sented here as a rectangle. It serves as the source of the foreign DNA to be inserted in the vector. This DNA can also be cleaved by enzymes. The rectangular cell could be de-

enzymes. The rectangular cell could be de-rived from any living species, and the foreign DNA might contain chromosomal or Bon-chromosoman DNA, or both. In the next steps, the foreign DNA frag-ment is mixed and combined with the vector DNA, and the recombinant DNA is reinserted into a host cell. In most experiments this had a nest cell. In most experiments this host cell will be of the same species as the source of the vector. The recipient cells are then placed under conditions where they grow and multiply by division. Each new cell will contain recombinant DNA.

B. EVENTS LEADING TO DEVELOPMENT OF GUIDELINES

On June 23, 1976, the Director, NIH, released "National Institutes of Health Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules" (see Appen-dix D). This action was approved by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare and the Assistant Secretary for Health. The Guidelines established carefully controlled conditions for the conduct of experiments involving the insertion of recombinant genes into orga-nisms, such as bacteria. The chronology leading to the present Guidelines and the decision to release them are outlined below.

It was some of the scientists engaged in recombinant DNA research who called for a moratorium on certain kinds of experiments in order to assess the risks and devise appropriate guidelines. The capability to perform DNA recombina-

tions, and the potential hazards, had be-come apparent at the Gordon Research. Conference on Nucleic Acids in July 1973. Those in attendance voted to send an open letter to Dr. Philip Handler, President of the National Academy of Sciences, and to Dr. John R. Hogness, President of the Institute of Medicine, NAS. The letter, appearing in "Science". (2), suggested that the Academy "establish a study committee to consider this problem and to recommend specific ac-tions or guidelines, should that seem appropriate." .

In response, NAS formed a committee, and its members published another letter, in "Science" in July of 1974 (3). Under the title "Potential Biohazards of Recombinant DNA Molecules," the letter proposed:

First, and most important, that until the otential hazards of such recombinant DNA molecules have been better evaluated or until molecules have been briter evaluated or until adequate methods are developed for prevent-ing their spread, scientists throughout the world join with the members of this com-nuittee in voluntarily deferring * * [cor-tan] experiments * * . Second, plans to lunk fragments of ani-mal DNAs to bacterial plasmid DNA or bacteriophage DNA should be carefully weighed * *

Third, the Director of the National In-Third, the Director of the National in-stitutes of Realth is requested to give infor-mediate consideration to establishing an ad-visory committee charged with (1) oversee-ing an experimental program to oveluate the potential biological and ecological haz-ards of the above types of recombinant DNA-molecules; (1) developing procedures which will minimize the spread of such molecules within human and other populations; and (11) devalup guidalines to be followed by in-vestigators working with potentially heard-oug recombinant DNA molecules. Fourth, an international meeting of in-

ous recombinant DNA molecules. Fourth, an international meeting of in-volved scientists from all over the world should be convende easily in the coming year to review scientific progress in this area and to further discuss appropriate ways to deal with the potential biohazards of recom-binant DNA molecules.

On October 7, 1974, the NIH Recom-binant DNA Molecule Program Advisory. Committee (hereafter "Recombinant Advisory Committee") was established to Advise the Secretary of HEW, the As-sistant Secretary for Health, and the Di-rector of NIH" concerning a program for developing procedures which will minimize the spread of such molecules within human and other populations, and for devising guidelines to be followed

and for devising guidelines to be followed by investigators working with potentially hazardous recombinants." The international meeting proposed in the "Science" article (2) was held in February 1975 at the Asilomar Conference Center, Pacific Grove, California. It ence Center, Pacific Grove, Cantorna, It was sponsored by the National Academy of Sciences and supported by the Na-tional Institutes of Health and the Na-tional Science Foundation. One hundred and fifty people attended, including 52 foreign scientists from 15 countries, 16 representatives of the press, and 4 attorneys.

The conference reviewed progress in research on recombinant DNA molecules. and discussed ways to deal with the po-tential biohazards of the work. Participants felt that experiments on con-

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 176-THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 1976
struction of recombinant DNA molecules should proceed: *Provided*, that appropriate containment is utilized. The conference made recommendations for matching levels of containment with levels of possible hazard for various types of experiments. Certain experiments were judged to pose such serious potenmended against their being conducted at the present lime.

A report on the conference was submitted to the Assembly of Life Sciences, National Research Council, NAS, and approved by its Executive Committee on May 20, 1976. A summary statement of the report (4) was published in "Science, Nature," and the "Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences." The report noted that "in many countries steps are already being taken by national bodies to formulate codes of practice for the conduct of experiments with known or potential biohazards. Drik these are established, we urge individual scientists to use the proposals in this document as a guide."

Goctiment as a guide." The NIH Recombinant Advisory Committee held its first meeting in San Franconference. It proposed that NIH use the recommendations of the Asilomar conference as guidelines for research until the committee had an opportunity to elaborate more specific guidelines, and that NIH establish a newsletter for informal distribution of information. NIH

accepted these recommendations. At the second meeting, held on May 12-13, 1975, in Bethesda, Maryland, the committee received a report on biohasard-containment facilities in the United States and reviewed a proposed NIH contract program for the construction and testing of microorganisms that would have very limited ability to survive in natural environments and would thereby limit any possible hasards. A subcommittee chaired by Dr. David Hoguess was appointed to draft guidelines for research involving recombinant DNA molecules, to be discussed at the next meeting.

to be usedussed at the next meeting. The NLH committee, beginning with the draft guidelines prepared by the Hogness subcommittee, prepared proposed guidelines for research with recombinant DNA molecules at its third meeting, held on July 18-19, 1975, in Woods Hole, Massachusetis,

Massachusetts, Following this meeting, many letters were received which were critical of the guidelines. The majority of critics felt that they were too lax, others that they were too strict. The committee reviewed all letters, and a new subcommittee, chaired by Dr. Elizabeth Kutter, was appointed to revise the guidelines.

A fourth committee meeting was held on December 4-5, 1975, in La Jolla, Calfornia. For this meeting a "variorum edition" had been prepared, comparing linefor-line the Hogness, Woods Hole, and Kutter guidelines. The committee reviewed these, voting item-by-item for their preference among the three variations and, in many cases, adding new material. The result was the "Proposed Guidelines for Research Invoiring Re-

NOTICES

combinant DNA Molecules," which were referred to the Director, NIH, for a final decision in December 1975.

The Director of the National Institutes of Health called a special meeting of the Advisory Committee to the Director to review these proposed guidelines. The meeting was held at NIH, Bethesda, on February.5-10, 1976, The Advisory Committee is charged to advise the Director, NIH, on matters relating to the broad setting-scientific, technological, and socioeconomic--in which the continuing development of the blomedical aciences, education for the health professions, and blomedical communications must take place, and to advise on their implications for NIH policy, program development, resource allocation, and administration. The members of the committee are knowledgeable in the fields of basic and clinical blomedical sciences, the social sciences, physical sciences, research, education, and communications. In eddition to current members as well as other scientific and public representatives to participate in the special February session.

The purpose of the meeting was to seek the committee's advice on the guidelines proposed by the Recombinant Advisory Committee. The Advisory Committee to the Director was asked whether, in their judgment, the guidelines balanced scientific reseponsibility to the public with scientific freedom to pursue new knowledge.

The bilds responsibility weighs heavily in this genetic research area. The scientific community must have the public's confidence that the goals of this profoundly important research accord respect to important ethical, legal, and social values of our society. A key element in achieving and maintaining this public trust is for the scientific community to ensure an openness and candor in its proceedings. Representatives of the international press were invited to the Asilomar conference, and the proceedings received extensive coverage. The meetings of the Director's Advisory Committee and the Recombinant Advisory Committee have also reflected the international press was published in the Foreat Results and reported by representatives of the press. At the Director's Advisory Committee meeting, there was ample opportunity for comment and an alting of the lesues, not only by the committee members but y public thresses a well. All major points of view were broady represented.

The guidelines were reviewed in light of the comments and suggestions made by participants at that meeting, as well as the written comments received afterward. As part of that review the Recombinant Advisory Committee was asked to consider at its meeting of April 1-2, 1976, a number of selected issues raised by the commentators. Those issues and the response of the Recombinant Ad00340

visory Committee were taken into account in arriving at the final decision on the Guidelines.

The history of the events and discussions leading to the development of the Guidelines are described in greater detail in the "Decision of the Director, NHI," published as a preamble to the Guidelines in the FEDERAL RESISTER, Part I, July 7, 1976 (See Appendix D).

C. DESCRIPTION OF ISSUES RAISED BY RECOMBINANT DNA RESEARCH

1. Possible hazardous situations. The stable insertion of DNA derived from a different species into a cell or virus (and therefore the progeny thereof) may change certain properties of the hast. The changes may be advantageous, detrimental, or neutral with regard to (a) the survival of the recipient species, (b) other forms of life that come in contact with the recipient and (c) aspects of the nonliving environment. Current knowl-edge does not permit accurate assessment of whether such changes will be advantageous, detrimental or neutral, and to what degree, when considering a particular recombinant DNA experiment. At present it is only possible to speculate on ways in which the presence of recombinant DNA in a cell or virus could bring about these effects. It should be emplasized that there is no known instance or eated by recombinant DNA technology. The following discussion is speculative and consider ways in which hazardous acents might be produced.

The following discussion is specimicate and consider ways in which hazardous agents might be produced. a. The effect of foreign DNA on the survival of recipient species (host cells or viruses). The effect of foreign DNA on the survival of recipient species is important to the discussion of possible hazards of recombinant DNA experiments because although a recipient species may acquire a potential for harmful effects as a result of the foreign DNA, the possibility that the harmful effect will occurwill depend on the survival of the probability of survival and multiplication of foreign DNA decreases the probability of harmful effects will inorease. Similarly, if acquisition of foreign DNA decreases the probability of harmful effects, in ealuring the potential for harmful effects, that significant infections of animals and plants by bacteria or viruses may require contact with either a large or small number of the infections of animals and plants

There are various indications that becteria and viruses containing inserted foreign DNA are less likely to survive and multiply than are the original organisms. Naturel evolution results in the survival of veil-balanced and efficient organisms. Essential functions are carefully controlled, and can be syntched on and off as needed. It is unlikely that uncontrolled, nonessential properties such as might be introduced by foreign genes would result in any advantage to the survival and multiplication of an other-

wise well-balanced organisms. It is more likely that the new properties accompanying insertion of foreign genes will confer some relative disability to the recipient organisms. Therefore it is likely that bacterial cells containing inserted foreign DNA will multiply more slowly than the same cells without foreign DNA. Thus, in a natural competitive environment, bacteria containing recombinant DNA would generally be expected to disappear. The rate of disappearance will depend on the relative rate of growth compared to other, competing bacteria. The following calculation demonstrates this point.

Assume that a new organism constitutes 90 percent of a population, but grows 10 percent less rapidly than its natural counterpart. The new organism will drop from a concontraction of 80 percent to a concentration of constitutions. If all percention time of the natural organism is one hour, this amounts to about 8½ days.

One example of a situation in which the capability of recipient bacterial host cells to survive may be significantly increased as the result of the presence of a foreign DNA is the case of resistance to antibiotics and drugs. It is well known that such resistance is often genetically determined and genes specifying resistance have been described. Furthermore it is well known that such genes may be transferred, by natural DNA recombination, from one species of microrganism to another. Such natural events are in fact responsible for the rapid and wide spread of resistance to clinically important drugs that has been observed during the last 20 years.

The ability of recipient bacterial host cells to survive and multiply might also be enhanced by acquisiton and expression of a foreign gene conferring the ability to metabolize particular nutrients. In an environmental niche containing the metabolite, such a recombinant might compete succesfully against organisms native to the niche. This could result in destruction of an environmental component—that is, the metabollite. Also, if the native organisms were performing beneficial functions, those functions could be lost upon the successful establishment of the recombinant in the niche.

b. The effect of bacteria and viruses containing recombined DNA on other forms of W.e. The analysis leading to the Guidelines centered on the possibility of deleterous effects, since the concern was the health and safety of living organisms, including humans, and the environment. Agents constructed by recombinant DNA technology could prove hazardous to other forms of life by becoming pathogenic (disease-producing) or toxigenic (toxin-producing), or by becoming more pathogenic or toxigenic than the original agent.

There are two basic mechanisms by which a recipient microorganism might be altered with regard to its pathogenicity or toxicity as a result of a resident recombinant:

dent recombinant: (1) The recombinant DNA may result in formation of a protein that has unNOTICES

desirable effects. The case in which bacterial cells are used as carriers of foreign DNA is discussed first. A foreign protein, specified by the foreign DNA, might act after being liberated from the microorganism, or it could function within the microorganism and alter, secondarily, normal microbial cell function in such a way that the cell is rendered harmful to other living things. Either means depends on the expression of the foreign genes inst is, the information in the foreign genes must be used by the recipient baoterium to produce a foreign protein. Examples of protein that might prove harmful to other organisms are hor

mones, enzymes and toxins. The weight of present evidence suggests that foreign DNA from bacteria of one species, when inserted into bacteria of another species, may be expressed in the recipient. For example, if the donor of the foreign DNA produces a toxic substance, then the recipient call may produce such a substance is present in the recombinant. The recipient may or may not be more inazardous than the original donor organism, depending on the relative ability of the two organisms to grow and infect an animal or plant species at risk.

The evidence available at present is insufficient to predict whether or not foreign genes derived from a complex organism (animals, plants, yeasts, and fungi) will be expressed in a bacterium in any particular instance. It may be that specific manipulations will be required to permit bacteria to express information of a foreign DNA efficiently. Faithful expression of a gene requires accurate functioning of the complex bacterial machinery involved in protein synthesis. At each torigin gene must be recognized by the bacterial machiney. Full thing, divergence has resulted in different signals in the toreign sent accurate indication in the source has resulted in different signals in

hacteria and complex organisms. Attempts to branslate animal virus and animal cell genes into portein, using cellfree systems containing the proteinsynthesizing machinery isolated from bacteria such as *E. coli* yield some protein-like products. The probein products characterized to date were not faithful products of the information in the genes.

In a lew cases, intact bacteria containing recombined genes from complex organisms have been tested for evidence of expression of the inserted gene. By and large, accurate expression of the genes has not yet been demonstrated, although it may occur at a low frequency. In sorve instances, a new protein has been found, replacing one encoded by a bacterial gene. This result is expected if a bacterial gene is interrupted by insertion of the new DNA sequence within it, and does not necessarily indicate expression of the foreign gene. DNA fragments from yeast have been inserted into a strain of the bacterium E. coll which cannot manufacture the amino add histidine (5). (Histidline is a component of most proteins and therefore is required for the growth of all organisms.) After insertion, some cells no longer required histidine;

came the requirement for histidine. This is the first suggestion that a foreign gene from an organism more complex than bacteria any actually function in a bacteria cell. (Although yeast is a singlecell organism, it contains an organized nucleus like cells of higher organisms.) However, the detailed mechanism explaining this observation is unknown. Analogous issues must be considered for the case in which animal viruses are the carriers of foreign DNA. Many viruses

Analogous issues must be considered for the case in which animal viruses are the carriers of foreign DNA. Many viruses are simply desorthed as DNA molecules enclosed and protected by coats of protein molecules. The protects in the viral DNA from environmental effects, thus increasing the ability of the viral DNA to infect a cell. If viral DNAs are recombined with foreign DNAs in such a way that necessary viral genes remain intact, then the recombinant DNA may in turn be able to produce, and be packaged in, the coat of the virus. Inadvertent dispersal of such a viral parkide outside of the laboratory might then result in entry of the recombinant DNA into cells of living organisms. The foreign genes may protein. The likelihood of expression of a protein foreign to the infected cell, or the uncontrolled synthesis of a normal protein. The likelihood of expression of the foreign genes will probaby depend on the dogree of relatedness between its source and the infected organism as well as its location in the viral DNA used as vector. Currently, few if any relevant experimental data are available so that estimates of the probability of expression (2) The recombined DNA may itself cause pathogenic or jouic oils of the site

(2) The recombined DNA may itself cause pathogenic or toxic effects. Foreign DNA inserted in a bacterial gene, might so alter the microbial cell's properties that it becomes harmful to other organisms. This might happen, for example, through a change in the growth rate and competitive advantage of the recipient microbial cell, resulting in increased virulence of a mildly pathogenic bacteria. In general, one would expect the inserted DNA to result in a reduced growth rate and a selective disadvantage to the organism, as discussed in "a" shove. Similar issues arise where animal virues serve as carriers of foreign DNA.

nism, as discussed in "a" above. Similar issues arise where animal viruses serve as carriers of foreign DNA. It is also necessary to consider situations in which DNA molecules themselves may escape from the laboratory or from the experimental host cell and enter cells of living organisms with which taxy come in contact. Although free DNA molecules are themselves relatively fragile (and the probability that they would survive, in a significant form or for a significant time, in air, water, or any other medium, is considered remote), they can be protected in nature in a variety of ways and be released either into, or close to, a living cell.

When a cell or virus dies, or comes close to or invades the tissue of another living organism, the recombinant DNA may effectively enter a new cell. A hazardous situation similar to that described above might ensue if foreign proteins were manufactured in this "secondar;" recipient. The recombinant DNA might survive as an independent cellular component, or it could recombine by natural

process with the DNA of the secondary recipient. Various possible deleterious consequences of such a recombination may be considered. If the secondary recipient is another

If the secondary recipient is another microorganism, the same considerations described in IV-C-1-a apply. If the secondary recipient is one of the cells of an animal or plant, different considerations apply. The latter include alterations of normal cellular control mechanisms, synthesis of a foreign protein (such as a hormone), and insertion of genes involved in cancer production (if, for example, the foreign DNA were derived from a cancerproduction givens).

Tortagin Diva weie derived nion a cancer producing virus). It should be pointed out that the likelihood of causing inheritable changes in the offspring of complex organisms by such a mechanism is extremely low in animals because of the protection afforded germ-line cells (eggs and sperm) by their location. Thus, the possibility that recombined foreign DNA would reach germ line cells at a time in the life of such cells when secondary recombination can occur is extremely remote. With one-celled organisms, the probability of causing heritable change by secondary recombination may be higher.

b) determine the probability of secondary what is the probability of secondary recombination between prokaryoles and enkaryoles in nature? It is generally held that recombination in nature is more likely if similar or identical sequences of bases (rungs in the DNA ladder) occur in the two recombining DNAs. The greater the degree of similar sequences, the more likely is recombination. In general, the more closely two species are related, the more likely it is that similar sequences will be found in their DNAs. Thus, DNA from primates has more DNA than does DNA from mile, or fish, or plants, Recombination may also occur between DNAs not sharing sequences but at lower frequencies.

at lower frequencies. It is possible that the capacity for interspecies recombination between distantly related species exists in nature, for example, bacteria in animal intestimes are constantly exposed to fragments of animal DNA released from deal intestinal cells. Significant recombination requires the uptake of intact segments of animal DNA and their subsequent incorporation into the bacterial DNA. The frequency of such events is unknown.

There are very few available data permitting assessment of the reverse process-manely, the incorporation of bacterial DNA into the cells, or DNA, of more complex organisms. Although there are reports of experiments in which bacterial DNA was inserted into animal and plant species and production of the bacterial protein followed, the process very inefficient and many investigators have been unable to repeat these experiments (6-8).

There are certain well-documented instances in which the DNAs of different living things become more or less permanently recombined in nature. These instances involve recombination between the DNAs of nonchromosomal genes, such

b

NOTICES

as those of viruses or plasmids, or recombination between the DNAs of viruses or plasmids and chromosomal genes. The former instance, for example, is the mechanism behind the rapid spread of resistance to antibilotics among different bacterial species (9, 10). This spread accompanied the prevalent use of antibiotics in medicine and agriculture. Some viral DNAs recombine into and persist in chromosomal DNA of cells of receptive organisms (11, 12). Some viral DNAs acquire, in stable form, DNA sequences derived from their host cells (13, 14). There is also strong evidence for recombination of the DNA form of RNA tumor virus genes with chromosomal

2. Expected benefits of DNA recombinant research. Benefits may be divided into two broad categories: An increased understanding of basic biological processes, and practical applications for medicine, agriculture, and industry. "At this time the practical applications

At this time the practical applications are, of course, speculative. It is important to stress that the most significant results of this work, as with any bruly innovative endeavor, are likely to arise in unexpected ways and will almost certainly not follow a predictable path.

in unexpected ways and will almost certainly not follow a predictable path. a. Increased understanding of basic biological processes. There are many important fundamental biomedical questions that can be answered or approached by DNA fecombinant research. In order to advance against diseases in inheritance, we need to understand the structure of genes and how they work. The DNA recombinant methodology provides a simple and inexcepensive way to prepare large quantities of specific genetic information in pure form. This should permit elucidation of the organization and function of the genetic information in higher organisms. For example, current estimates of the fraction of this information that codes for proteins are simply educated guesses. There are almost no of DNA that do not code for proteins, although these DNA sequences are suspected of being involved in the regulation of spectrum.

tion of gene expression. The existing state of ignorance is inergely attributable to our previous inability to isolate discrete segments of the DNA in a form that permits detailed molecular analysis. Recombinant DNA methodology remove this barrier. Furthermore, ancillary techniques have been developed whereby pure DNA segments that contain particular sequences of interest can be identified and solected. Of particular interest is the isolation of pure DNA segments that contain the genes for the variable and contain the genes of such segments obtained from both germline and somatic cells should be of inestimable value in determining the

A major problem in understanding the mechanism by which certain viruses cause cancer is how and where the infecting or endogenous viral genomes are integrated into the cell's chromosome. This bears on the question of how the expression of the integrated viral genes affects cellular regulation, thus leading to the abnormal growth characteristics of cancer cells. with the recombinant DNA techniques for isolation and purification of specific genes, this research problem is reduced to manageable proportions. It is possible to isolate the desired DNA segment in pure form. Large quantities can be obtained for detailed study by simply extracting a culture of the bacteria carrying the viral DNA segment in a plasmid.

b. Folential practical applications for medicine, agriculture and industry. Certain of the potential applications will only be realized if the reproduction of the recombined foreign DNA in a recipienthost cell is followed by expression of the genetic information comisanced in the DNA in the form of synthesis of protelins. Since the efficient translation of eukaryote genes in bacterial (prokaryote) nosis has yet to be proved, these potential applications are speculative at this time. Applications that depend on the expression of foreign prokaryotic genes in prokaryotic recipient cells are presently more certain.

(1) Synthesis of medically important proteins and other substances. It has been suggested that genes coding for medically important substances be attached to bacterial vectors, and that the bacteria then be used to produce large quantitles of the desired material. A number of costly and/or rare substances would be prime candidates for such synthesis:

Human insulin (a future shortage of currently used animal insulin appears to be likely);

Human growth hormone (presently available only from human cadavors and in short supply):

supply); Clothing factor VIII (for treatment of hemophilia).

Specific entibodies and antigens (for preventing and treating infectious, allergic, and autoimmune disease, and perhaps even cancer):

cer); Certain enzymes, such as fibrinolysin and urokinase (promising agents in the treatment of embolism) and lycosomal enzymes.

(2) Endoment of plants with new synthesis capabilities. Whole plants may be generated from a single cell, and thus insertion of recombinant DNA into such cells might make it possible to endow plant species with the capability of---

Improved photosynthetic fixation of carbon dioxide:

Nikrogen fixation by presently inept species (khoreby reducing the need for costly chemical fertilizers that cause pollution—e.g., eutrophication);

trophication); Froducing a higher quality or quantity of food protein.

(3) Some industrial applications. A number of industrial processes utilizes incroorganisms containing enzymes (which are proteins) to produce important chemicals (e.g., storold hormones or other drugs, vitamins) or foodstuffs (e.g., cheese). Such processes could be improved through innovations effected by DNA recombinant research. Completely new blosynthetic reactions may thereby become available, permitting the synthesis of large amounts of complex and

valuable compounds with ease and at low cost

Some highly speculative applications relate to the area of energy production and neutralization of pollutants—e.g., as in oil spills. Genetic modification through DNA recombination might it possible to devise microorganisms tailor-made for such important purposes. 3. Long-range implications. The exper-

imental situations treated in the Guide-lines are those that appear feasible either currently or in the near future. The ex periments primarily involve insertion of recombined DNA into bacteria or into single cells derived from more complex organisms and maintained under special laboratory conditions. It is only in the case of plants that the Guidelines cover experiments involving insertion of DNA into cells capable of developing into com-plex, multicellular organisms. The Guidelines and the discussions leading to their development have focused on problems of safet

It is possible that techniques similar to or derived from current recombinant DNA methodology may, in the future, be applicable to the deliberate modification of complex animals, including hu-mans. Such modification might have as its aim correction of an inherited defect in an individual, or alteration of herit-able characteristics in the offspring of individuals of a given species. The latter type of alteration has been successfully achieved in agriculture for centuries, by classical breeding techniques. It may be that recombinant DNA methods, should they develop in appropriate ways, may offer new opportunities for specificity and accuracy in animal breeding.

The deliberate application of such methods for the correction of individual genetic defects or the alteration of heritable characteristics in man raises complex and difficult problems. In addition to philosophical, moral, and ethical ques-tions of concern to individuals, serious societal issues are involved. Broad discussion of these problems in a variety of forums will be required to inform both private and public decision-making. 4. Possible deliberate misuse. In the

event that recombinant DNA technology can yield hazardous agents, such agents might be considered for deliberate perpetration of harm to animals (including humans), plants or the environment. The possibilities include biological warfare or sabotage. Because it is not known whether recombinant DNA technology can yield such agents, discussion of these problems such as theft by saboteurs is hypothetical and difficult. With regard to biological warfare, a July 3, 1975 let-ter to Dr. David Baltimore from James L. Malone, General Counsel of the United States Arms Control and Disarmament Agency says, "you raise the question as to whether the Biological Weapons Convention prohibits production of recombinant DNA molecules for purposes of constructing biological weapons. In our opinion the answer is in the affirmative. The use of recombinant DNA molecules for such purposes clearly falls within the scope of the Convention's provisions."

100

NOTICES

Handler, Fhilip, (ed.) (1970). Biology and the Future of Man. Oxford University Press, New York, NY.
 Singer, M. F. and D. Soll (1973). Guidas-University Procession (1973).

lines for DNA Hybrid Molecules. Science 181:1114.

18: 1114.
18: 1114.
18: 1114.
18: 1114.
18: 1114.
18: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
19: 1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.
1114.</l

Recombinat: DNA Molecules. Science 188:991; Nature 226:442; Free. Natl. Acad. Sci. 921; Nature 226:442; Free. Natl. Acad. Sci. 921; Nature 226:442; Free. Natl. Acad. Sci. 921; Nature 226:442; Free. Natl. Acad. Sci. 923; Natl. Acad. Sci. 923; Natl. Acad. Sci. 923; Natl. Acad. Sci. 923; Natl. Acad. Sci. 923; Natl. Acad. Sci. 923; Natl. Acad. Sci. 923; Natl. Acad. Sci. 923; Natl. Acad. Sci. 923; Natl. Acad. Sci. 923; Natl. Acad. Sci. 923; Natl. Acad. Sci. 923; Natl. Acad. Sci. 923; Natl. Natl. 823; Natl. 824;
Simian Virus 40 Deczyriboniczieta Arid, J. of Virology 5:008-216. (14) Brockman, W., T. N. H. Lee and D. Nathans (1973), The Evolution of New Species of Viral DNA During Serial Passage of Simian Virus 40 at High Multiplicity. Virology 54:384-397.

Virology 54:384-597. (15) Ollespie D., W. C. Saxinger and R. C. Gallo (1976). Information Transfer in Cetts Infected by RNA Tumor Viruses and Exten-sion to Human Neoplesia. Prog. Nuc. An. Res. and Mol. Biol. 1511-108. (16) Markham, P. D. and M. A. Baluda (1973). Integrated State of Oncomasirus DNA in Normal Chicken Cells and in Cetts Transformed by Avian Myeloblastosis Virus. J. Virol. 12:721.

(17) Hill, M. and H. Hillova (1972). Virus ecovery in Chicken Cells Tested with Rous arcoma Cell DNA. Nature New Biology Reno Sarcom. 237:35.

V. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION

The Director, National Institutes of Health, has issued Guidelines that will govern the conduct of NIH-supported research on recombinant DNA molecules. The Guidelines will apply to all NIH-supported research on such moleculesthat is, molecules which are made by combining segments of DNA from different organisms in a cell free-system and which can be inserted into some living cell, there to replicate. The objective of

the Guidelines is the protection of the laboratory worker, the general public, and the environment from infection by and the equironment from infection by possibly hazardous agents that may re-sult from this research. The complete text of the Guidelines is found in the FEDERAL REGISTER, Part II, for Wednes-day, July 7, 1976. As an integral part of this Draft Environmental Impact Statement the Guidelines are found in Appendix D.

The mechanisms by which the NIH will implement the application of the Guide-lines are outlined in the Guidelines them-selves and are specified in greater detail selves and are specified in greater detail in Appendix C. Noncompliance with the Guidelines will result in termination of funding of research grants and contracts. The Guidelines describe (1) safeguards that protect-the laboratory worker, the general public, and the environment, (2)

- 14

the criteria for assessing the possible dangers from experiments involving recombinant DNA molecules, (3) the criteria for matching the assessed possible dangers of individual experiments with the appropriate safeguards, and (4) the roles and responsibilities of principal in-yestigators, their institutions, and NIH for ensuring the implementation of the requirements specified in these Guidelines. The emphasis on protection of laboratory workers from infection reflects the fact that laboratory workers are the persons at the greatest risk of infection and that the most likely route of escape of possibly hazardous agents from the

of possibly hazardous agents from the laboratory is the laboratory worker. The physical safeguards have been grouped into four levels providing in-creasing capability for contatimment. The four levels approximate those rec-ommended by the Center for Disease Control for the control of known in-fectious agents that have been deter-mined to be of (1) no or minimal, (2) ordinary, (3) special, or (4) extreme hazard to man and other living filings. These correspond to the terms Minimal, Low, Moderate, and High risk, respec-Inese torrespond to the terms winning, Low, Moderate, and High risk, respec-tively, as used in the NHE Guidelines. The safeguards include usual and spe-cial microbiological safety practices, primary physical barriers that isolate the experiment from the laboratory understand from the laboratory the experiment from the hadratory worker, and facility installations that either markedly reduce or eliminate the potential for accidental dissemination of recombinant DNA molecules to the en-vironment. The four levels, designated against contact with or accidental re-lease of microorganisms containing recombinant DNA molecules.

Additional safeguards are provided by the use of host cells and vectors with demonstrably limited ability to survive in other than specially designed labora-tory environments. This concept is called "biological containment" in the Guidelines. In the case of hacterial host cells and vectors, this means that particu-lar strains of cells and vectors with genetically determined and fastidious survival requirements must be used. For those experiments judged to be of potentially moderate or high risk, the proper-ties of the bacterial strains to be used

must be certified by the NIH Recombinant Advisory Committee prior to initiation of experiments. In the case of a vector derived from an animal virus, the veron derived from an attained virus, die virus itself must be a low risk agent (CDC or National Cancer Institute), and a strain of the virus that is defective in infection must serve as the source of the vector DNA

The selection of containment (safe guard) levels is dependent on the assessed possible dangers of the experiment. The Guidelines provide standards for evaluating the concelvable dangers of particular experiments involving re-combinant DNA molecules. In the ab-sence of evidence of any hazard actually occurring, these standards are based on relevant current knowledge. Permis-sible experiments are placed into four classes of increasing possible danger which correspond to the four levels of increasing containment capability (safe-guards). Certain experiments, judged to have the potential for extreme hazard, should they prove dangerous, are prohtbited.

The possibility for danger depends on

(1) The biohazard associated with the of the cell or microorganism that serves he DNA source (e.g., genes for toxin pro-DNA

all the Dirk source (v.s. beness to the DNA seg-ment has been purified sway from other geness and shown to be free of harmful chares a..... ristics,

genes and shown to be free of harmful char-scteristics. (3) The blohzard associated with the vec-tor that serves to transmit the source DNA to a recipient host cell, (4) The ability of the vector to survive in natural environments or habitats, (5) The kinds and number of different organisms that are susceptible to infection by the recipient or vector. (6) The blohzard of the recipient host cell that serves to replicate the recom-binant DNA molecule, (7) The ability of the recipient cell to survive in natural environments or babitats, (8) And ability of the recipient cell to the recipient and the recipient cell to the subility of the recipient cell to the subility of the recipient cell to the survive in natural environments of babitats (9) The potential of the recipient cell to obtain the surve DNA by nadural mesure, and (10) The evolutionary relatedness of the DNA source to humans.

The Guidelines prohibit a number of types of experiments, including those in which an organism contributing DNA is itself a biohazard of greater than low risk as determined by conventional methods of risk assessment (low risk corresponds to class 2 agents as defined by the Center for Disease Control). The host cells and vectors are required to be of no or minimal risk. The potential dangers are considered to increase as the organism providing the source DNA approaches human phylogenetically. Thus, source DNA from primate cells is considered to have greater potential dangers than source DNA from lower eukaryotes. In general, greater possible dangers are assigned to recombinants

than are present in the most hazardous component used to construct the DNA. The risk-assessment standards are specified in detail for one prokaryote

NOTICES

host-vector system employing a variant of E. coli called strain K12, which is, by itself, of no or minimal risk, Eukarvote host-vector systems using defective viral vectors are also described. The descrip-tions of these systems provide principles by which the potential dangers of recombinant DNA experiments with other

binant DNA experiments with other host-vector systems can be assessed. The Guidelines also establish an ad-ministrative framework for assigning the responsibility for ensuring safety in recresponsibility for ensuming satety in rec-combinant DNA research supported by NTH, This responsibility is shared among the principal investigators, their institu-tions, and NTH. The principal investiga-tors have the primary responsibility for hazard assessment and for implemen-tions of the principal investigatation of appropriate safeguards. The institutions are responsible for ensuring capabilities for meeting the requirements stipulated in the Guidelines. NIH is re-sponsible for securing an independent assessment of the potential dangers of this research and for ensuring that no re-search is supported unless it conforms to the requirements stipulated in the Guidelines.

The Guidelines require that the insti-tutions establish biohazard committees to carry out the institutional responsibility. and stipulate the qualifications and expertise of the committee membership. NIH responsibilities are detailed in the Guidelines and are divided among (1) NHH Initial Review Groups, (2) the NHH Recombinant DNA Molecule Program Advisory Committee, and (3) the NHH staff.

Physical containment requirements

The safeguards in the Guidelines re quire the use of procedures and physical containment systems to protect laboracontainment systems to protect labora-tory workers and the environment from exposure to potentially harmful orga-nisms. The requirements include pro-cedures and equipment in which work is to be done and special laboratory room and building features, as well as appro-priate training of workers. The systems priste training of workers. The systems are grouped into four levels of contain-ment—Fi, P2, P3, and P4—each provid-ing a level of containment greater than the one preceding it. The level of con-tainment that must be provided by a laboratory in which an experiment is to be done is based on an assessment of the degree of hazard involved.

The following description of the physical containment levels is presented to outline these requirements. A complete description may be found in the Guide-lines (Appendix B).

P1 Level (Minimal). A laboratory suit-able for experiments involving recombinant DNA molecules requiring physical containment at the P1 level is shown in Figure V-1. Such a laboratory possesses Not a special engineering design features. Work in this laboratory is generally con-ducted on open hench tops. Special containment equipment is neither required nor generally available. The laboratory is not separated from the general traffic patterns of the building, and public access is permitted. Control of biohazards

-28433

is provided by standard microbiological otices

P2 Level (Low). A laboratory suitable for experiments involving recombinant DrA molecules requiring physical con-tainment at the P2 level (see Figure V-2) is similar in construction and design to the P1 laboratory. The P2 laboratory must have access to an autoclave within the building, and it may have a biological safety cabinet. Work that does not pro-duce a considerable aerosol is conducted on the open bench. However, when exce sive aerosols may be produced low-risk experiments must be conducted in special cabinets (biological safety cabinets) that provide physical barriers against possible release of organisms. Although this laboratory is not separated from the general traffic patterns of the building, access to it is limited when experiments requiring P2-level physical containment are being conducted.



FIGURE V-1



FIGURE V-2

P3 Level (Moderate). As shown in Fig-ure V-3, a laboratory suitable for experiments involving recombinant DNA mole cules requiring physical containment at the P3 level has special engineering design features and physical contain-ment equipment. The laboratory is sepa-rated from areas that are open to the general public. Separation is generally achieved by controlled access corridors and air locks, locker rooms, or other douand air nocks, nocker rooms, or other cou-ble-doored facilities not available for use by the general public. Access to the labo-ratory is controlled. Biological safety cabinets are available within the con-trolled horatory area. An subclare shall be available within the building and preferably within the controlled labo-ratory area. Environmental protection is provided by waste sterilization techniques. The surfaces of walls, floors,

bench tops, and ceilings are easily cleanable to facilitate housekeeping and space decontamination. The laboratory venti-lation system is balanced to provide for at inflow of supply air from the access corridor into the laboratory. No work in open vessels is conducted on the open bench; all such procedures are confined

Definit, an analysic calines are commented to biological safety cabinets. P4 Level (High). As shown in Figure V-4, experiments involving recombinant DNA molecules requiring physical con-tainment at the F4 level shall be con-fined to work areas in a maximum-secu-rity facility of the type designed to con-tain microorganisms that are extremely hazardous to man or may cause serious epidemic disease. The facility is either a separate building or a controlled interior area completely isolated from all other areas of a building. Access to the facility is under strict control. Class III biological safety cabinets are available.





Pl Liberston FIGURE V-4

A P4 facility has engineering fea-tures, shown in Figure V-5, designed to prevent the escape of microorganisms to the environment (1-4). The special features in a P4 facility include:

Monolithic walls floors, and ceilings in which all penetrations such as for sir ducts, electrical conduits, and utility pipes are sealed to ensure the physical isolation of the work area and to facilitate housekeep-

ing and space decontamination. Air locks through which supplies and materials can be brought safely into the facility.

facility. Contiguous clothing change and shower rooms, through which personnel enter into and exit from the facility. Double-door autoclayes to sterilize and safely remove wastes and other materials from the facility change and the facility. Using entering the facility damage are in-

liquid effluents if facility drains are installed.

MOTICES

104



ntory Side ary Baciet

FIGURE V-8

A separate ventilation system that m

A separate ventilation evolution that main-chains negative air pressures and directional airdow within the facility. A treatment system to decontaminate ex-haust air before it is dispersed to the st-mosphere. A central ward union utility system is not encouraged; if one is installed, each branch line leading to a laboratory abail he protected by a high-efficiency par-ticulate air fifter.

REFERENCES

1. Design Criteria for Viral Oncology Re-search Facilities, U.S. Department of Health, Education and Weifare, Public Health, Service, National Institutes of Health; DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 76-

Hentiki: DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 75– 601, 1975.
Kushne, R. w. (1973). Biological Con-tainment Facility for Sudding Infectious Discase. Appl. Microbiol. 25:339–333.
Bunkle, R. S. and G. B. Phillips (1969). Microbial Containment Control Facilities. Van Nostraad Reinhold, New York.
Chatigny, M. A. and D. I. Glinger (1969). Ontamination Control in Acrobiology. In R. L. Dimmick and A. B. Akurs (eds.). An Introduction to Experimental Accobiology. John Wiley & Sons, New York, pp. 194-263. 263.

VI. DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES

The following general classes of action have been considered as alternatives to, The impact of each is described briefly, and reference is made to other portions of this document which have a more complete discussion of the particular impact in question.

A. NO ACTION

This alternative would perpetuate the and a stating prior to June 23, 1976. At that time the only restrictions on recombinant DNA research stemmed from voluntary compliance of the refrom volutiary compliance of the re-search community with the guidelines developed at the International Confer-ence on Recombinant DNA Molecules, held at Asilomar, California, in Febru-ary of 1975, which were published in scientific journals. The Asilomar guide lines differ in substance from the NIH Guidelines, and are considerably less stringent and less detailed in their requirements for containment of potentially hazardous organisms. For example, experiments that may be carried out with minimal containment according to the specific language of the Asilomar guide-lines (e.g., the construction of an E. coli plasmid containing the noncancer-producing DNA segment of SV40) require P3 or P4 according to the NIH Guidelines. In addition, while the Asilomar suidelines recommend that certain ex-periments be deforred, the list of experiments to be deferred is expanded in the NIH Guidelines. Furthermore, disregard of the Asilomar guidelines carries no sanctions on investigators, and it could be expected that the currently high level voluntary compliance would be eroded with time.

"no action" alternative would The greatly increase the probability that possibly hazardous organisms would be re-leased into the environment. In addition, public concern would be increased in the absence of any Federal action. It is concluded that the "no action" alteraction would not all ford adequate pro-tection of laboratory workers, the gen-eral public, and the environment from the possible hazards described in sec-tion IV-C-1.

The alternative of "no action" would essentially remove from the conduct of research the restrictions inherent in the NIH Guidelines. Experiments concerning basic biological processes, and the development of technology applicable to medi-cal, agricultural, and industrial prob-lems, would proceed at a faster rate. Moreover, the immediate cost of con-ducting research would be markedly de-creased with the "no action" alternative, since the need for costly physical con-tainment would be less.

B. NIH PROHIBITION OF FUNDING OF ALL EXPERIMENTS WITH RECOMBINANT DNA

NIH could refuse to fund any any re combinant DNA experiments. This would not necessarily result in the cessation of such research, since it may still be sup-ported by non-NIH funds both in this country and abroad. Therefore a reduction of risks but not elimination of risks might be achieved by total NIH prohibi-tion. Because the NIH funds a large proportion of the total biomedical research effort, a significant delay might be ex-pected in the achievement of the goals and missions of programs designed to elucidate basic biological processes and, in turn, the mechanisms underlying various disease states. It is widely antici-pated that a variety of research—im-pacting on health and other areas of human concern-will benefit from recombinant DNA technology (see Section IV-C-2)

American solentists have played a leading role in bringing the potential hazards of recombinant DNA research to the attention of solentists, governments; and international organizations. As a reand international organizations. As a re-sult, there is an effort to adopt safety procedures for the conduct of this re-secard in many countries. Although na-tions differ in their perceptions of the need to adopt safety measures, and of what the exact measures should be, the NIH Guidelines are being used as a model. NIH prohibition of the work would undermine American leadership in the establishment of worldwide standin the establishment of worldwide standards for safety.

Finally, prohibition would be likely to have important impacts on American science, both in research and in develop-ment of technology. The leadership of

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 176-THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 1976

20.00

See.

C. DEVELOPMENT OF DIFFERENT GUIDELINES

Each of the stipulations in the NIH Guidelines was made after assessment of the possible hazards associated with particular experiments. The available data, however, were limited, and different conclusions could have been reached. Som issues addressed in the preparation of the Guidelines which could have led to

different specifications are as follows: 1. Levels of physical containment. For certain experiments in which the potential risk is controversial, the physical containment level could have been higher or lower. Examples of controversial issues are the recommendations with respect to containment levels for recombinant experiments involving bacterial cells and DNA derived from cold-blooded animals, and for experiments involving the use of DNA from animal viruses

÷

۲

2. Establishment of a few national P3 facilities openly available to all investi-gators, with the requirement that all experiments requiring P3 containment be conducted therein. In effect, this will be the situation with respect to P4 facilities under the Guidelines. There are several advantages to working in regional cen-

a. It would be less expensive to construct and staff a fow such regional centers than many such facilities.

b. Training would be centralized.

c. P3 facilities would be more uniformly accessible to qualified investigators from a variety of institutions.

There would be greater assurance that facilities meet the specified requirements

e. Banks of cells containing recombinant DNA could be maintained, with a view to decreasing the number of times the actual decreasing the number of times to be been recombination process would be performed (such banks can also be maintained in the absence of centralized PS facilities). f. The sites could be placed away from

population centers.

The disadvantages of establishing regional centers include:

a. Long-range planning would be neces sary

b. Scheduling would be a problem. c. The investigator's independence would s diminished.

bo di

be diminished. d. Competition for access might favor es-tabilished investigators or established ideas. e. The auture of the process, which might require only brief access of P3 facilities in a given day but over a lengthy period of time. f. Access problems might unnecessarily dis-courage valuable research.

3. All permissible recombinant DNA experiments be conducted in P4 facilities. This alternative implies no distinction among experiments. It does not recognize that certain recombinant DNA experiments are widely agreed to pose little, if any, possible hazard. It is equi-valent to a total prohibition on much recombinant DNA research because of the limited number of P4 facilities that are available and the high cost of con-

struction. Because of access problems, interesting and important research of low or moderate possible hazard would be discouraged.

NOTICES

4. Experiments prohibited at this time. Certain types of experiments are prohibited by the Guidelines. Their selec-tion was a matter of judgment, and de-pended on the assessment of the seriousness of the possible hazard. Alternative assessments would result in either an expansion or a contraction of the list of prohibited experiments and consequent decrease or increase in the possible risks. Some of the controversial recommendations are

a. The prohibition of experiments involving more than 10 Hers of culture fuid containing recombinant DNAs known to make harmful products without the express approval of the NIH Re-combinant Advisory Committee. Controversy over this recommendation relation to the fact that some investigators and laboratories contend that larger volumes of culture fluid can be safety contained by special procedures and facilities. The recommendation places responsibility for evaluating the containment on the NIH Recombinant Advisory Committee.

b. Sanction of the use of the bacterium Escherichia coli as a recipient for recom binant DNA molecules. This organism has been studied extensively and is well suited to recombinant DNA research. It has been argued, however, that E. coli should not be used at the present time. This is because many E. coll strains are intimately associated with humans and other living things, and because they readily exchange DNA (genes) with certain other bacteria in nature

Theoretically, the most desirable bac-terial recipient of recombinant DNA would be a species uniquely adapted to carefully controlled laboratory environments and unable to survive or transmit DNA to other organisms in any natural environment. This means that the bacteria should be unable to survive in normal ecological niches, either in the lab-oratory or neighboring areas. It should be unable to colonize or survive in or on other living things, or in soil or water. In addition, these properties should not be significantly altered by the insertion into the bacterium of the recombined DNA. The bacteria must also be able to be manipulated for successful execution of the proposed experiment.

No bacteria is known to meet all these requirements. The guidelines permit the use of various forms of a particular strain of E. coli called K12. (The forms are called EK1. EK2 and EK3 in the Guidelines where they are discussed in detail.) Some of these forms already exist, others need to be constructed. Although related to other E, coll strains that do not in any way meet the definition of the ideal organism, these permissible strains of E. coli partially fulfill many of the criteria in the definition of the ideal strain. At present, no other bacterial species is known to approximate the definition as closely as E coll K-12 and its derivatives. In the future, other bacteria, closer to the ideal, may become known, or the

properties of already known species may be shown to approach the ideal more closely than E, coll strain K12 and its derivatives, as defined in the Guideline

c. Sanction of the use of Simian Virus 40 (SV.40) as a carrier of a foreign DNA fragment. It has been argued that SV40 should not be permitted, since it is known to cause cancer in laboratory animals. There is little evidence that SV40 results in disease in humans, However, SV40 infects humans, and demonstrable antibodies to SV40 indicate that infection has occurred in some members of the general population. Some of the infection may have resulted from the inadvertent inoculation of millions of individuals during the initial mass program of immuni-zation aganist pollo virus before SV40 was identified as a contaminant in the vac-cine. The antibodies may have been formed against SV40-like viruses known to exist naturally in humans (1). It is possible that a recombined DNA carried by SV40 could infect humans and sig-nificantly affect their health (2). The Guidelines restrict the use of EV40 DNA to DNA from strains of the virus that are defective in the infection process. In addition, stringent physical containment is required.

d. Sanction of experiments involving the transfer of uncharacterized mixture of DNA segments derived from warmblooded animals into bacteria. Such experiments are believed to present greater possible risk than others because they involve a conglomeration of 1171defined genes that might include DNA capable of causing disease.

e. Sanction of the use of oncogenic viruses. It has been argued that the introduction into E, coli of the whole DNA or any purified segment of the DNA of any virus oncogenic in any species should not be permitted

D. No guidelines but NIH consideration of each proposed project on an individual basis before funding. With this alterna-tive, individual investigators requesting NIH funds for projects involving recomblaant DNA research would bring plans for proposed experiments to an NIH committee that would, without the use of formal guidelines, recommend suitable containment measures. Depending on the criteria used by the committee, this might result in lower or higher containment levels than are currently imposed by the Guidelines. The advantages of such a procedure would include constant reevaluation of potential hazards and containment measures, and up-to-date in-formation for investigators. The disadvantages include the enormous time and resources required for review, given the size of the biological research enterprise in the United States, the problem of finding knowledgeable individuals to serve on such a committee—essentially a full-time occupation—the opportunity for arbitrary decisions, and the bypass-ing of local input in assessment of hazards.

It should be pointed out that under the present NIH Guidelines, local institu-tional biohazards committees must consider proposed research projects on an individuals basis and may impose more

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 176-THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 1976

by the Guidelines. The judgments of the investigator and his local committee will be reevaluated by the NIH Study Section reviewing the scientific merit of the proposal.

E. GENERAL FEDERAL REGULATION OF ALL SUCH RESEARCH

The NIH Guidelines control only recombinant DNA research supported by NIH. Nevertheless, NIH has assumed a real responsibility to work toward the promulgation of safety measures for all such research. Nationally, NIH has con-ducted and is continuing to conduct meetings with representatives of other Federal agencies and of private industry. In the case of the Federal Government, consideration is being given to the im-position of the Guidelines either by individual agency adoption or through an Executive Order. Non-Federal groups have indicated that they will voluntarily comply with reasonable guidelines de-signed to be applicable to their specific needs.

From the international standpoint, the NIH has been in communication with relevant national bodies, the World Health Organization, the European Mo-lecular Biology Organization, and the international Council of Scientific Unions among others, to encourage the widest possible application of the Guidelines.

variety of administrative mechanisms could be employed to regulate re-combinant DNA research. Relevant agencies are the Center for Disease Con-Agencies are the Center for Disease Con-trol (CDC), including the National In-stitute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), or the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, De-partment of Labor (OSHA). For example NIH could petition OSHA to enforce and monitor such research through its standard procedures. If OSHA concurred, the adopted guidelines could be extended to all facilities under OSHA's responsibility.

Legislation could be passed to impose procedures and specify containment for recombinant DNA experiments. Specific guidelines, as well as appropriate en-forcement mechanisms and penalties, could be established as statute. The ad vantages of this approach would include uniformity in coverage and process. The disadvantages include the need for establishment of a new administrative mech-anism and consequent costs, the long time generally required for enactment of legislation, and the relative inflexibility of law. Flexibility is desirable because presently recommended containment procedures will surely require timely revision as knowledge and experience are accumulated.

A body like the National Commission on the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research could be legislatively established. It should be noted that a bill (S. 2515) currently under consideration in the Congress would assign responsibility for consideration of recombinant DNA experiments to a permanent President's Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Re-

stringent safeguards than those required search. A real concern would be the inability of a group with such a broad man-date to deal effectively with the highly specialized subject of recombinant DNA research.

REFERENCES ::

(1) Millarkey, M. F. J. F. Hruska and K. K. Milarsey, M. F. Jr. Hrusse Bill X. L. Takemoto (1974). Comparison of Human Papova Viruses With Simian Virus 40. J. Virol. 13:1014-1019.
 Shah, X. and N. Nathanson (1976).

(2) SDAL, K. and N. Nathanson (1976). Human Exposure to SV40: Review and Com-ment. A resource document for the meeting on Recombinant DNA molecules, Asilomar Conference Center, Fobruary 24-38, 1975. чı

VII ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE GUIDELINES

A. IMPACT OF ISSUANCE OF NIH GUIDELINES

The primary impact of issuance of the Guidelines is to provide a mechanism for the protection of the laboratory worker, the general public, and the environment from the possible hazards that might result from recombinant DNA molecule resuit its research. These hazards are purely specu-lative at present; the speculations may prove to be wrong. Nevertheless the Guidelines take cognizance of the possi-bility of dangers to the laboratory worker, other persons and the environment posed by the emergency research tech-nology involving recombinant DNA mole-cules, and call for a number of measures aimed at reducing or eliminating human and environmental exposure to materials containing recombinant DNA molecules, in case they should prove hazardous. The Guidelines govern only work supported by the NIH, including NIH supported research at various institutions (grants and contracts) and research carried out within NIH intramural laboratories.

With regard to the anticipated but speculative benefits of recombinant DNA research, adherence to the Guidelines may postpone their realization. Certain may postpone their realization. Certain experiments are prohibited; many per-missible experiments will be delayed pending availability of suitable contain-ment facilities and certification of ap-propriate hosts and vectors.

1. Impact on the safety of laboratory personnel and on the spread of possibly hazardous agents by infected laboratory personnel. The NIH Guidelines are directly concerned with reducing and elim-inating exposures of laboratory personnel and all other persons to host cells and microorganisms containing recombinant DNA molecules. Because laboratory personnel would be the chief source of infection of other people, protection of personnel is of primary importance. Lack of knowledge about the real risks of such molecules makes it impossible to determine either the nature of the hazards or the extent to which laboratory personnel are endangered by exposures to the ma-terials. Nevertheless present understand-ing of blology permits a ranking of the possible risks that may be associated with a given experiment.

Four levels of possible risk have been stablished : minimal, low, moderate, and high, Protection of personnel from minimal risk materials is provided by ordinary microbiological techniques. Since

these procedures are generally performed on the open bench, exposures may occur. The avoidance of harmful effects depends more on the exceedingly low potential of these materials to cause a harmful infection than of the elimination of potential exposures. Potential harmful effects would require exposure to large numbers of organisms, e.g., due to accidental ingestion by poor pipetting techniques or self-inoculation by needle and syringe). Such exposures should be prevented by adherence to practices recommended for this risk level.

The safety of personnel handling ma-terials of minimal risk in the prescribed manner is supported by the absence of any documented laboratory-acquired bacterial or viral infections involving known human etiologic agents that are customarily handled in the same fash-ion---i.e., CDC class 1 agents (see Glossarn).

The protection of personnel from potential dangers associated with low- and moderate-risk materials is provided by a greater reliance on physical barriers separating the laboratory personnel from the experimental process as well as on safe microbiological practices. Acci-dental exposure by ingestion would be prevented by the adherence to the re-quired use of mechanical pipetting for low, and medanical the safe of th quired use of mechanical pipeting for low- and moderate-risk materials. Po-tential exposure to low-risk materials through aerosols is reduced by the re-quirement that all processes that pro-duce significant aerosols are to be confined to biological safety cabinets. Potential exposure to moderate-risk ma-terials through aerosols is further reduced by the requirement to contain all duced by the requirement to contain an processes that produce any aerosol. The use of Class I and Class II biological safety cabinets that comply with the standards specified in the Guidelines can reduce the potential exposure by a factor of 10,000 (1). Potential exposures of laboratory personnel not involved in these experiments are further controlled by the specified laboratory access pro-cedures. These measures do not provide absolute protection from exposures, and absolute protection from exposures, and the required primary barriers can be compromised by lack of attention to technique, poor placement of equipment, and human error. Experience demon-strates that the use of these measures reduces but does not concerning the other reduces but does not prevent the poten-tial for laboratory-acquired infections with relatively infectious agents such as class 2 and class 3 agents. The nature of the harmful effects from

exposures to low- and moderate-risk re-combinant DNA materials cannot be determined. However, the ability for these materials to cause disease or injury, should they be hazardous can be esti-mated by comparison of their infectivity with that of known class 2 and class 3 agents. The requirement that recipient bacterial cells be class 1 agents (no or minimal risk) and that animal virus ve tors be similarly low risk agents (in the absence of recombined DNA) reduces the likelihood that they will have the infec-tious properties of class 2 or 3 agents upon insertion of foreign DNA.

Recombinant DNA experiments asseesed to have high-risk potential require special precautions designed to prevent exposures, as specified in the Guidelines. All such experimental procedures are re-quired to be surrounded by absolute primary barriers that are gas-tight. Thes are barriers that buyscally solate the experimental process from the laboratory worker. Research is conducted within these barriers through attached gloves. Materials are not removed from the barriers until they have been sterilized or put into hermetically sealed containers, which are then surface sterilized.

dates a serie

which are then sufface sterilized. Experience with class 3 and 4 human ethologic agents demonstrates that the absolute primary barriers can be oper-ated without exposure of the operators under standardized procedures, employ-ing stable, well trained and well-disci-plined personnel (2). This conclusion is based on those data in reference 2 that refer to the experience of recent years; the earlier experience is less relevant be-cause of important recent developments in the design and availability of contain-In the design and availability of contain-ment equipment. The procedures for combining segments of DNA and insert-ing them into recipient cells can be standardized, and the Guidelines require that research personnel be well trained and producent in the necessary opera-tion of the second second second second the producent in the necessary operational practices. Inspection and certifica-tion of all high-risk research facilities by NIH personnel provide additional assurances that these requirements will be met

Thus, potentially harmful effects from research with high risk recombinant DNA molecules should be extremely unlikely given strict adherence to the NIH Guidelines.

Insofar as research sponsored by NIH Insofar as research sponsored by NHL is concerned, potentially harmful effects from experiments judged to present the possibility of very severe hazard should be prevented completely since those ex-periments are prohibited. 2. Impact on the environmental spread of possible hazardons agents. The NHE guidelines are directly concerned with preventing the release of cells and micro-organisms containing recombinant DNA molecules, or the release of morphysical

molecules, or the release of recombinant DNA molecules themselves, into the environment, thus preventing potential ex-posures of humans, other animals and plant communities.

The Guidelines require decontamina-tion of all liquid and solid wastes genboil of the neutral neutrals, on high-risk experiments. As the potential risk of these materials increases $(low \rightarrow high)$, further measures are required to increase the certainty of containment. The Califording recommend the departmentation Guidelines recommend the decontamina-tion of no- or minimal-risk materials before their disposal to the environment.

This is standard microbiological practice. The Guidelines prohibit the release of contaminated air under ordinary conditions. Procedures involving low- and moderate-risk materials that may produce aerosols are confined to primary barriers. Contaminants in the exhaust air from these barriers are removed by filtration.

τ

NOTICES

The potential for accidental release of ecombinant DNA materials into the atmosphere, however, increases with deatmosphere, however, increases with de-creasing containment requirements (moderate -> minimal). Harmful sec-ondary effects from such accidental re-Ondary effects from such accidental re-lease of minimal-, low-, or moderate-risk materials are exceedingly remote. An analysis of 36 reported laboratory-ac-quired micro-epidemics in the period 1925-1975 involving over 1,000 infections with class 2, class 3, and class 4 human with class 2, class 3, and class 4 human etiologic agents demonstrated no infec-tions among persons who were never in the laboratory building or who were not associated in some way with the labora-tory (2). Almost all of these outbreaks occurred in the absence of genuine efforts to control contaminated air. liquid

to control containing of a laundry. Any potential release of high-risk ma-terials to the environment should be pre-vented by adhterence to the NHK Guide-lines. All high-risk materials are required lines. All high-fisk måtebals afte required to be isolated in physically contained, ab-solute primary barriers. All effluents from these barriers are sterlikzed, The bar-riers themselves are located in maxi-num-security facilities, which are pro-vided with additional barriers to prevent our coefficient where Adv fort areas any accidental release. Air locks, nega-tive air pressure, clothes-change rooms, filtration and incineration of all air ex-hausted from the facility, and the sec-ondary sterilization of all liquid and solid wastes, provide additional protection to the environment. The NIH Guidelines also define re-

The NIH Gudelines also define re-quirements for protecting the environ-ment from potential dangers that may be associated with the shipment of recom-binant DNA materials. Federal packag-ing standards appropriate for the ship-ment of class 4 human etiologic agents are required for the shipment of all re-combinent materials. combinant materials.

3. Cost impact. The direct cost impact of the NIH guidelines is the cost of comwill vary according to the level of poten-tial risk of the research. There are no special facility requirements for work with minimal- and low-risk recombinant DNA materials (P1 and P2). There are equipment requirements for work involving low-risk recombinant DNA materials ing low-risk recombinant DNA materials that will involve ititle cost impact. Low-risk research requires a biological safety cabinet for procedures that may produce significant aerosols and an autoclave for sterilizing waste materials. These items of equipment, however, are generally available within the existing facilities where such research is being conducted. The cost impact of the NIH guidelines on minimal- and low-risk research is therefore not significant.

Special equipment and facility requirements are specified for moderate-risk recombinant DNA research (P3). All work communant DNA research (73). All work at this level of potential risk is to be conducted within biological safety cabi-nets (Class I or II). This requirement will necessitate the acquisition of many additional cabinets, the number being dependent on the scope of the research effort. It is estimated that one cabinet will be required for every three persons

involved in the research. The cost of each

cabinet is approximately \$5,000. Directional air flow, single-pass ven-tilation, and provisions for ensuring restricted access are facility requirements specified for moderate risk (P3) recom-binant DNA research. While many new facilities (those constructed in the last decade) have been constructed with this accade) nave been constructed with this capability, few older facilities can provide this capability, without extensive renova-tion. Creating adequate access control by construction of architectural barriers (e.g., air. locks, double-door alcove, etc.) is not expensive. However, the cast of renovation of air-handling systems to pro-vide for single-pass, directional air flow may prevent some institutions from con-ducting moderate-risk research. It has been estimated that installation of airhandling systems that comply with the NIH Guidelines would cost approximately \$200 per square foot of space serviced by the system

The NIH Guidelines require that highrisk (P4) research involving recombinant DNA materials be conducted only in class III biological safety cabinets (glove boxes) that are installed in maximum socurity facilities. Fewer than 30 facil-ties within the United States have the potential for meeting the requirements specified in the Guidelines for such facil-ties. A smaller number may actually be available for this research. It is estiavailable for this research. It is esti-mated that approximately \$750.000 would be required to construct and equip a maximum-security facility having two 10-foot by 20-foot laboratory modules with class III cabinetry. This great cost is due to sophisticated mechanical sup-port systems (e.g., negative pressure, ex-haust air filtration, air waste treatment lant) and architectural beriem (e.g. hauts air filtration, air waste treatment plant) and architectural barriers (e.g., clothes-change rooms, air locks, waste-stasting arceas, and monolithic walls, floors, and cellings). The cost of class III cabinetry installed is approximately \$3000 per linear foot. In addition, the cabinetry line and the facility each re-quire a double-door autoclave, costing a minimum of \$15,000 and \$65,000 respec-tively. tively.

4. Secondary impacts. There are three econdary impacts which further provide for environmental protection. 1.e., reduce the potential risk to the environ-ment from recombinant DNA research;

ment from recombinant DNA research: a. Limited maximum-security contain-ment capability. The small number of facilities available to support high-risk research greatly restricts the number of such experiments that can be conducted. The reduction in the number of experi-ments minimizes the probability of acci-dental exposure of laboratory workers and subsecuent secondary environmental and subsequent secondary environmental impacts.

b. Safety awareness. The safe performance of biomedical research is dependent on an awareness of the risks and the safeguards required to control the risks. Issuance of the NIH Guidelines should strengthen safety performance in gen-eral by providing safety information and increasing the awareness of the laboratory worker to the potential hazards associated with biomedical research.

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 176-THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 1976

c. Early recognition of potential hazards. The Guidelines require that the principal investigator notify NIH of any serious or extended filness or accident that may result in serious exposure to man or to the environment. This moniman or to the environment. Anis moni-toring procedure will provide an early warning of possible unforessen hazard. For example, if a laboratory infection from exposure to a recombinant DNA molecule is confirmed, indicating a real hazard, an increase in safeguards or ces-nerginary of the instance on the required to sation of experiments can be required to minimize the hazard to other investigators conducing similar studies. This upgrading will also reduce any potential for environmental effects.

B. IMPACT OF EXPERIMENTS CONDUCTED UNDER THE GUIDELINES

1. Possible undesirable impact-a. Dispersion of potentially hazardous agents. The hypothetical mechanisms by which insertion of foreign genes into cells or viruses might result in the formation of hazardous agents are de-scribed in Section IV-C. There is, as stated before, no known instance in which a hazardous agent has been created by recombinant DNA technology. Current knowledge permits no more than speculation that such agents may be produced and an equally speculative assessment of the nature and extent of hazards that may follow upon a particulr recombinant DNA experiment. This is the underlying reason that the thrust of the Guidelines is to minimize contact of organisms con-taining recombinant DNA with other organisms or the environment. Therefore the following analysis of possible un-desirable impacts due to dispersion of potentially hazardous agents emphasizes the likelihood of significant dispersion rather than the nature of the hazard itself. The analysis given does not apply in detail to all the possible situations, but can serve as a model for analyzing different situations.

different situations. In order that any potential hazard be realized, it is necessary that each of a number of sequencial events occur. Each event in the sequence is possible only if the earlier events have occurred. The organism must

Contain foreign genes,

(a) Contain foreign genes.(b) Escape from the experimental situa-

tion. (C)

 (c) Survive after escape,
 (d) Become established in an environment permitting its growth and multiplication,

(e) Contact other living organisms in a (a) Contact other living organisms in a significant manner, including contact by a sufficient number of organisms to ensure sur-vival and growth and to cause infection. (Note that the environment in (d) may be a living organism itself).

In those cases where the detrimental effect results from the formation of a harmful protein, the organism containing the recombinant DNA must

(f) Contain a gene for a potentially harmtui protein,

(g) Be able to express the foreign genethat is, synthesize the foreign protein

(b) Synthesize the protein in sufficient quantity to be deleterious to the infected organism.

In those cases where the foreign DNA itself may be the cause of undesirable effects, another set of events must be considered. In the case where the foreign DNA increases the pathogenicity of the initial host cell or virus, the inserted DNA must-

Impart a selective advantage for growth to the carrier of the recombinant DNA as compared with the original cell or virus.
 Alter the metabolism of the carrier so

that it becomes disease producing.

In the case where the foreign DNA causes undestrable effects by virtue of its transfer out of the original recipient and reinsertion into cells of another species, the DNA must

(k) Leave the original recipient without being destroyed, (l) Survivo transfer to another cell, (m) Become associated with the other cell in a stable manner, ether as an independ-ent element or by natural recombination.

For example, in a hypothetical experiment classified as low-risk and carried out according to the requirements of the Guidelines, events (a) through (h) might be required to yield a hazardous situa-tion. Available data might permit assigntion. Available data might permit assign-ment of probabilities of : 1 for (a); of 10⁻⁴ (1 in 100) for (b); of 10⁻⁴ (1 in 10,-000) for (c); and of 10⁻⁴ (1 in a mil-lion) for (d). Lack of any pertinent knowledge concerning events (e) through (b) would make assignment of makehulf (h) would make assignment of probabilities impossible. Even assuming a probability of one for each event (e) through (h), the overall probability of a deleterisk in this hypothetical situation would then be the product of all probabilities (a) through (h), namely 10⁻² (one in a trillion). This probability then needs to be compared with the number of organisms grown for the experiment. Typically, bacteria are grown in liquid mixtures to a concentration of between 10⁶ (h) would make assignment of probabilito a concentration of between 10 ture and 10" organisms per ml. The probabil-ity will also need to be corrected for the length of time over which the experiment is to be conducted. In reality, it may frequently be difficult to assess the relevant probabilities.

It is currently impossible to assign specific probabilities for many experi-ments, although crude estimates can often be made from current knowledge of laboratory-acquired infections, from prototype experiments set up to measure bacterial or viral escape (4), and from knowledge concerning the stability of or-ganisms and DNA. NIH is currently sup-porting research designed to improve the ability to evaluate certain of these probabilities.

b. Other considerations. The foregoing descriptions of the kinds of possibly hazardous situations that might arise from organisms obtained through recom-binant DNA experiments must be considered in the light of certain more general issues.

 Monitoring for release of orga-nisms containing recombined DNA. Control of the spread of any agent outside of an experimental situation to laboratory workers or the outside environment is greatly assisted by adequate means for

monitoring the agent in question. A per-tinent example is the monitoring for spil-lage and spread of radioisotopes. The presence of radioisotopes is readily measured, and the exposure of laboratory personnel or the environment to radiation can be quantified. The situation is fundamentally different in the case-of organisms or viruses containing recombined nisms or viruses containing recombined DNA. No simple general procedure exists for identifying an organism released from the laboratory against the large background level of related and un-related organisms occurring naturally. It is possible, however, to device special

pertinent procedures for detection of some of the agents used in recombinant DNA experiments. For example, developpertinent ment of bacterial strains, phages, or plasmids carrying readily detectable genetic traits would enable the monitordetectable genetic traits would enable the monitor-ing of laboratory personnel, people work-ing in the area, and their families for the presence of those agents. This would be analogous to the examination of drink-ing water, lakes, etc., for fecal contami-nation with enteric organisms. Detection in such instances could be at levels as low as 10⁻³ (1 part in 10,000,000). The adequacy of such screening is not pres-ently known ently known.

Given the nature of the series of events that might characterize a hazardous situation, the time factors involved in those events become relevant. Certain possible types of organisms containing recombinant DNA might, if they escaped and if they were hazardous, be immediand it they were insections, be immedi-ately perceived as such—e.g., production of toxic foreign proteins. We might therefore be aware of the potential prob-lem soon after dispersal of the organism, and reasonable means for minimizing further dispersal could be undertaken. In other instances-e.g., a cancer-pro In other instances -c.s., a cancer pro-ducing DNA fragment evidence of harmful effects might not be apparent for many years. The connection between the causative organisms and the ob-served harmful effects could be difficult to establish. Further, dispersal of the hazardous agent might then be so widespread as to make control difficult or impossible.

(2) Natural occurrence of DNA re-(2) Adduct occurrence of Dira re-combination between unrelated orga-nisms. Concern over the potential for hazard in organisms -containing re-combined DNA develops from the central idea that such recombinants will be unique types of organisms, not normally arising in nature, and that their propwill therefore be unknown and dictable. Natural environments erties unpredictable. provide many opportunities for recombi-nation of DNA between unrelated species. as for example, in the intestines of ani-mals. Whether, or at what frequency, such recombinations may occur is not known at present, but it is probably low given the very low extent of shared base sequences that can be detected in DNAs derived from distantly related organisms. It would appear that naturally occurring interspecies recombinants, if they occur in nature, may have been selected against in evolution. However tests for shared base sequences are of limited sensitivity.

(3) Relative irreversibility of spread of organisms. Should organisms containing recombined DNA be dispersed into the recombined DNA be dispersed now are environment, they might, depending on their fitness relative to naturally occur-ing organisms, find a suitable ecological niche for their own reproduction, and a potentially dangerous organism could then multiply and possibly spread. Subsequent cessation of experiments would not stop the diffusion of the hazardous agent. While means to eradicate the organism might be found, as in the case of smallpox, it is also possible that such means will not be available, or that they will be available too late to prevent or stop untoward events.

As described earlier, the likelihood is that newly constructed organisms will be less fit than those occurring naturally

and therefore will disappear over time. 2. Beneficial impacts of recombinant DNA research. Section IV-C-2 describes DNA research: Section IV-C-2 describes the various anticipated benefits of re-combinant DNA research. As with the possible inzards, many of the proposed benefits are speculative. Assessment of the likelihood that they will be realized will depend on information acquired from future experimentation. For example, assessment of the category of anticipated benefits that depends on the anticipated benefits that depends on the synthesis of eukaryote proteins in prokaryote cells (see IV-C-1-b) awaits additional data on the expression of the realized, if may be expected that the cost excent on the expression of the self and the self that the excent of the expected that the cost of manufacturing certain clinically im-portant proteins can be markedly de-creased. Other clinically important proteins that are either in short supply (e.g. human growth hormone) or unobtainable by existing techniques may be made readily available. Innovative approaches to immunization against infectious dis-

to infinitization against infectious offs-eases can also be expected. Some of the indicted benefits appear certain. These are the benefits to be derived from an increased understanding of both basic biological processes and the mechanisms underlying a variety of disease states

Application of the restrictions imposed by the Guidelines will retard progress toward the realization of the possible benefits. In addition to the prohibitions on certain experiments, there are many permissible experiments which will need to be postponed until the requirements in the Guidelines can be met. The ac-guisition and installation of P3 facilities requires adequate funds, extensive plan-ning and installation. P4 facilities are limited in number. Experiments that reouire hosts and vectors with demonstrably limited ability to survive in natural by limited ability to survive in natural environments must await development of appropriate hosts and vectors, their test-ing, and finally their certification by the NIIH Recombinant Advisory Committee. Time will also be required for the various review processes that are required.

REFERENCES

(1) Chatigny, M. A., W. E. Barkley and W. Vogl (1974). Acrosol Biohazard in Microbio-logical Laboratories and Hoto It 1s Affected by Afr Conditioning Systems. Am. Soc. Heat. Rol. Aircond. Engr. 30:Part 1.

NOTICES

(2) Wedum, A. G. (1976). The Detrick Ex-perience As a Guide to the Probable Efficacy of P4 Microbiological Containment Pacilities for Studies on Microbial Recombinant DNA Facilities. Unpublished Roport to the Na-

Facilities, Unpublished Roport to the National Cancer Institute, (3) Failcow, S. (1975), Unpublished experi-ments quoted in: Appendix D of the Report of the Organizing Gommittee of the Asilomor Conference on Recombinant DNA Moleculas (P. Berg, D. Baltimore, S. Brenner, B. O. Rob-lin and M. Singer, eds.). Submittee to the National Academy of Sciences. (4) Dintic, R. L., W. Vogil and Chatiguy, M. A. (1973), Potential for Accidental Micro-bial Aerosof Transmission in the Biological Laboratory (1n) Biohazards in Biological Laboratory, (and Spring Harbor Laboratory, N.Y.

APPENDIX A

GLOSSART

 Aerosol: A colloid of liquid or solid par-tioles suspended in a gas, usually air.
 Antibody: A protein which is formed in the body as a result of the incoulation of an antigen.

3. Antigen: A substance which when in-jected into an animal causes the formation antibodi

or animodies. 4. Autoclave: An apparatus for effecting, sterilization by steam under pressure. It is fitted with a gauge that automatically regu-lates the pressure, and therefore the degree of heat to which the contents are subjected.

5. Bacteriophage: A virus that infects only 6. Bid; Bureaus, Institutes, and Divisions

7. Bichazard; A contraction of the words

7. MonReard: A contraction of the works biological hazard; infectious agents present-ing a risk or potential risk to the well-being of man, or other animals, either directly through infection or indirectly through dis-ruption of the environment.

8. Biohazardous Agent: Any microbial unit capable or potentially capable of presenting a biohazard.

a biohaszard. 9. Biohaszard Arca: Any arca (a complete operating complex, a single facility, a single room within a facility, sic, in which work has been, or is being performed with biohas-arctous agents or materials. 10. Biohaszard Control: Any set of equip-ment and procedures utilized to provent or minimize the topicsure of mas and his th-relations to biohaszardous agents or mate-rials. riala.

17. Biohazardous Material: Any substance which contains or potentially contains blo-

I.2. Blowaste: Liquid wastes from biological research procedures.
 13. CDC: Center for Disease Control, United States Public Health Service, Atlanta,

United States Public Health Service, Atlanta, Georgia. 14. CDC Classification of skiologic agents on the basis of hazard: A system for evaluat-ing the hazards associated with various etiologic agents and definition of minimal safety conditions for their management in microbiological investigations. The basis for Agent Classification is as follows:

Agent Classification is as follows: Class 1: Agents or no or minimal hazard under ordinary conditions of handling. Class 2: Agents of ordinary potential hazard. This class includes agents which may produce disease of varying degrees of severity from accidental inoculation or injection or other means of cutaneous genetration but which are contained by ordinary laboratory headbulges. hniques.

Class 3: Agents involving special hazard or agents derived from outside the United States which require a federal permit for importation unless they are specified for higher classification. This class includes

pathogens which require special conditions for containment

Class 4: Agents that require the most stringont conditions for their containment because they are extremely hazardous to laboratory personnel or may cause serious epidemic discase. This class includes Class 3 agents from outside the United States when they are employed in entomological experi-ments or when other entomological experi-ments are conducted in the same laboratory

ments are conduived in the senter investment area. Class 5: Foreign animal pathogens that are excluded from the Unito States by law or whose entry is restricted by USDA adminis-trative polor. Norr: Federally leensed vaccines contain-the infinite or structures are not subject

trative policy. Nors: Federally licensed vaccines contain-ing live insteria or viruses are not subject to these classifications. These classifications are applicable, however, to cultures of the strains used for vaccine production, or fur-ther passages of the vaccine strains. 15. Class I biological safety cabinet: A ventilated cabinet for personnal protection of at any aroment crossing the strains and other strains used on the strain strains and change and the strain strains and the strains used on the strain strains and other strains are strain strains and the strains are in filtered through a high effi-cement of the strain strain strain strains of the strain strain strain strain strains and the strain strain strain strain strains and product protection is required. 16. Class II biological safety cabinet: A non-there and the strain strain strain protection with mass restrainated strains with HEPA filtered exhaust and HEPA filtered recirculated air. This cabinet can be used for yourd, with a distrain strain strains, the post of a constraint strains. 17. Chaos III biological safety cabinet: strain ses-distributed strains and hePA strains.

plosive and finamable substances, toxic agents, or radioscitye materials. 17. Ciases III biological safety cabinet: A gas-tight cabinet providing tokal isolation for personnel and product protection with a HEPA-filtered air supply and a HEPA-filtered exhaust. The cabinet is fitted with gloves and is maintained under negative air pressure. This cabinet provides the highest contain-ment relighting high-basard risk agents 18. Cloner, A population of child active by cell in the population of child active by cell in the population of child active by cell in the population of child active by cell in the population of child active by cell in the population of child active by cell in the population is presumed to be genetically identical. In recombinant DNA re-earch, every cell in a clone contains the same recombinent DNA species. 19. Coding sequence: The orderly array of codous which are subunits of a gens. 20. Chromosome: One or more small red-enheped body(s) in the nucleus of a sell that contains genesic information for that cell. A collection of genes.

plex substance of which genes are composed. 22. Effluent: A liquid or gas flowing from

Enumeries a sequence of the structure of the

25. Etiologic agent: A viable m'croorga-niem or its toxin which causes, or may cause, human disease

26: Eukaryotic cell: A cell that contains a nucleus with a nuclear membrane surround-ing multiple chromosomes; also contains ex-

ing multiple chromosomes, and contains ex-tranuclear organelies. 27. Gene: The smallest portion of a chrom-coome that contains the hereditary informa-tion for the production of a protein.

28. Genetic engineering: Directed interention with the content and/or organization of an organism's genetic complement.

29. Genome: The complete set of hereditary information in a cell as the chromosomes in

a exkarpede or the single chromosome in a protarpede, no. HEFA filter: (High Efficiency Particu-no. Ary type filter with a particle removal un, dry type filter with a particle removal conductory of no less than 00.97% for 0.3um matrician

containing yor no jess that aways to or animal period.
containing yor no jess that aways no or animal period.
containing the sector contained and the sector period of the

4. Flasmid: A genetic element outside of the cromosome that is capable of replicating independently of the chromosome. 42. Polymer: A large molecule composed of simpler repeating units, DNA is a polymer composed of mucheotides, while starch and elimitee are polymeric composed of support definitions are polymeric composed of support definitions are polymeric and service as rockaryotic organism or Prokaryote: Colla of buckets or blue gene single which are characterized as being raise small having a single chromosome that is not en-tored by a multipar membrane, and lacking in prevention.

organizitian 44. Restriction endonuclease: An enzyme expanse of breaking DNA as specific sites: The action of the enzyme is mulque in that "stoky" ends are formed which can join with other fragments of DNA to form a recombi-nent DNA molecule. In ustare, those ba-terial enzymes restrict invasion of foreign nova

An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An enzyma
An e

3.4. (A) Control of the second sec

50. Yinbie: Lifeishir, "esphale of He." Generally noise to the abulg of microbia cells to grow and multiply as solidoride by for esample, chromoting of culture conditions in exhibit under noise of a culture conditions be visible under solidore set, making it set transf important to cleake precisely the conditions used for determining riability

NOTICES

APPENDER B

Aidenson, T. (1987). Induction of Geneti-cally Recombinant Chromosomes in the Ab-sence of Induced Mutation. Nature 315:1281-SUGGESTED REFERENCES FOR ADDITIONAL READING

Basu, S. Z. et al. (1978). Factor Which Af-pert the Mode of Genetic Recombination Berg, P. et al. (1976). Factor Which Af-Berg, P. et al. (1976). Factor Which Af-Berg, P. et al. (1976). Constitut Facerda of an an Recombinant DNA Molecular (stern). Act Berg, P. et al. (1976). Constitut Sterner Berg, P. et al. (1976). Constitut Sterner Berg, P. et al. (1976). Constitut Sterner Berg, P. et al. (1976). Constitut Sterner Direct Strategies and Conference on Recombination Direct Strategies and Responsibility Ass. None 342. Direct Strategies and Responsibility Ass. None 342. Direct Strategies and Responsibility Ass. None 342. Direct Strategies and Responsibility Ass. None 342. Direct Strategies and Responsibility Ass. None 342. Control of Recombination-Defends Strategies of Routh of Recombination Ported and Const. A. J. (1971). Toward a Methodold In Contex, A. J. (1971). Toward a Methodold In Contex, A. J. (1971). Toward a Methodold In Contex, A. J. (1974). Frogress Toward Contex, A. J. (1974). Frogress Toward Contex, Recombination of Rest Contex, Recombination of Const. Contex, A. J. (1974). Frogress Toward Contex, R. J. (1974). J. Response Toward Contex, Recombination of Const. Strate Strategies J. Contex, Strategies J. Contex, Response Toward Contex, Recombination of Const. Strates Recombination (Strategies J. Contex). Strates Recombination (Becombination Contex). Strates Recombination (Becombination Contex). Strates Recombination (Becombination Contex). Strates Recombination (Becombination Contex). Strates Recombination (Becombination Contex). Strates Recombination (Becombination Contex). Strates Recombination (Becombination Contex). Strates Recombination (Becombination Contex). Strates Recombination (Becombination Contex). Strates Recombination (Becombination Contex). Strates Recombination (Becombination Contex). Strates Recombination (Becombination Contex). Stra

Torieto, J., V. (1974). Molecular Biologists
 Cui Jor Temporry Ran on DNA Experimental Torieto, 20, Information Diversity Revealed State (1988). Statemo 24538.
 Statemo 24538.
 Statemo 24, State Constitution, 1989.
 Statemo 24, State Constemo 24, State Constitution, 1989.
 Statemo 24, Stat

REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 176-

-THURSDAY,

SEPTEMBER

.0

1976

FEDERAL

Marr, J. L. (1973). Restriction Enzymes: (Her. Toos. for Studying DIM., Educate Ideo (Her.).
 McCahon, D. et al. (1978). Use of Recom- phenoism is the Production of Influenza Fac- construction of Influenza

A. Kockin, L. C. (1997). Marker Specific Effects (91-4).
 A. Kockin, L. C. (1997). Recombination and C. Extension. Structure in Eukaryoten Genet.
 C. Extension. Structure in Eukaryoten Genet.
 C. Extension. Structure in Eukaryoten Genet.
 C. Extension. Structure in Eukaryoten Genet.
 C. Extension. Structure in Eukaryoten Genet.
 S. K. Genet. Structure in Eukaryoten Genet.
 S. K. Genet. Structure in Eukaryoten Genet.
 S. Structure of Eukaryoten Genet.
 S. Structure of Eukaryoten.
 S. Structure of S. Structure and Track Section on Structure and Track Genetics.
 S. Structure.
 S. Stook Edvore the Logn. Statema 17:84. Genetics.
 S. Look Edvore the Logn. Statema 17:84. Genetics.
 S. Stook Edvore the Logn. Statema 17:84. Genetics.
 S. Look Edvore the Logn. Science 19:2:268-

Walmaber, R. J. (1969). The General The-ory of Marphyle Functions for Random Ge-neric Recombination. Bophym. J. 5421-51.
 Whitebour, B. L. (1979). The Recommendation of Genetic Recombination. Bool. Rev. 45:225-3.

Wood, T. H. (1887). Genetic Recombina-tion in R. Coll: Clone Hetrogenity and the Rinetics of Segregation. Science 157:319-21.

DOCUMENTS DESCRIPTING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE OUDELINES Appendix O

DEFARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFART, FURLIN HEALTH SERVICE, NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

June 18, 1976. NIH, Through: Director

To; Director, NIGMS, NIH.

From Recentive Secretary, Recombinant DY, Molecula Program, Advisory Committee Committee Secretary, Recombinant Distance TAR Advister (OSDA).
 The proposed structure and responsibilities to the Office of Recombinant DNA Advister (OSDA).
 The proposed structure and responsibilities to the office of the Office of Recombinant DNA Advisory of the Office of Recombinant to NA Advisory of the Office of Recombinant for Keplang the Office of the Director, NHI, (OD, NHI), and

partietutarý the Deputy Director for Bel-ton alkortand curacitants and the material pro-section and constraints and the material and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-stant and the material and the material and con-stant and the material and the material and con-stant and the material and the material and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-stant and the material and the material and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-dense and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-dense and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-dense and constraints and the material and con-dense and con-dense and constraints and the material and the dense and con-traints and the material and contraints and the dense and con-dense and con-dense and con-dense and con-dense and the material and contraints and the dense and the material and contraints and the dense and con-dense and con-dense and con-dense and con-dense and the material and the material and the dense and the material and the material and the dense and the material and the material and the dense and the material and the material and the dense and the material and the material and the dense and the material and the material and the dense and the materian the material and the dense and the material and the materia

I undertake the int-t of duidertake the int-aboratories through a of the appropriate of the appropriate of the appropriate (NIH Guide), NIH (Anual), Ot, There-manual, to, There-natho for distribut-nuting to requests by after, ORDA wi

NOTICES

Institutions and forestigators regarding NIIF set policies and provedures. Substantiation of the substantiation of the substantiation of the substantiation of the substantiation of the substantiation of the substantiation of the substantiation of the substantiation of the substantiant set of the substantiation of the substantiant set of the substantiant of the substantiant of the substantiant set
Birthorn and Sector are proposed in Appendix of B- WDA will be acures of information of the institutes and Dynamics, and their initial and institutes and Dynamics, and their initial and their institutes instorements and their initial instem 200 markets by continue of initian interactions of the properties of the proceedings of the makton. Because of the proceedings of initial and the initial information of the properties of the initial information of the proceeding of the proceeding of the initial initial information of the properties of the proceeding of the initial initial information of the properties of the proceeding of the markets initial information in the proceeding of the properties of the initial initial information in the proceeding of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the proceeding of the properties of the proper-ties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the proper-ties of the properties of the properties of the proper-ties of the properties of the properime of the properties of the propert of the pr

search and Development Administration have sent a representative to suveral of the meet-

The sector are representative to, several, of the meet-lings. The Neuropean statistical and thermatival forestription (201) with strangly to devolution protesticands and with private consultants predesticant storates classarial and interferent storates. The Security Science and Busans DNA technology. The Security Science interferent storates classarial and interferent storates classarial and interferent storates. The Security Science interferent storates classarial and storates. The storates classarial and storates. The storates classarial and storates. The storates classarial storates. The number of the storates class interferent storates are an interferent storates. The number of the storates class interferent storates are and storates. The number of the storates class interferent storates are an interferent storates. The number of the storates class interferent storates are and storates. The number of the storates of storates. The number of the storates of storates. The number of the storates of storates are and with incommution with storates are are and with information with storates are are also a storates of a stora-tes theorem storates are are also and storates. The storates of the storates of a stora-tes theorem storates are are also and with information with storates are are also an another are are also and storates are are also an advect in the storates of the storates are are also an advect in the storates of the storates are are also a storates of the storates of the storates are are also and with information with the storates are are also a storates of the storates of the storates are are also and all information and proves are also are also an advect are are proves and and are are also and all informations are are also and and are are also and all informations are are also and and are are also and all informations are are approximations are are also and all are apported to a storates are are also are are also and all are apported at a storates are are also are also and at apported and a prov

is memorandum and ranging and complex. attempt to describe (datar the Guidelinea ersial technology re-iy. I look forward to and procedures proposed its appendices are They are, howeves how NIH might i governing this co sponsibly and effe

hearing your comments and those staff on these proposals. You

WHLIAM J. GARTLAND, Jr., Ph. D.

AFFENDER A TO AFFENDER C ACCESSING, DEFENSE, AND MARACENENT OF ESTRAMUMA PROJECTS DIVOLVING RECOM-SEINANT DEA FEDERALOOX

III. Receipt of applications. Through the use of appropriate instrument (NIR Outle, NIR Manual, sec.), the NIR will in-form applicants of the necessity for assess-ing the physical and biological constitution required for the proposed experiments as adjuncted in the NIR guidelines. This assess-ment must be incorporated, into the applica-tion.

All applications requiresting support for All applications requiresting support for projects interview requiresting applications the series of the series of the series of the duogr will be require these applications properties on the series and suprements (NUVA) made a Creentant-the series of the series (NUVA) made a Creentant-the series of the series (NUVA) made a Creentant-the series of the series (NUVA) made a creentant-series and suprements (NUVA) made a creentant-series of the series of the series of the series DVA technology. This will eliminate the mean suppli-tation in accepted for review. The originals these documents will be placed in the original these documents will be placed in the original these documents will be placed in the original these documents will be placed in the original these documents will be placed in the origin and the placeting on the Math Sections. The security second is a completed for the security of the second by the second the second second the second by the second the second second the second by the second the second the second by the second the second the second by the second the second the second by the second the second the second by the second the second the second by the second the second the second by the second the second the second by the second the

RECOMBINANT DNA A MOLECULES -POTENTIAL

The executive serveteries are responsible for ensuring start the initial reverse propo-nates an independent sessentiation of the blo-logical and hyperbolic critical set of the proposed by the proposed asperiments, and for instatig in the proposed asperiments is read-tion as to whether the opportunities that as to whether the opportunities to as to whether the opportunities the local proposed by the interview opportunities the local set of the proposed of the set of the local time to the proposed of the set of the local proposed by the set of the set of the local proposed by the set of the set of the local proposed by the set of the set of the local proposed by the set of the set of the set of the local proposed by the set of the set of the set of the local proposed by the set of the set of the set of the local proposed by the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the proposed by the set of the set of the set of the set of the proposed by the set of the set of the set of the set of the proposed by the set of the set of the set of the set of the proposed by the set of the set of the set of the set of the proposed by the set of the set of the set of the set of the proposed by the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the proposed by the set of the set of the set of the set of the proposed by the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the proposed by the set of the set o

Ú.

stipulated in the XIII guidelines. If its pro-label containments public and the store of the much devide set proposed containment and the stores of the responsibility of the spilosters if the proposed containment and the proposed public the spiloster of the proposed public the responsibility of the set of the spiloster of the responsibility of the proposed public the responsibility of the proposed public the responsibility of the proposed public the responsibility of the proposed public the responsibility of the proposed public the responsibility of the proposed public the responsibility of the public the proposed public the responsibility of the Guiden set of human coperimonication index and the proposed public the responsibility of the proposed public the responsibility of the proposed public the responsibility of the proposed public the responsibility of the proposed public the responsibility of the proposed public the responsibility of the proposed public the responsibility of the proposed public the responsibility of the proposed public the responsibility of the proposed public the responsibility of the proposed public the responsibility of the spilotent the responsibility of the spilotent are the function of the responsibility of the spilotent are the function of the responsibility of the spilotent are the function of the responsibility of the spilotent are the function of the responsibility of the spilotent are the function of the responsibility of the spilotent are the function of the responsibility of the spilotent are the function of the responsibility of the spilotent are the function of the responsibility of the spilotent are the function of the responsibility of the spilotent are the function of the responsibility of the spilotent are the function of the responsibility of the spilotent are the function of the responsibility of the spilotent are the function of the responsibility of the function of the responsibility of the function of the responsing the the responsibility of the funct

YL. Assard of non-competing renerals and competing rule of an incommon problem of the competing rule of an incommon problem of the competing rule of an incommon problem. I under period of an incommon problem is configer period of an incommon problem incompeting rule be accompanied by an updated in configer period of an incommon problem of the incompeting rule be accompanied by an updated in configer period of this type fits program official in the searching component has the regulation for com-bility of refusering the opplication are set in properly executed. The program official was in the properly executed. The program official was the properly executed. The program official was the properly executed. The program official was the properly executed. The program official was the properly executed. The program official was the properly executed. The program official was the properly executed in the seturation of the ap-tical protection and the seturation of the ap-tical protection and the seturation of the ap-properly executed in the seturation of the ap-properly and the controversity of the ap-tical protection and the seturation of the ap-properly and the seturation of the ap-properly and the seturation of the ap-tical basis, and the controversity of the ap-properly and the seturation of the program of all BOB will be followed and BOB a controversity of and BOB will be followed and the properties and BOB will be followed and the properties and BOB will be followed and the properties and BOB will be followed and the properties of the and BOB will be followed and the properties of the and BOB will be followed and the properties of the and BOB will be followed and the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of t

and, ward, nearborned involving these is oligitation of an investigator proposed as the investigator proposed in the property second of an investigator proposed in the property second of an investigator property second of an investigator investation investigator investigator investigator investigator in

before containment conditions lower than the ones used to clone the DNA can be stopted, the investigator must obtain ap-proval from the granting agency. Such ap-

REGISTER ۶ò S 176-THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9,

proval would be contingent upon data con-cerning: (a) The absence of potentially harmful genes (e.g., sequences contained in narmitil genes (e.g., sequences commuter m indigenous tumor viruses or which code for toxic substances), (b) the relation between the recovered and desired segment (e.g., hy-bridgation and restriction endonuclease fragmentation analysis where applicable), and (c) maintenance of the biological properties of the vector.

erties of the vector. This schulekton for NIH approval may be one of the most difficult sections of the Guidelines to implement. This is because of the technical nature of the data to be eva-used, and because of the volume of requests which can be anticipated. Therefore, the fol-lowing proposed procedures are especially viewed as a fossibility trial.

viewed as a foasibility trial. An investigator who wishes to use lower levels of containment for characterized closes derived from shoriguin experiments must state, in writing, the justification for the request to the program official of the NLH awarding component. Such justification will provide data on (a), (b) and (c) as stated above. The program official will realm the original request in the award-to ORDA which will submit the request to the Recombinant Advisory Committee or to a subcommittee thereof for evaluation, or, if a precedent has been established, will if a precedent has been established, will make a decision independently. The decision will be forwarded to the program official who may appeal. The final decision rests with

the Deputy Director for Science, NIH. IX. Large-scale experiments. The Guide-lines state that:

Interstatic time: * * st his time large-scale experiments (e.g., more than 10 liters of culture) with re-combinant DNAs known to make harmful products are not to be carried out * * * However, specific experiments in this cate-gory may be exempted from this rule if spe-cial biological containment precations and equipment designed for large-scale opera-berimouts are expressly approved by the Ee-combinant DNA kholecule Program Advisory Committee. Committee

Committee. An investigator who wishes to conduct such experiments must submit a request, along with a properly occuried MUA and Cerlides-tion Statement from the institutional bio-heards committee, to the program entitlat of the NIH awarding component. The pro-gram official will retain the original request in the awarding component's file, and for-yeard copies to OEDA. ORDA will bring the request to the attention of the Recombinant Advisory Committee or subcommittees thereof, by mail, telephone, or presentation at the next meeting or, if a precedent has been established, will make a decision in-dependently. dependently.

APPENDIX B TO APPENDIX C

NIH INTRAMURAL RESEARCH

Because NIH intramural research projects errorses and intramural research projects are reviewed in a very different fashion than extramural projects, different procedures are applicable than those proposed in Appen-dix A.

aff A. A process the proposal in Appendix At present, the Chief of the Laboratory in which an investigator plans to utilize recombinant DNA tochnology requests ap-proval through the Scientific Director of the relevant BID to the Deputy Director for Science, NIH with copies to the Associate Director for Environmental Health and Safety, DRS. The request for approval is in the form of a draft Memorandum of Under-standing and Agreement (MUA) which de-soribes the type of experiment, nature of host-vector system, assessment of potential risk, proposed eafert measures, proposed risk, proposed eafety measures, proposed training of personnel, etc. The Deputy Direc-

 \mathbb{N}

tor for Science then requests the NIH Bio-hazards Committee to review the research plan and procedures proposed in the draft MUA. The recommendations of the NIH Biohazards Committee are forwarded to the Deputy Director for Science, NIH. Recom-mendations of the NIH Biohazards Commitmendations of the NIH Biohzards Commit-tee must be included in a final MUA, and the Associate Director for Environmental Health and Safety, DRS must certify that the safety measures included in the final NUA are available. The research cannot pro-ceed until the final MUA is fully approved. The original copy of the MUA is sont to the Associate Director for Environmental Health and Safety, DES with copies to the requesting investigator, the Laboratory Chief, the Scien-tific Director and the Executive Secretary of the NIH Biohazards Committee.

It is proposed here that a copy of the final MUA be forwarded to ORDA for review. Intal MUA De forwarded to ORDA for review. If ORDA does not concure with the recom-mendations of the NIH Biohazards Commit-tee, it may request the Deputy Diractor for Science, NIH to bring the matter to the attontion of the Executive Committee or the Recombinant Advisory Committee for resolu-

ORDA will assist the NIH Biohazarda Com-ORDA will assist the NIH Biohazards Com-nittee with problems relating to assessment of biological and physical containment levols proposed by investigators versus those re-quired by the Guidelines, with requests for characterized clones derived from shotgun experiments, and with requests for permission to do large-scale experiments with recom-SCRDA will ORDA down to make harmful products. ORDA will ORDA down to make harmful products Committee in periodic review in termination of MUAS. If ORDA down to concer with the decisions of the NIH Biohazards Committees in the may request the Deuty Director for it may request the Deputy Director for Science, NIH to bring the matter to the attention of the Executive Committee or the Recombinant Advisory Committee

APPENDIX C TO APPENDIX C

TRANSITION AND IMPLEMENTATION

The procedures proposed in Appendices A and B should be implemented as soon as possible. However, clearly there will be an interim period after the Guidelines are issued and before all the procedures are function-ing. It is the purpose of this Appendix to propose how the Office of Recombinant DNA Activities (ORDA) might initiate coordina-tion and gathering of information during this

I. Intramural research. ORDA will brief

I. Intramural research. ORDA will brief the Scientific Directors of the SIDs who will be expected to assure ORDA and the Deputy Director for Science, NIH of present scientists with the Guidelines. ORDA will request the Deputy Director for Science, NIH to provide a copy of the final MUA on all Intranural projects, utiliz-ing recomingant DNA technology, which are already in progress. After review of the MUAs, ORDA will report any concerns to the Deputy Director for Science, NIH. II. Estramural progress. ORDA will brief the Executive Committee for Extremunal Af-lairs on MIH policies and procedures.

the Executive Committee for Extramunal Af-fairs on NHH policies and procedures. BIDS will be required to report to ORDA all presents or planned workshops, training courses, conferences, etc., relating to recom-binant DNA technology. BIDs must also re-port all present or planned REPs and RFAS and the technology. BIDs must also re-port all present or planned REPs and RFAS that DNA technology and the second plant DNA technology and the second that the projects utilizing recom-history of the second or oncerns to the Deputy Director for Second contends of the Executive Committee.

With regard to active grants and contracts, BIDs will be required to submit to ORDA a copy of the application, summary state-

ment and award statement for each curment and sward statement for each eur-rently funded project involving recombinant DNA technology. NIH awarding components will be responsible for ensuring that this reporting is as complete as possible. BIDs will each a letter to investigators identified in the paragraph above to doter-mine whether active research projects are in compliance with the Guidelines. Responses

to this query will be retained in BID of-ficial files, and a copy will be forwarded to ORDA for review. If ORDA is satisfied that a ORDA for review. If ORDA is satisfied that a project is in compliance with the Guide-lines, no further action is required. If the investigator reports that the project is not in full compliance with the guidelines, those sapects of the project which are not in com-pliance will have to be terminated. However, institutions will have to be terminated. However, heating the sum have the copportunity its rest to permit continued use of characterized clones giready in existence and nontructed. the to permit continued use of characterized clones already in existence and constructed under Asilomar guidelines. Fresumably, the use of these clones will be permitted to con-tinue until the Recombinant Advisory Com-mittee or a subcommittee thereof, has ren-dered its opinion. The above recondures assume that all in-

dered its opinion. The above procedures assume that all in-vestigators are already at least in compli-ance with Asilomar guidelines, it projects are identified which appear not to be in com-pliance with Asilomar guidelines, they will be brought to the immediate eitention of the Deputy Director for Science, NTH and the Re-combinant Advisory Committee.

APPENDIX D

RECOMBINANT DNA RESEARCH Guidelines as published in the Feberal Register, Part II, July 7, 1976

On Wednesday, June 23, 1976, the Director, National Institutes of Health, with the con-currence of the Societary of Health, Educa-tion, and Welfarc, and the Assistant Secre-tary for Health, issued guidelines that will govern the conduct of NIH-supported re-search on recombinant DNA molecules. The senth on recombinant DNA molecules. NIH is also undertaking an environmental impact assessment of these guidelines for recombinant DNA research in accordance with the National Environmental Folicy Act

of 1969. The NIH Guidelines establish carefully controlled conditions for the conduct of experiments involving the production of such molecules and their insertion into organisms such as bacteria. These Guidelines replace such as bacteria. These Guidelines replace the recommendations contained in the 1975 "Summary Statement of the Aslience Con-ference on Recombinant DNA Molecules." The latter would have permitted research under less strict conditions than the NIH Guidelines.

The chronology leading to the present Guidelines is described in detail in the NIH Director's decision document that follows, In summary, scientists engaged in this research called, in 1974, for a moratorium on certain kinds of experiments until an international meeting could be convened to consider the potential hazards of recombinant DNA molecules. They also called upon the NIH to establish a committee to provide advice on recombinant DNA technology.

The international meeting was held at the Asilomar Conference Center, Pacific Grove, California, in February 1975. The consensus of this meeting was that certain experiments should not be done at the present time, but that most of the work on construction of re-combinant DNA molecules should proceed with appropriate physical and biological barriers. The Asilomar Conference report also

ade, interim assignments of the potential iss associated with different types of experi-eras. The XIII then assumed responsibility retranslating the broadly based Asilomar commendations into de balod guidelines for search

D. Environmental Policy II. Methods of Containment (See C. Implementation Beyond the of NIH

NOTICES

The decision by the NIT store extra view of the sense during the start of the issue during the issue during the start of the issue during the issue during the issue during the issue during the issue during the issue during the issue during the issue during the issue during the issue during the issue during the period. The issue during the period is the issue during the period issue affines during the period issue affines during the period issue affines during the period issue affines during the period issue affines during the period issue affines during the period is the proposed for during the period is the proposed for during the proposed is the public with the potential bands is appendix the public with the potential bands is special oblights of the substant is an origidation. In the deliver, is a substant is and elever to all of entre is possible, accordingly the Guidelines will be act to routical and contrate is not dough the start of the physical and during the proposed for the physical and during the proposed is the investigator induction of the physical and bological contrate will be act to routical and identific openations in the start and elever of the physical and bological contain and there for the physical and bological contain and there there opplas of the guidelines for the is and proposed in the proposed in the physical and bological contains and there opplas of the guidelines is and bological contains and there opplas of the physical and bological contains and there opplas of the physical and bological contains there bound in the physical and bological contains and there there opplas of the physical and bological contains and there opplas of the physical and bological contains and there there opplas

Perfer. Part of an environmental impact state-ment will provide Supercharge to the the super-construct the general public to address the super-construct the general public to address the super-construct the general public or address the super-construct of the rest of this re-eneration and the super-construct in the Super-tar opportunity for public comment and consideration. Access guidelines are being consideration. Access guidelines are being consideration. Access guidelines are being consideration. Access guidelines are being consideration. Access guidelines are being consideration and participation is invited public comment and participation is invited and proposed and procedures to the Directory Netional Thattures of Tealth, 9000 bockwill public comment and participations in the super Today, with the consurrance of the Secretary Characteria and Walken and Walken and Walken and Walken and Walken and Analysis and Walken and Secretary Characteria Bearbary Characteria Be

DONALD S. FREDRICKSON, M.D., Director, National Institutes of Health.

DECISION OF THE DIRECTOR, NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH TO RELEASE GUIDELINES FOR RESEARCH ON RECOM-BINANT DNA MOLECULES JUNE 25, 1976.

JUNE 23 1976.

CONTENTS

H Introduction General Policy Considerations A. Science Policy B. Implementation Within the NIH

41, NO. 176-

FEDERAL

REGISTER, MOL.

-THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9,

1976

In response, NAS formed a conmutities, and the subset of such resonable in the su

INTRODUCTION

Purvlew

 I. Meiurub Yu Guaramana (See Guide-Inne III, Appendentis E, Cotta (See Guide-III, Appendentia E, Cotta (See Guideling Eost-Vecto Systems (See Guideling III, B, 1)
 V. Classification of Experiments Using the E. Cott K-12 Containment Systems (See Guidelings III, B, 2)
 V. Classification of Experiments Using Con-tainment Systems Otor than E. Cott tainment Systems Otor than E. Cott tainment Systems Otor than E. Cott tainment Ny Gulde-

NOTICES

19

ettablished, we burge fieldwidtal steintishs for ettablished, we burge fieldwidtal steintishs for studied.
 Tablish strateging in the strategy comment as a guidtal strate meeting. In San Pransion for proper strategy at the two structures of proper strategy and the strategy comment as a proper strategy and the strategy of the strategy of the strategy at the strategy of the strategy of the strategy at the strategy of the strategy of the strategy at the strategy of the strategy of the strategy at the strategy of th

Public responsibility weights heavily in this it genetic research areas the sublication commu-entity must, have the publica confidence that and the series and series of our protectary termination research accord respect to important within the public trust is for whites of our protectary failed protect the scientific trust is for the protect the scientific trust is for the protect the interface of the protectary failed protect the interface of the protectary failed protect the interface of the protectary failed protect the interface of the protectary failed protect the interface of the protectary failed protect the interface of the protectary failed protect the interface of the protectary failed protect the interface of the protectary failed protect the interface of a selectary of the community in considering the conduct of the end of the strengt for commute the protectary failed by the conduct of the protectary protectary and the community of the strengt failed by the trust of the strengt for commute the protectary of the strengt failed by the protectary of the strengt failed by the trust of the strengt failed by the the major public of the strengt failed failed failed by particulation of the strengt failed failed failed the sciencing of the strengt failed fareward at the sciencing of the strengt failed fareward at the sciencing of the strengt failed fareward at the sciencing of the strengt failed fareward at the sciencing of the strengt fareward at the sciencing of the strengt fareward at the sciencing at my dependent at that the sciencing at my dependent at the the sciencing at my dependent at the the sciencing at my dependent at the the sciencing at my dependent at the the sciencing at my dependent at the the sciencing at my dependent at the the sciencing at my dependent at the the sciencing at my dependent at the the sciencing at my dependent at the the sciencing at my dependent at the the sciencing at my dependent at the the sciencing at my dependent at the the sciencing at my dependent at the the scienc

GENERAL FOLIOY CONSIDERATIONS Ĥ

CONSIDERATIONS SCIENCE POLICY Ý

Commentators were divided on now best to steer a course between stiffing research through excessive regulation and allowing

It to continue with etticlent contricis, Service event controls after any event programments to a solution must have bearing an extension of high must far controls after a mixing and thit the releasing of the scientific community to allow "a high must have a solution at the scientific community to allow "and thit have bearing and the scientific community to allow "and the scientific community to the scientific community of the scientific community of the scientific community of the scientific community of the scientific community of the scientific community of the scientific community and the scientific community of the scientific community of the scientific community of the scientific communities and the scientific computer as non-scientific community and the scientific computation of the scientific computation and scientific community and the scientific community and the scientific community and the scientific community and the scientific computation of the scientific communities and the scienting proprises of a scienting communit

EDERAL

environment pervention nown to be association rials. The addition e and therefore r

hasarda are specialistice such förerförer benörer som på er var var en sen som er som som er er besonetter are er besonetter ande

Aside from the potential medical hendfix, a whole host of ofker applications in science and technology have been environed. Ba-supplies are the unique-scale production of en-grand technology have been invitted. Ba-supplies are the unique-scale production of en-grand the technology have been and the development of hatched that could ingue and technology in splits in the set. Potential housing in the splits in the set. Potential housing in the splits in the set. Potential housing in the control of the potential housing in the control of the potential housing in the control of the potential housing intribution are concerned that metuce may manifest may be affect a well of the poten-ion with semicrove that potential housing intribution of the foreign DNA may allow the housing intribution are concerned that metuce in the control of the foreign DNA may allow the housing intribution and the structure in the second in unpredictive and relative the housing of the splits in the second by especi-mentalistic and model is a control of a single that the splits in the second that is a solution the house the accounties and collarities that the splits in the second to be solution to the hear accounties of the origin the solution of a potents have no they involve exchange of the particular of the origin the splits while the the subscripts of house that the fully of a splits have no they involve exchange of the investment of man to exchange DNA with the investment of man to exchange DNA with the investment of man to exchange the splits in the investment of man to exchange that the fully of the subscripts have been widely dispurite splits in the terms to a shared producy to the splits in the terms to a shared producy to the splits in the the subscript in the subscript in the subscript in the the subscript in the subscript is the subscript in the the subscript in the subscript is the subscript in the the subscript in the subscript in the subscript is the the subscript in the subscript in the subscript is the the subscript in

tas. bination 'n the tosted the and any

anither of hearded received in the received in the constraints of the hearder late is the vertex of a north for the late of the hearder state is a constraint of the hearder state is a constraint of the hearder state is a constraint of the hearder state is a constraint of the hearder state is a constraint of the hearder state is a constraint of the hearder state is a constraint of the hearder state is a constraint of the hearder state is a constraint of the hearder state is a constraint of the hearder is a state of the hearder state is a state of the hearder state is a state of the hearder is a state

IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS WITHIN THE NIM

All the commendators had suggestions con-enting the structure and transion of den-sion and the structure of the principal in-transition of the structure of the principal table structure groups and the NII Recom-n binant Adviewy Committee. These com-n binant Adviewy Committee, and responsibili-d guidelines relating to roles and responsibili-t fue of invertigations, that institutions, and to have backman Institutes of Health are pre-sented below.

there be no Federal of the public comm the regulatory proces Many who opposed 5 concern to all commenta-less by which NIH would nent the guidelines. The ity generally urged that it regulations, while some mmentators recommended

 June regulatory process.
 Karay who opposed changing the proposed a guidelines this Pertain regulations opposed changing the proposed opposed changing the proposed opposed change opposed that the process opposed opposed change opposed op 0

IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS BEYOND THE PURVIEW OF NIH

Special courses for all applications of all analy commentations regarding the spipitcation of the guidelines to research outside by NIE by investigators ofter than is grantees and applicable to research outside by a contrastors. It has been used that the guidelines is made applicable to recombinant the guidelines is made applicable to recombinant the private should construct the private should construct the spinitcation of the sp

• The outmittee, in the proposed guidelines, has suggested as one means of control that is description of the physical and biological containment properties practiced, in a re-search project be instituted in the projection of research treatile. In taken lock of the con-sentity this scale is detailed to provide the resulty function of the physical to the resulty function of the physical to the resulty functions which, and to also a single for a continual flow of the function optimud concentring the activities of the Ea-one optimud concentring the activities of the Ea-ternation.

FEDÉRAL REGISTER, ş 41, N 0 176-FHURSDAY, SEPTEMBER **,**•

mibinant Advisory Committee and the Ad-isory Committee to the Director, NIH, in a evolution of the guidelines and that applementation.

Implementation. The response to 'these suggestions, T have already held a meeting with representative from other supportent set representative from other properties of neromental set of the set of the representation of these problem institutions. A number of the Representative indicated that representative indicates that the set of the set of the set of the set of the properties of the set of the set of the set of the representative indicates that the set of the set of the set of the set of the representative of the set of the set of the properties of the set of the set of the set of the representative of the set of the set of the representative of the set of the set of the representative of the set of the set of the representative of the set of the set of the representative of the set of the set of the representative of the set of the set of the representative of the set of the set of the representative of the set of the set of the representative of the set of the set of the representative of the set of the set of the representative of the set of the set of the representative of the set of the set of the representative of the set of the set of the representative of the set of the set of the representative of the set of the set of the representative of the set of the set of the representative of the set of the set of the the set of the set of the set of the set of the the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the the set of the set of the set of the set of the theready of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the theready is the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the theready is the set of the set of the set of the set of the theready is the set of the set of the set of the set of the theready is the set of the set of the set of the set of the theready is the set of the set of theready set of t

There has been considerable international cooperation and activity in the past, and I aspect it to continue in the future interna-domenational activity in the future. The advectmentional Achily Report, presented to partiament in Annuary 1876, desortee the advances in Annuary 1876, desortee the advances in Annuary 1876, desortee the inter Antonio and participation in the annuary transmittering international involving to assess the hearts in Inter examinate the Antonio Campoon and the annuary inter-ting and the annuary of the annuary of the considering (EANIO) has been involved in considering (EANIO) has been involved previously. The participation and coordinates. For a considering (EANIO) has been involved in any considering (EANIO) has been involved in any considering (EANIO) has been involved in any considering (EANIO) has been involved in any considering (EANIO) has been involved in the future of manufacture in the any considering (EANIO) has been involved to a nouting (EANIO) has been involved to a nouting (EANIO) in an any constant and the another involved in any constant and the another involved in any constant and the another involved in any constant in the tradient segment of mouth research in the tradient sequence in any research in the tradient and under way.

A number of commentators urged NIT to consider properties an overlapment in-part statement on recombinant DNA re-search activity. They evolved the possibility that organisms constaining recombinant DNA molecular might, enops and affect the an-recomment in potentially harmful ways. I am in full agreement that the potentially harmful effects of this research on the en-ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

NOTICES

105

throughout this paper, the guidelines are intervaled on physical and bonget optimized on physical and bonget optimized on the physical and bonget optimized on the physical and bonget optimized

II. METHODS OF CONTAINMENT

Comments or has contained and a provision of the proposed purchases and effective provision of both purchases and effective purchases and effective purchases and effective purchases and effective purchases. Several contained the control provision and effective provision and effect

REGISTER, Vol Z THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9; 197

doemed absolutely necessary for metely are presented. Necessary facilities, practices, and equipment as especial to the specialize relation equipment as support of the special source of the properties. Source of the special source of the expectation of the special source of the special equipment is the special source of the expectation of the special source of the expectation of the special source of the expectation of the special source of the expectation of the special source of the expectation of the special source of the expectation of the special source of the expectation of the special source of the expectation of the special source of the expectation of the special source of the expectation of the special source of the expectation of the special source of the expectation of the special source of the expectation of the special source of the expectation of the special source of the expectation of the special source of the expectation of the special source of the expectation of the special source of the mattice of the special source of the theory of the special source of the expectation of the special source of the theory of the special source of the theory of the special source of the theory of the special source of the theory of the special source of the theory of the special source of the theory of the special source of the theory of the special source of the theory of the special source of the theory of the special source of the theory of the special source of the theory of the special source of the special expectation special the special source of the theory of the special source of the special expectation source the special source of the theory of the special source of the special expectation source the special source of the special expectation source the special source of the special expectation source the special source of the special expectation source the special source of the special through NIH areas of the special expectat through source of the theory of the the substrate of the sp

III, PROHIBITED EXPERIMENTS

1. Practically all communications supported the present problems of carried capter during. The two suggestions for a clear perturbed were suggestion for a clear member of the production be breachered in biology chart the production be breachered in biology chart the production be breachered in biology chart the production be breachered in biology chart the production be breachered in biology chart the production be breachered in biology chart the production be breachered in biology chart the production be breachered in biology chart the production be breachered in biology chart the production be breachered in the sup-testion, must call methods a select contract respective of the use in medicin-potic respective contract biology be-set of the study of inferoidal genetics is market and the study of inferoidal genetics is market and transmitter and the production was the communication of select a biolith the production is and this is now cherty stated is the restication of select bies were the tail the is brown of the production and the big study constrainty is begin the constraint were fulfication was threaded to mean the study of methods in the production experime in weight study of the production was reached sections and the is brown the statements concerning residuance to drag which resticate concerning residuance to drag which resticate to commit its the production is the statements concerning residuance to drag which resticated appeared to allow expect-tion and the spectration of the statements.

ments that would extend the range of restst-ance of this backetum to the range of restst-such of the backetum to the range of the the publishing on the rest of the range of the numerous spectra in the extends there is a publishing that 5, only an acquire restance of numerous spectra in the extends there is a publishing that 5, only an acquire restance to all antibucts known to us spatiate it. Industing that 5, only an acquire restance industing that 5, only and the range of the publishing the spectra of the range of the publishing the spectra of the spectra reststance does not apply. The ambiguous interments have been deleted from the pre-sent guidelines. On the other manuf, new hangings has been independent in the solid entermists have of the profixity or anni-tion of the other profixity or anni-ted by the Calculate Advisory Committee explained to DNA derived from the pre-ingents. The Recombinant Advisory Committee explained the DNA derived from the pre-builtion of use ONA derived range the profit or an of DNA derived from the spec-tration of the DNA derived in the solution of the presentance. The committee explained the DNA derived in the solution of the organizes (the profit here and on pre-terion with the special known to be infered with them.

rivinues, as defined by vice answares to be investigation.
Turistitue, and effined by vice answares to be investigation.
Turistitue, and effined by vice answares and an answare of the section of the

IV. PERMISSIONE EXPERIMENTS: E. COLI K-12 HOST-VECTOR SYSTEMS

. The continued use of Z, coll as a host has drawn considerable comment, including some engescions that its uso be prohibited pretently or within a specified time limit. It should be stread that the use of Z. of as detailed in the guidelines is limited to E.

¹Specifically, experiments that would ex-tend resistance to therapeutoally useful drugs must use P3 physical confactment physical a host-weeter comparable to EKI, or P2 con-tainment plus a host-vector comparable to were

coli E the lat volve t

con a serie is weath this has been series in the best of a series in the intervence of a series in the intervence of a series in the intervence of a series in the intervence of a series intervence of a series series of a series of a series of a series of a series of a

The MET, of MET, system presently consists of a the bettery of ultranet betters made of 200 able degrees of biological constantment, the the MET, and the State and the MET, and the the States the State and the State mutants in the States the systematical and the state and the States the systematical and the state and the states with cleavage sites for different reside the exclusion of the systematical and the state the state and the systematical and the state the state and the systematical and the state the state and the systematical and the state the state and the systematical and the state the system the state can be cloud. By con-tended the systematical and the systematical the system the development of more SE2 is appointing the development of more SE2 is an expected with the or the systematical is the system. It is not and systematical of the system is the system with the or the systematical and the system is the system. It is not any systematical and the systematical is the system with the or the system the systematical and the system with the or the systematical and the systematical the system system the systematical and the systematical and the systematical is the system system of the systematical and th

FEDERAL

and more directive statis he derivated by investi-tionary bareness and the entry of the organism and the under the present guidelines, for example, a states contacting to the organism and the prederivation of the organism and the investor state contacting to the organism and the derivative derivative and replace were able to the organism to survive and replace were able to the organism to survive and replace were able to the organism to survive and replace were superiors. As an intervent were prederived to en-many the organism to survive and replace the intervent DNA derived to a determine the intervent the prederived to a determine the organism to a survive and replace the intervent to a survive and replace the intervent the prederived to a determine the organism to a survive survive and replace the organism to a survive survive the replace the organism to a survive survive the intervent intervent to a survive survive the organism to unsolve the prederived to a survive survive the organism to a survive survive the intervent in survive survive the prederived to a survive survive survive to the prederived to a survive intervent to the prederived to a survive survive the intervent to a survive survive the organism to a survive intervent to the prederived to a survive survive to a survive survive to a survive survive the survive to a survive organized to organism the substate or the survive survive to a survive the survive survive to the survive to a survive survive to a survive the survive to a survive survive the survive survive survive to a survive survive to a survive survive to a survive surviv

REGISTER, VOL THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 1976

[97

NOTICES

bination erjoriments will create now generic or combinations. Wenn producted of the start of the francer is used and proversit into the a gravest potential for may generic combinations is a potential for may generic combinations is a construction and expression produce pro-duct DNA france protoner that and the origination of the producted of the matters by construction and expression propertion and and the production of the start start of the production of the start start of the production of the start start of the production of the start start of the production of the start start of the production of the start start of the production of the start start of the production of the start start of the production of the start start of the production of the start start of the production of the start of the production of the start of the start of the production of the start of the production of the start of the start of the production of the start of the start of the production of the start of the production in the production of the start of the production of the start of the production in the start of the start of the production in the start of the start of the production in the start of the start of the production of the start of the start of the production in the start of the start of the production in the start of the start of the production in the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the production in the start of the start of the start of the production of the start

Trate is second, more concrete reacon for Trate is second, more concrete reacon for sealing constantional types and second-yobs possible that the second and the entry of the second second second the second second in the second second second second second protection is and infort and and the protection is and infort and and the protection is and infort and and infort allist. Sitical reaction is and infort and the second second second second and second second second second and infort protection is and infort and infort protection is and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort and infort and infort but and infort and infort and infort and infort and infort but and infort and

B uter, and the second seco

on those opperiments requiring balogues of constituent results at the posterior of the constituent result of the constituent is result of the constituent is result of the constituent of the constited of the constituent of

concerned about the fact that inserts are transitive to concerned about the fact that inserts or muture predistry in the commuter private private about the fact that inserts and the control private and the control priva

6. Comments were made concerning the use of DNA derived from animal viruses.

VOL. 41, NO. 176-THURSDAY, FEDERAL

waa urged that containment levels for this balas of corporations is be unreased. On the balas of corporations is the balance approximate is the balance of containers of the provide only when the closed DNA received and balance approximate its the balance of the provide only when the closed DNA received and balance of the second balance of th

• One membry distant from the point of th

NOTICES

Several commentators found the guides integlination of the guideline experiments with adaptive that exceeds resource of the severations with plants was sphelined to re-experiments with plants was sphelined to re-superiment the guidelines. The guidelines was included them in the guidelines, and we have a trike with plants was sphelined to the respectations. The suggested avoidons were as-included them in the guidelines. The probable to the subcontast advisory Com-encities in April 1976 and mode several model included them in the guidelines. The probable to the subcontast advisory com-staked conservations are responsive to the physical constants of the commendators. A de-sphelic optical constants of the guidelines in the ter-physical constants of the guidelines in the ter-er the poticized to the guidelines in the ter-staked conservations of the guidelines in the ter-physical constants of the guidelines in the ter-staked conservations of the guidelines in the ter-staked constants of the guidelines rule the physical contained to the biological of the subcommittee to have the biological of the subcommittee to DNA from the E-physical and the DNA from the E-staked an contained the DNA from the E-staked and the biological of the subcommittee to the E-staked an contained the DNA from the E-ter and the biological of the subcommittee to the E-physical and the biological of the subcommittee to the E-staked an contained the DNA from the E-ter and the biological of the subcommittee to the E-ter and the biological of the subcommittee to the E-ter and the biological of the subcommittee to the E-ter and the biological of the subcommittee to the E-ter and the biological of the subcommittee to the E-ter and the biological of the subcommittee to the E-ter and the term the biological of the subcommittee to the E-ter and the subcommittee to the term of the subcommittee to the term of the subcommittee to the term of the subcommittee ton the term of the term term the the term of the term term th

 σ

MAN. WIT. ROLES AND RESPONDENT IN A CONTRIBUTION OF A

tions for the fraining of sfaf in safely and
 a. The representation stage study and
 a. The representation of the statisticity of the study study and explained the probability of the study study. The state of the study study of the study of the study study of the study study of the study study of the study study of the study study. The study stu

REGISTER z -THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER •

	NOTICES	38451
AFER	commentators also asked that the com- B the review ongoing research initiated or to the implementation of the guide- is. Now that the guidelines are being re- se, NU3-tunded investigators in this field	 Containment guidelines for permissible experiments. Biological containment oriteria using <i>K</i>. Biological containment briteria using <i>K</i>. Biological containment oriteria using <i>K</i>.
Preservess as	The asket of the section of the sect	2. Statistication of experiments using the ERS host-vectors. Rest Statistication of experiments using the <i>S.</i> Gold E18 constantent systems. <i>S.</i> Coll Brain experiments. (1) Enterprofile DNA recombinants. (1) Productorized DNA recombinants. (11) Characterized chores of DNA recom- combinants. Interprofile and a recom- combinants. Interprofile and a recom- combinants. Interprofile and other thus ACP Phandia, horderlophage, and other ACP Phandia, horderlophage, and other ACP Phandia.
42 49 SF 24	E monitorine, too well howen to require v E monitorine. Fin to the second secon	 Animatyruse. Animatyruse. Animatyruse. Panavruse. Panavrotic orgenetis DNAs. Parokryotic pinemid and iv) Prokaryotic pinemid and Dispeniencis with other prokaryotic Dost- versetoris. Animatyrotic Dost- suversetoris.
35866545	2. Starty, August, afor these purposes. In a start and a start will be a start of a start and a start will be a start of a start and a start and a start of a start and a start and a start of a start and a start and a start of a start and a start and a start of a start and a start and a start of a start and a start and a start of a start and a start of a start of a start and a start of a start of a start and a start of a start of a start and a start of a start of a start and a start of a start of a start and a start of a start of a start and a start of a start of a start a start of a start of a start of a start a start of a start	vertoriant. (************************************
228 83728024 2	The or supports and constrained as: De Wett, and or supports and constrained as: De Wett, these extended modifications due restormed and the support of responsibilities now sets forth a more of responsibilities now sets forth a more of responsibilities and sets sets and of principal investigator, look bolhawatd of more and as per notive commit- antities, and as per notive commit- set unity for daylos. From the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is to the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the load is the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the load is the load is the rest number of red sets as the load is the	A principal investigator. A institution for the set of
74,525 20250984	The second reveal researd prese to review and ruting are provided, ensuring the highest ruting the provided, ensuring the highest reactors for scientific merit and conditions reactors. The Recombination the research are reactors and reactors deviced are reactors and anticy to recombinate reactors for and anticy recombinate reactors and anticy for recombinate reactors and anticy for recombinate reactors are reactors as the research are reactors and anticy for recombinate reactors are reactors as the reactors and reactor are reactors as the reactors and reactors and anticy for recombinate reactors are reactors as the reactors and reactors reactors are reactors and reactors are reactors are reactors and reactors and reactors and reactors are reactors and reactors are reactors are reactors and reactors are reactors reactors are r	Put. Program Advisory Committee. Program Advisory Committee. As Statoment on the use of Scalizz subtility in recombing the use of Scalizz subtility in recombing the other medical estimators. B. Polycoma and the use of Scalizz subtility for C. Bummary of Nortschon the Design & C. Bummary of Steer Polycarsons On Re- Scalizz Marken M. Moleculos. D. Scalizzative M. Moleculos. Or Physical D. Scalizzative M. Polycala.
р+ ²	A state of the	CONGRAINTER (LINAATAGE CONGRAINTER) CONCURS). Instructions The purpose of these guidelines is to itc- comment atteguards for a year monu- situtes of Each and to oth Isstructions situtes of Each and to oth statutions with anyor weah research is consid- ing define recompliant, 2748, set - of voice
	JUNE 1976. Contents Atroduction. A. TA.	TARY CONSISTS on Unitered as a proper service of the self of the self of the systems, and which have the sepecity to in- gestering, and validation have the sepecity to in- flock and replicate in some host cell, eithe attoination of the second part of the host's genome
	 Standard president and training. Standard president and training. Stread constantant irrels. Stread (toray: 23 Level (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). Stread (toray). <li< td=""><td>Thus are to the matter accurate to province a constraint of the starty sector set set the starty sector set set the starty sector set set set sector set as starty s</td></li<>	Thus are to the matter accurate to province a constraint of the starty sector set set the starty sector set set the starty sector set set set sector set as starty s
	A Beprimerus trustance. A. Beprimerus that not not to be per- formed. Ister, vol. 41, NO. 176THURSDAY, SEPTEM	the hazards may be guessed at, spouliste about, or voted upon, but they cannot b BR 9, 1976

REGISTER FEOERAL

199

Arek (OSHA), This is an area of laportator is and the state and the state and the state and the observed and the state and the state and the observed and the state and the

known absolutely in the absence of firm ex-perimental (abs--and, unifortumology, the second data were, among other share holy, the second data were, among other share holy in resultation of the production of the pro-ting and provided the present one of the consistent with the general conclusion that are consistent with the general conclusion that the mathematical principles which are consistent with the general conclusion that the product of the properties of the production of the present that the present on a second of the present that the present and previded the constraint of the pre-periments of the present that the present on a second of the present that the present and accounting the present that the present on a second of the present that the present and accounting the present that the present on a second of the opperiments is present on a methodology and the present the pre-periments of the accounting both present that the present of the present that the present of the present of the opperiments. In addi-tion a mathematic shown are another the pre-periments of the accounting both present and the present of the accounting both present and the present of the accounting both present and the present of the accounting both present and the present of the accounting both present and the present of the accounting both present and the present of the accounting both present and the present of the accounting both present and the present of the accounting both present and the present of the accounting both present and the present of the present and and the present of the account reset the present and the present of the accounting the accounting the present of the accounting the present and the present account existing these guidelines. It has been there and information these guidelines is the present of the account of the present and the present of the account of the present and the present of the account of the present and the present account existing the account precedures and that a further the account of

II. CONTAINMENT

Effective biological astery programs have been opportive in a wriety of histornatorities for many years. Considerable intermediate the fore alteredy exists for the design of physical containment. Another with the design of physical containment. Another with the selection of absoratory procedures applieable, to orga-nize service providence of bandwide his-tro, for conventience, can be adviced his-tro, for conventience and historic selection that, for conventience and historic selection that for a service selection of the selection that for a service selection of the selection that any procedures are of shandwid proc-tice thes are generally used in microbiolog-tical historic selection within are opplied in bornized historics within are opplied in bornized in barriers of selections. This historic containment mechanism-manely, the histor planishon of highly specific biological barriers in fact, natured barriers of a vector or vehicle

(piaamid, bacteriophage or virus) to specific holds, in the distribution and serviced and serviced in the specific of the second of the specific of the second of the specific of the second of the specific of the second of the specific of the second of the specific of the second of the specific of the second of the specific of the second of the specific of the second of the specific

constitutered opphality in morel ways. For example, and analytical scoredures provide a number of the start

PP Lend (LCur): A laboratory suitable for experimental investment and the prime constructions and the prime prime and the prime prime prime prime and the prime rime prima prime prime prime prime prime prime prime prime pr

FEDERA1 REGISTER, VOL 41 NO. 176-THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER

NOTICES

NOTICES

 Partine and an order and a regularing 7 a joint provided a subserval of the control of the potalitation trade of the about and an order of the about and an order of the about and an order of the about and an order of the about and an order of the about and an order of the about and an order of the about and an order of the about and an order of the about and an order of the about and an order of the about and an order of the about and an order of the about and an order of the about and an order of the about and an order of the about and about an order about a practices shall apply to all ulting 72 level physical con-aboratory doors shall be kept

footnotes on p. 38459 See

II is 'required on. the basis of program or stype experiment point grand starts of the potential hato and the potential more and the potentisme and the potential more and the potential more and the poten

P4 Level High. Experiments involving re-combinant DNA molecules requiring physical containment at the P4 level shall be con-ined to work sress in a facility of the type (selford to contain microorganisms that are

NO. 176

Š REGISTER,

separate boundarge of the according in state separate boundarge of the a control case as the of from all other areas of the building test from all other areas of the building test from all other areas of the building test from all other areas of the building test from all other areas of the building test from all other areas of the building test from the building the state and the building test and particular boots areas of the building test from the building the state and building the state by brought bounded the building the statement of the building the state and building the statement of the state and building the statement of the building the state and building the statement of the state and building the statement of the statement of the state and the facility into the facility (1) and the statement of the statement of the state the statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the facility (1) and the statement of the facili

analts System has been decontaminated (a) No statement of a second and the second and t

NOTICES

EXPERIMENTAL GUIDELINES

A general rule tak, tough oorvous, de-many sektered 15 may be also of consist-ing sentra sektered 15 may be also of consist-ing the prior of the sector of consist-ing the prior of the sector of the sector problem that the sector of the sector problem takes of the component is in Class 5 which need the sector of the sec-set of the "Classification of the sec-set of the "Classification of the sec-set of the "Classification of the sec-set of the "Classification of the sec-set of the "Classification of the sec-ential model from sector of the sec-set of the "Classification of the sec-ential model from sector of the sec-set of the "Classification of the sec-ential model from sector of the sec-set of the "Classification of the sec-ential model from sector of the sec-set of the "Classification of the sec-set of the "Classification of the sec-ential model from sector of the sec-set of the "Classification of the sec-ential model from sector of the sec-tor sector of the sector of the sec-tor of the sector sector of the sector of the sector of the sector sector of the sector of the sector of the sector sector of the sector of the sector of the sector sector of the sector of the sector of the sector sector of the sector of the sector of the sector sector of the sector of the

combined DNA experiments initiated before the special memory worked (is, within the special memory worked (is, within the special memory worked (is, within the special memory worked is a special memory worked is special memory worked by satisfy how and here the special memory worked by satisfy how and here were and the special memory worked by satisfy and the special memory worked by satisfy and the special memory worked by satisfy and the special memory worked by satisfy and the special memory worked by satisfy and the special memory worked by satisfy and the special memory worked by satisfy and the special memory work and the special memory work and the special memory of the special m

FEDERAL REGISTER, Nor 4 ş -THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER ò 1976

alon of donor fartility, the frequency at which beaucory, tays the plasmids are mobilized and transformed by this sequence of events for revolved the settimate and the second second field on an antibiotic support of the second second field on an antibiotic support of the second second field on an antibiotic support of the second second frequencies of no more, than 10-** to 10-per 24 hours per call (32). In turns of con-addring other means for plasmid transmi-duction does operate the to for fight trans-duction does operate in the to for fight trans-duction does operate in the to for fight trans-duction does operate in the to for fight trans-duction does operate in the to for fight trans-duction does operate in the fight trans-tic the fight the test of the fight trans-duction does operate in the f

These observations inductive the low prob-messes observations inductive the low prob-soluties for possible dissonance of work a phantic value, present an annexes of work and phantic value, present and particularly the scotter is have a set of present and present and the least the enhanced presence (Section 11.4) in scotter and the present and present and the least the enhanced presence (Section 11.4) in scotter and the present and present and the least the enhanced presence (Section 11.4) in scotter and the present and the sec-tored is a sector of the sector work with both therapy must not work with any 2, only in present and vector execute and the sector work with any 2, only in present and vector execution of the set of the storach or hove a should avoid such work we storach or hove who require here of any a should those who require here of any and and did.

as given the second structure large dense of autority large dense of the outer structure interaction provide the second structure interaction provides the interaction provides the interaction provides the interaction provides the interaction of the second structure interaction of the second structure interaction of the second structure interaction interaction provides the probability of subsequent encounters, with an animal grant the interaction of the probability of subsequent interaction provides the probability of subsequent encounters, with an animal grant the interaction of the probability of subsequent encounters, with an animal grant the interaction of the probability of subsequent interaction in the interaction of the probability of subsequent interaction of the probability of subsequent interaction of the probability of subsequent interaction of the second structure is not interaction of the probability of subsequent is the second structure interaction to a south 10-f (st). Meterset and the subsequent is interaction in the subsection is interaction in the indication is an interaction to solve the set and interaction is inside in the second structure is a subsection in the subsection in the subsection is interaction to a solve of the order structure in the second structure is not the second structure in the second structure is not interaction to a solve of 10-f (st). Meterset and the second structure is not interaction to subsection is a subsection in the subsection is subsection in the subsection is subsection in the subset and the solve is not interaction is a subsecti

NOTICES

I ambdott prophage, Albiough , semilité E. food averance esemi problem. Note: the semi-food averance esemi-troop averance in the problem. The semipoint experiment afforded by using these host-wer-tors are at least an accurate as those for physical constitutes, and are sufficient to indicate that successful problem is and indicate that and the sufficient is and, vector systems provide a moderate is well of biological constationers. Coller monotone indicate that and the period and the set indicate that and the sufficient is and a vector systems provide a moderate is well of biological constationers. Coller monotone indicate the set observation is well and a promote the sense observation is well and a promote our alument are included in the ECI place.

Imited to provide the same approximate is relatively in the same approximate is relatively in the SEZ hole-centery. These are host-rectar to the second secon

thon at hody 'temperaturesi: Con' mutations is that reduce an allow allowed results of a specific basis, so make the parameter of an allowed results and the parameter of the test and the parameter of the test and the parameter of the test and the parameter of the param

the Pr Ations such P(TS), and trant use ould reduc

rata, Moresver, chlorodorni, texistaleni, 'and through a policital colligitation of the statistical colligitation and thready hold of the statistical colligitation of the statistical colligitation of the statistical colligitation of the statistical colligitation and threads the statistical colligitation of the statistical colligitation of the statistical colligitation of the statistical colligitation of the statistical colligitation of the statistical colligitation of the statistical colligitation of the statistical colligitation of the statistic

cocidous A grown on r. m. Sit, hoels is pendant upon the frequencies of r. Sit, evensitive strains in nature, investig orect to severe z. Sold strains for these 1 pendits. These data will also be useful in listing frequencies of successful essap-international coming vectors hardword in re-reserved. NOTICES hosts is de-f r-, Su+, and investigators escape of 1 r-m-Su-

When any investigator has obtained data on the level of containment provided by a proposed EEG system, these should be re-ported as replaying as possible to permit gata or all available and relativity of the safety factures of the new system. Threstigators are also encouraged to make such new safet color-ing systems gatanily results to other solar-ties. NIT will take appropriate step to all into distribution of these safet periors and

P. Dozia.
 E.Z. Most-sector. These are EEZ systems to for which the specified containment shown by laborator fees has been independently for which the specified containment shown by laborator fees has been independently confirmed by spipoprite tests in order to provide the entropy of the sector of the sector statistical or containment is an entropy of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector is tho tages of asfery provided and to further statistical or containment is an entropy of the sector and the sector of the sector beset of the sector the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector beset of the sector the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector beset of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector the sector of the sector of the sector the sector of the sector of the sector the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector the sector of the sector of the sector the sector of the sector of

Andérsveter
 Cold-Motofed erretherets, 22 physical con-tantamati-tan EES host-restor scope for schubyonic of genr-line DiXA which require the physical containment-tan. EEL host-by eccle. It the exclusions is a known to produce a potent torin, the containment shall be increased to 25+EEC.
 Other cold-Motofel antindits and loser transactions in Class 2 of existroice is statistic to a schoorn by produce is special host or are known to particular pathogen) or are known to earry such patho-ment and the incluse 2 of rel. for a plant is provided that the known to particular in the appendix of the schoorn to particular in the schoorn or are known to earry such patho-ment-tan EES host-restor. Any special taking manifer and the pathogenia is included in this

group hultes it has been along that there of contain these sectors for the protect of DNA.
 (2) The remainder of the protect in this case 'tery may be placed in the second group.
 (2) The remainder of the protect in this second group.
 (3) The remainder of the protect in the place in the place in the place in the place in the place is the place in the place is the place in the place is the place in the place is the place is the place is the place is the place in the place is the place

Function that do not exchange genetic the probargets hat do not exchange genetic the function of the information with X. cold. The minimum constrained the second se

See footnotes on p. 33459

NOTICES

anew condition is not less than that spotlifed character domains that apporting the above construction of controls controls controls with a spotlifed construction of the spotlifed construction of the spotlifed construction of the spotlifed construction of the spotlifed construction of the provise and other polytical for a string as controls are setting based of the provise and the polytical for a string as controls are setting based of the provise and the polytical for a string as controls are setting based of the provise and the polytical for a string and controls are setting based of the provise and the polytical for a string and controls are setting based of the provise and the polytical for a string and the setting based of the provise and the polytical for a string and the setting based of the provise and the polytical for the provise and the setting based of the provise and the

See footnotes on p. 38459

characterizad RAMA are included under under RAMA are constanted from the NAMA are records. Contact Product on Submerical Activity of the Supersystem of a supersonal from the approximation of the supersonal from the supersonal from the supersonal supersona supersonal supersonal supersonal supersonal supersonal supers

the preceding example) while cliners can be reduced. 4. Experiments with aukaryotic Aost-peo-tors-(a)- Anthuel hout-new and an anti-

rention:
 and a second threat south addrenge for the second and a second and the second addrenge for a seco

the properties of the properti

1976 ¢, -THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 176-

and mouse DNA are presumably not norse.
Bata regularity point of two.
Bata verificating point of two.
Bata verificating point of two.
Bata verificating point of two.
Bata verificating point of two.
Bata verificating point of two.
Bata verificating point of two.
Bata verificating point of two.
Bata verificating point of two.
Sector IUE-2-1) and that the host range of the polynom virus vector has not been altered, experiments and bounded that the donor regulation of the polynom virus vector has not been altered, experiments and polynome (see on the polynom virus vector has not been altered, experiments and the polynome (see on the polynom virus vector has not been altered, experiments and set of the virus vector has not been altered, experiments and the polynome (see on the polynome virus vector has been set of polynome (see on the polynome (see on the polynome) is the polynome (see on the polynome) is been set of polynome). The half we conditions are two polynome to the two doction vertices and which altered the polynome is polynome (see on the polynome) is been set of polynome). The half we conditions are two polynome (see on the polynome) is the set altered to a strategend that the does for a toold produce, and which has been percentary for hold produce and which has been percentary for hold produce and which has been percentary for hold produce and which has been percentary for hold produce and the produced that the the decomparised that no infection set in the formostrated first sequence is an user of each transform elements are been decomparised that the sequence is produced to be made to be made to be been address which altered that the formostrated that so infections were also be been address which a produce is the sequence is an user produce is a sole produce to be be made to calculate the been decomparised to be been address which any polynome is the sectored be bemade to an under sequence is an user produ

Plasmi.
 Bione Style and polynom are limited in their scope to act as vectors dutify because rin amount of credge DNA that the normal style scope to act as vectors dutify because ring and the scope to act as vectors dutify because ring and the scope scope scope scope scope in the scope scope scope scope scope scope in the scope
See footnotes on p. 38459.

⁴¹ The greenboas facilities accompanying P2 and P2 according a province of parks point and province of parks point and province of parks point and province of parks point and province of parks point and province of parks point and province of parks point and province of parks point and province of parks point and province of parks point and province of parks point and province of parks point and province of parks point and province of parks point and province of parks point and province of parks point and province of parks point and parks po

search involving recombinant depends upon how the re-splies these guidelines, Mottra-nd Judgment are necessary, in redia safety knowledge, to an-refut safety knowledge, to an-refut safety knowledge, to an-define the public, and nt.

The guidelines given here to help the antivormation.
 The guidelines given here to help the properties of the subcomplete of the subcomplete beam of the subcomplete set of the subco

FEDERAL REGISTER, YOL 41, N

NOTICES

207

Bujerrichts the steles the securities for the to these and economicutes are security for the to the state state economication by A articles in the state state economication and the state state in the theory are constructed intrast of a worker in the main intrativiticiant DNA articles in the state state state of the state state in the intra-tion of reconstinant DNA and the state state in the state state state of a state of the state state in the state state of a state of the state state in the state state of a state of the state state in the state state of a state of the state of the state state interaction of the state of the state of the state state state of a state of the state of the state of the state state of the state state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state state of the
NOTICES

to reviewlarg the scientific much of each present and potential bioleared or the propession of the propession of the propession of the propession of the propession of the propession providence of propession of the propession

APPENDIX D V. FOOTOTES

V. TOOTONE
V. TOOTONE
V. TOOTONE
V. TAODORCAN State To Constrain a regret of feat the service and a service the service and the original states of the service and the

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER REGISTER, VOL. 41, ND. 176-

à

markedly reduced. Thus, the probability of cloning a harmful gene could, for example, be reduced by more that 10°-fold where a non-repetitive, gene from mammals was beling sought, Furthermore, the lovel of purity spe-cified here makes it easier to establish that the desired DNA does not contain harmful

sense. • The DNA preparation is defined as puri-fied if the desired DNA represents at least 09 percent (w/w) of the total DNA in the preparation, provided that it was verified by more than one procedure.

TIn special circumstances, in consultation The special circumstances, in consultation with the NHI Office of Recombinant DNA Ac-tivities, an area biohazards committee may be formed, composed of members from the institution and/or other organizations be-yond its own star, as an alternative when additional experise cutside the institution is needed for the indicated reviews.

V. REFERENCES

Berg, P., D. Baltmore, H. W. Boyer, S. N. Cohen, R. W. Davis, D. S. Hogness, D. Na-thang, R. O. Robbin, J. D. Wakson, S. Welss-man, and N. D. Zinder (1974). Potential Bio-hasards of Recombinant DNA Molecules. Sci-ence 185, 303.
 Antisory cond for the Research Count-st. Antisory of a Morian Party or the Fra-therman and the Science Party of the Science Party of the Science 1955.

elis, Report of a Working Party on the Ex-perimental Manipulation of the Genetic Composition of Micro-Organisms, Presented

Composition of Micro-organisms. Presented to Parliament by the Severkary of State for Education and Science by Command of Her Majesty January, 1975. London: Her Maj-esty's Stationery Office, 1975. Statement of J.B. Saltimore, S. Brenner, R. O. Roblin and M. F. Binger (1975), Summary Statement of J.M. Moiner, S. Sterone, 168, 901; Nature, 265, 442; Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 72, 1981. 1981.

Laboratory Safety at the Center for Dis-ease Control (Sept., 1974). U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare Publication

of Health, Education and Welfare Publication No. CDC 75-8-118. 5. Glassification of Etiologic Agents on the Easis of Hacerd. (4th Edition, July, 1974). U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare Public Health Service. Center for Disease Control, Office of Biosafety, Atlanta, Gaurdia 3029 Georgia 30333. 6. National Cancer Institute Sajety Star

ards for Research Involving Oncogenic Vir-uses (Oct., 1974). U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare Publication No. (NIH)

75-760. 7. National Institutes of Health Biohazards Safety Guide (1974). U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Fublic Health Service, National Institutes of Health. U.S. Government Printing Office Stock No. 1740-

00383.
B. Biohazards in Biological Research (1973).
A. Hellman, M. N. Oxman and R. Pollack (ed.). Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.
9. Handbook of Laboratory Safety (1971; 2nd Edition). N. V. Steere (ed.). The Chemil-cal Rubber Co., Cleveland.
10. Bodity, H. L. (1970). General Administration of the Laboratory. H. L. Bodity, E. L. 1901, General Administration of a O. Mason (eds.). Diagnosite Procedures fon American Fublic Health Association Near American Public Health Association Near Mark Del. 1-28.

stute infections, American Fublic Health Association, New York, pp. 11-28. 11. Darlow, H. M. (1969). Safety in the Microbiological Laboratory. In J. E. Nortis and D. W. Robbins (ed.), Methods in Micro-biology, Academic Press, Inc. New York. pp. 1000 (2019). 169-204.

12. The Prevention of Laboratory Acquired Infection (1974). C. H. Collins, E. G. Hart-ley, and R. Flaworth, Public Health Labora-Service, Monograph Series No. 6.

13. Chatigny, M. A. (1961). Protection. Against Infection in the Microbiological boratory: Devices and Procedures. In W. W.

Unbreit (ed.), Advances in Applied Micro-biology, Academic Press, New York, N.Y. 3: 131-192.

Chatiguy, M. A. and D. I. Clinger (1969).
 Contamisuiton Control in Aerobiology. In
 R. L. Dimmick and A. B. Akers (eds.). An In-troduction to Experimental Aerobiology.
 John Wiley & Song, New York, pp. 194-923,
 Grunetein, M. and D. S. Hogenses (1978).
 Colony Hybridization: A Method for the Iso-lation of Cloned DMNs That Contain a Spa-cific Gene. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 72, 2001-3085.

3961-3965.

5061-3965.
19. Morrow, J. F. S. N. Cohen, A. C. Y. Chang, H. W. Boyer, H. M. Goodman and R. B. Heillang (1974). Asplication and Transcription of Eukaryotic DNA in Escherichia coil: Froc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 71, 1748-1747.
20. Hershileid, V., H. W. Boyer, O. Yanofaky, M. A. Lorett and D. R. Hellnski (1974). Plasmid CoIEI as a Molecular Vehicle for Cloning and Amplifonition of DNA. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 71, 1845-3459.
21. Wenshik, P. C. D. J. Finnegan, J. E. Donelson, and D. S. Rogness (1974). A Systematic Process in the Chromosomes of Drosophila melanogaster, Call 3, 216-235.

12m for Mapping DNA Sequences in the Chromosomes of Drospitla melanogaster. Cell 5, 315-335. Hillington of Drospitla melanogaster. (2015), 315-335. Hillington of Dros Distinct Modes of Replication by a Hybrid Plasmid Constructed in Vitro from Separate Replicons. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. UBA 71, 4850-4650. 23. Glover D. M., R. L. White, D. J. Finne-gan and D. S. Hogness (1975). Characteriza-tion of Six Cloned DNA from Drospitla melanogaster. Including one that Contains the Genes to Cloned JNA from Drospitla melanogaster. Including one that Contains the Genes to Tenta. Cell 5, 143-155. Housa-man and S. N. Cohen (1976). Holdston of Histone Genes from Unifractionsted Sea Urchin DNA by Subculture Cloning in R. coll. Nature 255, 533. 25. Tanaka, T. and B. Weisblum (1975). Construction of a Collich ELF Faceto Com-posite Plasmid in Vitro: Meaus for Ampli-faction of Decymptionucleic Add. J. Baeter-101.21, 354-362.

1101.121, 334-3322. 26, Tanaka, T., B. Weisblum, M. Schnoss and R. Inman (1975). Construction and Characterization of a Chimeric Plasmid Com-posed of DNA from Escherichia cold and Drosophila melanogaster. Biochemistry 14, 2064-2072.

2064-2072. 27. Thomas, M., J. R. Cameron and R. W. Davis (1973). Fiable Molecular Hybrids of Bacteriophage Lambda and Eukeryoite DNA. Proc. Nat. Acad. Bol. USA '71, 4579-4583. 28. Murray, N. E. and K. Murray (1974). Manipulation of Restriction Targets in Phage A to form Receptor Chromosomes for DNA Fragments, Nature 251, 476-481. 29. Rambach, A. and P. Tiolia's (1974). Bacteriophage & Having EcoRI Endonuclease Sites only in the Non-cessnitial Recipion of the

Sites only in the Non-essential Region of the Genome. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 71, 3927-3930.

30. Sol. Smith, H. W. (1975) Survival of Orally-Administered Escherichia coll K12 in the Alimentary Tract of Man. Nature 255, 500-502

502. 31. Anderson, E. S. (1975). Viability of, and Transfer of a Plasmid from Escherichta col XI2 in the human intestine. Nature 255, 502-504. 32. Falkow, S. (1975). Unpublished experi-ments quoted in Appendix D of the Report of the Organizing Committee of the Asilo-of the Asilo-

mar Conference on Recombinant DNA Mole-cules (P. Berg, D. Baltimore, S. Brenner, R. O. Roblin and M. Singer, eds.) submitted to the National Academy of Sciences. S3. R. Curtiss III, personal communica-

tion

tion. 34. Novick, R. P. and S. I. Morse (1967). In Vito Transmission of Drug Resistance Factors between Strains of Staphyllococets aureus. J. Exp. Med. 125, 45-59. 36. Anderson, J. D., W. A. Gillespie and M. H. Richmond. 1974. Chemotherapy and Arithiotic Resistance Transfer between Kn-

Antibiotic Resistance Transfer betwee terobacteria in the Human Gastroint. Tract. J. Med. Microbiol. 6, 461–478.

Tract. J. Med. Microbiol. 6, 643-476.
36. Ronald Davis, personal communication.
37. K. Murray, personal communication.
38. Maniy, K. R., E. R. Signer and C. M. Badding (1969). Nonesential Functions of Bacteriophage J. Virology 37 177.
39. Gottemana, M. E. and R. A. Weisberg (1971). Prophage Insertion and Excision. In The Sacteriophage Landing (1971). Prophage Insertion and Excision. In The Sacteriophage Landing (1971). Cold Spring Barbor Laboratory pp. 113-136.

138. 40. Shimada, K., S. A. Weisberg and M. E. Gottesman (1973). Prophage Lambda at Un-usual Chromosomal Locations: 1. Location of the Secondary Attachment Sites and the Properties of the Lysogens J. Mol. Biol. 63, 433-509. [Construction]. 41. Signer, E. (1969). Plasmid Formation: A Nets Mode of Lysogeny by Phage 3. Nature 233. 158-169.

combinasion ohez Bacherichia coli I. L'Induc-tion par Confugatson ou Induction Zgoch-qué. Ann. Inst. Pasteur 31, 466-510. 44. J. S. Parkhnon as cited (p. 6) by Her-shey. A. D. and W. Dove (1971). Introduc-tion to Lamöda. In: The Bacteriophage \. A. D. Hershey, ed. Cold Spring Harbor Labo-ratory, New York.

STETTEN, DeWitt, Jr., M.D., Ph.D., Deputy Director for Science, National Institutes of Health.

VICE CHAIRMAN

- JACOBS, Leon. Ph.D., Associate Director for Collaborative Research, National Institutes of Health
- Conmourance Research, National Institutes of Health ADELBERG, Edward A., Ph.D., Professor, De-partment of Human Genetics, School of Medicine, Yale University. CHU, Ernest H. Y., Ph.D., Professor, De-partments of Microbiology, School of Medi-oine, University of Alabama. OUNTIES, Roy, III, Ph.D., Professor, Medical School, University of Alabama. DARNELL, James E., Jr., M.D., Professor, Department of Molecular Coll Biology, Beckerleir University, University of Cali-fornia, San Diego. HOGINESS, Duvid S., Ph.D., Professor, De-partment of Biology, University of Cali-fornia, San Diego.

- partment of Biochemistry, Statutor over-tersity. RUTTER, Elizabeth M., Fh.D., Member of the Faculty in Biophysics, The Everyreen LITHIEFORD, John W., M.D., Professor & Charman, Department of Pediatrics, Ohl-dron's Medical & Surgical Center, Johns Workins Horstital.
- Hopkins Honorate & Subject Control, Challes, HOPKORD, Emmette S., Ph.D., Li.D., Ashbei Smith Professor of Government and Pub-lio Affairs, Lyndon B. Johnsons School of Public Affairs, University of Texas at Aus-

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 176-THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 1976

223, 158-160.

Association (1989).
 Association (1989).
 Bacteriophages.
 Intersciences Publishers, Inc., New York,
 Jacob, F. and E. L. Wollman (1980).
 Sur les Processus de Confugation et de Re-combinasion chez Escherichia coll. I. L'induc-

VII. MEMBERS OF THE RECOMBINANT DNA MOLECULE PROGRAM ADVISORY COMMITTEE



	Santa Santa	928830
ROWE, Wallose P., MLD, Caller, Laboratory CT Tal Dissen, National Institute of Ma- sterges of facilous Dissene, National In- sterges of facilous Dissene, National In- structory, Janes, Phyl. Mc. Methods, Biologist, Brook- haven National Laboratory, District Matter, Rescuer, Fourbaste and Onatr- man, Department of Microbiology, Settype 2012b & Rescuer, Douls, Phyl. Mcresson of SUPMAGEL, Wachaw, DSa, Purdesson of SUPMAGEL, Wachaw, DSA, Torkesson of SUPMAGEL, Wachaw, DSA, Torkesson of SUPMAGEL, Phyl. Rev. DD, Friesson, Distribute and Supparticution.	Partial de la cettara substancia possibiles SETO (7), PEBS, (8), and SEPT (8), while a how fra- properiór attra bacterioritaria transacturation has ben regorded with bacterioritaria transacturation. In hormorgeus researe (3, autotis truto 2, autotis), that and be ben hormorgeus researe (3, autotis truto 2, autotis), that and be ben charties, that and be ben charties and a possible to ex- clavities, that and be possible to ex- clavities, that and be possible to ex- clavities, that and be possible to ex- clavities that and be possible to ex- clavities that and be possible to ex- clavities that and be possible to ex- clavities that and be possible to ex- dantes and 5, pobylic (refer to reference) i dates and 5, pobylic (refer to reference) a post of that a revelue not defension exclavities. That are avelue not defension exclavities. That are avelue not defension exclavities.	Through the introduction of a $D_{\rm effect}$ and the introduction of a $D_{\rm effect}$ and the set intermediate structure is the structure intervent of compounds that are structured at the structure intervention of the structure intervention of the structure structure intervention of the structure structure intervention of the structure structure is the structure structure is the structure structure is the structure structure structure is the structure structure is the structure structu
wird Medical School. Zrkevorwa genestary GARTLAND, William J. Jr. 20, D. Health Scheffts Administretory Medical Electron of Chemical Activity Sciences, Nutronal Ji- stitutes of Facinal Sciences, Nutronal Ji- stitutes of Facinal	A might be a sur- printing source wear hough there is a un- printing wide discretation mong between DMA (19). Sour though the frequency of terms (19). Sour though the frequency of terms (19). Sour though the frequency of terms (18,	isolate a campressive expendence inspecta that will grow only at 68°C it should be possible to make an unsult whole. D. Site-specific endomulates: Inseen are restriction modification systems have been tokerred between E and have usate other water. Transace at al, have state other water.
HEDRICH, Elchard, FA.D., Corrdination Fro- generation and the second second second second values, astional Efficiencies Teamonal and values, astional Efficiencies Teamonal and and Medical Sciences, Neutonal 5di- logical and Medical Sciences, Neutonal 5di- generation, Sciences, Neutonal Ad- Biotectors, Neutonal Adedomy of Sciences, Neutonal Adedomy of Sciences, Neutonal Adedomy of Sciences, Neutonal Adedomy of Sciences, Experimento Joceps, P.P.D., Myrkion of Elo- modolal and Environmental Reserved, El- modolal and Environmental Reserved.	The startight of the start of	The result of a result of all of the result of all of the result of the
The second and Development Adminis- tration. Averance and Development Second Averance of the second second second in meconomyary monthly and second in the second second second second is the most well characterized underlike and is the most well characterized underlike and is the most well characterized underlike and is the other to fund second the second the second other to fund on a well there exists the second second second the second the second the second other to fund on a well there exists the second second second the second the second the second second second second the second the second second the second second second second the second second the second second second the second second second second the second second the second second second second the second sec	as an octobary reaked with a process the ar- other strain of 2, subtill (ATCC 1684) our weight of 4.3 × 10° Currently 11 is not known weight of 4.3 × 10° Curren	Thich sequences is not known with the B. and B. Advantages and known with a sub- tility system. Advantages i. B. and the B. and monpatchegenic. Advantages i. B. and thill a monpatchegenic. Advantages is a super- sent are available to provise the Provisen o persistence through sportulation. 2. The textual effortmomia mup is we defined. At least 139 iool have been posi- tioned. 3. The organizent is commercially importun- tioned.
in the contrast of definit of the contraster of a virtual state and turnpears backer(c)phages, and to be contraster of the contrast of the contraster of	They temportab hardwards has weater the transmission of the transm	In the formeriation inclusivy. 4. Large numbers of ergenalisme can be dis posed of readily with minimal entromenia impact. 5. Unita, F. cui, I incluse and/oracian in the eal wail. Therefore the cui is and/oracian in the set huge of the product neuron is the used a might of the product neuron and the the former and the product neuron and the the former and the product neuron and the the former and the product neuron and the the former and the product neuron and the the former and the product neuron and the the former and the product neuron and the the former and the product neuron and the density of the product neuron and the product of the the former and the product of the product neuron and the the former and the product of the product neuron and the density of the product neuron and the product of the product neuron and the density of the product neuron and the product of the product neuron and the product of the the product of the product of the product neuron and the product of the product neuron and the product of t
2. Current Farontelege of the obviousness of Current Farontelege of the obviousness are allocated and material on the obviousness are allocated and material of the obviousness and the obviousness of the obviousness and the obviousness of the obviousness and the obviousness of the obviousness of the obviousness of the obviousness of the obviousness of the obviousness and the obviousness of the obviousness of the obviousness of the obviousness of the obviousness of the obviousness of a dividue weather all the development of a dividue weather all the obviousness of a dividue weather all the obviousness o	An experient generation in the superion of the lumber that generate. Because debedons are variable that notified to physic region. It is if foreered- eality possible to interoduce they's gene can be readily purified for thesefoon lufe can be readily purified for the section lufe can be readily builted for the section lufe can be readily purified for the section lufe can be readily purified for the section lufe can be readily builted for the section lufe of the section lufe of the simplement of the section lufe of the simplement the section matter of the simplement the section matter of the simplement the section matter of the simplement and the simplement and the section matter of the simplement and the simple	 High requency, significal framelius through the not svituble as a mean of gau surdhment. Based on its pronie, its scenes appropriation and not danvihustic, to urge development o this system. Prepared by: Disgreed /li>
The current static of the hamp (3) contained (3) [64]. Biophynical analyses have entain and replaced that for chromosomer in given (4) and replaces to thromosomer program (4). The analysic chromosomer program (4). The analysic chromosomer (4) and with frequencies of 1, 0, 4, percent usually resistance markers. Frequencies of a prost- resistance markers. Frequencies of approxi- resistance markers. Frequencies of approxi- cative (4). PMA prepared from gently frequencies of DNA are resulty in program and L-corner propolation (5). These large frequencies of DNA are resulty increasing the result of DNA are resulty increasing the result of DNA are resulty increasing the result of DNA are resulty increasing the result of DNA are resulty increasing the result of DNA are resulty increasing the result of DNA are resulty increasing the result of DNA are resulty increasing the result of DNA are resulty increasing the result of DNA are resulty increasing the result of DNA are resulty increasing the result of DNA are resulty increasing the result of DNA are resulty increasing the result of DNA are resulty increasing the result of DNA are resulty increasing the result of DNA are resulty increasing the result of DNA are resulty increasing the result of DNA are resulty the result of DNA are result of DNA	C. Deterproducts for which R. stolftly is C. Deterproduct separation for which R. stolftly is C. Deterproduct separation for a data remain contaneous nutricolation (23) and refer- tor and a nutricolation (23) and refer- tion of the second relation is an experi- propertial and the second of the imperiation oppropriate deterior mutation is detectory in spropertial to the second of the second of the second second second second second oppropriate the second of the second of the second second second second second production the second of the second of the second second second second second reseminably that is done to the second of the second second second of the second of the second second second of the second of the second second second second second reseminably the second second second second reseminably the second second second second reseminably the second second second second reseminably the second second second second reseminably the second second second second second reseminably the second second second second second reseminably the second second second second reseminably the second second second second second reseminably the second second second second second reseminable to the second second second second second reseminable to the second second second second reseminable to the second second second second reseminable to the second second second second reseminable to the second second second second reseminable to the second second second second reseminable to the second second second reseminable to the second second second reseminable to the second second second reseminable to the second second second reseminable to the second second reseminable to the second second second reseminable to the second second second reseminable to the second second reseminable to the second second reseminable to the second second reseminable to the second second reseminable to the second second reseminable to the second second reseminable to the second second reseminable to the second second reseminable to the second second res	Be., U.S.A. K.A. LYOB., OK. Be., U.S.A. K.A. Leptent, J. Yadle, A. J. Dallach, and K. Dedorder. 197 Arvison of the Hinkspann of the Hinkspann of the Hinkspann Ravision of the Hinkspann of A. Wilson-Hof Altronotome. J. Steterich, IM, Wilson-Hof Altronotome J. Steterich, A. Wilson-Hof Altronotome J. Steterich, A. Wilson-Hof B. Stung, F. K. and G. A. Wilson-Hof B. Stong, F. K. and G. A. Wilson-Hof B. Stong, F. K. Mol, N. Wilson-Hof B. Lakoto (A.), Benen V. Amathur, M. E. Lakoto (A.), Benen V. Amathur, D. J. Yada, R. G. 199, Terahlarido, J.D. & Yada, R. G. Hyar. Terahlarido of B.
FEDERAL RI	EGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 176THURSOAY, SEPTEMBI	BN 9, 1976

5.

autoradiography. J. Mol. Biol.

Bartroch, N. 1995. Bidtreetdomi chromosome of Bartinger A.
 Bartroch, N. 1995. Bidtreetdomi chromosome of Bothlass arbitic. J. Base of the statistic of Bacilita stability. J. Base of the statistic of Bacilita stability. J. Base of the statistic of Bacilita stability of acaymphase of the statistic of Bacilita stability. J. Base of the statistic of Bacilita stability. J. 1985. Generation Bacilita stability is the statistic of Bacilita stability. J. 1985. Generation Bacilita stability. Base of the statistic of Bacilita stability. J. 1985. Generation Bacilita stability. Base of the statistic of Bacilita stability. Base of the statistic of Bacilita stability. Base of the statistic of Bacilita stability. Base of the statistic of Bacilita stability. Base of the statistic of Bacilita stability. Base of the statistic of Bacilita stability. Base of the statistic of Bacilita stability. Base of the statistic of Bacilita stability. Base of the statistic of Bacilita statistic of Bacilita statistic of Bacilita statistic of Bacilita statistic. J. Base of the statistic of Bacilita statistic. J. Base of the statistic of Bacilita statistic. J. Base of the statistic of Bacilita statistic. J. Base of the statistic of Bacilita statistic. J. Base of the statistic of Bacilita statistic. J. Base of the statistic of Bacilita statistic. J. Base of the statistic of Bacilita statistic. J. Base of the statistic of Bacilita statistic. J. Base of the statistic of Bacilita statistic. J. Base of the statistic of Bacilita statistic. J. Base of the statistic of Bacilita statistics of Bacilita statistic. J. Base of the statistic of Bacilita statistic. J. Base of the statistic of Bacilita statistics. J. Base of the statistic of Bacilita statistic. J. Base of the statistic of Bacilita stat

23.11. ZLOGM W. F. and M. S. MUISSelWhite. 23. ZLOGM W. F. and M. S. MUISSElWhite. 1995. Distribution and permistance of Siz-phylococous and Microcous specials and other secold bacteria on human skin. Ap-plied Aleron, 20182-383. We want the polyments interactions between cell wall polyments, article and F. Z. Young, 1974. Commun. 37:564-660. Journel. 1974. Astive transport of Dealanties and reakade annino audia by whole cells of Sacitize sub-tifier. Z. Bacteriol. 22:1085-102. Astive examptory in cells of S. authrist 1085: Loss of transport in cells of S. authrist 1085: Loss of the substantion.

1 sendogenerative energized transport in anxio-topolar deprive constraints of given of Submit deprive an U-sharing of given and C. Anagenetic, A., B. Tawin, S. Martin, and C. Anagenetic, A., B. Tawin, S. Martin, and C. Anagenetic, A. B. Tawin, S. Martin, and C. Anagenetic, A. B. Tawin, S. Martin, and C. Anagenetic, M. S. Martin, B. Martin, and C. Anagenetic, S. Martin, S. Martin, and C. Anagenetic, S. Martin, S. Martin, S. Wilson, G. A. and F. S. Nourg, Unpub-Minged data, G. A. and F. S. Young, R. Schlessinger (ed.), Microbiology 1976, ho Schlessinger (ed.), Microbiology 1976, ho press. Approx. Approx. 1976, ho press. Approx. 1976.

APPENDIX B TO APPENDIX D

BFO) and serum conventors have been have been interval for the serve density function of the resolution of the serve density function. L. B., Acta March 19, 1990.
L. B., Acta March 1990.
L. B., Acta March 1990.
L. B., Acta March 1990.
L. B., Acta March 1990.
L. B., Acta March 1990.
L. B., Acta March 1990.
L. B., Acta March 1990.
L. B., Acta March 1990.
L. B., Acta March 1990.
L. B., Acta March 1990.
L. B., Acta March 1990.
L. B., Acta March 1990.
L. B., Acta March 1990.
L. B., Acta March 1990.
L. B., Acta March 1990.
L. B., Acta March 1990.
L. B., Acta March 1990.
L. B., Acta March 1990.
L. B., Acta March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
March 1990.
<li

FURTHER WORK

At present, a potential cultaryotic vector at characteristic program virus. And while avail-that the trainewing subjects but it future but the trainewing subjects but it future to the trainewing subjects but it future to the trainewing subjects but it future to the trainewing subjects but it future to the trainewing subjects but it future to the trainewing subjects but it future to the trainewing subjects but it future and the trainewing subjects but it future and the trainewing subjects but it future to the traine subjects but it future subjects of a Working Group Coulseting of the School of Medicine. Drainewing School of Medicine. Drainewing School of Medicine. Drainewing School of Medicine. Drainewing School of Medicine. Dr. Madreer Levels, National Institute of Al-lergy and Infoctions Dissence.

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 176-THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 1976

NOTICES

Aliergy and interioun Diseases. Pr. Esober Martin, Nakrimal Institute of L Pr. Esober Peter-tran, Nakrimal Institute of L Dr. Billner Peter-tran, Nakrimal Institute of Na Schner, Peter-trans, Nakrimal Institute of Na Schner Peter-transmission of National Institute of Na Arrow Banktin, Nachonal Singer, Nathonal Charlor First's of Dr. Mathue Singer, Nathonal Charlor First's D Pr. Machine Singer, Nathonal Charlor First's D Mapperfeur Jr. Jon Samhrock, Oold Spring Ta

APPENDIX C TO APPENDIX D

RUMARY OF THE WORKEROP ON THE DERIGH AND TERFORC SATES PROPARATION DETILITIES AND BAUTRAILA, HON'N FOR RESERVENT ON RE-COMMENDATIONS AND ADDRESS COMMENDATIONS AND ADDRESS TOTTEY PHONE IND. In JOHA, Gallfornia

The dordogment of children overlaping of the relation of the probagalos and preservables and prostructure of the relation of the probagalos and a many provident of the relation of the relati

teon, and have a low chantee for encounter a set that and have a low chantee for mootines and there have a low chantee back in the indon-set space of the propertient in the indon-base of the physics modifications and the back of the have a low chanter back in the indon-set space set of an and the mootine set in the mootine control encloses and set in the indon-set space set of an and the mootine set in the mootine control encloses and set in the indon-set space set of an and the mootine set in the mootine and the mootine set in the mootine in the mootine and set of the mootine set in the mootine and the mootine set in the mootine out the set in the set in the set in the mootine in the mootine set in the set in the mootine and the mootine set in the set in the mootine in the set in the set in the set in the mootine in the set in the set in the set in the mootine in the set in the set in the set in the mootine in the set in the set in the set in the mootine in the set in the set in the set in the mootine in the set in the set in the set in the mootine is the intervention of the set in the mootine is the mootine set in the set in the mootine is the intervention of the set in the mootine is the intervention of the set in the intervention is the intervention of the set in the intervention is the intervention of the set in the intervention is the intervention of the set in the intervention is the intervention of the set in the intervention is the intervention of the set in the intervention is the intervention in the set in the intervention is the intervention of the set in the intervention is the intervention of the set intervention. The set is the intervention is the set in the set intervention is the set in the set intervention. The set is the set is the set in the set in the set in the set intervention is the set in the set in the set in the set in the set intervention is the set in the set in the set in the set in the set intervention is the set in the set in the set in the set in the set in the set in th

DNA. K. Matsubara, T. Mukal and X. Takagi (University of Osaka and Kyushu Univer-

etty), and G. Kohom and P. Pallippear, ity) described watcus actors that "semperators between data protection of relations and protection obtaining be-seven. Jords could used as actors that "semperators per autors are an actors that "semperators per autors and actors that "semperators per autors and actors that "semperators per autors and actors that "semperators per autors and actors that "semperators and autors and actors that "semperators are autors and actors and a short an actor and semicles and a short and actors and an actors and a short and actors and an actor and actors and a short and actors and semicles and actors and a short and actor and semicles and actors and a short and actor and semicles and actors and a short and actor and semicles and actors and a semicles and an actor and actors and a senior and actor and actor and actors and a short and actor and actors are actors and a senior and and actor and actors and a senior and and actor and actors and a senior and and actor and actors and actor and actors are actors and a senior and actor actors are actors and actors and actors and actor and actors and actors and actor actors are actors and actors and actors and actors are actors and actors and actors actors are actors and actors and actors actors are actors and actors and actors actors are actors and actors and actors actors are actors and actors and actors actors are actors and actors and actors and actors are actors and and actors are actors and actors and actors and actors are actors and a set and and and actors are actors and and actors and actors are actors and a set and and and and actors are actors and actors and actors and actors actors and actors are actors and and and actors actors and actors and actors and actors actors and actors and actors are actors and actors are actors and actors and actors actors and actors and actors and actors actors and actors and actors are actors and actors and actors and actors and actors actors and actors and and actors actors and actors and and actors a

and collaborators (Univer d.A. Ohakrabarty (Genera and Development Center) I. C. Gunsalus an aty of Illinois) and Electric Research al

41, NO.

described the properties of a variety of plasmide described the properties of a variety of second provide presidence of the plasmid provide provide and provide provi 38464

 and R. Juanzia. W. Shene Statement, one that a submitted state of the second state of the sec ohromosomal gene N species generally 8 olusters, ٠,

y Hungenton (Seeman VI) and (§) perturb Hold-in State of the Progress in the response in the second sec

The mutation of conferring bits saids searchights the saids searchight scheduling the short of the search and the hyper-tess of the search and the search an
NOTICES

М Table II Table IV Training

courses

- alds, materials ape cassottes.
- ×H. ò

y Curtiss III, University of Alabama. claw Szybalaki, University of Wiscon-

n Diego. Falkow, University of Washing-

Helinski, University of Cali-

- for a Pé facility. operation
- BIOLOGICAL SAFETY CABINETS

₩ ological une d 7 Oabinets suitab 15 Involving record described below: illated cabinet for j suitable noment õ

invard flow The art phere. moterioy ered th I.A version-only with an unrear sifts only with an unrear pow of all away from the o nut all from this onlynet harough a high-efficiency r particulate all (UHEPA) is particulate all (UHEPA) is guardinated to the outsaid particulate of the outsaid is the Center for Disease inseed of childge agents -no moduct protection is : arm length rubbe atliation: requirer , and minimum an o person d gi d ULTEG OLLIO Ê

ΗĦ

blohazard warning symbol. y techniques for blohszard

ological safety cabinets.

CONTENTS

MENTARY INFORMATION ON PHYSICAL CONTAINMENT

APPENDIX D TO APPENDIX D

streate equiremen 2. Class E C ΠÞ 'a and prod ront with d I. Ae Ca. HEP A ventilated cabinet for per-product protection, having an ofth inward air flow for per-ction, and HEFA-flittered reand HEPA-flit flow for produc exhaust air " protec filtered

÷

ыŅ

융볉

mination methods. ory spliis. l room maintenance y rules for infected a nation and disposal.

. н

toristics of chemical decon-nants in common use in labo-y operations.

₩24

C QIJ

c

ŝ h

dling. g infected animals. lelines that apply to

11TUTALIONS

performance

l animals.

habits, and practices boratory animals.

and

Q. M

비비다

centrifuges trasonic d

rasonic disintegrators, is, ball mills, jet mills, ortar and pestic. s precautions and rec-ione

ting. ges and needles, ing culture plates, f s, and ampoules,

tubes, bot-

Ē

1LC diverted out of the is suitable for CDC H E ecause o .

2 ideration ğ build-up t decontami tor depending on st may be used with hazard..... ter and, o is, and minimum ditto' at ely 30 perc from this of the labe d carefully from the standpoint to dangerous levels and problems ination of the cabinet. See Table standpoint. with ga BUCH performance require CADID sory through a HEPA an activated charcoal operation. The cab-gases or vapors that foxic, radioactive, or ballowever, any con-ballowever, any con-ballowever, any cont str ecirculates ir. The ex-TRULIOU

3. Gias III. A closed front wonthinded sub-has of gastigat construction providing func-protection for porsumal and product from contaminants tetration of the additional and contaminants tetration of the additional and contaminants tetration of the additional and contaminants tetration of the additional and contaminants tetration of the additional and contaminants of a large-atlanged product the same of at least 10.5 shollow where gauge MM supply at a HERA-atlanged to product the service-ansent. This cabinoi, first-ad with an integration of the state of the additional and the service-ansent. This cabinoi, first-ad with a mo-togeth rubber (proves, products the highest containment of these three classes of eab-ing high risk speaks (Lee, CODO setologic egents, class 4, See Table 1 for restitution requirements, agent use inhuitetions, and minimum performance requirements. d unnanut

that the im performance requirements. Integrity of any catilnet depende on and periodic evaluation to meet estab-verformance tests. Table I outlines the and the environmer HELOTDAD required protection

,			
5	e		
2	1.1		
ŕ	1 ku		۰.
	. ¥		1
ŗ	6		
1	2		
ř.			
•	2		
	- 3		
	· 8	-	
	- 20	8	
	- 2	ĸ	
	- 2	8	
	· •	2	
	95	ž.	1
	24		1
	•3	¥	
		22	ł
	68	н	2
	26 B	-	1
		5	
•	S	2	
1		3	
	57	÷.	
:	.3	49	
	8		
	- R.		

		18,	PACE VELOCITE (linear foet [ret almite)	admini	AUR, (CFN) [©]	TEAK ETCHNERS	ELENCE FILTER EFFICIENCY
Clus I	12-12 1	1	8	200	300	Not explicable	105'65
Class JI, Type 1	19-10 19-10	, L	8	360.	8	Car tight) Leak jate « Luit " co/see	3.17
Chan Hi, Type 2	21-03	5	ž	8	8	Prossure Lighty No ait/cosp buddle ot 2"wg pressure	\$5.53 1
C155 III .	z	-			÷.	Gas Elgher	

59 S10024

Į

លុងដេដ

y sweeping. cuum cleaning. ection of a cleav

Burda

1 CE gases

on of decontaminants, smical decontaminants h on recombinant DNA

τ.

A. Hioh

up of 1 of the

azardous spills. spill in a biological st.

outside a biological

olithio

1007

)g. leaning solution, -two-bucket method, -twing method, -tud areas

į۵

뉓

e biohazard spill outside a l safety cabinet, reservoir and an-----

Burddrug

В and filts

sckages containing re-

recombinant

DNA

the solution of the second

R

ais change each 3 minutes, in the full reguire more ais changes.

quiremente combinant

1970

38465

The iohazard sy ed to signi co of a bh ant, contai air, containtners, rooms, materiala, experi-nuel mainmals or combinations thereof host optimized on the second second second bio hasardous agents bio hasardous agents and the second the biohasard symbol shall be designed the biohasard symbol shall be designed the biohasard symbol shall be designed the biohasard second second second second second the biohasard second second second second second the biohasard second second second second second the biohasard second second second second second second second the biohasard second Bolog mbol) specified harein shull be y the actual or potential pros-hazard and to identify equip-ners, rooms, materials, experi-nals or constitutions thereof an or are contaminated with 182 Ξ



14 15 11 1 2 5 1 1 4 1000

The symbol shall be a prominent sa price-tion, and of a sine consistent with the size of the equipment or material to which it is named, provided the proportions shown shows are maniformed, and, it any case, that how are be supply and the second state of the symbol can be easily seen from as many directions as possible.

creditors as possible. Except when circumstances do not permit. Except when circumstances with one of the ree open circus pointed up and the other ree open circus pointed up and the other ree open circus contrast. It as a funcescent of courning a base. The symbol coor shall be a funcescent up or courses-red color.* Background for is optional as long as there is sufficient in the optional so long as the other is sufficient.



BIOHAZARD

Pertin 3-3-04 Pay-Glo² Fire Gr Bot AL Endorme 11 2115 ż

The blohazard symbol shall be i displayed only to signify the acr potential preserve of biological hazard Appropriate verthing may be used Appropriate verthing may be inde-control with the symbol to helde nature or identify of the hazard, po-natorial, responsible, for its contro-natorial, responsible, for its contro-natorial, responsible, for its contro-sectionary information. e used or actual or d in es-

this inform mbol. (See

NOTICES

10.01



ADMITTANCE TO AUTHORIZED PERSONNEL ONLY Ľ

3 onable investigator <u>...</u> se of energency colu cyfime phone <u>.....</u>

paone _____ Nome phone _____ Authorization for entrance must be obtained from the Responsible Investigator named obeve.

111, LABORATORY TECHNIQUES FOR BIOHAZARD CONTROL

A. Properting. 1. No intercloues or toxic manual should be presented by mouth (2, 3, 4).
a. R. M. S. Intercloue machine should be presented by mouth (2, 3, 4).
a. R. M. S. Intercloue machine should be presented by the presented by the should be presented by mouth (2, 3, 4).
a. R. M. S. Intercloue machine should be prove that the presented by the should be the should be the should be the should be presented by the should be should be the should be the should be the sh

tions, (11) Do not substitute for of dangerous fi

neceesary syringe. ie syringe and needle in a Biologi-Cabinet only and avoid quick and ry movements of the hand holding

> 8 d be done prior to steril-

al part. Vear sur tes only, an t securely t is inside-sicking (Luar-Lok * type) se oil; such the barst the needs b encode unit (news that the needs b encode unit (news the barset) of the unit), by the second barset of the unit), by the second barset is manipulations with needs and a manipulations with needs and

needlos and

two marks. An any compression of an animal.
 Bodors and after injection of an animal.
 Bodors and after injection of an animal.
 Particle injection with a distificients.
 Particle injection with a distificient of an animal provide any structure injection with a distificient.
 Particle injection with a distificient of distificient with the provide metal and slowly with a distificient.
 Particle injection with a distificient of an animal provide metal and slowly with a distificient.
 Particle injection with a distificient of an animal provide metal and slowly with a distificient.
 Particle injection with a distificient of the principle and placing it separately throat the principle. Attochart of the systeme of the principle should be principle and nondisposalo synthege should be principle should be principle should be principle and place principle should be principle

service area. 16. Do, not-discard syringes and needles into pans containing pipettes or other glass-ware that must be sorted out from the syringes and needles.

C. Opening Culture Fides, Tubes, Bottles, and Anynoules, 1. Pintes, tubes and hottles of rung: may release spores in large intr bers when opened. Such cultures should be me-mipulated in a Biological Safety Cabines 15

2. In the absence by low spinage, it is ng of plates, tubes incroorganisms has nector, However, it is he highly infective the highly infective olden Mr I the absence of definite socidants one spillage, it's and correat that set over-plates, trubes and bottles of other regarizes has caused laboratory in-lation of the set of the set of the plates interfere again, some information platestree agains, some information platestree agains, some information platestree agains, some information platestree agains, and are repre-ted to addy for which no known acts in the addy for which no known acts and a wadinable (3).

Decheep œ **1**11 H nd forms a film of the inverted

DERAL REGISTER, VOL. 43, NO. 176--THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 1976

phito, Arcsola are dispersed yoard that you dispersed yoard that you dispersed yoard that you dispersed yoard that you do not have been resonant form a many dispersed you was a supervised in the transmitter of the philoteneous set of the philoteneous terms and the interview balance of the philoteneous terms and the philoteneous term and the philoteneous term and the philoteneous term and the philoteneous terms and

D. Cratriculary 1. A analytic entrifuge enhances in the construction of an enhancement of the entrifuge of the enhancement o

NOTICES

38467

4. A germicidal solution should be added in the test the matching carticity of disingle test the solution and terminon real of a solution and the solu

Frimiton curs in a Biological Safety Cabines
 E. High-Speed Cartifugues (22), 1. In high-speed cursting hump-in Tables is a connected to a main hump-in Tables is a boot is connected to a main hump-in Tables is a connected to a main hump-in Tables is a connected to a main heat the main the cantifugue and the proper set is a connect in the table proper is a set of the tables and the table is a main heat the main the cantifugue and the table main be used on more than new main the provide the tables is a prome to a main the provide the tables and the table is a main the provide the tables and the table is a main the provide the tables and the table is constrained for describe and drying are in a table seal note the seal of corresion or table the main which may not to creation for the cohere mainted for describe and drying are in the seal main which may not to creation the tables mainted by the main of the seal the tables the main which may not to creation the tables mainted for the tables in tables to cohere mainted for described in the seal the tables of the works and the seal the tables which main the seal tables the mainter which may not to creation the tables the mainter which may not to the tables the tables of the works in tables in the tables the tables of the works in tables in the tables that the search which tables in tables the tables that the tables of the works in tables the tables that tables the tables in tables in the tables the search which tables and troores are well the tables the search tables the tables of the property designed these and tables in tables in the tables of the works in tables in tables of the tables the tables and tables and tables and tables of the works and tables and tables and tables of tables the tables of the tables and tables and tables of tables the tables of the tables and tables and tables of tables the tables of tables and tables and tables and tables and tables and tables and tables and tables and tables and tables and tables and tables and t

suggarbons ettra, suttaka invortation of an intervention of an intervention of a statistic intervention of a intervention of a intervention of a statistic intervention of the bord of the bord of a statistic intervention of a statistic intervention of a statistic intervention of a statistic intervention of the bord of the bord of the bord of a statistic intervention of the statistic intervention of the statistic intervention of the statistic intervention of the bord of the bord of the bord of the bord of the bord of the bord of the statistic intervention of the statistic intervention of the statistic intervention of the statistic intervention of the statistic intervention of the statistic intervention of the statistic intervention of the statistic intervention of the statistic intervention of the statistic intervention of the statistic intervention of the statistic intervention of the statistic intervention of the statistic intervention of the statistic interv siderabl single p the vari s uni table that no tems, and

NOTICES

acrossi of dried muterial Whenever possible acrossing the rarge occur during the weaking weak are provided in a Biological SetCey Dataset. Further, and a possible process of Cerearbard comparison of the purplet of the purp

Ange mask or respirator what the work re-active respirator to han the work re-active respirator to have a suitable have a the form of the sound wear a suitable have a full spectra bar and the product protection of the sound wear a suitable have and an any research of the hit to manufacture of the sound wear a suitable have an annual education of source protection (19,27).
7. For protective protection to be constructioned and operating power of the hit to manufacture of the sound wear a suitable have an annual education of source protection of annual education of source protection of annual education of source protection of annual education of source protection of annual education of source protection of annual education of source protection of annual education of source protection of annual education of source protection of annual education of source protection of annual education of source protection of annual education of source protection of annual education of source protection of annual education of source protection of annual education of source protection of annual education of source protection of annual education of source protection of annual education of source protection of annual education of the subscent of the source or annual education of source protection of annual education of annual source annual annual to have an and the protection. This specer annual to have and the protection of annual source annual to have and the protection of annual annual the source of protection of annual annual to an annual nuclear annual annua

(10) This should be done promptly after removing protective glores. Tests above it is not unusual for nitrobial or channels con-tamination to be present despite use of glores, due to unrecognized small holds, abraidant, terrs, or entry at the wrist. (11) Throughout the day, at literal unrated by the making of the wrist, unrated by the making of the wrist, write (10,28) rates asserting of the wrist, unrated by the making of the wrist unrating should be walled frequent washing of the unrating should be walled presented to strate unrating the horizon the should be washed frequent unrating the horizon the provision of hand or enamy the employer encourage these prac-tices (5,10). (V) A disinfectant wrish or dip may be destrable in some cases, but is use must not be carried to the point of carding roughne-ting, desteasion or sustituation of the skin observed, or stath lesion should not work with infective maken unlast the injured area is a barried, or each unlast in a frequent of the skin a bindon, or stath lesion should not work with infinite the maken mouth a frequent of the skin infigured the main of the skin infigured the main of the skin infigured the main of the skin infigured the main of the skin infigured the main of the skin infigured the main of the skin infigured the main of the skin infigured the main of the skin infigured the main of the skin infigured the main of the skin infigured the main of the skin infigured the main of the skin infigured the main of the skin infigured the main of the skin infigured the main of the skin infigured the main of the skin infigured the main of the skin infigured the skin in the skin in the skin infigured the skin in the skin in the skin in the skin infigured the skin in

of cultanes... venithes permission venithes permission radical cultures or especially cultures or especially cultures or respecially cultures of respectation of the cultures respectively cultures of the cultures respectively cultures of the cultures respectively cultures of the cultures respectively cultures of the cultures respectively cultures of the cultures respectively cultures of the cultures respectively cultures of the cultures respectively cultures of the cultures of the cultures respectively cultures of the cultures of the cultures respectively cultures of the cultures of the cultures of the respectively cultures of the cultures of the cultures of the cultures of the respectively cultures of the cul 18. Pers ledders s may const

or critical products reaction, Therefore, Worltastion of the springer critical service of products of the springer critical service of products of the springer critical service of the springer service of the springer critical service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service service service service service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service service of the springer service of the springer service of the springer service service of the springer servi

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 4 ĕ -THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9 1976

3846	 B. J. Beconfarmination Methods, Physical and M. Bull. Rout. That application and Math. Methods, Physical and Milling Committants. Vagous and conservation and math. Methods and My Radi. Mol. 2010. The application art heat, with the mathematical articles. Target uncertain and the decompany of the mathematical articles are shown and the mathod of the decompany of the mathematical articles. Methods and Math. Methods are shown articles are shown and mathematical articles are shown and mathematical articles are shown and mathematical articles are shown and mathematical articles are shown and mathematical articles. Methods are shown articles articles articles are shown and mathematical articles artis articles articles articles articles articles articles article
NOTICES	for each newly imported animal. A signation is a summal, and in an end as provide a from an important and an end and an animal sub present flat the summals are present flat the summal are present end of the summal are present end of the summal are present flat the result of the summal are present flat the result of the summal are present flat the result of the summal are present flat the result of the summal are present flat the result of the summal are present flat the result of the summal are present flat the result of the summal are present flat the result of the summal are present flat the result of the summal are present flat the result of the summal are present flat the result of the summal are present flat the result of the summal are present flat the result of the summal are present flat the result of the summal are present flat the result of the summal are present flat the result of the summal are present flat the result be summal are present flat the result be summal are result of the summal are the result be summal are the result be summal are are also the summal are superside an interval to the summal are are also the summal
	aboratory each day by street shoes. Shoes were a loss story there were a loss of the arm may backet by the event of a loss that are may be present and an effort (a). The arm were are are are are are are are are are

G

217

v

optaning in practical tests with the nutcessing optaning (a) of nitrenset.
G. Laboratory split. A troublessing problem are presented in the induced split.
The occurrense of a split passes lease and a split passes of parsonnal entry of the split passes of parsonnal transfer of numeerials and split passes of parsonnal split passes of parsonnal transfer of the split passes of parsonnal transfer of the split passes

and become a major problem when there
 a sub sequencement that all wastes be de-stated reprint that a significant proton of this monitory interests. Initially settering, into innovation apples are the peckaging materials in which all apples are the peckaging materials in which all apples are the peckaging materials in which all apples are the peckaging materials in which all apples are the peckaging materials in which all apples are the peckaging materials in which all apples are the peckaging materials in which all apples are the peckaging materials in the prob-constantianton and disposel does not consisting and all apples the their disposel in the their disposel and the period of materials materials in the prob-constantianton and disposel does not constant are that their disposel does not constant are that their disposel does not constant and the prob-tom the solution allowed by requiring the seat and the period of materials in the prob-posel and disposel does not constant are the materials in the state of materials are problem can be disposed in a settle con-tage and the problem and the prob-tom the backed and the problem and the prob-posel and disposed and the state of materials are problem to disposed and the state of materials are proposed and disposed and the state of materials are proposed and disposed and the state of materials are proposed and disposed and the state of materials are proposed and disposed and the state of materials are proposed and disposed and the state of materials are proposed and disposed and allower the another proposed and disposed of the antorshall do the proposed and disposed of the antorshall do the proposed and disposed of the antorshall do the proposed and disposed of the antorshall do the proposed and disposed of the antorshall do the proposed and disposed of the antorshall do the proposed and disposed of the antorshall do the proposed and disposed disposed of the state of materis and proposed and disposed disposed dis the state of materis d

free decontantinuits, and some, when added in sufficient cynarity, find use as decontan-tinuity of evolution of microspatiants by channel performance in the content of the second performance performance in the content of the second performance in the content of microspatiants by channel in channel of the second performance in the second performance in the content of the second performance in the second microspatiants with the second per-performance in the second performance in the second per-performance in the second performance in the second performance in the second performance in the second performance in the second per-performance in the second performance in the second performance in the second performance in the second performance in the second per-perior of sector interview with the initia can inducated second performance in the sector interview interview in the initial is an inducated second performance in the second performance in the second performance in the second performance in the second is an inducated second performance in the second performance in the second performance in the second performance in the second is a nucleon of the second performance in the second performance in the second most is a nucleon performance in the second is a nucleon in the second most is a nucleon in the second most is a nucleon in the second most is a nucleon in the second most is a nucleon in the second most is a nucleon in the second most is a nucleon in the second most is a nucleon in the second most is a nucleon in the second most is a nucleon in the second most is a nucleon in the second most is a nucleon in the second most is a nucleon in the second most is a nucleon in the second most is a nucleon in the second most is a nucleon in the second most is a nucleon in the second most is a nucleon in the second most is a nucleon in the second is a second the second most

Ineffectiveness of a decontaminant is due primarily to the failure of the de-e contaminant to cortact the microver-trains rather than failure of the decon-taminant to act. If one places an item in taminant to act. If one places an item in

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL 41, NO. 176-THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER

a liquid decontantinant, one can see that of the bubbles at the stress will not be optimized in these of the bubbles at the stress will not be optimized in the optimized of the bubbles of

NOTICES

219

organisms and are neutralized by anionic detergents, such as seas. The Quark have the atvantages of being nontoxic, odorices, non-statimus, noncorrosive to mutally stable, and inscreenting.

Pressing and the second and were source and the second and were spatial and the source strain second and were source and the source of the source strain and the source strain and the source strain and the source strain second and strain second

38471

and cleaning should rocker parkicular prikation of a strain part of strains particular similar particular similar particular similar particular similar particular similar particular similar particular similar particular similar particular similar particular similar particular similar particular similar particular similar simular sinterval similar similar similar simular similar simi

elstant.

A decontaminant selected on the basis of its effectiveness against microorgamisms on any range of the resistance scale will be st-

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER SEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO., 176-

NOTICES

sumed that any other microorganism ated by laboratory operations, even in concentrations, would also be inactindsms lower on the ntaminants that ef-rms are selected for ntamination, it can

An additional area that must be considered an additional area that must be considered mutodic adds. Nucleic adds often lass better survival characteristar under adverse con-stations than do the inset virtous and cells from which they were derived. Strong out-ditzers, strong adds and bases, and either gescous or squeex formatderize shully ast readily with mulcic adds. Their ability

to desire the nucleic and being studied however, should be entitled and being studied members, haborator, Beenada di Hante din-terences in the chemistry of EXA and DNA the effectiveness of a decontaminant for con-cannols be extrapolated to the other, For es-ample, EXA thoisening are susceptible to mild alkalus hydolysis by rithen of the free hy-droxyl group in the 3' position, whereas DNA molecules are not susceptible to mild alka-ing by the production of the free hy-droxyl group in the 3' position, whereas DNA molecules are not susceptible to mild alka-ing by the production of the free hy-droxyl group in the 3' position. The weeks model of the production is and the susceptible in the production in the weeks-ing the susceptible of the susceptible of the susceptible in the production is and contact thread in the production of manufacturers of proprising modations of manufacturers of proprising the susceptible in the susceptible of the susceptible of the susceptible in the susceptible of the subsceptible of the susceptible in the susceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible in the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible in the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible in the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible in the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible in the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible in the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible in the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible in the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible in the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible in the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible in the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible of the subsceptible o

1

a montruins. I has not been assured a montruins. I has not been assured as the holosical overlap operation and con-cepts holosical operations and con-cepts holosical operations and con-cepts are only minister of should be articles. It should be emphasized this, this a data are only indicater of should be of the decomminants alsolut on con-trained and the contrainant investigato in the decommendation of the should be of the decommendation of the should be of the decommendation of a diverse minordor. Even is desired as the should be outported by originating as the should be outported in the distribution of a diverse minordor. Even is the should be and the should be desired by the should be and the should be a should be an analysis of polarity is the should be and the should be and its of the should be and the should be a should be and the should be and a should be and the should be and its of the should be and the should be a should be and the should be and a should be and the should be and its of the should be and the should be a should be and the should be and a should be and the should be and its of the should be and It has acy under acy of any e conclu-estigators. if the de-ntages and

		2			_		_			1	1	1	I
A state of the state	الرياد المرد		-Autobary to		كالمشعار المتواجز	Anyonel, mapt	Printed	Diama Cont	N-14 CH	1.	ł	1	
	5	3	*	3	3	2	*		*	,	5	The Till and The Till and T	7
	•		*		*		×	ĸ	н		97	1946 1	2
			×	*	F	T	×		Ļ	F	56	1	
김 문 문		*						•			₽.	*	1
- H H	È	1	_	-	_					<u> </u>	.	wik 1	
語辞	Ŀ	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	Ŀ	112.	urt.	Ţ
-111	•	$ \cdot $	•	•	-	÷	•	•	+	ŀ	1100	funds	
14	÷	<u>[</u>	÷		-	-	÷	÷		⊢	INC.	Page 1	-
ii ii	Ē	i e		•	1.	1.	•	-	•	1.	122		
啊"	F	1			F	ŀ	-	••	•	İ.	-	puq	
		Ι.			١.	17	□ ,	-	1	1	1.	nat .	
				-	1.	1	t-	-	F	1-	t.	11.000	
1.1.1	-	<u> </u>	-	-	-	⊢			1.	Ι.	1		
	┣		-	-	-		h.		<u> </u> _	H-	1	TENITCE	
	÷	 .			┟┈╸	ŀ		·	-		1 100	att form	ţ,
	H			-		-	i–	_	<u>├</u>	ŀ	1.00	TRANTE	-
	•								2	[(OH	Aline	
	•	-	٠	٠			Ŀ	•		Ŀ	1.00	e terçiyen	
12	Ŀ	ŀ	٠	٠	•	ŀ		٠	•	ŀ	iπ	jajint 	
		•				ľ	Ŀ	•	<u> </u>		1	10/1087	
1	•	•	٠	٠	•	ŀ	•	٠	•	Ŀ	j teu	16 B	
行じたい	L		٠.	-	12	Ŀ	<u>-</u>	•	~	ŀ	1	1.44.60	а
			1	•	-	1.	۱ ~	•	<u> ~</u>	•	\$1	-	3
	-	.									100	a any kun	1
t tal. Maria	•						1		Ì	1	15	60405.1ML	dito
		<u> </u>	•	•	1	<u> </u>	-	•	~	-	1	HAT ROR	1
1	F			Г			1.			l	18	CTALL DAL MICHA	l. 1
				~	1	Г	1.	1	1	t	Ē	ioun tur,	1 ×
- C					ľ	Γ	Γ	1			1	CONTING DOOR	
	•	~			ł	Í		İ٠	Ī	1-	T	Kt b Holic	[·
1 A.	Γ		-	-	Γ.	1	Γ	÷			1	nk fal	Ι.
en de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition En la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la				Ì	Í	Ĺ	Í	÷	Ì	İ	Ì 🖛	6, MPT0	
1.1	1	1			1	٣	Γ.		1	Ϊ.	Γ		· _
		Availat, caoche, especie	Ĩ	1			4-448, 10787, 101128-047, 1840	Manufact V, GOOL, 200		ANY OF MARY MARY MARY			burdinus sociatalisme biet
	1			1	1			1	1_	11	L		۴,

A Antroduction, Well-defined housekeeping ing proceedures and schedules are essential in roducting in this of ourselfing with atta-ing the sense of the procedure in the schedule and in all areas used for the housing of ant-the sense of the schedule in the schedule in the second in all areas used for the housing of ant-ing proceedures in the schedule in the second in all areas used for the housing of ant-second in all areas used for the housing of ant-the schedule housekeeping program limits physi-al clivice that local distances the scheduler and interfere with the schedules of inhom-tor physical injury or contemination, and pro-are foreing reduces the the housing of the procedures of a barrent in the input of the and interfere with the schedules of inhom-tor physical injury or contemination, and pro-are foreing reduces the broading of the mather and schedules in the schedules of a clean area their promotes the officient in-the interfere with the schedule of the infunction for the schedule on the schedule in any schedule in the schedule on a clean area to broading or as marcored as the interpreted as broading or as an around and interpreted as broading or as an around and the interpreted in the scenation of the interpreted in the scenation of the interpreted in the scenation of the interpreted in the scenation of the interpreted in the scenation of the interpreted in the scenation of the interpreted in the scenation of the scenation for many ad-the interpreted in the scenation of the procedures found under schedule with the schedule in the scenation of the scenation for many basis of a physical and schema-section for many basis of a physical and the scenation for many basis of a physical and the scenation in the schemation of the schemation of the procedures bound for the schemation of the scenation of the schemation of the interpreted in the schemation of the schemation of the interpreted in the schemation of the based of the basekeeping. HOUSESEEPING

usekeeping in the bio-

mplishment of the research

Porgram. 2. Frowide work areas devoid of physical 1 Anardu. 3. Frowide a clean work areas with book-ground contamination ideally held to a zero ground contamination ideally held to a zero lowel but more realistically to a level such that astanovillung measures in steril toda-inque are not required to mathetin integ-rity of the biological gretern being rivesurfied.

any one provided speedual products of materials
 Prevent and post-spectrum. The second state of the speedual state of the speedual state of the speedual state of the speedual s

a connectuation of secondary acrosolization by presentating and our more many, and our presentation of presentation of presentation.
 a case antergrating examples and about a mathematic and anterpresentation of presentation.
 a base anterfaction of a presentation of presentation of presentation.
 a base anterfaction of a presentation and to constant a management of a presentation and to constant a management of the presentation and to constant a management of the presentation and to constant a second presentation. The approximate of the presentation and to constant a second presentation and to constant a second a presentation and to constant a second a presentation and to constant a second a presentation and to constant a second a presentation and the presentation. The approximate a presentation and the presentation and the presentation and the presentation and the presentation and the presentation and the presentation and the presentation and the presentation and the presentation and the presentation and the presentation and the presentation and the presentation and the presentation and the presentation and the presentation and the presentation are a many and the presentation presentation are a presentation and presentation are appresented at a second presentation are appresented at a presentation and the presentation are appresented at a presentation and the presentation are appresented at a presentation are appresented at a presentation are appresented at a presentation are appresented at a presentation are appresented at a presentation are appresented at a presentation are appresented at a presentation are appresented at a presentation are appresented at a presentation are app

FEDERAL REGISTER; VOL 41, NO. 176-THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 1976

1	NOTICES	
Administration Areas Alsies	discharged to a sanitary sewer until it has been autoclaved or given further chemical	diate work a
Animal Food Storage Animal Bedding Storage	treatment, such as by the addition of sodi- um hypochiorite sufficient to provide a final	taminant. T change of le
Bench Tops and Other Work Surfaces	concentration of 500 ppm chlorins. Most household bleaches are marketed with a	as to minim other areas o
Change Rooms	dilution of 1:100, yield 525 ppm of available	ment in wo
Cleaning Solution Disposal Cages and Oago Racks	chlorthe. Atter allowing a contact time of 15 minutes, these solutions may be flushed	open labora use it, it is i
Dry Lee Chests Doop Freezo Chests	down any available drain. Uniorine solutions in these high concentrations may be too	zation of n
Entry and Exit Ways Equipment Storage	corrosive for general application to floors and equipment, In any event, if solutions	emptied fro cause comp
Floors Olassware	are used in this way, after the contact time the area should be rinsed with water.	gases into the problem, all
General Laboratory Equipment Cleanup Hallways	C. Dry succepting While it is recommended that dry sweeping he minimized, this may be	plastic bag, incinerated
Incubators Instruments	the only method available or practionale under certain circumstances in such cases	When dry
Lister and rocent Control	sweeping compounds used with push upputes and dry-dust mop headed treated to suppress	nas been us inet system,
Mecroaution Legui pratera Arosa	Secondization of dust shound of used	double-door equipped wi
Figerators Refigerators	usual janitoriai suppy anna tan ja varao categories:	emptying of
Supply Storage	wax-passed compounds used on virial noors and waxed floor coverings.	of the dete
UV LAMPS Vacuum Clepners	Oil-based compounds for concieve moors. Oil-based compounds with abrasives (such	the floor, th tions on fill
Waste Accumulations Waste Water Disnosal	as sand) to achieve a dry scouring action where which soft is present.	addition, th
Others	Dry-dust mon heads can be purchased as	operating ch
Housekeeping in the laboratory is one of the avenues that leads to accomplishing the	sole heads that must be treated with appro-	filter failure
resoarch program safely. It is important that housekeening tooke he sectioned to nerconnel	priate sprays or by other means to improve their dust-centuring property.	trum cleaner tein decente
who are knowlodgenble of the research pro-	D. Vacuum cleaning. In the absence of a	rnay be pou
gram and special nazards of the research en- vironment. The recommended approach to	dry industrial-type vacuum cleaner is a	from an ov
housekeeping is the assignment of house- keeping tasks to the research teams on an in-	potent aerosol generator. The MEFA-mitered exhaust used in conjunction with a well-	should then chlorine solu
dividual basis for their inmediate work arous	sealed racuum unit, however, can negate this factor because of its ability to reas large	Provisions
mon usage, Similarly, animal caretaker per-	volumes of exhaust alr while retaining par-	er with form
sonnel should be responsible for nousekcep- ing in animal care areas. The laboratory su-	cent. Wet and dry units incorporating a	if the vacua
pervisor must determine the frequency with which the fudividual and cooperative house-	HEFA DIEF OF NO ENGLISH ALS BY HEADE ALOUN	Cleanup of o E. Selectic
keeping chores need be accomplished. He should provide schedules and perform fre-	There are no particular requirements with respect to the manner in which the dry	nelection of a
quent inspection to assure compliance. This approach ownres that research work flow	vacuuming is accomplished other than to complasize that the objective is to remove all	tory complex ments of th
patterns will not be interrupted by an allen	debris and particulate matter. The manufac- turer's directions adoctately detail the fre-	contaminatio
will be handled only by those most knowl-	quency of bag changes, filter changes, and	will be ident
the location of concentrated biological prep-	Dry material vacuum-collected during these	Include fun
arations and contaminated equiprism used in their preparation and application will be	taminated, but the nature of the risk is	ing solution.
known. B. Floor care. Avoidance of dry sweeping	probably greater to the experiment than to the experimenter. It is wise to effect has and	ntsms would cessively con
and dusting will reduce the formation of nonspecific environmental sergeols. Wet mon-	filter changes and to clean out collection tanks in a manner that will avoid or mini-	in those rar sumed that]
ping or vacuum cleaning with a high-effi- clency marticulate at (TEPA) fitter on the	mize acrosolizing the contents of the vacuum cleaner.	by laborator spores are n
exhaust is recommended.	A vacuum machine that collects debris in	ments than
Caretul consideration must be given to de- sign and quality in the selection of cleaning	that collect the major debris in a tank and	bedding and
equipment and materials and in their use to prevent the substitution of one hazard for	may serve as a primary miser, aven mough it	tion in their
unother. In the shearse of ouset hemoricans rulls	bag must be removed with caution. A bel- lows effect may pump dust out of the bag	wide range mildiv sport
the cleaning process commonly will consist	If its intake opening is not sealed hefore moving it to a plastic had for transfer out of	are not destr
or an initial vacuuming to remove an gross purticulate matter and a follow-up wet	the area. In any event, the outer surface of	with a doter
mopping with a solution of chemical de-	the disposable bag will propably bear some	and have be

DOCULT may the e đ Aomor 10

Oken ental ma collectio the In SUL a ia To avoi torials, to tanks an bost done tory area tory area heavy ru glass 19 changes,

uing up a spill of infected mate-

Tel, c

 \mathbf{x}

e a

1 aver estdual cle ed by a ckup wa nately filt do do

moval of accompli-dry mop has an a has an a has an a

뷥귯

contal pendii clenne

-O

the equipment should th moistened in decon-ator might plan for a olothing afterwards so fing contamination into 38473 the equ and 2,9 %

this construction of the state

Ify vacuum ciencities equipment und within a generation and sindands or carboryclares of an arizonate extra an ethylete ordin an arizonate ethyl an ethylete ordin an arizonate ethyleter periodicio an arizonate ethyleter periodicio and an arizonate ethyleter periodicio and an arizonate ethyleter periodicio and an arizonate ethyleter periodicio and an arizonate ethyleter periodicio and an arizonate ethyleter periodicio and an arizonate ethyleter periodicio and an arizonate ethyleter periodicio and an arizonate ethyleter periodicio and an arizonate ethyleter periodicio and an arizonate ethyleter periodicio and and and arizonate ethyleter periodicio and an arizonate ethyleter periodicio and and and arizonate and arizonati materiala. These fluctuation courter drawn as or calcurate and arizonation and arizonation and arizonation ethyleter and arizonation and arizonation and arizonation arizonation and arizonation and arizonation arizonation and arizonationation arizonation tion arizonationation arizonation arizonation arizonation arizonation arizonation arizonation arizonation arizonationarizonation arizonation arizonation arizonation arizonat r routine use in cs. There are num combinations available as nois are one try as douting auterna douting quaterna douting compound shyde, gli f alcoho de, These znds, on the a broad spect t include t Indine 8 ę ja

The laboratory selection from these

ŝ

uld make a

1976 6 rhursday, september NO. 176 VOL REGISTER, FOERAL

221

38474:-

ie which meet the general criteria of effec-ences, residual properties, and low corro-ences

Revenues. Wet: morphing—theor-bucket mathod. Wet morphing of floors in haboratory and animal care areas is, from a safety standpoint, mest conventionally and emission. The principal destruction and a system is that fresh destruction with a provide system. The principal destruction with a provide system is that fresh destruction with a provide system. The principal destruction with a provide system is a solution with a provide system. The principal destruction with a provide system is a provide system is a solution with a provide system is a provide the provide system is a solution with a provide system is a solution with a provide system is a solution with a provide system is a provide system is a provide system is a provide system is a provide system is a provide system is a solution with a provide system is a solution with a provide system is a solution with a provide system is a provide system is a provide system in the system is a provide system is a provide system is a provide system is a solution with a provide system is a provide system is a provide system is a provide system is a provide system is a provide system in the system is a provide system is a pr

and any an minutes, sectore dumping in the analysis were. G. Alternative ploor cleaning method placed care acts and areas alternative for permanently placed in the provident and provide the place of permanently placed support with the analysis of provident into the place of permanently placed support with a sector plate and place of permanently placed support of the place of permanent and place of permanent with a sector of place of the pla

r treatment with a resultization does no r washdown, pour a he a not require from half-gallon of deter-

genn--decontaminant solution into the drain week to keep the trap in the waste line i against backup of sever guess.

NOTICES

VUI. CLEAN-UP OF BIOFAZARDOUS SPILLS (8, 8, 10)

A. Biologardou spill is a biological salety man contrast decommanization processing of the second sec

a. warn cutars not to entrop the containt
 a. and area.
 a. Bemore and put into a container contain

plastic bags the plastic a cult. 9. The dust pan and squeegee should be placed in an autoclassible bag and auto-clased according to standard directions. Con-clased according to standard directions. Con-clased they alton be with non sutcellavable placet has alton a with non sutcellavable plaset age autoclasting can be very diff-tible plastic after autoclasting can be very diff-tible plastic after autoclasting can be very diff-tible plastic after autoclasting can be very diff-tible plastic after autoclasting can be very diff-tible plastic after autoclasting can be very diff-tible plastic after autoclasting can be very diff-tible plastic after autoclasting can be very diff-tible plastic after autoclasting can be very diff-tible plastic after autoclasting can be very diff-tible plastic after autoclasting can be very diff-tible plastic after autoclasting can be very diff-tible plastic after autoclasting can be very difficult after autoclastic age and can be very difficult after a super can be very difficult and can be very difficult after a super can be very difficult after autoclasting can be very difficult after a super can be very difficult after a super can be very difficult and can be very difficult after a super

C. Radioarity biokazard spill outside a biological angles that the weat that a biological solution of the second spill and biokazarchow spill also theories a radiation in hazard, the desarrup procedure may have to re be roofined depending on an evaluation of the risk assessment of relative biological and his radiological hazarching relations the sub-cluberterize hazarching relations for solutions in the risk assessment of relative biological his radiological hazarching relations for son-cluberterize hazarching relations for son-relation projection officer spinlable for son-a miledion.

The following procedure indicates suggest-variations from the biohazard spill pro-

have been starred

Ohanges in procédures and italieized.

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER

۰ 1976

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO.

occure (above) that should be considered when a radioactive biohazard spill occurs out-side a Biological Safer Cohunet. I. Bioling your breach, leave the room im-mediatoly mad close the door. 2. Warm others not to enter the contami-meter area

door. to enter the contami-

Enmove and pute in a consistner constructed statisticated grammits for autoolasting and the theorem of the statisticate of the statistical statistis statistical statistis statistical statistical statistical st

dered 6. Pot

we.

1 G. FOUT a decontrainant solution (6% todo-p) for or 5% hypotholetis are seconnecded) and the spill and allow to from into the environment of the spill and allow to from into the environment of the spill and allow to four the environment of the spill and the spill environment of the spill and the spill environment of the spill will know the gpill annihum solution directly onto the gpill annihum solution directly onto the gpill annihum solution directly onto the gpill annihum solution directly onto the gpill annihum solution and gpill will know the spill annihum solution and the spill in the spill annihum solution and and somittee are indirectly onto the spourts are present hand and body radiation exposure the spill annihum body and the oldern -up opera-tion is begin.

provense hand a wake been relation as genomes and a state determination begins the Charn-up operation of the later structure begins the Charn-up operative of the been structure begins the Charn-up operative of the been structure begins the charn-up operative of the been structure and the structure structure begins the structure and structure

IX. A SECONDARY RESERVOIR AND FILTRATION APPARATUS FOR VACUUM SYSTEMS

from appreciant of these culture media from manoalogy entitures and of superna-tion and the second second second pro-cedure in many haloratories. To provest the occluster in many haloratories in opportunity outside of house vanuum systems of halora-tory pumps, some investigators have in-dealed aids arm investigators have in-dealed aids arm investigators have in-selled aids arm investigators have in-selled aids arm investigators have in-selled aids arm investigators have in-selled aids are second in the investigators have seered and the menum have housing sgent.

The second se	at the contents of this conjectment are properly classified, described by proper process, marked and labeling and are large to accore condition for exterines we are proceeding on the second and are large to the contract of a property and provided Articles Rectations ¹¹ The constantment is within the final value Restricted Articles Rectations ¹¹ The constantment is within the final value SINCER ALICEANTICANCO ONLY (neese out nonpolicable).	Spellt Each Article Scenardty Classification Net Classifie Uroper Shipolas Name) Classification Net Package ETIOLOGIC AGENT, n.o. ETIO. AG.	Date	A 194TUIIBCMAY CEPTEMBED & 1074
envirted action with corrende speed: much consider and the setting wattrantism may large short most the statist and the state of the state in model and the state of the state	A stranding for the second sec	Vo	FLOURE I FLOURE I TABLE IN THE AND A THE AND A THEOREM AND SHIFTING A THE AND THE AND A THEOREM A THEOREM AND A THEOREM AND A THEOREM AND A THEOREM AND A THEOREM AND A THEOREM AND A THEOREM AND A THEOREM AND A THEOREM AND A THEOREM AND A THEOREM AND A THEOREM AND A THEOREM A THEOREM A THEOREM A THEOREM A THEOREM A THEOREM A THEOREM A THEOREM A THEOREM A THEOREM A THEOREM A THEOREM A THEOREM	TTOTAL BUOKERS UNIT

223

 (\overline{e})

ē	ō.
Ň	Ξ.
•	ч.
¢	л.

atalner exceeds the 50 ml lir striction must be indicated o ertificate by crossing out "Pa 2 Material limita i on th Passen icted.

tee is used as a refrigerant ar p A-DRY IOE LABEL" should the outer shipping container

NOTICES

£ The i (1) Desc. (1) paragr 100 0i cu⊽ for used an esignated on ransportation ЧШ ٦ ت IB label. —In addl-g require-7 cited, in-7 ant DNA date (PS

APPENDIX D, PAGE D-83

(3) International Parcel Fost-Instructions
Given by Sender (FOD 2922) label.
(4) Dispatch note (FOD 2972) tag.
(5) "Violet Label" (4) Dispatch note (POD 2972) tag.
(5) "Violet Label"
(6) Shipper's Certificate specified in the

(2) Fare 2966-A) la (3) Inter

1 Post

g

Declaration (FS

are listed i Fees" (US current International Air Transport Associa-tion Twriff. Individual country requirements are listed in "International Fostage Rates and (USPO Publication 51),

Saaled wisi(s) or small gluen tast tube, strew c Gr stopper, tiped Container da5 Tucking ٤ of, factoger TABLE 111 Matal can 1" diam, 1 D.D. wetal screw cap Material in Volumes 1000 Secondary Conteiner į then 30 mJ. Yone Regulred None Padda Ziberbody; setal screw csp, top and lottom; J-1/2" dism, m 7 to 7-1/2" G.D.

Cuter Shipping g

ł.

ä۵ Witiple vetertight wials or * tuber, toped stoppers Plastic⁴ attest tap⁴ bott3e or Pytex glass with akirt pubber stoppet One 20 x 130 mm test tobe, taped% stopper or multiple spail visit ١. Dre or more friction-eval tin cans b/ 306 x 400 of larger Metal can 2-1/2" dian. x 6-1/2" high 0.D. porew cap Metal can 2-1/2" dise. x 6-1/2" high 0.0, acrew May

ie .

he flandbilly of he plantic both requires bld a stoppy op serve op be served ap here by showing they. The analysis quitainte-its flame her-ded pescription both is not farlled for user for all threaders, all togets, so dop to plany more here the second in the with wire. Less or solar same, and all serve-capat continue of wattrane liquid and by plant both is not dop

D. - outoida dimension. Nonparticulate absoluent material at top, boitom and addre that will completely absorb contents of the primary contains(s)

/ 410 x 702 and 604 x 905 are trade devignations for cutaids dimensions of 5-10/16 inches diamoter x 7-5/16" height, and 6-4/10" w 9-4/16" Bono required; but with the 206 x 400 tens OF larger cane use sufficient mongarticulate shock-absorbent material to prevent ratting.

(4) If uncottant are no a refrigence, it is responsed that an energed be unit to contain the vertication and the second (original) over a highly container. A look prof. context container was be reached to be and to wave iten. If dry less to wait the more exactiver was prof. release of error involves interior, reports more to provide to boat the container(s) in the original position(s) after yor to (15 the mit boardet exactives are involved. The container(s) in the original position(s) after yor to (15 the mit boardet exactives are involved.

FEDERAL REGISTE

FURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9

Fiberboard box

71barbody; metal scree eap, top and bottom; 3-3/4" djum, x 7 to 7-1/2" 0,0,

Hope Regulated -

Fiberbody; metal actes cap, top and bottom; 3-1/4" dism. % 7 to 7-1/2" 0.0.

LO .	
<u>C</u>]	
3	

			5.6		APPEN	DIX D. Page D	-84		
		LContaint LContaint LContaint Lefteur	Corrugated fibers board or cardboard box, Laped shut	YJC entdeared bom PS3 type 9-3/16" x 9-3/16" x 11-1/4" htp C.D. taged blue with 3" type PS3 tage	VIG errdboard box P53 type, 9-3/164 x 9-3/16 = x 11-1/4 high C.D. taped whut with T' kype P53 tage	VIC cerdboard box 753 type, 9-3/16" x 9-3/16" x 11-1/4" high C.D. tuped thut kith J" type 753 tepa	VIC cardioated box 12-1/5" x 12-1/4" 2. D-210" bigh 2. D-210" bigh 2. theod a bug with "" vide PS] Lape.	VIC cardinard box 11-1/7 kint 20-1/16 kint 0.D. boyci shu with T under 75 top. For the M. I top to the speed hor is a sardhourd hor is a keyed	६ भूभवत भारत सर-व्हत्रांबद
	 	Duter Shipeing Nith Refrigerant	Plbathàrd box cloaely fitting the atyrofoae box, taped ahut	Fiberbûard box cloaaty Essesant ihe styrofoam box, teped alut	Ylberbard box thealy ficting the aryrofoem box, taped abut	Piberbard bar closely fitting the styrofoam bar, taped dhur	Fiberboard box closely ficting the elycolose box, iaped abst	Fiberbard bar eloanfy fitting the stroform box, taped shut	The word syntra bot-sis y containers wut be sec sill polyfinyl tubicg he
	gradbar	Hthout r[sered\$. Ta	: آ	ন	ज	à	۲	ve taple. Es primar
(CE)	a An Voluces of 50 ml or	Mich <u>Pecking</u> Mich <u>Percipetant</u> <u>Be</u>	Styrofoam box shock- absorbent fusulation	Styrofoan box ahock- absothent fooulattoa	Etyrofosm box abock- absorbant Insulation	ätyrofaan box abook- absorbent insulstiog	Styrofoan box aboxa aboxa shaorbent fisulation	Styrofoat but shock- absorbent insulation	cured in place by adham reports, corks, and cap in liquid must be place it the errow cap.
	TAULT I Eption ôf Anchages for Hatarial	Sacondary Container	Consists of metal con- tainer & outor container specified in Table III	No. 3 crimp and the can be a 200 or a 1-gellon friction-seal the can 510 x 708, top soldered or Elipped 31 4 points $\underline{b}/$	Mai 3 tritap seal tin tan 604 ar 200 or a 1.62allon Friction-seal tin can 510 ar 708, top moldered or tiltyped at 4 points y	No: 3 crimp meal tin can 405 x 700 or a leallon Friction-seal tin can 610 x 708, tog soldered of elipped, at 4 points <u>b</u> /	2-gallon friction-seal tim easy 60% bop soldered or filpped at 4 poince b	No. 12 criap weal tri cm 503 x 803 zajon frictetor- seat in con, 804 x 903 top soldered ar clipped at 4 joints g/	iat à stopper ar arred day be bu une. Por eir transport, all ar res-tapped containers of unfror i that may result in leakage pan
		Packfing	1	.Э	ی د م	้ จ	े । ज	°ai,	fregulres th fregulation for and all and scorpression
		Refeary Containst	Plaatics or Press glaan acces cape bottlat subber or shire rubber atoppes, tapada	Ona 100 mL plastica sereo cap ^a narcos nack bortla or Pyrax glase, tapeda	Tud 100 mL plastic scree qop bottas or fyrex glass, taped	Ome 250 mi, plaatic ⁴ carcor mouth serev cap ⁶ bottia or fyrex glass skirted ruber gloper, kaped ⁸	the 250 ml plastic acres cap bottles or tyres gisse bottles capeds	500 ml Pyrex gloom bortis, tabber-alter etopper, tapaci, of 500 ml plastics bortis, narrou or vide pouts, acrev caps, taped	Lity of the plactic bottle prescription bottle is too wire, tape, or other means a to prevent atmospheric d
 		1	200	100	81 .	2	21	\$ i	"The flaribi flat-sided place with at both end

6.

yl barynetechen doorban meerski at tay, betem në atëm that vill canpletely darch parente at the pri**ury bandure(d).** 16 AD x 700 aud 804 a 900 are trede dasgartima faç ourske dimension of d-10/16 indua diemeer a 1-11/10⁴ and <mark>d-11/10⁴ a 0-11/10⁴ a</mark> distration

4. At when at least equal to that between the primery and according container(a), at the top, bottom, at the over hipping container. The shock handown knetch in all the so placed that the recondary container as the varie for a dy for 15 distribution to the source of the source. e) Shock absorbent material, the accordary container an not become loose Auside the

 $^{\circ}$

1976

SEPTEMBED

-THURSDAY,

Ľ. Ş

Š

REDERAL REGISTE

NOTIC

APPENDIX D, Page D-85

AND WELFARE

TMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, A PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE GRITER FOR OISEASE CONTROL ATLANTA, GROBIL ASIA Torphone: (404) 633-3317, EXL 31#33

TITLE 42-PUBLIC HEALTH

I-Public Health Service, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare SUBCHAPTER F-OUARANTINE, INSPECTION, LICENSING

PART 72-INTERSTATE QUARANTINE

Subpart C-Shipment of Certain Things

ettion 72.25 of Part 72, Title 42, Code Federal Regulations, is amended to Las follows: .25 Etiologic agenus.

Definitions. As used in this see-

(1) An "skologic agent" menne k vj. (1) An "skologic agent" menne k vj. (2) An "skologic agent" menne k vj. (3) An "skologic agent" menne sake netrovarsnike sector. (3) A "diagnosite, speciment" menne say hunen or antinai, material holdu hold and its components. Usua, anti kasa fluids being skilped for purpose of disgnosit. (3) A "skological product" menne a holduical product prepared and mann-technical and sector prepared and mann-ing half holdures. (4) EFE part fit ing purpose in the product" menne a holduical product was he antisk, and pre-holdures for EXPLANT in allowing metaletics. (4) EXPLANT in allowing metaletics. (4) EXPLANT is allowing metaletics. (5) EXPLANT is allowing metaletics. (5) EXPLANT is allowing metaletics. (6) EXPLANT is allowing requirements. (7) An allowing requirements. (7) Explant in the subal provi allowing precision results and products for EXPLANT is allowing requirements. (7) Explant in the subal provided in the subal product in intersite traffic directly or indirectly, any material, in-electing but no indirectly transport of couse is allowing or indirectly investor of the specific halfing, or real-and, and elidentic spectures is and material is product a specific traffic metaleting of a cousting is provided by anchi-ment and holding is allowing to with-electing of a cousting is provided by anchi-ment and holding and anterial, in-terial and and allowing a specific specific and challenge of cousting is provided by anchi-dent to countan, and claim cent is allowing a specific and challenge of cousting is provided by anchi-dent to countan, and claim cent is allowing a anter allowing a specific specific specific and challenge of cousting is provided by anter allowing and allowing a material is allowing a anter allowing a specific specific specific specific specific and challenge of countants and allowing a material is allowing and challenge of countants and allowing a material is allowing and challenge of countants and allowing a material ispeciments and allowing a specific

(c) Iransportalizy: etislopic agenti Model to additional requirements. No senso may knowing) transport or cause on be transported in Interstate traffic, and the sense of the sense and biological hardly, containing, or researably here and algenesic operimers and biological hered by such person to contain, one or more of the solving etiologica agents. toducts, conta teved by such tore of the f

dition to a ents of this of in lieu of

unless such malerial is packaged in se-cordance with the requirements specified in paragraph (b) of this section, and unless in additions such material is pac-aged and shipped in accordance with the requirements generated in subparagraphs (1)-(6) of this paragraphs

.

BACHERIAE AGENTS

Actinobacillus—all species, Arizona Ainahawii—all serotypes,

nyticum, CL.

0 bacterium diplitherias C, equi, C, has-ican, C, pseudofuberculoris, C, pyo-C.renate

neosus (assevencena) neosus insistora. richia coli, all enteropathogenic serotoeoceus) pneumofilas.

wirella (Pasteurella) tularensis mophius duorey, R. influensec. ellea voginicali bbiclia—bi speckes and all serotypes toria-mal species. eria-mal species.

col-u

N. meningilidis,

in-all species. onds preudomalici, ite-all species and all serotyper, -all species and all serotypes. hiorus metrophorus,

li/ormis.

cous pyogenes. la careteum,

reportents. Frequency, Jr. pennonny and an event

٠

FUNCAL ACTNES

Acthomycetes (including Nocerdia appeles, Actinomyces species and Arochnia propi-

Doccin Coccin Crypt omyces dermalitidis, d'oldes immitis, lococcus monitis,

ocodeus neoformany, siasma capsulatum, secidioides brasiliensis,

VIAL, RICKET

AGENTS

Adenosiruses-human--all types. Arbornsee. Costella burnetit. Costackie A and B viruses--all type Cytamegoloviruses.

burnetif. ie A and B viruses---all types olochruses.

bronchitis-like ulrus. viruses---all types. swall types, myocardita tofrus, reger agents, including Grimean ageo fever (Congo), Junin, and o viruses, and others so yet ano o viruses, and others so yet ano ociated antigen,

tie choriomening (iis virue, Irus,

ises-all types,

eonts.

ī

truses—all types. ne encephalitis : Russian spring

ncephalitis virus complex, stian spring-summer encepi tur forest disease, Omsk hem , and Central European encep

to fever virus. and Variola minor viruses. tatis virus,

(1) Yohame iss then 50 ml. Makerial shall be place the second

FEDERAL REGIS THURSDAY,

ATTACHMENT-

between the primary and secondary containers, at the top, bottom, and sides be-tween the secondary container and the outer shipping container. Single primary containers shall not contain more than 500 ml. of material. However, two or more primary containers whose combined vol-umes do not exceed 500 ml. may be placed in a single, secondary container. Not more than eight secondary shipping containers must be enclosed in a single outer shipping container. (The maximum amount of etiologic agent which may be enclosed within a single outer shipping container shall not exceed 4,000 ml.)

(3) Dry ice. If dry ice is used as a re-frigerant, it must be placed outside the secondary container(s). If dry ice is used between the secondary container and the outer shipping container, the shock ab-sorbent material shall be so placed that the secondary container does not become loose inside the outer shipping container

as the dry ice sublimates.
 (1) Labels, The label for Etiologic Agents/Biomedical Material, except for size and color, must be as shown;



(I) The color of material on which the label is printed must be white and the symbol and printing in red.

£.

÷,

227

APPENDIX D, Page D-86

(ii) The label must be a rectangle measuring 51 mm. (2 inches) high by 102.5 mm. (4 inches) long.

(iii) The red symbol measuring 38 mm. (11/2 inches) in diameter must be cen tered in a white square measuring 51 mm. (2 inches) on each side,

(iv) Type size of the letters of label shall be as follows:

ETIOLOGIC AGENT	10 pt. rev.
BIOMEDICAL MATERIAL	14 pt.
IN CASE OF DAMAGE OR	

IN CASE OF DAMAGE ON LEAKAGE NOTIFY DIRECTOR CDC ATLANTA, GA 404 633 5313 8 pt. rev. 10 pt. rev.

(5) Damaged packages, Carriers shall promptly, upon discovery of damage to the package that indicates damage to the hie package that includes carnage to the primary container, isolate the package and notify the Director, Center for Dis-ease Control, 1600 Clifton Road NE, Atlanta, GA 30333 (telephone (404) 633-5313), and the sender.

(6) Registered mail or equivalent sys-tem. Transportation of the following etiologic agents shall be by registered mail or an equivalent system which re-quires or provides for sending notifica-tion for the backs of sending notification to the shipper immediately upon delivery;

Actinobacillus mallet, Coccidioides inmitis. Franciscila (Pasteurella) fularensis, Hemorrhagia feor agents, including, but nob Ilmiled to, Crimcan hemorrhagis fever (Conyo), Junin, Machupo viruses. Herpsoirius simise (B virus). Hespolauma capsulatum. Launa priva.

Lassa virus. Marburg virus. Pseudomonas s

seudomonas pseudomallet.

Fick-borns encephalitis virus complex, in-cluding, but not limited to, Russion spring-summer encephalitis, Kynsanur forest das-ease, Omsk hemorrhagie feuer, and Central European encephalitis pur set. Variola minor and Variola major.

minor and Veriotal major. Fersonia (Canteurello) pesi (d) Notice of delivery, failure to re-ceite. When notice of delivery of agents containing, or suspected of containing, etiologic agents listed in paragraph (c) (6) of this section is not received by the pender within 5 days following antici-pated delivery of the package, the shipper shall notify the Director, Center for Dis-ease Control, 1600 Clifton Road NE, Atlants, GA 30333 (telephone (404) 633-6513). (c) Requirementir traciotion: The 14-

(e) Requirements; variations. The Administrator may approve variations from munistrator may approve variations from the requirements of this section if, upon review and evaluation, he finds that such variations provide protection at least equivalent to that provided by compli-ance with the requirements specified in this section and makes such fundings a matter of official record.

(Sec. 361, 58 Stat. 703; 42 U.S.C. 264) 185

Effective July 30, 1972

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 176-THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 1976





Telephone: 404-633-3311

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 176-THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 1976

AND COURSES

 A. Stide-tape cussette.
 Risk in the Oancer Virus
 2. Effective Use of The
 logical Safety Cabinet (\$
 3. Formaldenyde Do na Laborator he Laminar (\$10) Assessment of atory (\$10). nar Flow Bio-

minar rmaldenyde Decontamination of Flow Biological Safety Cabinets

LAMIMAR FOW Hilologial Safety Calumnar Flow; Calumar Flow; Calumnar Flow; Calumnar Flow; Calumnar Flow

Safety in Laboratory, Presented by Na-S. Safety in Laboratory, Presented by Ma-Band, Drussing of Completional Safety and New Database of Training and Manpoor New Database and Safety Safety Safety and Complete Safety Management, Fre-sets of Database of Laboratoria and Catalang Divi-sation Division and Catalange Divi-sation Division Catalonatoria (Safety Management), Safety Management, Safety Management, Fre-sets of Division Catalange Catalange Divi-sation, Bursan of Laboratoria (Safety Management), Safety Safety Safety Management, Fre-sets of Division Catalange Catalange Divi-sion Division (Safety Management), Safety Saf

MANUAL F A SAFETY AND OPER

A. Purpose. B. Policy. C. Responsibility agement.

G. RezpontDilly and Authority. I. Man-generat.
G. RezpontDilly and Authority. I. Man-S. Ecol. Employee.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S. Bohrendor.
S.

REFERENCES

American National Standards Institute, 198, 73-85.
 Westmin, A. G. 1994. Laboratory safety was readen in the instrument of the instrument presention, 42:1417–1130.
 Fridings G. B. 2005. Microbiologic hus-readen in the laboratory. J. Cham. Extremelion presention, 42:1417–1130.
 Fridings G. B. 2005. Microbiology in presention, 42:1417–1130.
 Fridings G. B. 2005. Microbiology in presention, 42:1417–1130.
 Fridings G. B. 2005. Microbiology in presention, 42:1417–1130.
 Fridings G. B. 2005. Microbiology in containment in conceptual virus research.
 B. Distribution, 21:2417–1130.
 G. Charlogy, H. M. 2005. Safety in the micro-ingeneral microbiology. J. M. 2005. Safety in the introduction for Experimental Arcebiology. J. Introduction to Experimental Accebiology. J. Contempolic M. A. and Collings D. 1969.
 G. Charlogy, M. A. 2005. Biotrophys. J. 4040 (2015), Microbiology, M. 1965. Protection signification introduction to Experimental Accebiology. J. Contempolic Microbiology, J. 4041, J. 4051.
 G. Colling, O. 2014, J. 2017, M. 1965. Protection signification intercolution to Experimental Accebiology. J. Contractional Science, J. 70, W. W. Umbreat (ed.), Microbiology, J. 4041, J. 4051.
 G. Colling, C. L. 2017, B. C. and P. J. Colling, J. 2017, J. 1991.
 G. Colling, C. L. 2017, J. 2017

1968. Good Laboratory Practices fational Cancer Institute, Be-

sub. Duble Heatth Service, 1974. NTH of U.S. Duble Heatth Service, 1974. NTH Interactif Sarley Guide. OPO Stock #1740-83. Supt. Decuments, U.S. Government 83. Supt. Decuments, U.S. Government nutng Office, Washington, D.C. 20403.

(a) Sandy J. F., Johng J. F., and Barballi, Barballi, S. 1975. Biolacascia sessment in hep-scale source of the sessment in hep-scale source of the sessment in hep-scale source of the discharged from centric sessment in the sessment in the sessment in the sessment in the sessment in the sessment in the sessment in the sessment in the sessment in the sessere of the sessment in the sessere of the sessere is a sessment in the sessere is a sessere in the sessere is a sessere in the sessere is a sessere in the sessere is a sessere in the sessere is a sessere in the sessere is a sessere in the sessere is a sessere in the sessere is a sessere in the sessere is a sessere in the sessere is a sessere if the sessere is a sessere is a sessere is a sessere in the sessere is a sessere in the sessere is a sessere is

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL 41, NO. 176-THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER

NOTICES

 Barbeito, M. S., Mathews, C. T., and Taylor, L. A. 1957, Microbiological laboratory huzard of bearded men. Appl. Microbiol. 32. U.S. Public Health Service, 1974. Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 74-23. U.S. Government Frinting Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, Price: 704. Stock No. 1740-0343.
 Code Factoral Econylations: This 2. 33. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 9-

Animals and Animal Products. For sale by Supt. of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, (In the capital city of most states, a copy limited to 9 CFR Chapter 1, Subchapter A, Parts 1,2,3 can be obtained from the Federal Veterinar-

b

Ċ3

ian in Charge, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.) 34. Seamor, J. (ed.). 1972. Safety in the animal house. Laboratory Animal Handbook 5. Laboratory Animals, Edd., London, ani-mal experimentation, Vol. Methods of ani-mal experimentation, Vol. 1. Academic Press,

New York.

36. Perkins, F. T. and O'Donoghue, P. N. 1969. Hazards of handling similans. Laboratory Animal Handbook 4. Laboratory Animals, Ltd., London.

S7. Melby, E. C., and Altman, N. H. (eds.). 1974-1976. Vol. I, II, III. Handbook of Laboratory Animal Science, CRO Press, Inc., Clevoland, OH.

Spaulding, E. H. 1972. Chemical dis-infection and antisepsis in the hospital, J.
 Heepital Res. 9:5-31.
 Lawrence, C. A. and Block, S. S. (eds.).
 1976. Disinfection. sterilization, and pres-evration. Les & Peblger, Fhiladelphins, PA.
 Stecher, P. G. (ed.). 1989. The Merck Index. Merck & Co. Inc., Rahway. NJ.
 Taylor, L. A., Barbeito, M. S., and Gremillion, G. G. 1969. Paraformaldelysis for surface starilization and detoxification. Appl. Microbiol V:614-618.

surface sterilization and detoxification, Appl. Microbiol, 17.614-618.
42. Jemski, J. V. and Phillips, G. B. 1965.
Aerosol challenge of animal experimentation, (ed.). Methods of animal experimentation, pp. 273-341. Academic Press, New York.

[FR Doc.76-26512 Filed 9-8-76;8 45 am]

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 176-THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 1976

38483

.

APPENDIX 11

(Reproduced from Prism, November 1975, by permission of the publisher; the American Medical Association)

DNA SPLICING: WILL FEAR ROB US OF ITS BENEFITS?

We may be on the threshold of a technology of untold importance in diagnostic and therapeutic medicine, says this Nobel geneticist, if we have the courage to move ahead despite the risks involved.

(The Author: Joshua Lederberg, Ph.D., shared the Nobel Prize in medicine and physiology in 1958 for his work in genetics. In 1946, he and Professor E. L. Tatum showed that bacterial cells can transfer genetic material from one cell to another. Subsequently, Dr. Lederberg and D. N. Zinder discovered the phenomenon of transduction, the carriage of genes by viruses. Dr. Lederberg has been professor of genetics at Stanford University since 1959.)

Although our theoretical understanding of the cell has been completely transformed in the last 30 years, there has not yet been a corresponding advance in the practical application of our knowledge to medicine. Indeed, very little in the practice of medicine (even of clinical genetics) is directly related to the fundamental knowledge that DNA has a bihelical structure.

Nonetheless, our faith remains steadfast that further theoretical understanding of viruses, the neoplastic cell, the aging cell, the immune response mechanism, and the aberrant chromosome, will bring far-reaching changes to medicine. The human benefit from such understanding will someday surely match the theoretical impact that DNA study has already made on cell biology.

These expectations for a possibly long-delayed future benefit have been heightened and accelerated by new findings that give us much greater technical ability to manipulate microbial DNA. New methods of DNA splicing have already opened up many lines of investigation into the structure of eukaryotic (higher life form) chromosomes.

We can now fragment animal or human DNA into perhaps a million segments and transfer a single segment to a bacterial host for study in a microcosm or for production of large quantities of a specific DNA segment. This allows more elaborate analysis than has ever been possible with the enormously complex, original, unfragmented source material.

This technique of gene implantation can also be used to transfer the genetic information for a given product from the cell of one species to that of another; and this is the direction, in my own view, that will lead to a technology of untold importance in diagnostic and therapeutic medicine: the ready production of an unlimited variety of human proteins. Analogous applications may be foreseen in fermentation processes for the cheap manufacture of essential nutrients and in the improvement of microbes for the production of antibiotics and special industrial chemicals.

In the face of such a revolution, the primary concern of researchers in the field has been the public hazards that such a technology may create. While we may indeed inherit a Promethean dilemma, public policy decision can lead to social good only if we are equally well-informed about the potential risks and benefits of further work on DNA splicing. If substantial risk can be identified, there is no doubt of the need for ethical and operational safety standards; the only question must be whether the form and implementation of such standards are adequate.

3

Too often, the "easy" way to handle such a problem is to invoke a formal regulatory statute, ignoring how well the actual bureaucratic enforcement or policing of the rules meets the intended balance of risks and benefits. Before elaborating on the policy issues, it may be well for me to outline what is currently being done in DNA splicing, some promising applications, and also the risks of further work in this field. DNA recombination, as the ultimate purpose of the sexual form of reproduction, is, of course, one of the major happenings in the natural world. Among higher life forms, DNA exchange is almost always limited to members of the same or closely related species. Bacteria and viruses, however, exhibit many exceptions to this rule, which perhaps reflects the fragility of the concept "species" when applied to these life forms.

For example, the entire group of enteric bacteria, including such forms as Shigella, Escherichia coli, Proteus and Serratia, can exchange genetic fragments without special intervention. Our own experiments in genetic exchange would not seriously increase the risks already latent in that natural process.

Convenient tools

An especially interesting and important level of genetic organization in bacteria is the plasmid: a bit of circular DNA that behaves like an extra chromosome and seems to survive in nature by virtue of its easy transmissibility from one bacterial strain to another. Many different kinds of plasmids are known; in medicine, the most prominent are those which confer transmissible antibiotic resistance on human pathogens, notably staphylococci and some enteric pathogens such as Shigella.

These plasmids are a by-product of the evolution of their host organisms: the spread of antibiotic-resistance plasmids is the most formidable bacterial response yet to our widespread use of antibiotics. Other plasmids are undoubtedly involved in altering the pathogenicity and host-specificity of various bacteria; therefore, in simple self-defense, we must learn all we can about them, without delay.

Plasmids have also achieved special prominence for a technical reason—they are especially convenient tools for DNA splicing and for the transmission of DNA segments from one species to another, particularly in conjunction with another elegant tool: the R- (for restriction) enzyme. (The R-nucleases are widely distributed among cell types; they may be an important mechanism by which a cell fends off any "foreign" DNA while protecting its own.) Stanley N. Cohen, M.D., of the Department of Medicine, Stanford University,

Stanley N. Cohen, M.D., of the Department of Medicine, Stanford University, has used an R-enzyme to simplify a naturally occurring plasmid to the point where it consisted of a small circle of DNA, embracing the minimum amount of genetic information needed to replicate, plus a single R-enzyme recognition site.

This artificial plasmid, pSC-101, has been an important tool in DNA splicing research. When exposed to R-enzyme, the circle is cut into a single open length with sticky ends. It is then possible to insert other sticky-ended pieces of DNA from divers sources into the plasmid, and finally to close it up with another enzyme, ligase. This process is the key to the convenient design and construction of new DNA molecules, which subsequently can be transferred to a bacterial host.

One important aspect of this research is that the new DNA does *not* have to come from the same bacterial species. For example, Dr. Cohen and his collaborators have already reported the successful transfer of DNA from a toad, Xenopus, to E. coli with evidence of the production of toad-like ribosomal nucleic acids in the modified bacteria.

In addition to these plasmids, bacterial viruses are being used in a similar fashion. Less elegantly, perhaps, segments of DNA from intact bacteria may also be used both for insertions and as the acceptors. So far, all of these techniques depend on the innate (and poorly understood) ability of bacterial cells to incorporate DNA furnished from without. There have been many published claims of similar phenomena with plant and animal cell acceptors, but to date the claims are unconfirmed.

The special power of the enzyme transfer techniques is that they depend on the basic chemical structure of DNA rather than on biological adaptation. Thus, laboratory manipulation may produce constructs that occur rarely, if ever, in the natural world. Most of these constructs would resemble hothouse plants, and be poorly adapted to competitive survival in the world outside the laboratory. But some, by chance, might be harbingers of new diseases, or the source of ecological upsets difficult to control—like the mongoose in Hawaii or the crabgrass in your lawn. R-enzymes, mixed DNA, and acceptor bacteria surely bring about some DNA segment transfers in nature. Our knowledge of the extent of natural plasmid transmission among "unrelated" life forms was widened by recent discoveries of plasmids with extraordinarily broad host ranges. It is difficult, however, to assess just what can or cannot occur in nature.

Rapid advancement

DNA splicing is, however, merely the most powerful of several artificial techniques which bring together more-or-less natural assemblages of DNA. Indeed, it may prove to be less powerful than older methods (sexual crossing, transduction with bacteriophage, DNA-medicated transformation) for special constructions involving larger complexes than the segments yielded by R-enzymes.

These methods, in turn, are an extension of the artificial breeding of domestic animals and plants. In any event, the most efficient application of DNA splicing requires intimate knowledge of the genetic structure of both the donor and the acceptor strains, for which breeding methods are important if not indispensable.

Perhaps the single most important conclusion is that this technology is just in its infancy but has already advanced far—and that it is simple enough to be applied in any laboratory which can handle pure bacterial cultures. But it is just this simplicity, which makes for great convenience and speed of development, that has raised concern about the proliferation of such methods in the hands of people with perhaps less-than-mature professional and ethical judgment, and with insufficient skill to contain bacterial cultures in the laboratory.

Now that we have put the dangers of DNA splicing research into perspective, let us examine the promise that it holds. DNA segmentation and splicing is certain to play a vital role in the further domestication of microbes for such uses as the development of new antibiotics and the production of high-quality food protein supplements. However, the unique strength of this procedure is that it allows the large-scale production of gene products of a less easily domesticated species: man.

Human proteins already play a substantial role in medicine but a role which is hindered by scarce supply. Today, the most attractive candidates for such large-scale production are the human antibody globulins. Compared to the rare genetic defects in other proteins (as in the case of hemophilia), failure of error in the production of antibody globulin is quite prevalent and is known to play a major role in the breakdown of the body's defense against infectious disease, in autoimmune and altergic disease, and perhaps also in cancer. The most comprehensive use for biosynthetic proteins would be in passive

The most comprehensive use for biosynthetic proteins would be in passive immunization against infectious disease. (Animal antisera were once used but had to be abandoned because of the anti-animal antibody that they provoked in man.) With wholesale production of biosynthetic proteins, passive globulin therapy could be targeted at those diseases for which either technical or social factors may bring about gaps in the protection provided by active immunization. Included in that group of diseases are influenza, hepatitis, smallpox, encephalitis, rubello, herpes, rables, and perhaps also trypanosomiasis, malaria, schistossomiasis, tuberculosis, leprosy and many others.

Need for a ready defense

There is reason for special urgency in the development of a backup capability in passive immunization. Complacence about active immunization against diseases such as polio and the technical inadequacy of such vaccines as rubella and hepatitis have weakened our general posture of defense against viral pandemic. We have no assurance that the next influenza epidemic, slightly more virulent than the last one, will not take a million lives for lack of a ready defense.

A broader need for biosynthetic proteins lies in polyvalent prophylaxis for infants. The principal medical argument for breast feeding is that human milk provides the infant with colostrum and a continuing supply of maternal mixed globulins. In the future there might be a huge demand for polyvalent gamma globulin supplements for infants both in industrialized and in poorer countries. And an analogous veterinary use could bring about greater efficiency in livestock production.

Specific antibodies, of course, are already widely used as diagnostic reagents of high specificity and selectivity. But in sufficient quantity, blocking antibodies might also play a useful role in helping protect transplanted tissues and organs from immunological attack by the new host. Conversely, tissue-specific ligating antibodies, although not necessarily cytotoxic themselves, may be useful in enhancing the cell-specific toxicity of certain cancer drugs. Cell-specific reagents would also be invaluable in diagnosis and in the specific separation of human cell types for either diagnostic or therapeutic applications.

Besides the specific antibody globulins, a number of important, but less specific, proteins (complement, properdin) play a major part in defense against infection. Fibrinolysin (plasmin) and urokinase (plasminogen-activator) represent a group of enzymes that experimentally have shown promise in the control of embolism. Besides these human proteins, many human hormones are also discouragingly scarce for use in clinical trials. The list of such bioproducts could be extended substantially. And perhaps the most important products are those that remain to be discovered.

Of course, microbial biosynthesis may well be supplemented by organic synthesis in human and hybrid somatic cell cultures and by cell-free ribosomal synthesis with m-RNA extracted from natural sources or synthesized. Each of these methods has its own peculiar difficulties and hazards, and the whole field will be advanced most rapidly by using the best available methods for any given problem.

At present, perhaps a half-dozen bacterial species are well enough understood to serve as prime vehicles in laboratory studies of DNA splicing. For safety and convenience, investigators have preferred not to use pathogenic forms. Yet many scientists are primarily concerned that DNA splicing may inadvertently generate a new pathogen inimicable to man or to some other species important to man's ecology. The most likely, but not necessarily the only, sources of such pathogenic genes are the organisms that most urgently need further study—the subtle and insidious killers not now amenable to medical treatment. These include slow virus infections that may be involved in a wide range of chronic diseases, including cancer and more familiar viruses. such as herpes, for which satisfactory vaccines are not available.

Speculating the hazards

The public debate over DNA splicing has focused on the possible hazards of new microorganisms, and away from their utilitarian prospects. The most urgent concern has been the danger of introducing potentially cancer-causing DNA into common bacteria. While this hazard is clearly speculative, the general territory is so poorly understood that no one can argue against the need for cautious laboratory procedures. A number of workers—particularly those whose special experience or training has been in fields other than medical microbiology—have confessed giving almost no thought in the past to safety; some of them are now among the most zealous in demanding tighter regulation of such research. And that zeal has spread to create a sincere, almost frantic effort to ferret out and identify the most remote, conceivable hazards.

Viewed as a rather public soul-searching and self-education, these discussions are invaluable. The main danger is that some political imperative may forge these tentative questions into iron-clad regulations which will be with us long after their origins have been forgotten. After all, similar questions can be raised about the widest range of human activities: should it be lawful to keep domestic cats when we suspect that they harbor toxoplasmosis, and possibly leukemia as well? Similarly, what assurance do we have that artificial pollination will not produce a weed that could ruin the wheat crop a decade from now? Closer to home, should we forbid international travel simply because our quarantine procedures do not guarantee that exotic diseases will be kept out?

For each of these cases, and many more, the apparently innotous doctrine, "As long as there is any risk, don't do it!" can only bring a loss to human welfare. We must instead make every feasible effort to assess both the risks and the benefits of a given course of action—only then will we be able to find the optimal balance. But individuals can hardly determine the best policy about their own future—including their expectations for what medicine will offer for the infirmities of their own later years—without expert assessment.

and a fight of the grade of

Such assessments are difficult, problematical, and controversial. But a committee of the National Academy of Sciences has made some headway in trying to classify different categories of hazard. Where such hazard is reasonably predictable, the committee has recommended laboratory containment precautions akin to those appropriate for known pathogens. This applies, for example, to experiments in the recombination of known tumor virus DNA with bacterial plasmids.

For more conjectural hazards, such as the introduction of antibiotic resistance into common, non-pathogenic species, the high security requirements recommended by the committee may be an inordinate burden for laboratories (who, in fact, will pay for them?) in relation to the prospective gains. The best strategy in such a case seems to be the development of safe vectors: plasmids and bacteria engineered so that they have little chance of surviving outside the laboratory. In fact, in the long run this is a safer procedure that relying upon the uncertainty of human compliance with fixed rules and regulations.

Remaining controversies in this area center upon rather complicated analyses of the most remote risks. Given some additional time, most research institutions will work out their own reasonable plans, based on the national guidelines. A premature imposition of external regulation will not only frustrate useful research, but will also hinder that research which is needed to more accurately assess the dangers. Those who consider themselves guardians of the public safety must count the costs to the public health of *impeding* research, as well as the speculative *hazards* of research.

Society's consent

This partly voluntary approach will not assure absolutely that no foolish experiment is ever attempted. But the history of human institutions should suffice to show that no system of sanctions can achieve such a goal. The human species is inevitably attended by contaminating and parastic microbes—the person suffering from an enteric infection who fails to wash his hands or the influenza victim who insists on going to work is behaving unethically and to the peril of his fellows. But we would scarcely invoke serious regulatory sanctions in preference to public education, except where there is an unusual public risk with some attendant evidence that an enforced quarantine would be effective.

Senator Edward Kennedy (D-Mass.) has remarked that society must give its informed consent to technological innovation. The power of the purse is enough to enforce that doctrine; nor can there be any quarrel with it on ethical grounds. Informed consent surely includes knowing the hazards of saying no to the prospects of significant medical advances. DNA splicing research, far from being an idle scientific toy or the basis for expensive and specialized aid to the privileged few, promises some of the most pervasive benefits for the public health since the discovery and promulgation of antibiotics.

APPENDIX 12

(Reproduced from: The Sciences, September/October 1976 by permission of the publisher, the New York Academy of Sciences and Dr. George Wald, Harvard University.)

THE CASE AGAINST GENETIC ENGINEERING

(By George Wald)

During hearings before the Cambridge, Massachusetts City Council, Harvard biologist George Wald—among others—testified in opposition to performing genetic recombination research at Harvard University. Proponents of the experiments included Harvard scientists Matthew Meselson and Mark Ptashne and MIT Nobel prize-winner David Baltimore. Despite the fact that the NIH had issued its voluntary Guidelines days earlier, permitting such research to go on under special laboratory conditions, on July 7 the city council voted a three-month recombinant DNA research moratorium to study the issue further. In this article, Nobel laureate George Wald outlines his objections to continuing genetic recombinant DNA research at Harvard, even under the restrictions imposed by the NIH Guidelines.

Recombinant DNA technology faces our society with problems unprecedented not only in the history of science, but of life on the Earth. It places in human hands the capacity to redesign living organisms, the products of some three billion years of evolution.

Such intervention must not be confused with previous intrusions upon the natural order of living organisms: animal and plant breeding, for example; or the artificial induction of mutations, as with X-rays. All such earlier procedures worked within single or closely related species. The nub of the new technology is to move genes back and forth, not only across species lines, but across any boundaries that now divide living organisms, particularly the most fundamental such boundary, that which divides prokaryotes (bacteria and bluegreen algae) from eukaryotes (those cells with a distinct nucleus in higher plants and animals). The results will be essentially new organisms, self-perpetuating and hence permanent. Once created, they cannot be recalled.

This is the transcendent issue, so basic, so vast in its implications and possible consequences, that no one is as yet ready to deal with it. We can't deal with it until we know a lot more; and to learn those things we would have to venture out into this no man's land. It is nothing like making new transuranic elements. New elements only add to the simple series of integral atomic numbers that underlie the Periodic System. Their numbers are limited and their properties highly predictable. Not so new organisms. They can be as boundless and unpredictable as life itself.

(239)

Technologically Redesigning Living Organisms



Recombinant DNA technology was launched in 1973 and 1974, largely through researches carried out in the laboratories of Stanley Cohen at Stanford University and Herbert Boyer at the University of California in San Francisco. A rapidly growing number of available restriction enzymes can be used to cut short specific segments of DNA usually containing several genes out of the chromosomes of any type of cell. These segments are then spliced with the help of the same and other enzymes, ligases, into viruses or the naturally-occurring small circular extra-chromosomal particles of DNA called plasmids. The plasmids can then be taken up by bacteria or animal or plant cells in which they reproduce, either in phase with the host cell or sometimes independently and many times faster. On occasion, the new genetic material fuses with the host chromosomes and reacts thereafter as a normal component of the host's genetic apparatus. In effect, such cells that have received foreign genes are new organisms, permanent hybrids of the host cells and whatever organism donated the transplanted genes. Their properties and capacities may differ profoundly from either host or donor.

---GEORGE WALD.

Up to now living organisms have evolved very slowly, and new forms have had plenty of time to settle in. In has taken from four to 20 million years for a single mutation, for example the change of one amino acid in the sequence of hemoglobin or cytochrome c, to establish itself as the species norm. Now whole proteins will be transposed overnight into wholly new associations, with consequences no one can foretell, either for the host organisms or their neighbors.

 (a_{1}, a_{2})

It is all too big, and is happening too fast. So this, the central problem, remains almost unconsidered. It presents probably the largest ethical problem that science has ever had to face. Our morality up to now has been to go ahead without restriction to learn all that we can about nature. Restructuring nature was not part of the bargain; nor was telling scientists not to venture further in certain directions. That comes hard. With some relief, most biologists turn away from so vast and uncomfortable an issue and take refuge in the still knotty but infinitely easier technical questions: not whether to proceed, but how. For going ahead in this direction may be not only unwise but dangerous. Potentially, it could breed new animal and plant diseases, new sources of cancer, novel epidemics.

We must never forget that the first intimation of these potential hazards came from workers in this field. All honor to them. Faced with unique problems, as they alone then realized, they did unprecedented things. They brought about a voluntary moratorium on certain, more clearly dangerous kinds of experiments. And now, after three years of debate, consultation and negotiation, the National Institutes of Health issued its Guidelines on June 23.

THE NOBEL LETTERS

During the hearings a number of leading biologists wrote letters to Cambridge Mayor Alfred E. Vellucci defending genetic recombinant research at Harvard. Below are excerpts from letters by three Nobel laureates.

In my view these Guidelines are far more stringent than is reasonably necessary for the protection of public health. In every case where reasonable doubt could be entertained, it has been resolved in a way that imposes the most serious and conservative protective requirements. Most of the risks in question are purely conjectural and no substantive basis can be found for the dire prediction that the public health could be endangered by recombinant organisms. Nevertheless, the Guidelines in their present form have accepted every such speculation as if it were accepted reality. In summary, even the most cautious view of the NIH Guidelines should give citizens ample assurance that they go far beyond what is necessary to protect their health.

Elsewhere I have commented that the very act of setting up such elaborate precautions would frighten people because they go so far beyond what we do in other spheres of life. This seems to have happened in the present case—it is the very security precautions having been doubled and redoubled that has generated an unjustifiable fear. On the other side of the coin. I take the opportunity to indicate that research in this area has the potential for the most extraordinary contributions to medical advance and I would hope that Cambridge, Massachusetts would be proud to be the seat of major accomplishments in this direction.

JOSHUA LEDERBERG, Stanford University Medical School. JOSHUA LEDERBERG,

In terms of our present knowledge, I feel that there are no real accidental dangers involved in research on animal virus and vertebrate cell DNAs under the NIH Guidelines. The specific dangers that have been suggested involve combinations of events that are either known not to occur or occur only at very low probabilities. Therefore, the likelihood of the occurrence of any specific danger is so low that it can be considered zero. In fact, I consider that the Guidelines are probably too restrictive in terms of our present knowledge of animal virus and vertebrate DNAs.

2.35

Furthermore, I consider it ineffectual to regulate on a local level research involving possible infectious entities. Unless there is national, and preferably international, regulation, local regulation would not serve to protect the inhabitants of that locale.

In addition, I have found the members of the Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Harvard University, conservative in respect to possible safety hazards from research with animal viruses and vertebrate cells.

As taxpayers and governmental officials, you have a responsibility to insure public health and safety, but you also have a responsibility to promote the public welfare. It is conceivable that the technique of recombinant DNA may lead to major benefits in terms of public health and welfare. Therefore, a balance must be made between the "zero" likelihood of harm and the possibility of beneficial results.

HOWARD M. TEMIN.

University of Wisconsin Medical Center.

I implore you to encourage the progress of the planned facilities for genetic research at Harvard and to do your utmost to foster a spirit which advances this exceedingly important direction in medical science.

The new NIH Guidelines to which these Harvard facilities and investigators will adhere go far beyond reasonable needs for personal and public safety. I assure you that the current hazards in many chemical, bacteriological, biological and physical laboratories in Cambridge, public and private, are far greater than those anticipated in recombinant DNA research.

I realize you have heard a different point of view from some Harvard and MIT scientists who have testified before you. I believe their views are not based on sound scientific evidence and are highly exaggerated. In my estimation, they represent a tiny fraction of the scientific community.

I implore you again not to suppress the serious and responsible search for new knowledge. If scientific inquiry is stifled in Cambridge, it will be done in Waltham, Palo Alto or Moscow. In 1976, please do not squander your most precious human resources.

ARTHUR KORNBERG, Stanford University Medical Center.

"And God blessed Noah and his sons, and said to them, 'Be fruitful and multiply, and fill the Earth. The fear of you and the dread of you shall be upon every beast of the Earth, and upon every bird of the air, upon everything that creeps on the ground and all the fish of the sea; into your hand they are delivered. Every moving thing that lives shall be food for you; and as I gave you the green plants, I give you everything."

----GENESIS.

One can hardly read the Guidelines, or the careful and sensitive statement by Donald Frederickson, the Director of NIH, on releasing them, and not be impressed with the goodwill and concern that animate them. Yet there is much in this enterprise and in the Guidelines themselves that troubles me greatly.

First and foremost: the very existence of the Guidelines begs the central question, whether this kind of research should proceed at all. The experiments are quite simple and straightforward. Can they be stopped? Perhaps they can. If one could neither publish the results nor exploit them commercially there would be little incentive to do them.

As for the Guidelines themselves, the first thing to understand is the context of utter ignorance of what to expect in which they had to be formulated. The Guidelines begin by saying: 'At present the hazards may be guessed at, speculated about, or voted upon, but they cannot be known absolutely in the absence of firm experimental data—and, unfortunately, the needed data were, more often than not, unavailable."

Physical containment.—The purpose here is to keep the recombinants from escaping the laboratory. The Guidelines list four levels of containment labeled P1 to P4; but in effect there are only two levels, a lesser—P3—and a greater—P4. This classification is itself deceptive, for it makes the prevalent P3 facility sound better than it is, three quarters of the way to the top, whereas in fact it is the lowest level of containment. P1 is just a laboratory, P2 the same laboratory with a warning sign on the door. A young woman demonstrating a P2 experiment at an open hearing before the Cambridge City Gouncil made a point of putting on the prescribed laboratory coat; but she had long, loose, abundant hair that could have carried more bacteria or viruses than a dozen lab coats.

A P3 facility such as has just been authorized at Harvard employs various devices intended to minimize the escape of recombinants. Yet the reason proponents of the facility at Harvard gave for building it within our Biological Laboratories, close to the laboratories of prospective users—though the building is half a century old and infested with ants and cockroaches—was that workers in the facility would be the principal means of spreading contamination, and hence should have to move as short distances as possible. I think it is probably correct that the laboratory personnel will be the principal means of spreading any potential infection. But in that case, wherein lies the containment? Why the elaborate and costly precautions within the facility?—the small unit at Harvard is estimated to cost more than \$800,000. And what matter whether distances between the labs are short or long? All these workers move freely throughout the building and the city: they meet with us, leat with us, and—most importantly—they teach classes of young students. I see no reason to believe that P3 containment, even if conscientiously enforced, can effectively contain.

Biological containment.—One of the most unsettling aspects of present recombinant DNA research is that the host organism that receives the plasmids that carry foreign genetic material is almost always the colon bacillus, *Escherichia* coll, a constant inhabitant of the human bowel. To do potentially hazardous experiments, why pick an organism that lives in us? The reason is that we know more about *E. coll* than about any other living organism. Yet what is to keep some hybridized *E. coll* turned pathogenic from infecting its conventional human hosts? Or transferring those plasmids to human cells?

Hence the stress on the assurance that all recombinant experiments with E. coli will use the K12 strain, which, we are told, can exist only under special laboratory conditions and neither survives nor reproduces in the human gut. The use of this strain is the "biological containment."

In this connection Stanley Falkow of Seattle, Washington, submitted to the NIH Recombinant DNA Advisory Committee a highly informative report on the ecology of *E. coli*. According to Falkow, almost innumerable serologically distinct strains of *E. coli* inhabit the human colon from time to time, the population constantly changing. The more persistent (resident) strains last several months, other (transient) strains only a few days. The statement that the K12 strain does not survive in the human bowel rests primarily on observations by E. S. Anderson and H. Williams Smith that this strain "is a poor colonizer of the human alimentary tract." Smith found a mean survival time of about three days, Anderson about six days. Anderson also found that it "multiplied to some extent in two of eight subjects." Hardly an impressive statistic! Furthermore he could detect plasmid transmission from K12 to other enteric flora when it was fed "in substantially high numbers."

Falkow confirms these observations, and adds another that is singularly important: Working with calves, he found that introducing certain plasmids into K12 increased its survival and multiplication in the gut many times over. He concludes that "it may not be too farfetched to suggest that some DNA recombinant molecules could profoundly affect the ability of this *E. cou* strain to survive and multiply in the gastrointestinal tract"

These are oddly inadequate data to carry such weight. We would like to know much more. How does K12 get along in persons whose colons are relatively empty of bacteria and hence offer it little competition?—such as newborn infants, or persons who have just been treated with sulfa drugs or antibiotics? Socalled biological containment seems to me as problematical as P3 physical containment.

Enforcement.—The Guidelines are just that, hence wholly voluntary. The only penalty now available for simply disregarding them is the possible withholding of federal research support. Obviously this applies only to research dependent on federal funds. It leaves out completely the rapidly growing industrial exploitation of recombinant DNA technology.

Benefits and risks.—I have up to now said almost nothing of the potential benefits of this technology. I think that the most certain benefits to come out of it would be scientific: increased understanding of important biological phenomena, such as the mechanisms that turn specific gene activities on and off, that trigger cell multiplication and differentiation, that regulate cell metabolism. We are also offered the prospect of large practical benefits: teaching cereal plants to fix their own nitrogen from the air, new bacterial syntheses of drugs and hormones, the hope that increased understanding of cancer may lead to its cure. I cannot think of a single instance of such developments, scientific or practical, that does not also involve large potential risks.

Ć

Consider cancer. If indeed it turns out that recombinant DNA research will improve our understanding of cancer, that would still be far from showing us how to cure it. In spite of many statements, as vague as they are optimistic, that the cure of cancer lies in this direction. it is hard to see how that is to happen. Any such hope must be balanced against the real possibility that recombinant DNA experiments may induce new cancers. If right now I had to weigh the probabilities of either event I would guess that recombinant DNA research carries more and earlier risks of causing cancers than hope of curing them.

Add that about 80 percent of cancer in this country is now believed to be of environmental origin. The largest single cause of lung cancer is smoking, but one is free to smoke or not. About 40 percent of those environmental cancers happen in the work places, through involuntary exposure to a rapidly increasing variety of toxic materials in industrial use. If one were really concerned about cancer, there is the obvious place to attack it, with sure and immediate results.

Or consider a frankly industrial development. General Electric is reportedly

trying to patent a newly assembled strain of *Pseudomonas* bacteria that can wholly digest crude oil. It was developed there by Ananda Chakrabarty by transferring plasmids from several strains, each of which could digest oil partially, into a single strain that can do the whole job. It is pointed out that this organism could be very useful for cleaning up oil spills. Very true; but how about oil that has not spilled?—oil still in the ground, or on the way, or stored? Can this organism be contained, kept from destroying oil we want to use? Or will we need to begin te pasteurize oil?

The corporate connection.—As early as February 1974 Fortune magazine hailed the coming importance of genetic recombination in industrial developments. "The best microbes are freaks," it said and "many scientists see an important industrial role ahead for the powerful new methods of transferring genetic material from one cell to another." It named a number of them, including a few who are already directing corporate activities.

The industrial exploitation of recombination technology raises special problems, for in that, as any other business enterprise, the major goal is to maximize profits and, frequently in the past, public and worker safety and health have been subordinated to that end. Last May representatives of about twenty drug and chemical companies met with NIH Director Frederickson to discuss the proposed Guidelines. They expressed "general support," but made three points: (1) the fear that voluntary Guidelines might lead to enforceable regulations, (2) for reasons of competition, the companies could not afford to reveal what recombinant DNA experiments they were performing and (3) they found other features of the Guidelines onerous, for example the restrictions on large-volume experiments, which of course are less easily contained, but which they require in testing procedures for commercial feasibility.

The dilemma of the NIH.—The recombinant DNA development faces NIH with an interesting predicament. Anything I say of this is said sympathetically, for under Donald Frederickson's perceptive leadership it is doing as well as could be hoped. Yet is it possible for the same agency both to promote and regulate? The old Atomic Energy Commission, set up originally to regulate, turned instead to promoting nuclear power, and that eventually destroyed it. It has been replaced by two separate agencies, one for research and development, the other for nuclear regulation.

NIH, on the contrary, set up to promote scientific and medical research, is now being forced into regulation. Its entire impulse, as that of all other institutions concerned with research, is to avoid regulation, to maintain full freedom of inquiry. Probably that is why it can bring itself only to promulgate voluntary guidelines. Surely it recognizes the previous history of ineffectuality of voluntary self-regulation in other areas. For the NIH Guidelines to be enforced, academically and particularly industrially, they would have to become regulations, backed by legislation, with adequate provisions for licensing, inspection and supervision. The NIH would like to avoid such measures and so, as a scientist, would I. Yet this situation seems to demand them, and I fear that scientists and science will eventually have to suffer because of them.

What to do.—First, I think it essential to open a wide ranging and broadly representative discussion of the central issue: whether artificial exchanges of genetic material among widely different living organisms should be permitted.

Second, in consideration of the potential hazards and our present state of ignorance, I would confine all recombinant DNA experimentation that transcended species boundaries to one or a few national or regional laboratories where they can be adequately confined and supervised. There, every attempt should be made to define the hazards that are now only guessed at. If trouble should arise, I would expect it to involve first the workers in such laboratories and their families whose health should be carefully monitored. Until such trials have told us better what to expect, this kind of investigation should have no place in crowded cities or educational institution.

Third, industrial research and development in this area need most of all to be brought under control. The usual secrecy that surrounds industrial research is intolerable in a province that can involve such serious consequences and hazards. The need for licensing, inspection and supervision will probably require national legislation. Hearings in the Congress should begin at once to consider these issues.

As I write these words, they trouble me greatly. I fear for the future of science as we have known it, for humankind, for life on the Earth. My feelings are ambivalent, for the new technology excites me for its sheer virtuosity and its intellectual and practical potentialities; yet the price is high, perhaps too high. We are at the threshold of a great decision with large and permanent consequences. It needs increasing public attention here and worldwide, for it concerns all humankind. That will take time, during which we can try to learn, as safely as that can be managed, more of what to expect, of good and ill. Fortunately there is no real hurry. Let us try, with goodwill and responsibility, to work it out.

THE DEFENSE DOESN'T REST

One of the leading advocates of recombinant DNA research is Mark Ptashne of Harvard's Biological Laboratories. The Sciences gave him an opportunity to reply to George Wald's case against genetic engineering at Harvard.

George Wald's plea that "artificial exchanges of genetic material among widely different organisms" should be banned from "crowded cities or educational institutions" is based on a curious mixture of fantasy, misinformation. and irrelevancies. Consider the following:

1. Wald notes that the Guidelines specify four levels of physical containment, P1 to P4. He states that "P1 is just a laboratory, P2 the same laboratory with a warning sign on the door," and "P3... is the lowest level of containment," and "I see no reason to believe that P3 containment, even if conscientiously enforced, can effectively contain."

In fact, a P2 lab, as specified in the NIH Guidelines, does have a sign on the door, but in addition, it is subject to the following regulations, among others: all liquid and solid wastes are incinerated or otherwise decontaminated before removal from the lab; reusable equipment (glassware, etc.) is prohibited and biological safety cabinets must be used for all operations that generate aerosols. Hospital laboratories are typical examples of P2 facilities, and they are used for routine culture of agents as dangerous as those which cause anthrax, pneumonia, plague, measles, mumps, influenza, gonorrhea, etc. P3 specifies more sophisticated construction, requiring-among other features-a constant negative air pressure so that air flows from the corridor to the lab when the doors are opened. Wald is apparently unaware of just how well P3 laboratories do contain. For example, W. Emmett Barkley, Director of Research Safety of the National Cancer Institute, points out that experience shows that the most highly infectious biological agents may be used in a properly run P3 laboratory with minimal risk. He estimates that, on average, workers in such laboratories incur about two infections for every 100 person-years of work-and this with the most highly infectious agents known. Moreover, many years of experience with P3 facilities at the Center for Communicable Diseases in Atlanta, and at Fort Dietrick, and the laboratories of the National Institute of Health in Maryland show that, even in those rare cases of infection of a laboratory worker caused by human error, secondary spread to people outside the lab is virtually nonexistent. According to studies conducted in Barkley's office by Barkley, Arnold Weedum and others, there is no evidence that proper handling of even the most dangerous organisms under P3 conditions-or in many cases under less restrictive conditions-endangers the surrounding community. Wald's statement that P3 labs do not contain is simply false. Of course the designations P2, P3, or P4 may be misused, but then even ordinary chemicals present in any biochemical lab can be misused, and in the latter case with immediate and serious danger. It must not be forgotten that recombinant DNA experiments which present some plausible scenario for significant danger have been banned altogether by the Guidelines, and many experiments are confined to the extraordinarily protective environment defined as P4.

2. Wald objects to the use of E. coli in recombinant DNA experiments. He notes that E. coli is a "constant inhabitant of the human bowel." and he asks "... what is to keep some hybridized E. coli turned pathogenic from infecting its human host?" Wald implies that E. coli turned pathogenic at the drop of a gene. He is apparently unaware of the years of sophisticated experimentation that have been devoted to analyzing the factors required to render E. coli pathogenic. In fact, despite these efforts, no one yet has managed to transfer any gene or set of genes from a pathogenic E. coli to E. coli K12, the commonly used laboratory strain, and render that strain pathogenic. This is not surprising : pathogenic organisms are highly evolved to occupy a very specialized niche, and it is no easy matter to confer those multiple properties required for pathogenicity on a non-

80-497 0-77-17

5

pathogenic bacterium grown for many generations in the laboratory. The scenario behind Wald's query involves a series of extremely improbable events. We would have to imagine a segment of foreign DNA—representing about .1 percent of the DNA of the bacterium into which it is placed—rendering K12 pathogenic. The K12 would then have to colonize the human gut (which K12 does not ordinarily do), and survive excretion and a sojourn outside the gut *en route* to infect another person or animal. As far as we know, the probabilities of any one of these events occuring is extremely small, and the aggregate probability, vanishingly small. Wald formulates his questions in such a way as to simply ignore these issues.

3. Wald questions the concept of "biological containment." He asserts that "... all recombinant experiments with E. coli will use the K12 strain ... " and he goes on to cite, among other reports, the fact that when K12 is fed to human beings in large numbers it can be detected for some days in the intestinal tract. He points out that plasmid transmission has been detected from one bacterium to another in vivo when bacteria carrying these plasmids are ingested in large numbers. Wald's characterization of biological containment is rudimentary. In fact, most experiments done in P3 and P4 laboratories would require use of specially enfeebled strains-for example, mutants of K12-that have been demonstrated to survive only 10⁻² times as well as ordinary K12 in laboratory conditions. Tests performed with candidates for such strains have revealed no survival in the animal gut, even when ingested in extremely high numbers. Moreover, the plasmids used in these experiments are of the non-transmissible type. The transfer resulted quoted by Wald were obtained with plasmids that transfer at very high frequency. In fact, no one, to my knowledge, has demonstrated transfer of a nontransmissible plasmid in vivo from K12, even under strong selective conditions.

4. Wald believes that "recombinant DNA technology faces our society with . . [unprecedented] . . . problems," because "the nub of the new technology is to move genes back and forth, not only across species lines, but across any boundaries that now divide prokaryotes from eukaryotes." The dogmatic statement of fact that so moves Wald is highly debatable. It is well known that among prokaryotes in vivo, DNA passes not only across species lines but also across genus and family lines, and it is far from obvious that DNA does not frequently pass the "prokaryotic-eukaryotic" barrier as well. Many biologists believe that some of the organelles of higher cells, such as mitochondria and chloroplasts, are descendents of bacteria that existed as symbiotes of eukaryotic cells. It has been estimated that the Earth's human population alone excretes some 1022 bacteria per day. E. coli absorbs foreign DNA in the laboratory quite readily when treated with calcium ion, and the frequency with which such conditions arise in the gut, or with which mutants arise that are competent to accept foreign DNA under ordinary conditions, is probably not much below 10-8. Other common strains of bacteria, such as Haemophilus, are competent, under almost all conditions, to absorb foreign DNA. Of course, host restriction will decrease the efficiency of any initial transfer, but once DNA is past this barrier it is no longer recognizable. as foreign. Wald's scenario for disaster assumes that the addition of a bit of foreign DNA would render a bacterium a strongly virulent pathogen. It is likely that such a recombinant, with a marked selective advantage over ordinary bacteria, would not have been produced and selected for by nature? Wald apparently does not take his "barrier" argument very seriously, because he freely asks, "Yet what is to keep some hybridized E. coli from transferring those plasmids to human cells?"

5. Wald states: "I think that the most certain benefits to come out of [this technology] would be scientific: increased understanding of important biological phenomena, such as the mechanisms that turn specific gene activities on and off, that trigger cell multiplication and differentiation, that regulate cell metabolism." He adds: "I wou'd guess that recombinant DNA research carries more and earlier risk of causing cancers than hope of curing them." Wald presents not a shred of argument or evidence that would make plausible the extraordinary statement that recombinant experiments might cause cancers. The first part of Wald's statement is in fact a good summary of what most workers in the field believe to be the likely benefits of this work.

6. Wald has added a series of diversions, the relevancy of which I cannot determine. It is no news to most of us that smoking causes lung cancer, but what are we to make of the admonition: "If one were really concerned about.

그는 같은 것은 것은 그것

cancer, there is the obvious place to attack it [i.e., smoking], with sure and immediate results." Does Wald mean to imply that those who work on DNA are not "really concerned about cancer?" What about those who work on vision? In fact we know very little about how carcinogens cause cancer—in particular we know almost nothing about the mysterious process of promotion wherein the potency of carcinogens is vastly increased by the presence of otherwise apparently harmless substances. A real understanding of the relations between carcinogenesis and the environment may depend on our understanding of these processes which in turn requires basic research.

What are we to make of Wald's cry that the NIH Guidelines do not now apply to industry, and that there is some ominous "corporate connection" between something and something else? Does this mean that the Guidelines themselves are inadequate? At this moment Senators Kennedy and Javits are attempting to make the Guidelines into federal law. Perhaps making the Guidelines into federal, enforceable law will satisfy Wald, or perhaps not. What Wald may be after is outlawing these experiments altogether by statute.

Finally, it is perhaps worth noting that so far as I am able to ascertain, the overwhelming majority of informed scientists, most especially experts on infectious diseases, regard the Guidelines as providing more than sufficient levels of safety for the recombinant DNA experiments.

RECOMBINANT DNA-ON OUR OWN

The recombinant DNA issue will not go away. It is but the churning edge of a turbulent sea of concerns to come, as mankind again extends its dominion, this time to redirect the course of biological evolution. And as we find our course in this changed world, we should not expect that the ways of science will remain unchanged.

It is the success of science that has ended its pleasant isolation from the strident conflict of interests and the often passionate clash of values. The great discoveries in molecular and cellular biology—in particular the elucidation of the structure and functions of the nucleic acids—have provided us with a definitive understanding of the nature of life. Earlier in this century splendid discoveries in physics and chemistry provided us with a definitive understanding of the nature of matter. From that understanding has come the technology to reshape the inanimate world to human purpose. And many are less than pleased with the consequences. Now the description of life in molecular terms provides the beginning of a technology to reshape the living world to human purpose, to reconstruct our fellow life forms—each, as are we, the product of three billion years of evolution—into projections of the human will. And many are profoundly troubled by the prospect.

are profoundly troubled by the prospect. With the advent of synthetic biology we leave the security of that web of natural evolution that, blindly and strangely, bore us and all of our fellow creatures. With each step we will be increasingly on our own. The invention and introduction of new self-reproducing, living forms may well be irreversible. How do we prevent grievous missteps, inherently unretraceable? Can we in truth foresee the consequences, near- and long-term, of our interventions? By our wits mankind has become the master of the extant living world. Will shortsighted ingenuity now spawn new competitors to bedevil us?

The apparent significance of the potential hazard of recombinant DNA depends markedly on the perspective in which the issue is seen. Viewed narrowly the potential hazard seems slight. Most of the novel microorganisms will likely be innocuous. A few, by careful design and selection, will be of value for human purpose. A few might inadvertently be perilous. The chance of release of these organisms is statistically small although it can hardly be null. The chance of a series of events necessary to produce a plague seems slim, in any one experiment.

Viewed broadly, however—over long years, in numerous environs, with countless experiments—a far larger penumbra of hazard appears. Nature has developed strong barriers against genetic interchange between species. What do we know of the consequence of breaching these barriers? In particular and specifically, what may in time ensue if we introduced genetic intercourse between ourselves (and our biological relations) and the ubiquitous microorganisms with which we live so intimately?

We can have no assurance that science will not bring us into a more dangerous world. The search for knowledge has often been hazardous; many explorers have faced great perils. Now the hazards can encompass the planet, and we may not continue to rely upon the resilience of nature to protect us from our follies.

New circumstances bring new perspectives. As scientists we have had the rare luxury to pursue truth, unhampered by conflicts of compassion. Caution has been an unfamiliar virtue while boldness and curiosity have been hallowed. As we cut free the strands of our inheritance, a different blend of virtues may be in order and other traditions may be helpful.

We should not underestimate these stakes, now and in time to come. We will need to establish in each time a sense of limits commensurate with our finite vision and shaped by our sense of the moral-limits within which we believe we can explore without fear and with decency, and beyond which we should tread most gingerly. These limits will change continually as knowledge grows. In their definition and redefinition we should involve all who can help and respect all of those affected.

As scientists who seek to understand nature, we should not unthinkingly and irreversibly perturb it. As human beings we have a responsibility always to be concerned for our fellows and our fellow creatures and the future generations.

ROBEET L. SINSHEIMER, Division of Biology, California Institute of Technology.

Norz.—Sinsheimer, Robert L. Recombinant DNA—On Our Own. Bioscience, v. 26, October 1976: 599. Reproduced from : Bioscience, v. 26, October 1976, by permission of the publisher, the American Institute of Biological Sciences.

ા સમય તે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ કે સામ તે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કરવા છે. પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કરવા પ્રત પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કરવા કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કરવા કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કરવા પ્ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રત કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ પ્રતાસ કે પ્રત્સ કે પ્ સ્ટે પ્રતે કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રત્સ કે પ્રત્ય કે પ્રતિ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રતાસ કે પ્રત્ય કે પ્રત કે પ્રત્ય કે પ્રત્ય કે પ્રત્ય કે પ્રત્ય કે પ્રત્ય કે પ્રત્ય કે પ્રત્ય કે પ્રત્ય કે પ્રત્ય કે પ્રત્ય કે પ્રત્ય કે પ્રત્ય કે પ્રત્ય કે પ્રત કે પ્રત્ય કે પ્ર પ્રત્ય કે

APPENDIX 14

[Reproduced by permission of the author, Dr. Bernard D. Davis]

PUBLIC LECTURE, HARVARD SCIENCE CENTER: DAEWIN, PASTEUR, AND THE ANDROMEDA STRAIN

Recent developments in molecular genetics have made it easy to insert small fragments of genetic material (DNA) from any organism. including man, into tiny self-replicating units of DNA from bacteria, called plasmids. These can be reintroduced into bacteria, such as the common *E. coli* of the human gut, which can then be used to manufacture large quantities of the inserted DNA in pure form. The possibilities opened up by this technique have aroused enormous interest among biologists but have also generated wide public concern, focused on two potential risks. The first is the immediate risk of harm from some of the novel organisms produced. It is useful to consider this risk in terms of three probabilities : the probability of producing a pathogenic organism, the probability of its infecting an exposed laboratory worker, and the probability of its spread in the community. The second risk is a more conjectural, long-term one: that our interference with evolution, by recombining DNA from distant sources, will eventually create unforeseeable disasters.

Both these issues raise ethical questions, on which a public consensus is the ultimate arbiter. But a rational decision requires an informed public-and despite claims that we are entirely in the dark in this novel territory, we actually possess a good deal of relevant information. It is this knowledge-in microbiology, epidemiology, and evolutionary theory-that I wish to review. For the extensive public discussions of the hazards have been built largely on the assumption that any novel organism we may produce is likely to survive and spread, and this assumption ignores what was Darwin's great discovery : the dominating role of natural selection in determining what survives, multiplies, and evolves. Working with the invisible organisms that were not part of Darwin's world, Pasteur made essentially the same discovery, though it was expressed in different terms: he showed that bacteria do not arise by spontaneous generation but are ubiquitous, and the kinds that grow out in any medium are the ones that are selected by that medium. For example, the same mixture of contaminants from the air grows out one kind of organism in grape juice, producing an alcoholic fermentation, and another kind in milk, producing a lactic acid fermentation.

Unfortunately, the evolutionary considerations that I shall invoke cannot provide the hard data that we have become accustomed to in modern experimental biology, and a skeptic might dismiss the arguments as mere handwaving. But then nearly all of Darwin's arguments, based on inferences about the past and not on verifiable experiments, could be similarly dismissed. And I would remind you that Darwin's theory remains the most profound generalization in biology unifying the field, enormously supported today by the evidence for continuous evolutionary progression in DNA sequences, and rich in implications for our understanding of man.

Let us start by reviewing some principles from evolution and microbiology that seem pertinent to the problem of estimating the risks of recombinant DNA research.

I. BACKGROUND

A. Microbiological and evolutionary principles

2

(1) The meaning of species.—As evolution proceeded from prokaryotes (i.e., bacteria with a single chromosome) to eukaryotes (i.e., higher organisms, with a more complex genetic apparatus), it created the process of sexual reproduction, which reassorts genes and thus provides vastly increased genetic diversity for natural selection to act on. But while diversity is necessary for evolution, un-

limited combinations from the pool of genetic material in the living world would not be useful, for a succesful organism must have a reasonably balanced set of genes. Hence the development of sexual reproduction was accompanied by the development of species: groups of organisms that reproduce only by mating with other members of the same group, and not with members of other species. Some closely related species produce hybrid offspring, such as a mule, that are viable but not fertile, while more distant crosses produce no offspring at all. The evolutionary value of such fertility barriers is clearly to avoid useless production of grossly unfit, non-viable progeny.

Unlike eukaryotes, prokaryotes ordinarily reproduce by the asexual process of cell division, which means that the genetic properties of a strain remain constant for generation after generation, except for rare mutations or rare gene transfers. The gene transfers, which are usually mediated by plasmids or viruses, do not show a sharp species boundary: they simply become less efficient the greater the evolutionary separation between the donor and the recipient. Prokaryotes therefore have no true species: they have an almost continuous spectrum of genetic patterns, and the borders between what we call bacterial species are arbitrary and often controversial. *E. coli*, for example, is not a homogeneous species. It is the name given to a range of strains with certain common features and also with a variety of differences—in surface molecules, nutrition, growth rate, sensitivity to inhibitors, etc. These differences determine the relative Darwinian fitness of various strains for various environments.

(2) Bacterial ecology.—Every living species is adapted to a given range of habitats. The set of bacterial strains called E. coli thrive only in the vertebrate gut. They survive temporarily in water but quickly die out. (Indeed, for that reason the E. coli count of a pond or a well is a reliable index of its continuing fecal contamination.) In the gut there is intense Darwinian competition between strains, depending on such variables as growth rate, nutritional requirements, ability to scavenge limited food supplies, adherence to the gut lining, and resistance to antimicrobial factors in the host. Hence most novel strains are quickly extinguished. It is the kind of selection by competition envisaged by Darwin for higher organisms, but it happens in days rather than in eons, because the generation time of many bacteria is only 20 minutes and the selection pressures are often intense.

This effect of the environment in the gut (i.e., type of food and physiological state) on the normal flora is readily recognized. For example, when breast feeding is replaced by solid food the character of the stool changes dramatically, as lactic acid bacteria, which produce sweet-smelling products, are replaced by *E. coli* and other foul organisms. And in an experimental example, early in this century, Mechnikov romantically hoped to promote longevity by reversing the process, by supplying a large number of lactic acid bacteria, in the form of yogurt, to displace the presumably toxic foul organisms. The experiments were a dismal failure, but perhaps a commercial success. In a third, more recent example we frequently see the normal bacterial population of the gut disturbed by administration of antibiotics, and it has not proved possible, despite commercial interest, to accelerate recovery by administrating desired strains. It is clear that in the gut the environment plays a dominating role in determining what strains persist.

(3) Pathogenesis.—Only an incredibly small fraction of all bacterial species can cause disease. The rest play essential roles in the cycle of nature, in which CO_2 from the air is fixed in plants or bacteria by photosynthesis, the plants are eaten by animals, the animals and plants return to the soil after death, and there microorganisms digest the dead organic matter and return the carbon to the atmosphere as CO_2 .

Infectious bacteria differ from each other in several distinct respects: infectivity (i.e., the infectious dose, ranging from a few cells of the tularemia bacillus to around 10° cells of the cholera vibrio); specific distribution of the organism in the body; virulence (i.e., the severity of the disease once the infection has overcome natural resistance); and communicability from one individual host to another (including length of survival in nature). As with any complex property, these attributes depend on the coordinate, balanced activity of many genes, which are capable of independent variation. It is especially important to distinguish the ability to produce a serious disease from the ability to spread. For example, the tetanus bacillus is a normal, non-invasive inhabitant of the gut, but it can cause fatal illness when trauma gives it access to a susceptible tissue. (4) Stabilizing and diversifying selection.—When an organism grows continuously in a relatively constant environment natural selection has a stabilizing effect, weeding out the variants that deviate too far in any direction from the well adapted norm. But when the environment is changed the same basic process of natural selection has another, diversifying effect: the new circumstances select for the preferential survival and reproduction of variants with increased fitness for those circumstances. This Darwinian process explains the fluctuation in the properties of bacterial cultures that confused the early workers. For example, when pathogenic bacterial strains from infected hosts are isolated in the laboratory and then repeatedly transferred in artificial culture media they face an abrupt change of environment, and they rapidly develop improved adaptation to the new environment at the expense of decreased adaptation to the old one (i.e., they lose virulence).

The mechanism is now clear, and it does not involve any directive effect of the environment on the shifting bacterial population. Instead, rare mutants of all kinds are constantly appearing in the successive generations—in fact, as much as 10% of the cells in each generation may have a change in cne of the several million bases of the cell's DNA, though most of these mutations are not recognized because their effects are either too small to be seen or too large to yield a viable cell. Among the viable progeny those that are better adapted to the new culture medium (i.e., that can grow slightly faster, or can grow slightly longer with a limited food supply) outgrow the original strain.

We can see a similar effect of the wide use of antibiotics in man and in domesticated animals, except that the environment being changed is now the animal host rather than a laboratory culture medium: the result has been increased prevalence of drug resistance among some of the microbes that normally inhabit or that occasionally infect those hosts. The key is again selection: unless the drug is present in the environment to exert a selection pressure the introduction of even large numbers of a variant with specific drug resistance will not lead to its spread unless the variant is as well adapted as its competitors.

It is clear that natural selection plays an overwhelming role in evolution. With bacteria its role was long unrecognized: the population shifts seemed too rapid for an undirected process, and the existence of genes and mutations in bacteria were not recognized until the 1940's. But by now selection has become the foundation of bacterial ecology.

B. Benefits of recombinant DNA research

٦

Before going on to analyze the hazards we should take a brief look at some of the benefits, which must also be considered in any decision.

Synthesis of recombinant DNA in vitro is not just a toy to satisfy the curiosity of investigators. It is an extraordinarily powerful and simple tool for studying the structure and function of mammalian DNA, and it has rapidly become as indispensable as radioactive isotopes or the electron microscope. In particular, we do not understand the regulation of mammalian genes nearly as well as that of bacterial genes: the cells are harder to work with in many ways, and they contain DNA equivalent to several million genes, or about a thousand times the amount in a bacterium. The recombinant DNA technique, which can purify fragments containing a single gene and its regulatory elements, provides an enormous simplification of the system and thus promotes its analysis. In addition, the value of such purification has recently been enormously enhanced by the development, by W. Gilbert and by F. Sanger, of an extremely simple technique for determining the sequence of short fragments of DNA, up to two hundred bases long. In two days one can now completely determine such a sequence, which previously took two years; hence we can anticipate rapid progress in determining the chemical structure of innumerable mammalian genes.

While no one can foresee all the consequences of a basic discovery, the history of molecular biology assures that these new developments in handling DNA will lead to great advances in our understanding of mammalian gene regulation, the key to normal development and differentiation and also to the defective regulation of cell growth in cancer. One can also safely predict the use of such bacteria for producing medically valuable human cell products, such as insulin and other protein hormones, specific antibodies to replace deficiences, specific antigens for immunization against tumors, and the specific genes or their products that may ultimately be used to treat hereditary enzyme deficiencies. In addition to these practical benefits, I need hardly emphasize for this audience the enormous cultural importance of encouraging free inquiry, and the potential loss to society from a precedent of curtailing such inquiry. At the same time, it has always been clear that the right to freedom of inquiry has limits, just like the right to freedom of expression. One such limit is cruelty : a medical experimenter must recognize that he is dealing with human subjects and not with objects. Another limit is unacceptable hazard, whether to individuals, to the population, or to the environment : hence the acceptance of regulations and licening requirements for research with radioactive materials. In turning now to the question of hazard I would suggest that we cannot pretend to compare risks and benefits as closely as we can compare costs and benefits: we must rather ask whether a particular set of risks is acceptable, in terms of the increment that it may add to the risks that we already live with. For a demand for absolute freedom from risk would be a prescription for paralysis.

II. HAZARDS

In trying to estimate the immediate hazards we must consider, as I mentioned earlier, three probabilities: that experiments with a given kind of DNA will produce a dangerous organism, that that organism will infect a laboratory worker, and that the organism will escape and spread in the community or the environment. For it is easy to draw up a scary hypothetical scenario, if one's imagination need not be limited by considerations of probability. But any realistic discussion must consider probabilities.

A. DANGER OF PRODUCING A HARMFUL ORGANISM

If one deliberately transfers into E. coli a bacterial gene for toxin production the probability of its having the expected phenotypic effect on the cell, and producing its toxin as long as it survives in the new host, is very high. If one introduces the total genome of a tumor virus the hazard will be less, for it would require release of the viral DNA and its infection of host cells; but while that probability may be very low, we cannot assume that it is negligible. Both these kinds of experiments are appropriately prohibited in the NIH Guidelines today.

I would like to concentrate on a kind of experiment that is allowed but is causing great concern and is restricted to quite special facilities: the so-called "shotgun" experiment, in which one transfers random fragments of DNA from mammalian cells. Here it is clear that the probability of isolating a strain with a genefor a toxic product, or with the genes of a tumor virus, is exceedingly low.

Evolutionary considerations provide an additional and independent approach to the question whether shotgun experiments are likely to create novel and harmful microbes. In my opinion it is exceedingly doubtful that our new-found ability to introduce mammalian DNA into bacteria in the laboratory will create a truly novel class of organisms, for evolution had an earlier crack at the problem. It is known that bacteria can take up naked DNA from solution and, in fact, two different strains of pneumococcus have been shown to be able to produce a third, recombinant strain in an animal body, by release of DNA from a lysed cell of one strain and its uptake by an intact cell of the other. Moreover, bacteria in the gut are constantly exposed to fragments of host DNA that are released as the cells lining the gut die; while bacteria growing in carcasses have a veritable feast.

The efficiency of such uptake of mammalian DNA by bacteria is undoubtedly very low. However, because of the extraordinarily large scale of the exposure in nature, recombinants of this general class must have been formed innumerable times over millions of years and thus have been tested in the crucible of natural selection. Moreover, such organisms are undoubtedly also being formed in nature today. If they had high survival value we would be recognizing short stretches of mammalian DNA in *E. coli*. We do not. In addition, if the naturally occurring recombinants included serious pathogens, as is feared from artificial recombinants, we would be seeing epidemics of serious disease due to *E. coli*. We do not. If, on the other hand, naturally occurring recombinants are appearing and even causing disease, but are escaping our attention, we would have to ask how much our laboratories could add, since nature experiments with about 10^{20} bacterial cells produced in the human species per day. As an additional danger, it has been suggested that terrorists might deliberately create harmful recombinant bacteria, as a powerful new tool. But it is hard to see why a terrorist would be interested in an E. coli strain containing, say, a gene for botulinus toxin, when that gene is already housed in the naturally occurring *Clostridium botulinum*, a well adapted organism with proven survival value. With that organism the terrorist could manufacture, at the cost of a few dollars, enough botulinus toxin to poison all the inhabitants of a large city.

B. Danger of laboratory infection

R

In moving now from the probability of inadvertently producing a harmful organism to the probability of its causing a laboratory infection, let us assume the worst case: an E. coli strain producing a potent toxin absorbable from the gut, such as botulinus toxin. (This experiment is at present prohibited). Such a strain would present a real danger of laboratory infection. But there are a number of reasons to expect it to be less, with even this worst hypothetical recombinant pathogen, that with the pathogens that are handled every day in diagnostic and research laboratories.

(a) The known laboratory infections (about 6,000 recorded in the history of microbiology) have been largely due to organisms that cause respiratory infections, spread by droplets (mostly before safety cabinets were introduced in the 1940's). Because enteric infections occur through swallowing of contaminated food or other material, even the most virulent enteric pathogens are relatively safe to handle in the laboratory with simple precautions, such as not putting food or a cigarette on the laboratory bench.

(b) Strain K12 of *E. coli*, used in almost all genetic work, has been transferred for at least 30 years in the laboratory, during which it has become much better adapted to artificial media than to the human gut. In fact, recent tests showed that after a large dose in man (much larger than what one would expect from a laboratory accident) this strain disappeared from the stools within a few days. Its problems of survival are analogous to those of a delicate hothouse plant thrown out to compete with the weeds in a field.

(c) The addition of a block of foreign DNA to an enteric organism will ordinarily decrease its adaptation to survival in the gut and hence its probability of spreading. For at the least, replication of useless DNA exacts a metabolic price for an organism; while if the DNA is active its products are likely further to disturb the metabolic balance.

(d) A very large safety factor is added by the provision for biological containment in the present Guidelines. All work with mammalian DNA must be carried out only in a strain derived from *E. coli* K12 (the class called EK2) that has a drastically impaired ability to multiply, or to transfer its plasmid, except under very special conditions provided in the laboratory. For example, in the presently certified EK2 strain the defects include loss of the ability to synthesize an essential wall component. The strain is maintained in the laboratory by supplying the missing component, which is not found in the gut. Cells growing without that component quickly burst, because they grow without forming more wall; hence survival is less than 10^{-8} in 24 hours.

We thus see that with a strain known to have added the gene for a potent toxin in a serious laboratory infection requires the compounding of four low probabilities. With strains from shotgun experiments we have a fifth, very low probability, already mentioned: that an apparently harmless mammalian tissue will yield a dangerous product.

I conclude that in the kinds of experiments now permitted (which exclude the introduction of a known gene for a potent toxin or a known tumor virus) the danger of a significant laboratory infection is vanishingly small compared with the dangers encountered every day by medical microbiologists working with virulent pathogens. And such dangers must ultimately be balanced against the potential benefits, both practical and cultural. In the United States, up to 1961, of the 2400 recorded cases of laboratory infections 107 were fatal—over half of these from diagnostic laboratories. On the other side, millions of lives were saved by bacteriological research and diagnosis.

But even if the risks in recombinant DNA research are much smaller than the public has been led to believe, it is important to keep all the probabilities low. In particular, even if a toxin-producing strain would survive only very brieffy in the gut, a large enough dose might meanwhile produce enough toxin to cause disease. Hence it is important for molecular biologists working in this area to learn, and to use, the standard techniques of medical microbiology, at least until we have acquired much more experience with the organisms. Indeed, the enforcement of such practices could be a major benefit from the current discussion.

C. Danger of spread

I now come to the most important point of all, with respect to protecting the public interest. The difference between the danger of causing a laboratory infection and the further danger of unleashing an epidemic is enormous. In our government's bacteriological warfare laboratories at Camp Detrick, working for 25 years on the most communicable and virulent pathogens known, 423 laboratory infections were seen. Moreover, most of these infections were picked up by the respiratory route. Yet despite our very imperfect control of respiratory transmission there was not a single case of secondary spread to a member of the family or to any person outside the laboratory. Similarly, in the Communicable Disease Center of the U.S. Public Health Service 150 laboratory infections were recorded, with one case of transmission to a spouse. Elsewhere in the world there have been about two dozen laboratory-based microepidemics recorded, each involving a few outsiders.

With enteric pathogens the danger of secondary cases is minimal, for with this class of agents modern sanitation provides infinitely better control than we can provide for respiratory infection: in contrast to influenza, the appearance of a case of typhoid in a home does not lead to an epidemic. Enteric epidemics appear only when sanitation is poor or has broken down, or when a symptomfree carrier with filthy personal habits serves as a food handler; and such epidemics are always small (except when sewage freely enters the water supply).

There is no doubt that this epidemiological information is pertinent to the recombinants that we are discussing. For despite widespread apprehension about the presumed biparental chimeras with totally unknown properties, the fact is that these recombinants are genetically 99.9 percent $E.\ coll$, with about 0.1 percent foreign DNA added. It is exceedingly improbable that such an organism could have a radically expanded habitat, no longer confined to the gut. It is even harder to see that the organism would be more communicable, or more virulent, than our worst enteric pathogens, which cause typhoid and dysentery. The Andromeda Strain remains entertaining science fiction.

I conclude that if by remote chance a recombinant strain should be pathogenic, and if it should cause a laboratory infection, that infection would give an early warning, which would decrease the chance of spread.

Moreover, if a case should appear outside the laboratory the enteric habitat of *E. coli* provides powerful protection, in a country with modern sanitation, against the chain of transmission required for an epidemic.

We must therefore ask whether the problem merits deep concern by the general public, any more than the problem of how laboratories performing diagnostic work or research on known pathogens should be operated. To produce a serious epidemic by introducing fragments of mammalian DNA into *E. coli* would require the compounding of five low probabilities. By any reasonable analysis the risk seems very much less than that from pathogens that are being cultivated in laboratories all the time.

D. Tumor viruses

Tumor viruses present a special problem. Unlike other viruses, whose entry in an adequate dose regularly causes disease in a susceptible host, tumor viruses do not cause a tumor regularly after infection but require special circumstances. Indeed, their frequent presence in apparently normal animal tissues is the main source of the fear of shotgun experiments. Moreover, whether they make any contribution to human cancer is still quite unknown. Nevertheless, if they should do so it would be after a latent period of years. Hence any conceivable infection by a bacterium containing a tumor virus genome would lack the early warning of the toxin producers.

However, all other aspects of the problem remain the same. And this loss of one protective feature is balanced by the fact that these viruses, by definition, have their own means of spread. Indeed, in general the natural spread of viruses is even more effective than that of bacteria, each infected animal cell producing thousands of infectious virus particles. Moreover, since viral DNA in a bacterium would have to get out of its host cell and enter human cells through an extremely inefficient process, it is hard to imagine that that naked DNA would be more hazardous than the same DNA in its own infectious, viral coat, adapted by evolution for entering animal cells. In addition, if we fear the danger of such indirect uptake of unrecognized tumor virus DNA from normal manimalian tissue, one must ask whether the direct ingestion of such tissue, e.g., in steak cooked rare, may not present at least as great a danger.

It therefore seems fair to ask whether there is greater danger if we use the recombinant DNA technology to help us to understand tumor viruses, or if we presumably play safe and inhibit that research. For if we choose the latter we meanwhile allow the tumor viruses to spread as they presently do in nature, under circumstances where we really do not understand their relation to human tumors at all. If I may engage in a bit of speculation, I would suggest that ordinary blood transfusions probably have a much higher risk of exposing us to tumor-producing agents. For since tumors are not detected until they reach a substantial size, the probability that the average transfusion has come from an early tumor patient is not negligible: it may be as high as 0.1 or 1 percent. The choice between cancer cells injected into one's bloodstream, and tumor virus genes in bacteria in one's gut, would not seem difficult.

E. The NIH guidelines

Though extensive discussion preceded formulation of the Guidelines I believe it did not include nearly enough input from experts in infectious disease and in evolution, who could have debated the real hazards rather than unlimited hypothetical scenarios. And in the light of what I see as the technical realities I would regard the present Guidelines as excessively conservative. On the other hand, I would also regard them as a reasonable response to the level of public anxiety that has been raised, though they make the research substantially more expensive. And in the face of the alleged dangers that have been described I cannot blame the public for having a high level of anxiety. But I do blame the New York Times for publishing in their Sunday Magazine last August a one-sided presentation by a molecular biologist who displayed extraordinarily little understanding of either microbiology or evolution. In speaking of E. coli as though it were a standard, uniformly distributed organism, which would carry with it through the world any additional genes that we insert, he ignored the most important factor of all: natural selection. He also made the remarkable statement that the insertion of tumor viruses into bacteria may make them infectious. And his scary scenarios concluded with the suggestion that scientists working in this field may produce yet another Andromeda strain—as though the first strain existed in fact rather than in fancy.

Given the present level of public anxiety, scientists in this field seem quite willing to accept the Guidelines. But I hope it will not be too long before these rules are modified in the light of further experience. For since the technique is potentially useful for a large number of investigators, the requirement for elaborate facilities will add up to a very large expense. There must be some limits to the laudable principle of erring on the side of caution.

III. INTERVENTION IN EVOLUTION

The hazard that we have been discussing—that of creating novel, dangerous organisms—is a legitimate cause for public concern; there is no question about society's right to limit hazardous activities. However, when we move to the question whether our increasing power to manipulate genetic material creates longterm evolutionary dangers we are moving into quite a different area, involving the concept of dangerous knowledge rather than dangerous actions. The most prominent exponent of this view is Robert Sinsheimer, of Caltech. Perhaps we can clarify the issue by trying to translate into more specific terms some of the general sources of apprehension that he has expressed in various publications.

1. Dr. Sinsheimer questions our moral right to breach the barrier between prokaryotes and eukaryotes, since we simply cannot foresee the consequences. This argument seems to turn voluntary principles through 180 degrees. Evolution is concrned with selection for fitness, in the Darwinian sense, and the barriers that it has established between species are designed to avoid wasteful matings, i.e., matings whose products would be monstrosities, in the sense of being unable to survive, rather than monsters, in the sense of taking over. Since survival of an organism depends upon a balanced genome, evolution proceeds in small steps, no one of which will excessively unbalance the genome in one respect while improving its adaptation in another. And since crosses between even closely related species are excluded in nature on these grounds, it is exceedingly unlikely that artificial transfers of genes between eukaryotes and prokaryotes would pass the test of Darwinian fitness.

2. "This is the beginning of synthetic biology." I wonder whether this statement can really be defended, considering that man has been domesticating animals and plants by selective breeding since neolithic times, and has also been cloning vegetables by grafting.

3. "The power to change the evolutionary process is as significant as cracking the atom." But atoms are not subject to extinction by Darwinian selection. Stores of nuclear weapons are likely to be more permanent than any dangerous organism that might reach the world from a laboratory working with recombinant DNA. Similarly, the statement by George Wald that "a living organism is forever" is dramatic, but it disregards two powerful evolutionary predictions: first, that natural selection will rapidly extinguish all evolutionary departures except for the infinitesimal fraction that have improved their adaptive fitness; and second, that the recombination of genes from distant sources has an exceedingly small probability of improving fitness.

4. "We no longer have the absolute right of free inquiry." But we never had: as I noted above, dangerous procedures have always been subject to limitations. But to invoke dimly foreseen, undefined dangers seems to be starting on the slippery slope of excluding dangerous ideas.

5. Power over nucleic acids, as over the atomic nucleus, "might drive us too swiftly toward some unseen chasm. . . We should not thrust inquiry too far beyond our perception of its consequences." While we have become increasingly aware of the costs and dangers of technology, and should increase our alertness to these problems as they become visible, I would paraphrase this statement and suggest that we should not thrust our limitations on research too far beyond our perceptions of its hazards. Some claim that scientists are arrogant and wish to steam ahead regardless of the consequences. But considering the history of the benefits of science, and the sad history of Italy's elimination from the race by Pope Urban VIII after its head start under Galileo, perhaps it is more arrogant for a handful of opposed scientists, having presented their arguments, to try desperately to place severe restrictions on recombinant DNA research.

6. Finally, Sinsheimer suggests that this is the beginning of a genetic engineering that will ultimately extend to man. In contrast to the vagueness of the preceding propositions, this one is concrete, and one can wrestle with it. Moreover, I suspect that it lies at the heart of his anxiety, and that of much of the audience.

This is too large a topic to consider in detail here. In 1970 it received extensive discussion, which then subsided but has been reactivated by the very different question of genetic engineering in bacteria. I would only point out briefly that the medical aim of genetic engineering in man is gene therapy for diseases due to single defective genes, with a well defined chemistry. I believe we are still a long way from being able to introduce DNA in the reliable, controlled way that would be required. But even if this guess is wrong, it is clear that success in such therapy would still leave us very far from being able to manipulate in any useful way the large number of genes, all still undefined, that affect the structure and function of the brain. Moreover, in an already developed organism no conceivable manipulation of DNA could prescribe the wiring diagram of the already formed brain. Hence the possibility that a tyrant could use genetic engineering to manipulate personalities seems still too remote to justify present concern. In addition, I would question whether the technological imperative would necessarily (or even likely) lead us to use genetic technology to manipulate human personalities if we could. If the simple but effective techniques of selective breeding and artificial insemination are not used to influence the gene pool, one must question what motivation would lead society to use the much more elaborate techniques that might emerge from current research.

Philosophical questions about the effect of science and technology on man's fate do not start with recombinant DNA but go back to Galileo. We cannot unlearn the scientific method, and if we restrict it in one place it will turn up in another. In a world that has only recently come to realize how large (and often unexpected) a price we are paying for various aspects of technology, it is only too easy to take the benefits of science and technology for granted, and to object to the new problems that they are raising. But in the long run it is difficult to see how we can plot a more prudent course than to try to recognize the hazards of

state of the

specific possible applications as they arise, to seek a reasonable balance between the demand for freedom of action and the demand for pretection from excessive risks, and to seek orderly and responsible methods for involving the public in matters that so deeply affect its interests.

I share Sinsheimer's concern for the future, and his passionate advocacy of vigilance. But the vigilance must be directed at specific definable applications. Vigilance concerning new knowledge that might someday be misused is, to me, a threat to freedom of inquiry, and I believe a threat to human welfare. If we are entering dangerous territory in exploring recombinant DNA, we may enter even more dangerous territory if we start to limit inquiry on the basis of our incapacity to foresee its consequences.

BERNARD D. DAVIS, Bacterial Physiology Unit, Harvard Medical School.

Ο





12 S. C. L. T. C. S. COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

JAMES O. EASTLAND, Mississippi, Chairman

SAM J. ERVIN, JR., North Carolina THOMAS J. DODD, Connecticut HIRAM L. FONG, Hawaii PHILIP A. HART, Michigan EDWARD V. LONG, Missouri EDWARD V. LONG, Missouri EDWARD M. KENNEDY, Massachusetts BIRCH BAYH, Indiana QUENTIN N. BURDICK, North Dakota JOSEPH D. TYDINGS, Maryland GEORGE A SMATHERS, Florida

Attest:

ET

JOHN L. MCCLELLAN, Arkansas SAM J. ERVIN, JR., North Carolina ROMAN L. HRUSKA, Nebraska HIRAM L. FONG, Hawan HUGH SCOTT, Pennsylvania STROM THURMOND, South Carolina

iyi adalabaya a saree

THOMAS C. BRENNAN, Chief Counsel 방법을 알려갈 것이 잘 못했는 것이다.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, AND COPYRIGHTS JOHN L. MCCLELLAN, Arkansas, Chairman

144

PHILIP A. HART, Michigan QUENTIN N. BURDICK, North Dakota HIRAM L. FONG, Hawaii

SENATE RESOLUTION 52

1. 1. 1. 1.

Submitted by Mr. McClellan of Arkansas

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES, Agreed to February 2, 1967.

Resolved, That the report of the President's Commission on the Patent System, entitled "To Promote the Progress of Useful Arts", be printed with illustrations as a Senate document.

SEC. 2. There shall be printed three thousand additional copies of such document for the use of the Committee on the Judiciary.

> FRANCIS R. VALEO. Secretary.

enclose the fill dependences and beneficial without of the treated

波然的人,这个"这些"的第三人称单数的 "新兵",又下"马利"的名子

FOREWORD

The Senate Subcommittee on Patents, Trademarks, and Copyrights has been engaged in recent years in a review of our patent system. As part of this undertaking the subcommittee published a series of 30 studies exploring the scientific, economic, and legal aspects of the patent system. To assist in evaluating various proposals to institute changes, the subcommittee obtained the views of industry, inventors, economists, and the patent bar.

On the basis of its study the subcommittee concluded in 1965 that while "the objectives of the patent system are as valid today as at its inception," there "has not been adequate adjustment of our patent laws and procedures to reflect changing conditions and to respond to the critical problems confronting the Patent Office." I, therefore, welcomed President Johnson's decision to establish the President's Commission on the Patent System. This Commission, composed of distinguished public and Government members, has rendered a significant service. It has undertaken a comprehensive survey of our patent laws and procedures and addressed itself to the critical problems which demand solutions. Its unanimous conclusion that the patent system continues to provide an essential incentive for the conduct of research and the investment of capital is in accord with the findings of the subcommittee. Its concern with the long pendency of patent applications and the great uncertainty and considerable expense involved in the enforcement of patents is shared by the subcommittee.

The 35 recommendations of the Commission deserve the careful consideration of the Congress, the Patent Office, and all Americans who desire to see a stronger patent system. In order to provide for a wider dissemination of the report, it has been published as a Senate document. Of course, the views expressed are solely those of the Commission and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the Subcommittee on Patents, Trademarks, and Copyrights. Its publication, however, does testify to my belief that it represents a valuable contribution toward the improvement of the U.S. patent system.

JOHN L. MCCLELLAN,

Chairman, Subcommittee on Patents, Trademarks, and Copyrights.

III

Так и полнатиче на проставители и полнати и полнати и полнатиче и

(1) For contraction of a contraction of the for-contraction of the for-the contraction of the contraction of the for-the second of the for-the second of the for-the second of the for-the second of the for-the second of the for-the second of the for-the second of the for-the second of the for-the second of the for-the second of the for-the second of the for-the second of the for-the second of the for-the second of the for-the second of the second of the for-the second of the second of the for-the second of the second of the for-the second of the second of the second of the for-the second of the second of the second of the for-the second of the second of the second of the for-the second of the second of the second of the for-the second of the second of the second of the for-the second of the second of the second of the for-the second of the second of the second of the for-the second of the second of the second of the second of the for-the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the for-the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the

зтих діяхар за арчисого зит отомомт от

To Promote The Progress of Iseful Arts"

CUAL an HEALVAU 6.5 by Executive Order Fo. 19316, on April 8, 1965, and the membership was announced on July 22, 1985. The Commission has held thirteon meetings, brainding August 16, 1985, each meeting fashing from one to four days, for a total of thirty one days.

The resonantic solutions conversed in this report have been deviced in the resonantic solution of the resonantic solution in the resonance of the reconnected at the reconnected been the solutions in all of the reconnected been the solutions in the reconnect of the reconnect of the solutions in the reconnect of the solutions in the solution is in the solution in the solution in the solution is in the solution in the solution in the solution is in the solution in the solution in the solution is in the solution in the solution in the solution is in the solution in the solution in the solution is in the solution in the solution in the solution is in the solution in the solution in the solution is in the solution in the solution in the solution is in the solution in the solution in the solution is in the solution in the solution in the solution is in the solution in the s

Radiground material prepared by the stoff and the "commission infecting more extensively the constitutions taken into account in the development of these computerediations, is being completed and will be transmitted as a complement to the renewa

The principal objectives of the Commission's study are set forth in the Introduction. The the outert that the Commission's recommendations promote the extalment of these objectives, they will reads in furthering the mission of the United States patent system—to promote the progress of useful arts, advance **HOSTROPAR** living everywhere, and contribute toward world

THE PRESIDENT'S COMMISSION

evergeneration of the standard utron THE PATENT'SYSTEM to sugardary a set between of the blacks and the subtraction of the blacks and the subtraction of the subtraction of the blacks and the subtraction of the blacks and the subtraction of the blacks and the subtraction of the blacks and the subtraction of the blacks and the subtraction of the blacks and the subtraction of the blacks and the subtraction of the blacks and the subtraction of the blacks and the subtraction of the blacks and the subtraction of the blacks and the subtraction of the blacks and the subtraction of the blacks and the subtraction of the blacks and the subtraction of the blacks and the subtraction of the blacks and the subtraction of the blacks and the subtraction of the

WASHINGTON, D.C. 1966

(1)

November 17, 1966.

The PRESIDENT, The White House, Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. PRESIDENT:

We have the honor to present the report of the President's Commission on the Patent System.

Your Commission was established by Executive Order No. 11215, on April 8, 1965, and the membership was announced on July 23, 1965. The Commission has held thirteen meetings, beginning August 15, 1965, each meeting lasting from one to four days, for a total of thirty-one days.

The recommendations conveyed in this report have been developed through study and discussion by the members of the Commission and, as a whole, represent their combined judgment and general agreement. The recommendations, in all of their details, however, do not necessarily bear the endorsement of every member.

Background material prepared by the staff and the Commission, reflecting more extensively the considerations taken into account in the development of these recommendations, is being completed and will be transmitted as a supplement to the report.

The principal objectives of the Commission's study are set forth in the Introduction. To the extent that the Commission's recommendations promote the attainment of these objectives, they will assist in furthering the mission of the United States patent system—to promote the progress of useful arts, advance the standard of living everywhere, and contribute toward world peace and tranquillity.

One point, Mr. President, merits emphasis. The accompanying recommendations should not be regarded as a catalogue of

le a l'Adderaithean Brail

TO PROMOTE THE PROGRESS OF USEFUL ARTS

discrete remedies. The report considers the patent system as a whole and contemplates revision by means of a coordinated plan of interrelated recommendations.

The recommended changes taken together, we respectfully suggest, will strengthen the patent system, and thus will assist in the attainment of the Nation's domestic and international goals in today's rapidly changing environment.

Members of the Commission deeply appreciate the responsibility assigned to them and offer their continued cooperation.

Respectfully yours,

Second Second Second

HARRY HUNTT RANSOM SIMON H. RIFKIND Cochairmen.

en de la secter de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la

(c) the manufacture of the second s second sec second s second s second se

ويحتج المحمد والمحمد المراجع والمحمد والمحم

医静脉神经 能能成为效应于 医尿道性 法非法的法律 法法无法考虑的 法公司制造 ne tra la la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la con La construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción d

gift, ill spectroscoper o la successive

u El la presentador de la companya de la Calendaria de la Calendaria de la Calendaria de la Calendaria de la Ca

网络福富县 医试验 德国第一次问题 计正

mat^{er} bere administration densit. Henrie

JOHN BARDEEN JAMES W. BIRKENSTOCK EDWARD J. BRENNER CHARLES F. BROWN HOWARD W. CLEMENT EUGENE J. DAVIDSON andre provins (* 1999) Alexandre (* 1997) Alexandre (* 1997) JOHN M. MALLOY HOWARD K. NASON SIDNEY NEUMAN BERNARD OLIVER HORTON GUYFORD STEVER CHARLES B. THORNTON

THE PRESIDENT'S COMMISSION ON THE PATENT SYSTEM PATENT SYSTEM South one of the state of the state of the state of the state is contained in the state of the state of the state of the state live and bus index index of the state of the state live and bus index index of the state live and bus index index of the state live and bus index index of the state live and bus index of the st

Government Members

Secretary of Commerce JOHN T. CONNOR EDWARD J. BRENNER, Designee Secretary of Defense ROBERT S. MCNAMARA JOHN M. MALLOY, Designee Small Business Administrator BERNARD L. BOUTIN EUGENE J. DAVIDSON, Designee National Science Foundation Director LELAND J. HAWORTH CHARLES F. BROWN, Designee MAMUAN YAMUA

Official Observers & morror Morror

GERRER E TROETOR

Secretary of State DEAN RUSK

EUGENE M. BRADERMAN, Designee

Office of Science and Technology Director DONALD F. HORNIG DAVID Z. BECKLER, Designee

iv

TO PROMOTE THE PROGRESS OF USEFUL ARTS

STAFF OF THE COMMISSION

ALFRED C. MARMOR

The sublicant crosses Executive Secretary to be backed on the second state of the flat still be an indicated of the second state of the second sta

Research Assistants

LOUIS O. MAASSEL J. Addison Mathews Irving R. Pellman

Secretarial and Clerical Assistants

JANIS A. EDWARDS FRANCES A. HUNTER

Editorial Consultant

GORDON L. HOUGH

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Commission wishes to record its sincere gratitude for the generous efforts contributed by its staff, and by many individuals and organizations from both government and private life, which have been of inestimable value to the Commission in discharging its responsibilities.

electrolete fotosisti -

school and Chevelock Ashering

aans al **Edwales** Takoss al Buwales

waron L Horard

0.662.5

int Consections

vi

en de la composition de la compositione en la composition de la compositione en la composition de la compositione

TO PROMOTE THE PROGRESS OF USEFUL ARTS

Ι ſ ľ

n - n 919 2020 - Landard - Landard - Landard - Landard - Landard - Landard - Landard - Landard - Landard - Landard - Landard 2020 - Landard - Landard - Landard - Landard - Landard - Landard - Landard - Landard - Landard - Landard - Landa	CONTENTS	
		Baaa
etter of Ti	ransmittal second second second second second second second second second second second second second second s	rage ii
James of C	commission Members and Observers.	iv
James of S	taff Members	v
eknowledo	rements	vi
NTRODI		
RECOMM	ENDATIONS	5
	Patentability of Inventions	ana ang Pinan
/ w'	Prior Art	5
I	Preliminary Application	8
II	Exceptions to Prior Art	9
V	Patentable Subject Matter	12
	Application Filing and Examination	e nye nye esi La zasara sasar
V.	Assignee Filing and Joinder of Inventors	14
VI .	Claim for Priority Date	16
VII	Publication	16
VIII	Continuing Applications	17
IX	Standby Optional Deferred Examination	19
X	Burden of Persuasion	22
XI	Citation Period	23
XII	Quality Control	24
	Direct Review of Patent Office Decisions	-
X111	Presumption of Correctness	26
XIV	Review by Court of Appeals	26
1 7 1 7	Procedure for Amending and Cancelling Patents	00
XV		29
X.V1		30
V 1 1 1	Liability and Enforcement	99
	Interim Liability	0 <u>4</u> 90
	1 erm of Patent	00 94
AIA VV	Decrecy Urger	04 95
AA VVI	Ierminal Disciancer	. 00 95
ллі		50
	vii	

		+B.C
XXII	Patent Right Transferability	36
XXIII	In Rom Invalidity	38
XXIV	Civil Commissioners	50
VVV	E-modited Drosedure for Limited Claimer	200 ∦1
	Expedited Frocedure for Limited Claims	41
XXVI	Statutory Advisory Council	43
	Patent Office Operations	
XXVII	Patent Office Financing	45
XXVIII	Propriety of Final Rejection	47
XXIX	Classification and Information Retrieval	48
XXX	Information Dissemination	50
XXXI	Transition	52
XXXII	Government Patent Policy	53
1. V	International Action again of sacadesorial	
ŶXXIII	Inventor's Certificates	54
XXXIV	Torm Moscurement	54
VVVV	Huimmal Dotont Curton States	55
	- Oniversal Fatent-System and have a series of the series	90 20
UHARIS		99
	C Generation (1997), and a state of the Construction (1997). The state of the sta	era Vira
and a sub-	(1) The second s Second second s Second second second second 79 - 1247 -	
		2.1
	10 CONTRACT	4.5
35.	line in the standard for the standard in the stand	4
	Hitter (Qaality Control, 1997)	X.,
	Probleck could investigate relevant to the	
	of the conservation of the dependence of the PED	QC.
2 名	(1) Contract abaggs to the object weight the Profile	en p Solo
	V hadaansh hax galhasten wi suiteese T	
$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_i^2}{a_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_i^2}{a_i^2$	and the second state of th	
<u>.</u>	1	5 3 / 1 2 3 -
	。 1999年1月1日(1999年月)) 1999年1月1日(1999年月) 1999年1月1日(1999年月)	
		dig Z
AS	a series and a desire and a series of the se	-
- 8788	a a standig the first and the second s	san Syr
Alter a second and a second se	and a second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second	
New States	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	



el é tell del celebrar el communites ell'anemé second e B INTRODUCTION

The United States patent system is an institution as old as the Nation itself. Stemming from a Constitutional mandate. patent acts were passed in 1790, 1793, and 1836. The Act of 1836 established the pattern for our present system by providing statutory criteria for the issuance of patents and requiring the Patent Office to examine applications for conformance thereto. Although the law has been amended on numerous occasions and even rewritten twice since 1836-no basic changes have been made in its general character in the succeeding one hundred and thirty years.

Senare Synchronetter Social Sciences

However, during this period of few statutory changes, major developments have occurred in the social and economic character of the country. The United States has undergone a dramatic transformation, creating and utilizing an enormously complex technology, to emerge as the world's most productive industrial community/ the rades and saturated with faster (ultra where web tracease to tastinos ells al measure turbus o las diferer

In the agricultural economy of 1836, individuals who engaged in inventive activity usually did so alone, and on their own initiative. Such activity still continues. The lone, independent inventor, even in this day of sophisticated technology, still contributes most importantly to the useful arts. But the field is no longer his alone. Organized research is carrying a steadily increasing share of the task of exploration. notas a dentili

Research and development are now commanding a scale of expenditure which is possible only because of the application of the resources of government, private industry and institutions of learning.

meisys focuses a could selt of guidescentation focus incould

Scientific and technical information is being generated and made available to the public in an ever growing torrent. What the of Course basimily and regard of analysis is to and finance in the set banhora haleseen als sollies on maker sublant must weath thus 021-0200263-00

5

a sheeta di

the patent fraternity calls prior art is growing so fast that it is becoming almost unmanageable by conventional means of storage and retrieval. Disclosures are becoming increasingly complex, and many are in foreign languages.

The trend in the number of patent applications is clearly upward and their subject matter is increasing in sophistication and complexity. The current backlog of pending applications is over 200,000, the average period of pendency being two and onehalf years from filing to final disposition. However, a substantial number of applications have a period of pendency of five to ten years or more.

All of these factors have cooperated to make it exceedingly difficult for the patent examiner to screen what is truly novel and what is truly inventive.

Agreeing that the patent system has in the past performed well its Constitutional mandate "to promote the progress of . . . useful arts," the Commission asked itself: What is the basic worth of a patent system in the context of present day conditions? The members of the Commission unanimously agreed that a patent system today is capable of continuing to provide an incentive to research, development, and innovation. They have discovered no practical substitute for the unique service it renders.

First, a patent system provides an incentive to invent by offering the possibility of reward to the inventor and to those who support him. This prospect encourages the expenditure of time and private risk capital in research and development efforts.

Second, and complementary to the first, a patent system stimulates the investment of additional capital needed for the further development and marketing of the invention. In return, the patent owner is given the right, for a limited period, to exclude others from making, using, or selling the invented product or process.

TO

Third, by affording protection, a patent system encourages early public disclosure of technological information, some of which might otherwise be kept secret. Early disclosure reduces the likelihood of duplication of effort by others and provides a basis for further advances in the technology involved.

Fourth, a patent system promotes the beneficial exchange of products, services, and technological information across national boundaries by providing protection for industrial property of foreign nationals.

在外外的小学生的,我们在你的教师的教师教师上心的心理。" 的复数形式

Having satisfied itself as to the worth of a patent system, the Commission then undertook an extensive analysis of the many studies of U.S. and foreign patent systems. The Commission also sought and received additional views, criticisms and suggestions from numerous sources, including business and trade associations, individual patent practitioners, patent law associations, groups and individuals within the Patent Office, educators, inventors, scientists, businessmen, and other interested parties. From these sources the Commission identified numerous broad areas of concern.

Recognizing that it could not consider adequately all the matters of potential concern in the limited period of its existence, the Commission selected a number of areas with which it felt it could deal most effectively. In making this choice, the Commission took into account several factors, including its own membership, present investigations by other executive and legislative groups, and the potential contribution the Commission could make in any given area.

Within the boundaries thus defined, the Commission identified the following objectives:

1. To raise the quality and reliability of the U.S. patent.

^o2. To shorten the period of pendency of a patent application from filing to final disposition by the Patent Office.

3.

3. To accelerate the public disclosure of technological la succ advances duit la signiomines die engalisationshipsi at **4**. To reduce the expense of obtaining and litigating

a patent. indiadies official security solution set stand

To make U.S. patent practice more compatible with that of other major countries, wherever consistent with the objectives of the U.S. patent system. Simpler

6. To prepare the patent system to cope with the exploding technology foreseeable in the decades ahead. Unual and as an lissed descentes intro I

Many of the problems related to these objectives are intertwined. An attempt to solve or reduce a problem at one point of the system can expose or create a dislocation at another. Separate and uncoordinated solutions to individual problems would yield a gerrymandered patent system full of internal contradictions and less efficient than the one we now have. If is this circumstance-not any claim to superior wisdom-which led the Commission to propose the following changes, all as part of one interrelated and coherent plan.

with the yestsinous withthe and shoot at that existingles W connected as easily behave been all eds at measured behaved to meet the if the of dollar differences to redomine a battories weighthemed) and ecula deal must affectedly. In recting this white the Octambesion took thro second asveral trainer, include its own members wideletes but evitorers white we anditadifered inderry white provides, cano the notestial equivilation the Commission could many to the proves at all a

William the inclusion many fully and for Commission (1984) cossido priwolloft off bott

to rere the quality and reliability of the U.S." Suster 2. To shorten the period of pendency of a patent ap-

bills get multicospath front or guilt needs multicostic Patent (nhre.

5.

then relative present provident to be any state and state an

and being this practice that hapmony with that preventing in

mo contractorations data ensiste accidence to incomf Ageneration RECOMMENDATIONS

10720124009

add and statistics

medun kentannel serita ha terreta The following recommendation would result in several significant changes in present practice: (a) when two or more persons separately apply for a patent on the same invention, the patent would issue to the one who is FIRST TO FILE his application; (b) there would be no grace period; (c) foreign knowledge, use and sale would be included as prior art; and (d) there would be revised criteria for the form of prior art.

Prior art shall comprise any information. known to the public, or made available to the public by means of disclosure in tangible form or by use or placing on sale, anywhere in the world, prior to the effective filing date of the application. s we and the a straight fail

A disclosure in a U.S. patent or published complete application shall constitute prior art as of its effective (United States or foreign) filing annisco dáte:ourrasu

arts to an inside worther width within with the total and below (a) In a first to file system, the respective dates of "conception" and "reduction to practice" of the invention, presently of great importance in resolving contested priority for an invention claimed in two or more pending applications or patents, no longer would be considered. Instead, the earliest effective filing date would determine the question of priority. This necessarily follows from the provision that the disclosure in a patent or published complete application shall constitute prior art as of its effective filing date. Interference proceedings thus would be abolished.

osla Minor othersberg inschatched to mode reliered form of tragacture on car being such y tracers the most tionia-mainteri's likery regnel on even with yearned structures re beninder at share rearrantly factored stripts finitely distorted 1 - 1 Use U.S. zrace period.

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

Important considerations dictate this departure from our present practice. A first to file system will: encourage prompt disclosure of newly discovered technology; substitute for the delays and expense of interference proceedings a fair and inexpensive means by which an inventor can establish priority; and bring U.S. practice into harmony with that prevailing in almost all other industrial nations.

The Commission believes it is as equitable to grant a patent to the first to file as to the one who wins an interference. Many circumstances may determine the winner in either case. But the first to file is more apt to be the inventor who first appreciated the worth of the invention and promptly acted to make the invention available to the public.

(b) Regardless of the time the invention was made, any relevant information, known or made available to the public, antedating the effective filing date of the first application containing the subject matter on which the claim to such invention is based, would constitute prior art as to such claim. Even the applicant's own earlier disclosure would bar the grant of a patent if made public before the earliest effective filing date to which the particular claim was entitled. As a result, there would be no grace period, and the question of whether the invention is obvious would be considered as of the filing date, rather than as of the time the invention was made.

This change would speed the examination procedure in the Patent Office by eliminating the time-consuming consideration of affidavits presently submitted to establish an earlier date of invention and thus overcome *prima facie* prior art. Also, the applicant no longer would need to maintain extensive records now required to corroborate such affidavits, or thereafter, to prove his actual date of invention in an infringement suit.

Greater international uniformity would also be achieved, since the present grace period has no counterpart in most foreign systems. Further, inventors no longer would forfeit their foreign patent rights through disclosures made in reliance on the U.S. grace period.

TO PROMOTE THE PROGRESS OF USEFUL ARTS

(c) Foreign knowledge, use and sale would be included as prior art. Present arbitrary geographical distinctions would be eliminated. The same high standard of proof now required for showing domestic public knowledge, use or sale would also be applied to such foreign prior art.

and nevel arrest of the patient

The anomaly of excluding, from prior art, public knowledge, use or sale in a border town of Mexico or Canada, and including the same kind of disclosure in Alaska or Hawaii, would be eliminated.

This change would prevent the granting of valid U.S. patents on inventions which would be unpatentable abroad, because of long use or sale there. It would be another step toward conformity with European patent laws and would promote acceptance of a common definition of universal prior art. Additionally, it would promote the establishment of international scientific data banks, thus eliminating one of the barriers to the useful exchange of search results among patent offices of various countries.

(d) "Printing," presently a technical requirement in certain circumstances, would no longer be necessary for a publication to constitute prior art. Instead, any information made available to the public in a tangible (non-oral) form, prior to the effective filing date, could so serve.

Such a change would establish as a logical and modern standard of the form of prior art: that either publicly known or made available to the public in a preservable form. It should end present disputes and avoid future controversy, by accepting as prior art typewritten copy, microfilm, computer print-out, or any other tangible expression of technological data, made available to the public.

(e) The disclosure in a patent or published complete application would have, as its effective filing date, the date of its earliest filing in the United States or a foreign country. This would resolve present uncertainty caused by conflicting court decisions.

15

 $\mathbf{7}$

This also would avoid an anomaly whereby two applications. with the same effective filing date. would have different dates for the purpose of constituting prior art where one is based upon a foreign application. Further, it would appear to be a necessary adjunct of a first to file system, to prevent two patents from issuing on the same invention.

The knowled of eachded, from price sit, jublic knowledge, use or sale hi a border terre of fficates or Canada, and including this brand bidd of disclosure on Aleste of French would be

To substitute for the present grace period, a first to file system should include some technique for allowing the inventor to seek support or test his invention in the marketplace. It also should encourage the free discussion of new discoveries in the academic and scientific communities. To meets these needs, a preliminary application, an "instant" form of disclosure to the Patent Office free from the delays and expense of a formal application, is proposed, lidentes sub Appropriate Sinew Manushilling

A preliminary application may be used to secure a filing date for all features of an invention disclosed therein, if the disclosure subsequently appears in a complete application. Requirements as to form shall be minimal and 2006.00 station claims need not be included. higon

> One or more preliminary applications may be consolidated into one complete application filed within twelve months of the earliest preliminary or foreign application relied on.

Under this recommendation an applicant would file a written description of his invention in a preliminary application, a document with minimal requirements as to form and needing no claims. This would permit early filing of an application, since it could be prepared by someone having little knowledge of patent law and procedure. Applicants should be made aware, however. that the protection afforded by a preliminary application will depend greatly upon the adequacy of the disclosure contained therein. would have, as the offensive filling date. We date of 9 Additional preliminary applications could be filed to cover aspects of the invention developed subsequent to filing of the first

8.

11

Carlo Sant

TO PROMOTE THE PROGRESS OF USEFUL ARTS

preliminary application. Records an inventor now must keep could be replaced by disclosures submitted to the Patent Office, where they automatically would be certified as to date. One or more preliminary applications also could be used to supplement the disclosure first presented in a foreign application.

Information contained in these applications could be disclosed to the public without risk, through publication or market testing, for example, as long as a complete application was filed within twelve months of the earliest preliminary or foreign application relied on. By a complete application is meant one which complies with present requirements for an application. Accordingly, many of the advantages of a grace period could be obtained without the associated problems.

Each claim in the complete application would be entitled, for the purpose of overcoming prior art, to the date on which its supporting disclosure was first fully presented in a validly asserted foreign, preliminary or earlier complete application. Also, disclosure in a complete application, if published, would constitute prior art as of its first presentation date.

The preliminary application technique would create no significant burden for the Patent Office. Preliminary applications need only be stamped with their date of receipt and stored pending the filing of a complete application, and even then would only be considered if the effective date of the complete application was brought into question.

ade de generate della del chest della addice della de

Prior art shall not include, as to the inventor concerned, disclosures of an invention result-

askan all to be the tasks address

1. A display in an official or officially recognized international exhibition: or

2. An unauthorized public divulgation of infor-

mation derived from the inventor;

As provided below.

17

1. Two international treaties define and regulate "official and officially recognized international exhibitions." The Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property requires that "temporary protection" be granted with respect to inventions exhibited at such exhibitions.

The United States has had no need for a special provision with respect to exhibitions because the present grace period protects against the adverse effect of disclosures occurring within one year before the filing date of an application. Since the Commission now suggests elimination of the grace period, a method to safeguard patent rights under these circumstances must be provided to conform to the Paris Convention.

It would appear that the preliminary application (Recommendation No. II) complies both with the spirit and the letter of the Paris Convention in providing temporary protection for inventions shown at international exhibitions. However, if a preliminary application proves not to satisfy the Convention, it is recommended that:

A display at an official or officially recognized international exhibition by an inventor, or assignee, shall not constitute prior art against his complete application to the extent that the information disclosed by the display appears in a notice having the format of a preliminary application; provided: that the notice is filed in the Patent Office no later than the public opening of the display and the complete application is filed within six months after filing of the notice.

2. With respect to unauthorized public disclosures, it is recommended that:

An unauthorized public disclosure of information derived from the inventor or his assignee shall not constitute prior art against him, if, within six months after said disclosure, a complete application for the invention is filed by the inventor or assignee.

10

Ċ.,

TO PROMOTE THE PROGRESS OF USEFUL ARTS

Any allegation, that a disclosure should not constitute prior art because it was unauthorized, shall be considered by the Patent Office only if it is verified, sets forth details establishing a *prima facie* case, and is accompanied by proof that notice has been served on the party accused of making the disclosure.

If the party accused promptly contests the allegation, the application shall not issue as a patent until the matter is finally judicially determined in favor of the applicant

Currently, under certain circumstances, a disclosure will not bar the issuance of a patent if such disclosure was made within the grace period.

In the absence of this recommendation, an inventor or his assignee would lose his patent rights if an unauthorized public disclosure of the invention in any form (including patent applications or patents) was made prior to his filing an application. This recommendation furnishes a procedure to nullify the effect of such disclosure upon the inventor. It would allow the Patent Office to ignore alleged unauthorized disclosures as prior art in those instances where the allegation is not contested by the accused party. At the same time, it is designed to discourage an unsupported assertion that a disclosure should not be used to bar a patent. In a subsequent litigation, failure on the part of an accused party to contest the assertion in the Patent Office would not preclude reliance on such a disclosure to invalidate the patent.

The application would not receive the benefit of the date of the unauthorized disclosure for purposes of priority. Rather, any intervening untainted disclosure, occurring between the date of the unauthorized public disclosure and the application filing date, would constitute prior art as to the applicant. The unauthorized public disclosure also would constitute prior art as regards all other applicants.

19

considence for bloode endedigilly a radi looffagelie it is obtene of Rady boxingligeer can it ealwood fin norm

The classes of patentable subject matter shall continue as at present, except:

1. All provisions in the patent statute for design patents shall be deleted, and another form of protection provided.

2. All provisions in the patent statute for plant patents shall be deleted, and another form of protection provided.

3. A series of instructions which control or condition the operation of a data processing machine, generally referred to as a "program," shall not be considered patentable regardless of whether the program is claimed as: (a) an article, (b) a process described in terms of the operations performed by a machine pursuant to a program, or (c) one or more machine configurations established by a program.

This recommendation would end the practice of granting patents on designs and plants. It also would eliminate whatever possibility exists under the present statute, if any, for directly or indirectly obtaining a patent covering a program or a patent covering the operation of a data processing machine pursuant to a program.

The Commission believes strongly that all inventions should meet the statutory provisions for novelty, utility and unobviousness and that the above subject matter cannot readily be examined for adherence to these criteria.

1. Designs: A patent now may be granted on any new, original and ornamental design for an article of manufacture. Despite the statutory requirement of unobviousness, patents on designs are now granted, in effect, solely on the basis of novelty. Courts often find these patents invalid on the ground that the design is obvious. The Commission is aware of legislative proposals to protect

ornamental designs against copying. Nevertheless, it believes
that some means outside the patent system should be developed for the protection of new and original ornamental designs.

Plants: A patent may be granted today on any new and 2. distinct variety of specified types of asexually reproduced plants. The statute imposes the requirement of unobviousness for patentability. In practice, however, patents are granted if the Department of Agriculture notifies the Patent Office that, as far as it can determine, the plant variety is new, and the examiner finds no art indicating the contrary. Anna Attina tarea

While the Commission acknowledges the valuable contribution of plant and seed breeders, it does not consider the patent system the proper vehicle for the protection of such subject matter. regardless of whether the plants reproduce sexually or asexually. It urges further study to determine the most appropriate means of protection. He for the state of the state

.

of berylling occurs dust Programs: Uncertainty now exists as to whether the statute 3. permits a valid patent to be granted on programs. Direct attempts to patent programs have been rejected on the ground of nonstatutory subject matter. Indirect attempts to obtain patents and avoid the rejection, by drafting claims as a process, or a machine or components thereof programmed in a given manner, rather than as a program itself, have confused the issue further and should not be permitted. be incheschenden en dans au solare ben

The Patent Office now cannot examine applications for programs because of the lack of a classification technique and the requisite search files Even if these were available, reliable searches would not be feasible or economic because of the tremendous volume of prior art being generated. Without this search, the patenting of programs would be tantamount to mere registration and the presumption of validity would be all but nonexistent.

le villemis of al metabhemanose aide to arount offe

It is noted that the creation of programs has undergone substantial and satisfactory growth in the absence of patent protection and that copyright protection for programs is presently available. 44

74-184 0 - 67 - 4

hoppilary do not the Application Filing and Examination exactly server work

lengingh lulhamarro ferdérico luce trois dépendent sult

To prevent delay, which may be detrimental to the owner of an invention, while retaining safeguards to protect the rights of the inventor, it is recommended that: 1.111 1.123

> 1. Either the inventor or assignee may file and sign both the preliminary and complete applications.

Any application filed by the assignee shall include a declaration of ownership at the time of filing and, prior to publication of the application, shall include a declaration of originality by the inventor and evidence sources of a recorded specific assignment.

2. Every application shall include, at the time of filing, the name of each person believed to have made an inventive contribution.

3. Omission of an inventor's name or inclusion of the name of a person not an inventor, and so without deceptive intent, shall not affect to securvalidity, and can be corrected at any time to a base

วสิภาพมากอากสรีที่กา*ส*าร 1. The present patent act requires (with specified exceptions) that the inventor, at the time of filing, must sign the application and make an oath or declaration that he made the invention. Occasionally inventors are unavailable or unwilling to sign an application immediately after it is prepared. Moreover, it is sometimes difficult to determine the identity of an inventor at the time the application is prepared. Delay in complying with the requirements has resulted in loss of rights to the application owner. Such delay would be more serious when the effective filing date is treated as the date of invention at this construction at the

inetsiyagar.

The intent of this recommendation is to simplify the formalities for filing an application by allowing the owner of the patent rights to sign and file the necessary papers. Many tali baa qejaabaa detrimental delays thus would be avoided. sidelaya vincena

14

i es plé

Before publication of the application, however, the assignee must provide both a declaration of originality and a specific assignment from the inventor to safeguard the interests of the inventor and the public. The present statutory exceptions which allow an interested party to file an application when the inventor is deceased, is incapacitated, cannot be found or refuses to cooperate, would be continued to prevent forfeiture of rights.

2. At present, it is often difficult to determine who should be named as the inventor in any given application. A contributing factor is court rulings that for a valid patent to be granted to joint inventors, each person named must have been a joint inventor with respect to each claim in the patent.

Many complex inventions result from the combined efforts of persons working separately, often at different times and in different sections of an organization. In such cases, adequate protection may be impossible because all of the claims required for protection cannot be presented properly in a single application, and the individual contributions cannot properly be made the subject matter of separate patents.

This recommendation would simplify the initial determination of who should be named as inventors in a given application and render it unnecessary for each person named to be the joint inventor of the invention asserted in each claim in a patent.

3. Today, a patent in which a sole inventor is incorrectly named will be held invalid. In the case of joint inventors, the omission or improper inclusion of a name will not necessarily invalidate a patent; however, correction procedures may be burdensome and the issue of whether correction is required can become an item of costly litigation.

This recommendation is intended to avoid a holding of invalidity, as above mentioned, as well as to facilitate correction of applications and patents.

23

Jangleus ode revented and solic manual to acticulture a static ore Claim for a priority date must be made when a complete application is filed. a diadiophycean

which and back frances สีมพัฒนา อย่างให้เหม This recommendation would require that any claim for a priority date based on an earlier U.S. or foreign application must be made at the time a complete application is filed. Present practice allows a claim for priority to be delayed until the final fee is paid addenue of shall in alto A. H. theseig FA

Early knowledge of the priority date on which an applicant intends to rely would become more important with the adoption of a first to file system. Such knowledge would be necessary for proper determination, without wasted effort on the part of the Patent Office, of what references may be used as prior art against an application.

al bila sound historia a hill is hill he historic year soon allestoo to biémolée terese éleké ni – teolominatero na ha moliosa in Publication of a pending application shall occur eighteen to twenty-four months after its electric dearliest effective filing date, sor promptly as such after allowance or appeal, whichever comes first.

An applicant, for any reason, may request earlier publication of his pending complete application. orion fore of markedana if toher back

uoi

An application shall be "republished" promptly after allowance or appeal subsequent to

initial publication, and again upon issuance as a patent, to the extent needed to update the

considerinitially published application and give noticed of how a similitofiitsistatusen den liew erren elle antenfrui regelarni re

The only printed publication now made by the Patent Office of an application is that which occurs upon the issuance of a patent. Today, such publication can be delayed significantly beyond two years from the effective filing date of an application of provide the provide state of an application of the state of application. nomerries dustilleut et as lieve as déscritiqués erode as lysibilitée

This recommendation sets an outside limit on the time for publication. An application, unless abandoned and kept secret. 16

would be made available to all concerned within a reasonably short time. Early publication could prevent needless duplication of the disclosed work, promote additional technological advances based on the information disclosed, and apprise entrepreneurs of their potential liability.

the films of an appeal to the Road Appendix of

An applicant would be permitted to abandon his application prior to the time for publication and retain the invention in secrecy. Alternatively, an applicant could have his application published promptly after filing, with or without abandonment, which would make his disclosure available earlier for prior art or interim liability purposes. However, the Commissioner could refuse such publication where the subject matter is nonstatutory, immoral, or the like.

In the case of an application which is given a notice of allowance, or in which an appeal is filed to the Board of Appeals, within the eighteen to twenty-four months after its earliest effective filing date, immediate publication would permit citation of prior art by the public (Recommendation No. XI).

Republication after a notice of allowance or the filing of an appeal would be required if amendments to the claims or specification are made after the first publication. Printing costs should not be increased substantially since republication could consist merely of a notice, published in the Official Gazette, with copies of the allowed claims prepared and made available to the public. When considered appropriate by the Commissioner,

integrated copies of the specification and drawings could be prepared and made available.

ifat when and all absoluted provide blocks but and the angle in the fall. Hence is an angle of the second state of the second state is in the second state.

This recommendation is intended to prevent the repetitive filing of dependent applications. It is designed to eliminate undue postponement of the publication of the scope of protection granted, bring the United States into accord with international practice, and permit more efficient Patent Office examination.

Unless a later filed application is: The source of the second 1. A continuation application and is filed before the occurrence of any of the following events: (a) the abandonment of, (b) the allowance of all pending claims in, or (c) the filing of an appeal to the Board of Appeals as to any claim in, the original parent application; or mollipiding tol world and it wing and astanen d 2. A continuation-in-part application and is filed before the publication of any of its par-ent applications; or udi mekter A de la de la de la de la de la de la de la de la de la de la de la de la de la de la de la de la de la de la d 3. A divisional application filed (a) on one of the inventions indicated to be divisible in a St. M. Para restriction requirement and is filed during the pendency of the application in which the ng e restriction was first required, or (b) during the boost the pendency of the original parent applilalasson A Tr**cation i** set of balf of heavys we doldw withe polynomial and the later filed application shall not be entitled in the second to the effective filing date of a parent applica-6-9-6 23 tion for matter disclosed in the parent, and the parent, if published, shall constitute prior art against the later filed application. Resultication after a noice of allowance or the filing of an At present, an applicant may serially file continuing applications for an unlimited period of time and maintain his invention in secrecy. Such practice makes effective examination in the Patent Office more difficult and expensive, and indefinitely,

prolongs the time before the issuance of a patent and the resultant publication of the scope of protection granted.

Permitting an applicant to file a continuation application during the indicated portion of the pendency of his original parent application would provide some latitude for one who felt that inadequate opportunity existed in the parent case to reach a clear issue. At the same time, it would avoid needless effort in preparing examiner's responses to appeal briefs, as well as unduly prolonged prosecution of the same invention.

Requiring that a continuation-in-part application be filed before publication of the parent application, as would appear to

18

4U

be required if the provisions of the present Council of Europe Treaty and proposed Common Market Patent System were observed, normally would allow both the parent and continuation-in-part applications to be examined contemporaneously, possibly by the same examiner. Further, the public would learn sooner of the scope of patent protection that ultimately might be obtained based on the invention disclosed in the parent application. His was steared and another type for that define the

all of main disacted toos loss a Providing that all divisional applications must be presented during the pendency of the original parent application, or the application in which restriction first was required, would shorten the period of public uncertainty as to the scope of patent protection that eventually may be granted on the subject matter disclosed in the parent application. On the other hand, the applicant would have ample opportunity to perfect an appeal or to file a petition that may affect the propriety of a restriction requirement. and all all the particular deals and the

Fathering of Staggie constrained all line for home granding and the second second and a second second second second second second second second second second second

enistriansi s

The Commission clearly favors a high quality immediate examination system if it can be maintained without a constantly increasing backlog. Nevertheless, it is recommended that: 计特殊性理学学校的 计控制器 静静的 非原质

> Standby statutory authority should be provided for optional deferred examination.

ending and the second states and the second s

Although this recommendation reflects the consensus of the Commission, a split exists among the members as to when and how such authority should be exercised.

and share which the same free state One view favors optional deferred examination going into effect, on a pilot basis, as soon as appropriate legislation can be enacted. Proponents of this view feel that early experience with optional deferred examination is desirable, and that it can be obtained effectively only by instituting a pilot program as early as possible. For example, the pilot program could apply to applications filed within a given period of time or to applications concerned with some given subject matter.

finds have been the start and we have a the

-27

The other view favors the institution of optional deferred examination, whether on a pilot basis or in whole, only if the Statutory Advisory Council (Recommendation No. XXVI) should find that a high quality immediate examination system no longer could be maintained.

Justifications for an optional deferred examination system are that not all applications for patents are of the same value, that it is not good economic practice for the Patent Office to devote substantial effort to applications having little value, and that the applicant and his competitors are in the best position to select out such applications.

Such a system should reduce the number of applications requiring prompt examination. It is probable that a number of applicants, such as those who had not yet determined the value of their inventions, would prefer to have examination of their applications deferred. To the extent that applications are deferred, the remainder should be reached for examination sooner. In some cases, examination might never be requested, and the applications would become abandoned.

An optional deferred examination system shall its include the following provisions:

1. The examination shall be deferred at the option of the applicant, exercised by his election not to accompany the complete application with an examination fee.

Request for examination, accompanied by payment of an examination fee, may be made anytime within five years from the effective filing date of the application.

source 2. A deferred application shall be promptly to the same start of the promptly to the same start of the publication of th

3. Any party, without being required to disclose his identity, may provoke an examination upon request and payment of the fee.

4. Unless made special upon the request of any party, an application initially deferred shall

be inserted in the queue of applications set for examination in an order based on the date of payment of the examination fee.
5. Examination of pending parent or continuing applications shall not be deferred beyond the time when examination is requested of any of the parent or continuing applications.

1. A five year period should balance the interests of the public, the applicants and the Patent Office. The public should learn, within a reasonable time, about any patent protection. Applicants should have adequate time to ascertain the commercial value of their inventions before investing in an examination fee and prosecution costs. The Patent Office should benefit from the abandonment of a number of applications prior to examination.

2. A complete application which is not accompanied by an examination fee would be inspected for formal matters immediately upon filing. The application would be classified under the Patent Office classification system and published at the earliest possible date. No prior art search would be made before a full examination is requested, since otherwise the saving of examiner's time would be minimal.

3. By requesting an examination, a potential infringer or other interested party could receive a relatively prompt determination of the invention's patentability.

A third party could initiate the examination without identifying himself to the Patent Office. As a result, the applicant would not be given any additional advantage when drafting his claims, nor would the third party be inviting suit for infringement after issuance of the patent.

4. The provision as to order of examination is intended to assure fair treatment to those who initially paid for an immediate examination. 5. Concurrent examination and prosecution of the entire family of pending parent and continuing applications would be required in those cases where examination of one of such applications has been requested. If a third party requests and pays the fee for examination of an application, the applicant would be required to pay the examination fee promptly for all other parent or continuing applications.

This contemporaneous examination would provide earlier determination of the scope of the composite monopoly to be granted. To reinforce the statutory presumption of validity, and to assist in the prevention of the issuance of invalid patents:

The applicant shall have the burden of persuading the Patent Office that a claim is patentable.

Until recently, the Patent Office has followed a policy of (a) instructing the examiner to resolve all reasonable doubts in favor of the applicant, and (b) prohibiting the examiner from indicating that he is allowing a claim despite his doubt as to its patentability. The Commissioner has instructed the examiners to abandon this policy in obedience to the views expressed this year by the Supreme Court. Present experience is insufficient to reveal how the courts directly reviewing Patent Office practice will treat this change.

Many have long recognized that resolving doubt in favor of the applicant is inconsistent with giving a patent a strong presumption of validity. Little justification exists for giving weight to a decision made by the Patent Office when it resolves doubt in this manner, since it is passing the question of patentability on to the courts instead of exercising its judgment. Inasmuch as the examiner does not indicate when he has applied the rule of doubt, all patents may be questioned in this regard.

This recommendation would require the applicant, in all cases, to persuade the Patent Office by a preponderance of proof

that his claims are allowable. By eliminating doubt as an element favoring patentability, the overall standards of patentability applied by the Office should be raised. and an found the state repaired to an all the sector repair to a state the sector and

garwells' h way ado nation to Minure or that he waves of hines To increase the likelihood that all pertinent prior art is considered before issuance of a patent, the following technique is provided.

e and yet close three terselfane only solder and bus

The Patent Office shall consider all patents or publications, the pertinency of which is exfor a plained in writing, cited against an application application anytime until six months after the publication be which gives notice that the application has been allowed or appealed to the Board of Appeals. If the Patent Office, after the citation period, determines that a claim should not be, or have been, allowed, the applicant shall be notified and given an opportunity ex parte both to rebut the determination and to narrow the scope of the claim. The identity of the party citing references shall be maintained in confidence.

Public use proceedings, as at present, may be instituted during the citation period.

loise

Presently, anyone who has reason to believe that an application is pending may seek an inter partes proceeding to to determine whether alleged public use or sale should bar issuance of a patent. Also, publications or patents may be submitted for ex parte consideration by the Patent Office.

This recommendation would provide a citation period of at least six months in which the public, informed by publication of the content of an application, could submit patents or publications, together with an explanation of their pertinency. Such references would be evaluated and, to the extent found applicable, used to reject claims even if such claims previously were allowed or under appeal. Second strating out to stilling by

the Little delay in the issuance of patents would result from this procedure. The applicability of newly cited art would be determined immediately after expiration of the six month period following the publication which gives notice of allowance or of the filing of an appeal. Moreover, the applicant need not suffer from such delay since, under certain circumstances, damages could be recovered for infringement during the period following publication (Recommendation No. XVII).

The recommended procedure could benefit both the applicant The applicant could gain by the opportunity and the public. to narrow his claims, when possible, to avoid prior art, rather than having the claims later held invalid. Inasmuch as the procedure will be an *ex parte* one, as distinguished from a full scale adversary procedure, the additional cost of the citation practice to an applicant would not be great. The public should benefit by the opportunity to cite prior art inexpensively to the Patent Office rather than through costly litigation. Under this procedure, both would benefit from the greater reliance that could be placed upon the validity of patents in general. is a data a la mandar di lata malandari data

Citing, or failing to cite, prior art during this period would not preclude a later challenge on that art. në nom domnong dhi na Liponistanong nam nësinë

Indung web win our substitution house heaved

Indispensable to the improvement of the quality and the acceptability of patents being issued is the establishment of an objective technique for measuring the quality of the work product of the examining corps. The Commission therefore recommends that:

ter helsen och och a sterrer filtere och brittensen i t The Patent Office shall develop and maintain an effective control program to evaluate, on a continuing basis, the quality of the patents being issued by the examining groups and art units therein, and to furnish information for the publication of an annual rating of the overall quality of the patents issued each year. He wanted

The Patent Office is presently in the process of putting into effect a quality control program of descharge of the enclosed at a

24

1.00

This recommendation is intended to encourage and expand this effort so that an effective quality measurement can be made, on an objective basis, of the patents being issued by each of the examining groups and art units within the Patent Office.

Development of an effective patent quality measurement technique should be followed by the publication of a rating reflecting the quality of patents issued during a given period. For example, if effective quality measurement is achieved during 1968, the quality rating for that year could be used as a base of comparison and set at 100. Each year thereafter, a quality rating could be determined with this technique and the trend in the quality of patents being issued observed.

Such ratings should prove helpful to the Patent Office, the public, the courts, and the Congress in making required judgments concerning the patent system.

The continual review by a Statutory Advisory Council (Recommendation No. XXVI) of the quality of patents being issued and the effectiveness of any quality control program in operation should result in greater acceptability of the quality rating and the control program by all concerned.

3.54. Seconsections of starts and the coeffet own design of non-explored difference starts over a start of the next of the Perent filles difference networks on a start an of the over judgesses. The core twoold debe wine only with that the forent filles had reservable basis for the design on the starts of differext fortation injective and the beau tested on the starts record. The barrier of some should note beau tested of the start and the record of the should and the on the start of the start of the barrier of some should note beau tested of the the start of the barrier of some should not be on the start of the tester of the section of the should set be recorded of the there was the section of the should set be recorded of the there was the reference the teste the start of the construction that there was not reservable the the test the description.

ynder offel terriff fan de oerstilige allt opfikk Terrifen (end) to terrife offel terrife oerstilter me

brackage transfor Direct Review of Patent Office Decisions come at the ebour ed mas ansarangestar ytilsen avidesite na isat es subite skil on an objective basis, of the pring being, issned by each of the A Patent Office decision refusing a claim shall

be given a presumption of correctness, and

shall not be reversed unless clearly erroneous. ladost

Currently, the weight given on appeal to a Patent Office decision denying a patent depends upon which court reviews the The Patent Office's decision is presumed correct in the decision. District Court for the District of Columbia and the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, but not in the neise to valuate ald Court of Customs and Patent Appeals.

The Patent Office should be recognized as having technical and legal expertise, important in deciding questions of patent-While a reviewing court certainly will have legal ability. expertise, and perhaps general technical knowledge, it seldom will possess the particular technical skill in the art with which a Patent Office examiner is equipped. Further, it is only after both the examiner and the Board of Appeals have concurred in the refusal of a claim that the matter comes before a reviewing Such concurrence should not be rejected by the court court. unless the action is, in its judgment, clearly erroneous.

This recommendation should settle the conflict over "scope of review," by defining the court's responsibility to be review of the Patent Office decision, rather than substitution of its own The court would determine only whether the Patent iudgment. Office had reasonable basis for its decision, not whether a different decision logically could have been reached on the same record. The burden of persuasion would be on the applicant, and the Patent Office decision should not be reversed unless, in view of all of the evidence, the court has a thorough conviction that there was no reasonable basis for the decision.

XIV

Either the applicant or the Patent Office may appeal from a decision of the Court of Customs

and Patent Appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, and from a decision of the latter court either may petition the Supreme Court for a writ of certiorari.

An applicant presently may seek review by two alternative routes from a decision by the Board of Appeals of the Patent Office. He may appeal to the Court of Customs and Patent Appeals (C.C.P.A.) on the record made in the Patent Office; or, he may proceed in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia where he may offer evidence and issues not considered by the Patent Office. Only a decision of the District Court may be appealed, by either party, to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit.

When the Court of Appeals and the C.C.P.A. render conflicting decisions reflecting a disagreement on a point of substantive law, the Patent Office must choose one of the decisions to follow, for the sake of uniformity within the Office. In practice, the Patent Office generally adopts the guidelines in the decision most favorable to the applicant, since it is the applicant who selects the reviewing court.

The present procedure also has caused inconsistency in the application of the law. As recently observed by the Supreme Court [Graham v. John Deere Co.], there is "a notorious difference between the standards applied by the Patent Office and by the courts." This difference results not only from the fact that proceedings in the Patent Office are *ex parte*, but also because the C.C.P.A., which to a large extent determines the standards applied in the Patent Office, is a court which has neither general jurisdiction nor jurisdiction in infringement cases.

Under the recommendation, all immediate direct review of the Patent Office would be subject to further review by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. Thus, a single court of general jurisdiction ordinarily would be the final reviewing authority. This should produce decisions wherein interpretation and application of substantive

35

law is more akin to that in infringement suits in the several judicial circuits. Thus, the public reasonably could expect that the law relating to patentability as applied in the Patent Office would conform more nearly to that applied in the infringement courts.

An applierst prosently may saw noving by how allowingly around the matter by the Boststip and a special of the factor of the

Without the Court of Angenius 2 all the CCLEA, worker nonthirting Architeas refeating a Anagersenant to a set of all autosenation from the lifetent Office and alcount to a set of all autoteactions for the aster of collocating within the Andrices in the practice for Freich Office game off: anophy the Andrices in the decrementation from the aster of the applitude, all of a for the Andrices with second the reviewing of out.

(15) provide preseding also hab council inconducting in the application of the last of a secondly observed by the chaptene Quark (theofers a score character of § theory is to countries difdentice for our characterization and by the brack of the and by dentice for a fille offere as countries and any the brack of the electric offere is a term office are sequenced by the bar brack of Quark of the country offere a sequence of the bar brack of the accuracy of the brack office are sequenced by the bar brack of Quark of the country offere as a part of bar bar brack of QUA terms, part of a start offere are sequenced by the bar brack of QUA terms, part of the start offere are sequenced by the start of the second offere of the bar and the bar are bar bar by field bar are finite to be the terms of the bar and the buristic field are finite to be the terms of the bar and the buristic field are finite to be the terms of the bar and the buristic field are finite to be the terms of the bar and the buristic field are the terms of the terms of the bar and the buristic field are finite to be the terms of the bar and the bar and the buristic field are finite to be the terms of the bar and the bar and the buristic field are finite to be the terms of the bar and the bar and the bar and the buristic field are finite to be the terms of the bar and

Mader the secondenciation, its inmediate drawes review by the of the Patent Court of Support to an Schutz estimation by the United Scene Court of Support for the Dethat of Columnic, Claudic Theory estimates of general field which estimately could be the theory of proving and antiput the second for could be the theory of proving and application of scene to dethate where it is an and and application of scene to dethate where it is an and and application of scene to dethate.

edina o 1100 del nels apresidente. El presenta consupor nel respecta analizar alteritori la constanta del San XV en del Estato de San ingli del perior

This recommendation provides an *ex parte* administrative procedure in the Patent Office for cancellation of claims, which should be faster and less costly than court proceedings.

The Patent Office, upon receipt of a relatively high fee, shall consider prior art of which it is apprised by a third party, when such prior art is cited and its pertinency explained in writing within a three year period after issuance of the patent. If the Patent Office then determines that a claim should not have been allowed, the patent owner shall be notified and given an opportunity *ex parte* both to rebut the determination and to narrow the scope of the claim. Failure to seek review, or the affirmance of the Patent Office holding, shall result in cancellation of the claim.

When the validity of a claim is in issue before both the Patent Office and a court, the tribunal where the issue was first presented shall proceed while the other shall suspend consideration, unless the court decides otherwise for good cause.

Anyone unsuccessfully seeking Patent Office cancellation of claims shall be required to pay the patent owner's reasonable cost of defending such claims, including attorney's fees. The Commissioner shall require an appropriate deposit or bond for this purpose at the start of the action.

Presently, there is no provision for the Patent Office administratively to cancel any claim in an issued patent. Even where a claim appears to be clearly unpatentable in view of newly discovered prior art, only a court can declare the claim invalid. As a result, the patent owner can continue to assert such a claim because no one is willing or able to expend the resources necessary to obtain a court decision.

To discourage harassment and to promote the citation of references prior to issuance (Recommendation No. XI), a relatively high fee would be required. Further, the patentee's defense costs would be assessed against any party who unsuccessfully sought cancellation. To insure payment, anyone initiating such action would be required immediately to post a deposit or bond in accordance with a schedule fixed by the Commissioner.

a a to balees about the state of a second second second second second second second second second second second

In some instances, the cancellation proceeding would benefit even the patent owner, since he still would have an opportunity to narrow any claims found to have been erroneously allowed. Totah noti collice instant off it consists only and allowed main many should be be a lost a bond main a lost sould

If a party were successful in seeking cancellation, after citing only prior art which he previously presented during the opposition period, the cancellation fee should be refunded.

A three year limit on the time within which a cancellation procedure could be instituted should be sufficient for most prior art to become readily accessible.

It would be desirable for the Statutory Advisory Council (Recommendation No. XXVI) to review this procedure after sufficient time has elapsed to determine its effectiveness, and to recommend any appropriate changes.

ALVAGED BR30 Adjust anone experies a contract for a second to pay concerned to pay the patential of a contract strength bits patential of a contract strength of the const of defending the second strength of the second s A claim shall not be broadened in a reissue application. Is execute thit to head to there

Presently, there are few statutory restrictions against broadening the scope of the invention claimed during prosecution before the Patent Office. Because of this, the potential value of early publication (Recommendation No. VII) cannot be fully realized, since unclaimed disclosure in a published application could not be used by the public free from the possibility that it might be protected by broader claims in the subsequently issued patent. The public would have no guide, other than the entire disclosure, to determine the limits of final patent protec-

tion. Possible claim scope could be divined only after the interested party conducted his own examination of the prior art.

Hence, it is desirable that claims never be broadened after publication, whether presented in the published application or a related continuing or reissue application. However, an allinclusive prohibition to this effect might be impossible to enforce. Accordingly, this recommendation is directed solely to reissue applications, where broadening of claims can be prohibited effectively.

Bos infituerration of a child which expanse in both an organization or brittlich, embedded and in the housing particle damages must be alterned by an interior peaked where to reasons. Such period shall be manual damage area in (1) the apprend alt of the dational grave in (1) the regression of the dational grave in (1) the regression of the dation of the reason interior states a silve alternation (20) at apprend that the effect of attributes of (2) at apprend that the effect of attributes of a states of another interior and the data when a the reason apprend to infinite the data data and an apprend to infinite the data and an apprend to infinite the data and an apprend to infinite the data.

Whe applies the structure of a many and intribut with \$100, but its connected at an analyst wheth the contains the granting of a reconstruction of the structure of the prices of the structure fails, quarker the investment of the prices (second of an and investment of the prices (second of an and investment of the material of the structure of the structure approximation of the structure of investment of the material of the factor of the structure of the material of the factor of the structure of the material of the factor of the structure of the material of the factor of the structure of the material of the factor of the structure of the material of the factor of the structure.

in vanterinder oaken, der oprichen der oberder in Burgerners up to bester renorskill angelige unverse anderede

Under the policies and the Cablic Los a frances of the states and the states and the states and the states and the states at the

White a construction provides one calibratic colling. An an effective of an an effective colling and effective second sec

NAME AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTIONO

adi notice since han **Ligbility and Enforcement**rials statework mult been using and to noticalization area and between young between it. XVII

In view of the recommended publication of applications by the Patent Office before a patent issues (Recommendation No. VII), some protection for the patent owner for the period from publication to patenting should be made available. Therefore, it is recommended that is not a patent bound of the period from

For infringement of a claim which appears in both an application as initially published and in the issued patent, damages may be obtained for an interim period prior to issuance. Such period shall be measured from after the occurrence of all of the following events: (1) the initial publication, (2) a Patent Office holding that the claim is allowable, and (3) a transmittal to the alleged infringer of actual notice reasonably indicating how his particular acts are considered to infringe the claim.

The applicant's election to create such interim liability, by his transmittal of notice, shall constitute the granting of a reasonable royalty, nonexclusive license, (1) extending only until the issuance of the patent for any infringement involving a process, and (2) extending to and beyond issuance for any infringement involving a machine, manufacture or composition of matter, which is made prior to the issuance of the patent.

In exceptional cases, damages for interim infringement up to treble reasonable royalties may be assessed.

Under the present statute, liability for infringement begins on the date a patent is issued.

With a requirement of pre-issuance publication of an application, absent this recommendation, anyone could copy the invention and make, use or sell it until a patent is issued, possibly even exhausting its commercial value.

By this recommendation, a patentee whose claims are "infringed" before the patent issues, would have some degree of protection, while at the same time the public would be provided with a clear indication of its possible liability.

The provision that a claim will not be held infringed unless it appears both in the application as first published and in the resulting patent should encourage the applicant, before publication, to present claims he considers patentable. The further requirements of an allowable published claim and actual notice would reduce public uncertainty as to possible interim liability. Also, an infringer would be provided with an opportunity to cease and desist before damages accrue.

In exchange for the right to recover damages during this interim period, an applicant would have to give up any right to an injunction as to things made prior to issuance, and could recover no more than a reasonable royalty for any infringing acts occurring prior to the issuance of the patent. Under any circumstances, suit could not be brought before issuance of a patent.

If an applicant should elect not to pursue an infringer for interim liability, by withholding the required notice, present remedies available after the patent issues would remain undisturbed.

meane worker of yo bxVIII

The term of a patent shall expire twenty years after its earliest effective U.S. filing date.

网络马拉曼属 网络阿勒哈拉 含含的复数形式

33

The term of a U.S. patent now extends for a period of seventeen years from the date of issuance. Measuring the patent term from this point encourages deliberate delays in the prosecution of applications, particularly those filed primarily for speculative reasons and those having little immediate value. Another effect can be the filing of continuing applications solely to delay the start of a patent term. The proposed change would induce the applicant to present claims promptly that he believes patentable and to avoid delaying the prosecution of the application. Since the term of a patent stemming from a continuing application would expire on the same day as one issued on its parent application, there would be less incentive to use a continuing application for the purpose of delay.

Measuring the patent term from the earliest domestic filing date will bring U.S. practice into closer conformity with most foreign systems. This would become advantageous if the Paris Convention were to be modified to permit measuring from the earliest foreign filing date asserted (Recommendation No. XXXIV).

vid quick complete reduce and the set of against a

The term of a patent, whose issuance has been delayed by reason of the application being placed under secrecy order, shall be extended for a period equal to the delay in issuance of the patent after notice of allowability.

At present, whenever publication or disclosure of an invention by grant of a patent might be detrimental to national security, the application may be placed under secrecy order by the Commissioner of Patents.

The applicant, provided he receives a notice of allowability, is entitled to compensation for use of the invention by the Government and for damages caused by the secrecy order. In determining this compensation, consideration has been given to the fact that the applicant may benefit by a delayed monopoly, running seventeen years from the date of issuance of the patent.

o balea i sal statore sur thists, 200 r to mus affi

With the patent expiring twenty years after its earliest effective U.S. filing date (Recommendation No. XVIII), an applicant would receive no such benefit. Accordingly, it is proposed to extend the term of such a patent for a period equal to the delay in issuance of the patent after notice of allowability caused by the secrecy order.

÷4

Samuel A. Analogy School of XX on west the task school best of best and the filing of a terminal disclaimer shall have of no effect in overcoming a holding of double patenting.

This recommendation is intended to endorse the interpretation given the present statute, with regard to the filing of a terminal disclaimer to overcome a holding of double patenting, by the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. A contrary decision by the Court of Customs and Patent Appeals has created confusion in this area.

The Commission supports the position that the granting of more than one patent on a single invention, even if there is a common inventor or assignee, would constitute, *inter alia*, an undue "extension of monopoly." While a terminal disclaimer would prevent the extension of monopoly in time, it would not preclude the undue extension of monopoly in scope. In this regard, it would not keep the patentee from "blocking" out a field, by successfully prosecuting applications covering otherwise unpatentable variations of what he already has patented. Further, it would discourage attempts by others to "invent around" the patented invention by developing modifications and improvements.

ments. The granting of more than one patent on obvious variations of a single inventive concept also would minimize advantages to be obtained by the provision for *in rem* invalidity (Recommendation No. XXIII). Otherwise, a patent owner, even after claims in one such patent had been held invalid, still could threaten suit on similar claims in his other patents.

with the restantion of the and XX, sets of things are sensed.

The importation into the United States of a product made abroad by a process patented in the United States shall constitute an act of infringement.

The unauthorized importation into the United States, or sale or use, of a product made abroad by a process patented in the

United States, does not now constitute infringement. A process patent owner may seek to have the offending product excluded from this country under the Tariff Act of 1930, on the ground that importation will tend to cause substantial injury to an efficiently and economically operated domestic industry. However, because of these requirements, the patent owner has little prospect for success mean date , surfate indeard and notig notist

This recommendation would make it possible to prevent evasion, of the process patent owner's exclusive rights in the United States, by the practice of his process abroad and the importation of the products so produced into this country.

The Commission supports the political that the granting of a si gradi li nive , collasval XXI is a fastat oto subi eren is a

The licensable nature of the rights granted by a patent should be clarified by specifically stating in the patent statute that: (1) applications for patents, patents, or any interests therein may be licensed in the whole, or in any specified parts of the field of use to which the second subject matter of the claims of the patent are directly applicable, and (2) a patent owner shall not be deemed guilty of patent misuse merely because he agreed to a contractual provision or imposed a condition on a licensee, which has (a) a direct relation to the disclosure and claims of the patent, and (b) the performwaybe ance of which is reasonable under the circum- 20 mail stances to secure to the patent owner the full as the secure to the patent owner the full as the secure to the sec benefit of his invention and patent grant. This recommendation is intended to make clear that the "rule of reason" shall constitute the guideline for determining patent misuse.

.82.2848

There is no doubt, in the opinion of the Commission, of the importance to the U.S. economy of both the U.S. patent system and the antitrust laws. Each is essential and each serves its own purpose within the framework of our economic structure. However, conflicts between the two have arisen. But this does not mean that the two systems are mutually exclusive, that a strong patent system is a threat to the antitrust laws, or that the latter 3 DROCER

23:19*1*0-

cannot be effectively enforced so long as a patent system grants limited monopolies.

On the contrary, the two systems are fully compatible, one checking and preventing undesirable monopolistic power and the other encouraging and promoting certain limited beneficial monopolies. In this way, each may easily achieve its objectives in a strong economy.

The Commission, therefore, does not favor any proposal which would weaken the enforcement of the antitrust laws or which would curtail in any way the power of the courts to deny relief to a patent owner misusing the patent he seeks to enforce. However, uncertainty exists as to the precise nature of the patent right and there is no clear definition of the patent misuse rule. This has produced confusion in the public mind and a reluctance by patent owners and others to enter into contracts or other arrangements pertaining to patents or related licenses.

No useful purpose would be served by codifying the many decisions dealing with patent misuse into a set of rules or definitions permitting or denying enforceability of patents in given circumstances. The risk of unenforceability is too great and such a codification is wholly unnecessary. All that the Commission believes to be required is explicit statutory language defining, for the purpose of assignments and licenses, the nature of the patent grant heretofore recognized under the patent statute or by decisional law. This is, the right to exclude others from making, using and selling the patented invention.

The mere exercise, conveyance or license of these conferred rights should not in itself constitute misuse of a patent. A patent owner should not be denied relief against infringers because he either refused to grant a license or because he has exercised, transferred or licensed any of the conferred patent rights himself. This should not include immunity of even these conferred patent rights from the antitrust laws when the patent owner becomes involved in a conspiracy to restrain or monopolize com-

with the wither to the fair that there being bound to

merce, or when the patent is itself used as an instrument for unreasonably restraining trade. Hented monopolies.

There are also a number of conditions and provisions long associated with the transfer or license of rights under patents which must be distinguished from the exclusive right to make, use and sell conferred by the patent grant. Among these are improvement grant-backs, cross licenses, package licenses, patent pools, no contest clauses, and many others which are simply matters of private contract, ancillary to the conveyance or license of a patent right. As such, these conditions and provisions must be judged, along with other purely commercial practices, under the antitrust laws and the patent misuse doctrine. The Commission does not recommend immunization of any of these other provisions or conditions from either the antitrust laws or the application of the misuse rule. alus secerci

This recommendation also makes it clear that a patent may not be used to control commerce in subject matter beyond the scope of the patent. For example, it could not be considered "reasonably necessary" to secure full benefit to the owner of a machine patent that he attempt to control any of the commerce in an unpatented raw material to be used in the machine. Neither could it be held that such an attempt had a direct relation to the machine claims in his patent. By the same standards, the patent owner could not control commerce in one of the unpatented elements of his combination invention where his claims are to the whole combination. tare tester st

Wiles of bilgh odd is <mark>XXIII</mark> Juddeord Kobreizy odd yw from making, split, and setting

wal hirebical yil to subje

A final federal judicial determination declaring a patent claim invalid shall be in rem. and the cancellation of such claim shall be indicated on all patent copies subsequently distributed by the Patent Office.

Under present law, even though one or more claims of a patent have been held invalid in one Federal circuit, the patentee may pursue a different defendant in another circuit for infringement of the same claims. ther of your lighter a un bir light composed

38

surfa shtirre er hinte

As a result, a party may be held liable as an infringer or required to pay royalties in one circuit, while his direct competitor is practicing the same invention without restriction in another circuit. Moreover, the mere possession of a patent, even though held invalid in one or more circuits, serves as a potential threat to persons unwilling or unable to defend a suit on the patent.

Under the proposed recommendation, a claim, once held invalid, would be treated as cancelled from the patent. No one thereafter could be required, on the basis of a royalty agreement previously made part of an infringement judgment, to continue royalty payments on the claim. Furthermore, the proposal would preclude a subsequent suit on a patent claim previously held invalid by a Federal court.

A patentee, having been afforded the opportunity to exhaust his remedy of appeal from a holding of invalidity, has had his "day in court" and should not be allowed to harass others on the basis of an invalid claim. There are few, if any, logical grounds for permitting him to clutter crowded court dockets and to subject others to costly litigation.

zalizzi cenzi como izen otaXXIV.com (a electe todoorde

One of the most common grievances called to the Commission's attention, by all branches of the patent-using community, has been the high cost of patent litigation. The following recommendation is directed toward the pretrial period, now the occasion for much expense and vexation.

Offices of "Civil Commissioner" shall be created in those U.S. district courts where justified by the volume of patent litigation. In patent cases, unless otherwise ordered by a district court judge for good cause, a Commissioner shall conduct pretrial hearings, preside at depositions of parties, supervise discovery proceedings upon an accelerated and abbreviated basis, make preliminary rulings upon the admissibility of proofs, and be empowered to vary the

burdens of proof for good cause in secrecy

shifu sidildo ono hi sublision vad of bellaner

cases.

The wholesome effect of the liberal discovery provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP) is undeniable. Adversaries are compelled to reveal the facts of their cases to each other so that trials are conducted more fully and fairly. Like any other right, however, the right of discovery can be abused and it has been used to harass and oppress litigants. Uncontrolled discovery in patent cases is a prime cause of the enormous expense frequently encountered by the litigants.

One source of this expense is the man-hours required to search for, collect, and assemble for inspection, thousands of documents called for under Rule 34 FRCP. More thousands of documents and other kinds of information may be required to answer interrogatories under Rule 33 FRCP. In the event of a disagreement between the parties about discovery, much more time may be needed for legal research, brief writing and argument before a court. In any event, the general rule in the courts is that the acknowledged burden of a request for discovery is not a valid excuse to avoid producing the information.

Another source of considerable cost comes from taking adverse discovery depositions of parties or of the officers, directors and managing agents of corporate parties. The witnesses may be examined over a wide subject area and for protracted periods of time. Rule 30(b) FRCP provides that a court may limit or terminate an examination if it is being conducted unreasonably or in bad faith. However, this recourse involves still more time and expense.

is these (1.8, disfuict courts where fuctions)

As a consequence, the high cost of patent litigation results in good and valid patents being defied and going unenforced, invalid patents being kept from court scrutiny, and, finally, compromises, settlements and licensing arrangements, whose only justification is an economic one, i.e., the avoidance of enormous litigation expense.

Adoption of this recommendation should reduce considerably the time and expense to litigants in patent cases. The provision of Civil Commissioners, who would supervise discovery procedures, should help correct abuses and bring about more effective utilization of these procedures. Arrithman (うちちゃう キモト お シッカイ

The previous recommendation should substantially reduce the cost of litigation. However, even the reduction so accomplished may not be sufficient to insure a "day in court" for the individual or corporation of modest means. The following recommendation is addressed to this problem.

A party to a patent case seeking to reduce his litigation costs, with the consent of the adverse party, may submit his case to the court on a stipulation of facts or on affidavits without the usual pretrial discovery. This procedure may be used where no injunctive relief is asked and only limited damages are sought. Incentives shall be provided to consent to this procedure, as set forth below.

The Commission does not seek to discourage the settlement of patent infringement controversies. On the contrary, public policy strongly favors this method of resolving disputes. However, since there is always a public interest or aspect involved in a patent license, a strong patent system requires that only good and valid patents be the subject of licensing arrangements. Attainment of this desirable objective is presently hampered by the many settlements and patent licenses brought to pass in order to avoid high litigation expenses. But just as it is contrary to the spirit of the patent laws to recognize and pay tribute to an invalid patent, it is also unfair to expect individual or corporate patent owners of limited means to settle, and accept less than their just due, simply because they cannot afford expensive litigation.

The Commission believes that a truly just patent system should provide all patentees fair opportunity for a "day in

49

ansier griefonit motios

court." Similarly, all alleged infringers should have an opportunity to test judicially the validity and scope of patents asserted against them. Neither should be made to suffer or be denied access to the courts because of intolerable litigation expenses.

The expedited procedure recommended should be made applicable to both infringement suits and declaratory judgment actions involving patents.

As an incentive for the alleged infringer to consent to this procedure, any subsequent judgment favoring the patent owner, under this procedure, would omit any injunctive relief and would be confined to a reasonable royalty license for future infringement and reasonable royalties for past infringement. Royalties, both past and future, could not exceed a fixed amount, such as \$100,000, unless a higher figure is agreed to by the parties. In addition, if an alleged infringer should refuse to consent to this procedure, and the patent owner, after regular proceedings, is successful, he would be entitled to a mandatory award of all reasonable litigation expenses, including attorney's fees.

. Des stat he provided to consent to this pro-

The Observation does not sage to directingst the settimicant of patent information does not sage to directingst paths patent entropy forces this meetics of receiving disputes. Howover, allow the direction and the life receiving disputes, which are patient threads a tender statistic requires that only gived path with wrants he direction allows of incurping comparisons with which wrants he direction disputes for any gived displanate of this designifies the enterprise in the many synthesis and paraceliter is presently being on the file many synthesis and paraceliter is presently being on the file many synthesis and paraceliter is presently being on the spirit of the designifies of paraceliter is presently being the spirit of the paraceliter information of the patent is spirit with the paraceliter many to reache be and the spirit of the paraceliter many to reache be and the spirit of the paraceliter many to reache be and the spirit of the paraceliter many or reacting the patent of the tensors of the statistic direction of the second best of the the spirit of the paraceliter many or reacting the patent of the tensors of the statistic direction of the second best of the tensors of the paraceliter many or reacting the patent of the tensors of the patent of the second by carine patient biling them.

morife busing lang glunt of last swedding roladion of T al yshe a lot glangaraga alah asasasig dar albuma

υU

51

behaveration of the Statutory Advisory Councille blues when the transmission of the Statutory Advisory Councille blues when the state of the state o

XXVI

A Statutory Advisory Council, comprised of public members selected to represent the principal areas served by the patent system, and appointed by the Secretary of Commerce, shall be established to advise him, on a continuing basis, of its evaluation of the current health of the patent system, and specifically, of the quality of patents being issued and the effectiveness of any internal patent quality control program then in operation, and whether an optional deferred examination system should been instituted or terminated.

> Every fourth year the Council shall publish a report on the condition of the patent system including recommendations for its improvement.

> The membership shall consist of not less than twelve nor more than twenty-four. The term of appointment shall be four years, with a maximum tenure of eight years. An executive director, and other support as deemed necessary, shall be provided.

Under this recommendation, a standing advisory body would be created by statute with public members representing the principal areas served by the patent system. This group would meet at regular intervals and would be responsible, on a continuing basis, for effectively analyzing the contemporary condition and needs of the system. The Council would utilize and suggest modern techniques for measurement and evaluation, and regularly report its findings and recommendations to the Secretary of Commerce.

The composition and continuity of the Council should insure objective evaluation of the quality of the patents being issued and enable it to recommend the institution or termination of an optional deferred examination system (Recommendation No.

IX). It also could observe the effectiveness of the recommended cancellation procedure (Recommendation No. XV).

AVX R

In view of the great pressures on the patent system brought by, for example, the escalating information explosion, the Commission believes that the system's continuing welfare must not be left entirely to those preoccupied with its daily administration, or to examination, by a once-in-a-generation Commission. Continuous review of the Nation's changing needs and the capacity of the system to respond is indispensable.

quality of patents being issued and the offertiveness of any informal patent quality control program there in constion, and whether an optional defined anamhuntlers system shauld for histificator terminated.

Evary factsh year the Council shall pakitsh a reput on the condition of the patent system fachated recommendations for its inditivement.

The membership shall consist of not less than twelve nor more than sweaty-four. The serm of appointment shall be four years, with a maximum feaure of cight years. An executive director, and other support as deemed necessary, shall be provided.

Under Jhis necentaendation, a standing advisory body would be created by atchuie with poulle members represeding the principal areas served by the petent system. This group would meet at regular intervice and would be responsible on a continuing basis. for effectively analyzing the contemporary condition, and neads of the system. The Council yould hold and regularly inport for mecanemic and welladies, and regulary inport for methor mecanemic and orthons to the secretary of Commerce.

The composision and continuity of the Oconel should insure objective evaluation of the quality of the patents being issued and enable it to recommend the institution or termination of an optional deferred examination system (Recommendation No.

44

e. 11 2

3. A second as pairs Patent Office Operations, 1991 have of a filled with the patent of the patent office operations in the second of the patent office operations in the second of the patent of t

Adequate support of the Patent Office is required in order that it properly may perform its mission, now and in the future, irrespective of the nature of the patent examining system utilized. Therefore, it is recommended that:

The Patent Office should be supported ade to the support of ade to the support of the second states of the support of the second states of the support of th

Patent Office financing should be established included

balling of 1. The Patent Office should not be required to subtract

2. The Commissioner of Patents should be authorized to set fees for Patent Office services within broad guidelines established by Congress. Such fees shall be apportioned in accordance with the cost of providing the services.

3. The Patent Office should be authorized to establish a "revolving fund" of all its receipts to support its operation.

The Commission cannot emphasize too strongly that the prime requirement for optimum Patent Office operation is a dedicated corps of career employees possessing a unique combination of scientific and engineering knowledge and the ability to make sound legal judgments. Assembling and retaining such a staff of highly trained professional personnel in a competitive manpower market requires, among other things, an increasing expenditure of resources.

Maximum utilization of the skills of any staff requires a working environment conducive to intellectual output. Supplementing such environment, the best available equipment must be provided for obtaining, storing, and retrieving pertinent prior art and for all other required supporting functions.

TO THOMOTH THE THOUSEDS OF COMPONENTS

1. To recover 100% of Patent Office operating expenses on a sustained basis would require substantial fee increases. This could reduce overall inventive activity which, together with the resultant loss of technological disclosure, could adversely affect our economy. Limited subsidization of the Patent Office has substantial justification. The patent system's incentive to invent, disclose, innovate and market new inventions creates capital, jobs, and tax revenues which more than justify the relatively small expenditure of tax funds required to support Patent Office operations.

animul tollist actions over a tolawa

At present, Congress periodically enacts Patent Office fee 2. legislation which includes a schedule specifically listing the fees that the Patent Office must charge for most of the services it provides. The fees set do not necessarily reflect the actual expense to the Patent Office in rendering particular services. Although Patent Office costs may rise, there is no present provision for a corresponding increase in its service charges. Hence, it is unlikely that any long term fixed relationship between fees received and Office expenditures could be maintained without continuing prompt legislative adjustments. This recommendation would permit the Commissioner of Patents, under guidelines established by Congress, to set fees for types of services and change them as conditions may demand. This would permit recovery of any desired percentage of expenses and provide a more equitable fee structure directly related to the cost of particular services no insis manufique and insuderimper Failed dedested capar of minimum powersing a unique coar

3. At the present time, all fees received by the Office must be turned over to the Treasury promptly and the Patent Office must often seek supplemental appropriations because of conditions beyond its control. These include unexpected rises in printing costs and unpredictable increases in demand for services that are furnished below cost. Consequently, it faces periods of uncertainty and delay in carrying out needed programs.

Adoption of the present proposal would establish a fund, consisting of the fees paid for Patent Office services, for

¥.

partially financing Patent Office operations. Congressional appropriations could supplement this fund as necessary. The availability of this "revolving fund" would lessen the disruptive effects caused by delayed legislative action on appropriations. It would also enable the Patent Office to offer additional services to the public on a reasonable cost recovery basis.

> and the second second research manes is additional build bhutter with bracke vertendes als lexxvinces, of the verte

servers to and install

energy allong and protonor line The applicant should be permitted to amend his case following any new ground of objection or rejection by the Patent Office, except where the new ground of objection or rejection is necessitated by amendment of the application by the applicant.

unizo binnik antak asimi an'i The Commission believes that the desirable goal of reducing the backlog of patent applications reasonably should be balanced with the opportunity for an inventor to obtain a valid patent of proper scope. Thus, the applicant should be provided a fair opportunity for reshaping his claims to meet new rejections of the Patent Office. On the other hand, it is desirable to avoid prolonged pendency, which can be caused by successive amendments that substantially shift the subject matter area claimed. Parish Grass Charles Control Listed

to atmóllique tratas vé missimbre

Applied to specific problems which most commonly arise in Patent Office prosecution, a practice is envisioned in which: (a) if, prior to final rejection, the applicant should introduce new limitations not found in any of his original claims, the Patent Office could cite a reference in the final rejection to show these new limitations and refuse further amendment to the case; and, conversely, (b) if, following an amendment prior to final rejection, the Patent Office should cite a new reference which is a better anticipation of features previously claimed, the Patent Office could not terminate prosecution of the application.

arcovinters could should draincheal file a merescen. To accelerate the attainment of a system for the rapid and effective retrieval of pertinent information concerning patents. it is recommended that: at only the fact of the total blues of

ikos Escolacorrado) – tereplantzaki (hastri) polytitudi gliadinar

A study group comprising members from industry, technical societies and government should be established to make a comprehensive study of the application of new technology to Patent Office operations and to aid in developing and implementing the specific recommendations which follow. and tangent and

1. The United States, with other interested countries, should strive toward the establishment of a unified system of patent classification which would expedite and improve

its retrieval of prior art. and the state of the

The United States should expand its pres-- where is entreclassification efforts, allog recentance) and

2. The Patent Office should be encouraged and given resources to continue, and to intensify, bebryong sits efforts toward the goal of a fully mecha-to instant vosies wonnized search system migratery for within addo that a 3. The Patent Office should acquire and store suggestions machine-readable scientific and technical in-136 biolds normation as it becomes available.

> The Patent Office should encourage volun- Deartisto tary submission by patent applicants of

copies, of their applications in machine 21.98730

Pagani Oillee prosecution, a practice is environed in this: (a) work and 4. The Patent's Office (should dinvestigate the make of desirability of obtaining the services of outside technical organizations for specific, 9604 1006 short-term classification and mechanized

conversely, (5) 15 fullowing an aner-charact prior to flast rejec-1. Until the advent of fully automated searching, when all prior art can be retrieved readily, a classification system will continue to be one of the important tools for conducting a prior
art search. The present diversity among national patent laws and classification systems results in a substantial amount of duplicative effort in examining applications on the same invention filed in more than one country. A common classification system would move the world closer to the desired international patent, if principles of patentability are similar (Recommendation No. XXXV), since each country would know what segment of prior art was previously searched by another patent office on an application for the same invention filed in that country. Moreover, it would insure that specialized data banks would be more complete by providing common guidelines as to what information should be included in each of these data banks.

2. As the amount of scientific and technical information continues to grow at a pace which makes the information unmanageable manually, mechanization appears to be the only solution to obtaining reliable, quality searchers of prior art. Hence, it is imperative to utilize fully the existing techniques of mechanized searching and to study new ones as they become available.

The Patent Office should cooperate with other U.S. agencies engaged in the development and implementation of mechanized information, retrieval systems, to maximize their value to the Office as well as the other agencies

Hons to develop a worldwidth induit of patents.

The need for cooperative efforts with foreign nations and active participation by the Patent Office in international organizations studying problems of mechanical information retrieval is self-evident and should be pursued. 3. Obtaining as much contemporary information as possible in the form of perforated or magnetic tape, or the like, would permit continuous build-up of a data bank suitable for automated searching. This would avoid the future necessity of transcribing at one time huge amounts of printed information into computer-usable form and permit a speedier and less expensive change-over from a manual to an automated search system.

57

To insure compatibility of information in machine-readable form with automated data systems envisioned for future Patent Office use, industry, professional societies, government and all others generating data should cooperate in setting up acceptable standards for format and media for machine-readable data.

4. Utilization, on a contract basis, of any knowledge, experience and expertise of outside organizations specializing in mechanized information retrieval technologies could serve as an expeditious and economical means for solving problems which otherwise would require very expensive in-house training, experimentation and delay.

and the balls that the second sec**XXX** such the let avera of

To facilitate the public dissemination of technological knowledge, and other patent related information, it is recommended that:

The Patent Office should:

1. Proceed vigorously with the implementing of its plan for microform reproduction of all search files; and

2. Cooperate with foreign national patent offices and international patent organizations to develop a worldwide index of patents and published applications for patents.

1. The Commission recognizes that any visual microform system is intended only as a bridge between the present methods of information storage and retrieval, and future fully automated mechanized search systems (Recommendation No. XXIX). Meanwhile, however, there is the possibility of storing great amounts of information on small quantities of film or cards, which can be readily inspected with semi-automatic reading devices. This not only increases the capability of the searcher to scan more material in a given time but also makes economically feasible the placing of complete copies of classified search files in locales outside the Patent Office. This would permit establish-

00

ment of satellite public search facilities throughout the United States, resulting in greatly improved dissemination of the technological and legal information contained in patents.

2. On an average, patents now are granted in three different countries for each invention, and an average of 650,000 patent applications are filed each year in eighty different patent offices. These figures lend substantial weight to the desirability of a worldwide patent index. Such an index would provide prompt and reliable means for obtaining information relative to the existence and status of particular patents or applications in any country in the world.

entan 1997 (1997), dan sebagai dan kelalah dan seba dari dan dari di. Sebagai dan sebagai dan sebagai dan sebagai dan sebagai dan sebagai dan sebagai dan sebagai dan sebagai dan seb Sebagai dan sebagai dan sebagai dan sebagai dan sebagai dan sebagai dan sebagai dan sebagai dan sebagai dan seb

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

mosi of axiellis public-se**nsitienT**ities, throughour the United States, resulting in greatly improved dissociation of the technological and legal information**XXX** ained in parency.

The legislation implementing the proposed recommendations of the Commission should become effective as soon as practical with regard to both patents and pending applications.

Many recommendations, such as the presumption of correctness to be given Patent Office decisions, reasonably could be applied to all pending applications. Others, such as those relating to patent term and prior art, should not apply to pending applications. Specifically, any application filed prior to the effective date of implementing legislation, which is still pending four years after its earliest effective filing date, or two years after the enactment of such legislation, whichever is later, should be published in a manner similar to that of the recommended initial publication (Recommendation No. VII).

Many recommendations, such as those concerning the Civil Commissioner and the expedited procedure for limited claims, could apply to all patents, whenever issued.

It is expected that the legislative draftsmen will determine the time each statutory change proposed may be implemented most effectively.

Government Patent Policy

XXXII

The Commission has noted the increasing participation of the Federal Government in the financing of research, development, testing and engineering, and the many problems related to the ownership of patents resulting from such work.

the contribute a count billion acceler hallow off

The Commission decided not to address itself to the question of the distribution of rights in inventions resulting from research and development work financed wholly or in part by the Government. This question is being considered actively elsewhere in the Executive Branch and by Committees of the Congress.

Nevertheless, it is the Commission's hope that any action Congress may take in this regard will promote the purposes of the patent system to encourage invention and innovation and the resulting economic development and benefits.

in the of a light to Constant and the state of the

The trainful for revision is an dro agends of the fibration's fluctures which is to be held in 1867. According to the proporal the date of an epphasiton for an interact sceleffigures in one fluctured a saturity rapid, by the spatial foll primity purpose in ad Contential and tries. It is that this pripoint point is flucture to be manufacted and the figure in which inventors have the right to spaty for either a point or an inventor's confiltence.

Bilaria should be wark to have the Fidric tions realize readified to reserve any electric to assesseing the team of a partest trace on effective foreign filling date.

The present burk of the Fark Correction requires this "Patents obtained with the benefit of priority that baye in the various comprise of the Union a direction count to that which

A TRANCTE TITE TRACTERNO AT AND AN WALL

International Action

XXXIII

To promote more harmonious international relations, particularly with regard to the protection of industrial property:

> The United States should take a position in favor of the proposed revision of the Paris Convention whereby a right of priority may be based on an application for an inventor's certificate.

Some member countries of the Paris Convention, in particular the U.S.S.R. and some Eastern European countries, issue inventor's certificates as well as patents. While some Convention countries voluntarily recognize inventor's certificates for priority purposes, there is no obligation under the Convention to do so. At present, the U.S. patent statute prevents the recognition for priority purposes of anything but an application for patent in another Convention country.

The proposal for revision is on the agenda of the Stockholm Conference, which is to be held in 1967. According to the proposal, the date of an application for an inventor's certificate in one Convention country would be recognized for priority purposes in all Convention countries. It is noted that the proposed revision is limited to inventor's certificates from countries in which inventors have the right to apply for either a patent or an inventor's certificate.

XXXIV

Efforts should be made to have the Paris Convention modified to remove any obstacle to measuring the term of a patent from an effective foreign filing date.

The present text of the Paris Convention requires that "Patents obtained with the benefit of priority shall have in the various countries of the Union a duration equal to that which

they would have had if they had been applied for or granted without the benefit of priority."

Since the Convention forbids calculation of the term of a patent from the foreign filing date, it prevents measurement of the term from the effective filing date when foreign priority is claimed. Thus a foreign applicant who can claim a foreign priority date would receive a longer period of protection than an applicant who filed a domestic application on such date. course, a corresponding advantage is accorded U.S. inventors filing abroad. Bertherman Berther Bertehen auch an an Umrited

Movement toward a universal patent system (Recommendation No. XXXV) would be promoted if an entire international family of related patents expired at the same time. This requires a common measuring point for the patent term. The effective (foreign or domestic) filing date, unlike the earliest domestic filing date, would constitute such a common measuring point. no negretati modela saciala ang kalenang mgi sin geliana a ng biwakanalakinang ing kalenang mgi sin geliana a

化中心不已算 最佳的 网络哈拉

The Commission believes that the fultimate of the second stand a goal in the protection of inventions should be as the establishment of a universal patent, respected throughout the world, issued in the light of, and inventive over, all of the prior art of the world, and obtained quickly and inexpensively on a single application, but only in return for a genuine contribution to the progress of the useful arts.

To this end the Commission specifically recommends the pursuit of: (1) International harmonization of patent practice, (2) the formation of regional patent system groups, and (3) a universal network of mechanized information storage and retrieval systems.

There are great differences today among the patent systems of the various countries. The inventor who desires worldwide or even multi-national patent protection for his discovery must file a multitude of applications, each governed by a separate and distinct system of laws, rules, regulations and procedures.

Even after the patent has been obtained, the inventor is confronted with diverse systems of maintaining patent protection.

These factors increase the cost of securing multi-national patent protection and often cloud the status of an invention in a particular country, thus discouraging foreign investment and marketing. In bolton and the status of a single of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of long-range goals to guide their intermediate and shortrange movements. Any attempt by revolutionary change, to scrap present systems in favor of new ones, in the United States or abroad, is neither feasible nor desirable. It is, however, both possible and advantageous to promote and direct interim steps toward the ultimate goal—a universal patent.

To the extent that harmonization of U.S. practice with prevailing foreign practice can be attained without injury to the quality of the U.S. patent system, such harmonization should be introduced as a first step toward the desired goal. This consideration applies both to the substantive law and to the forms and procedures for implementing it. Other recommendations in this report are responsive to this general objective.

-neured bus ridolog bonietdo bas birowedt he

Where, however, U.S. practice appears to be the superior one, it is recommended that appropriate Federal agencies make efforts to secure harmonization compatible with U.S. practice.

As an intermediate step toward attainment of a universal patent, the formation of regional patent system groupings should be encouraged. Within such groupings there will inevitably develop a mutual respect for the search and judgment capabilities of the members. This should lead to cooperative searching and, beyond that, to mutually recognized patents among the members of the group. The avoidance of the duplication of effort, expense and delay is a clearly attainable benefit from such a development.

Finally, as an adjunct to achieving the ultimate goal of a universal patent, the Commission envisages the establishment of a universal network of mechanized information storage and retrieval systems involving all of the patents and other technical literature of the world. Second contracts of galaxies and other technical basis galaxies of a denial invertice of galaxies biological to be the second object of the world second of barries of galaxies biological basis galaxies of the denial invertice of biological to the second object of the world second of barries of biological technic technics and yet of bornes of biological technics of the second of the second contact yet of bornes of biological technics.

The flow of events proceeds from top to bottom. Frond sevence robating the system tothong continuous checking the spreading flow, within brack correctly principal antipole the system, signify results growthing from the system stlow.

n an figh frant an ann an the france and an ann an the property of the first state were represented by the firs In a first state france and the first state of the property of the state of the state of the state of the state In a first state of the first state of the first state of the state of the state of the state of the state of t In a first state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of t

υu

58



Charts 1 through 5 illustrate a number of recommended changes by providing a graphic representation of procedural steps and effects arising therefrom. Much of the wording used is abbreviated and should be read in the context of the specific recommendation referred to by number.

The flow of events proceeds from top to bottom. Broad arrows pointing *into* the system indicate conditions affecting the system's flow, while broad arrows pointing *outward* from the system, signify results emanating from the system's flow.





-
- .
- .









OF COMPACING ARTS

d.e.

• 199