

NATIONAL TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER CENTRAINING, MARKETING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Wheeling Jesuit College/ 316 Washington Ave./ Wheeling, WV 25 (304) 243-4400 Fax: (304) 243-4408

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Date:	Section Section 1. A Assessment Section 1. Annual Sectin 1. Annual Section 1. Annual Section 1. Annual Section 1. Annual	31, 1995	
To: Ben Wu, Do	ug Cumer	cc: Norm Latker	entransiera, a principal anticolor a
Organization:	రాగా ఇం	gymannan samus sagadiiri iska kusay na njigiri sahanna samunnya (kifa 1880) na nasana sagadiina kanna samun kik	and a second
Telephone number:			
Fax number:	_202-737-31		et u.j.u.n. 1997/1944 (Status terme of 1887/1941) http://gipm.maliur.et-alangegoggafy-edillikkassinkassinkass
Total number of pages:	tigen a seminar separa separa service cara service (1955). Esta de Service de l'Alle Service (1965). Esta de s Esta de seminar service cara service (1955). Esta de service (1	(including this cover	sheet)
Original mailed?	_Yes	X No	
From: Joe Allen	okumana (janga) da maka interpetati kanana katalah da kanana kanana kanana kanana kanana kanana kanana kanana k	ng transferred by singular angles and the section of the section o	و المراجعة
Please call immediately	if the telecc	py you received is incon	aplete or illegi ble.
Telephone numbar: 304	/243-4400	Fax n	umber: 304/243-4 40 8
Telephone number: 304 Thank you.	/243-4400	Fax n	umber: 304/24 3-4408
Thank you.		Fax n	
Thank you. As you requested, a	please see a	ttached Recommendation	s on Administration
Thank you. As you requested, a comments on draft Morella	olease see a	ttached Recommendation	s on Administration
As you requested, a comments on draft Morella	please see a	ttached Recommendation	s on Administration
As you requested, a comments on draft Morella	please see a	ttached Recommendation	s on Administration
Thank you. As you requested, a comments on draft Morella	please see a bill.	itached Recommendation	s on Administration
Thank you. As you requested, a comments on draft Morella	please see a	itached Recommendation	s on Administration

Recommendations on Administration comments on draft Morella bill.

- 1. ATP comments—not acceptable, provided amendment overrides Bayh-Dole for case-by-case disposition of university patents. The commentary also misstates the requirements of the American Technology Preeminence Act which does not require taking patent rights away from universities.
- 2. Accept, harmless word-smithing
- 3. Reject, harmful word-smithing. "Reasonable terms and conditions" are not defined. The Morella bill spells out how rights are to be disposed. The amendment is unnecessary and could open a window for the bureaucracy to undermine your intent in their interpretation of this language if it became law.
- 4. Redundant. The field of use is defined upfront before the negotiation is completed so of course it relates to the scope of the CRADA.
- 5. No, this seeks to amend the provisions of Bayh-Dole about licensing EXISTING Government inventions which new require public notification. This provision would allow companies to get inventions "off the shelf" without anyone knowing they were being licensed, while those going through Bayh Dole would still have to give public notice. This amendment would really draw the wrath of the "public interest" groups who would accuse you of unethically giving Government funded inventions away without due process.

This is a legitimate problem, and Bayh-Dole could be amended to make off the shelf licensing easier. However, this could be controversial and was not discussed in the hearings.

- 6-12. No, this restates the current provisions of the bill without adding any value other than saying the same thing differently. There could also be some technical mistakes that we do not realize are in there. No real benefit for changing your current draft.
- 13. Consider adopting. Having a march-in right for not making adequate efforts to bring the product to market parallels the provisions of Bayh-Dole and seem reasonable given that the Morella bill guarantees exclusivity to spur companies to move efficiently toward commercialization.
- 14. Adopt. DOD is very strong on having the ability to have Government purpose rights for technologies they help fund. This is consistent with Bayh-Dole and the Federal Technology Transfer Act. YOU CAN EXPECT THAT DOD WILL FIGHT FOR INCLUSION OF THIS LANGUAGE.
- 15. Drop. This language creates real confusion. It implies that agencies can give companies rights to software or trade secrets that Government employees create without the actual legal authority to do so. There is no real benefit to this language and it will really confuse agencies and industry what you meant.
- 16. Accept. This is a good point.

- 17. Reject. This undermines existing policies dating back to President Truman allowing employees to file for foreign patent rights if their agencies decline to do so. You current language is perfectly clear that royalides are to be shared with inventors when their agencies have "licensed or assigned title" to their inventious.
- 18. Confusing what they mean. Reject until tacacing clarified.
- 19. This is really your call. Tilke your current language. While this may create some administrative burdens, it is an importent signor to Government inventors that they are valuable parts of the system
- 20. Your current Section 3 stready covers this situation, you might ask why this isn't sufficient.