

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD
PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 99TH CONGRESS

SENATE

BILL

DATE

PAGE(S)

H. R. 4899

OCT 3 '86

S15017-18

(135)

ACTION: AMENDMENT NUMBER 3212 SUBMITTED BY MR. MATHIAS

PROCESS PATENT AMENDMENTS ACT

MATHIAS AMENDMENT NO. 3212

Mr. STEVENS (for Mr. MATHIAS) proposed an amendment to the bill (H.R. 4899) to amend title 35, United States Code, with respect to patented processes and the patent cooperation treaty; as follows:

Strike out all of title I and insert in lieu thereof the following:

TITLE I—PATENTED PROCESSES

Sec. 101. This title may be cited as the "Process Patent Amendments Act of 1986".

Sec. 102. (a) Section 154 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by inserting after "United States," the following: "and, if the invention is a process, of the right to exclude others, to the extent provided in section 271(a)(2), from using or selling products produced thereby throughout the United States, or importing products produced thereby into the United States."

(b) Section 271 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by—

(1) inserting "(1)" after "(a)";

(2) adding at the end of subsection (a), the following:

"(2) If the patented invention is a process, whoever without authority uses or sells within, or imports into, the United States during the term of the patent therefor a product produced by such process, infringes the patent. A product will no longer be considered to have been produced by a patented process once it has been materially changed by subsequent steps or processes."

(c) Section 287 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by—

(1) striking out "Limitation on damages" in the section heading and inserting in lieu thereof "Limitation on damages and other remedies";

(2) inserting "(a)" before "Patentees,"; and

(3) adding at the end thereof the following new subsection:

"(b)(1) An infringer under section 271(a)(2) shall be subject to all of the provisions relating to damages and injunctions set forth in this title except to the extent that those remedies are limited by this subsection or section 3. The limitations on remedies set forth in this subsection shall not be available to any party who—

"(A) engaged in the actual practice of the patented process;

"(B) is owned or controlled by the party who engaged in the actual practice of the patented process;

"(C) owns or controls the party who engaged in the actual practice of the patented process;

"(D) having made a request for disclosure as provided in subsection (b)(5), fails to notify its supplier of patents identified in response to the request and to instruct its supplier to refrain from infringement of such patents; or

"(E) had knowledge prior to the infringement that a patented process was used to produce the product whose importation, use, or sale constituted the infringement.

"(2) No damages shall be recovered by the patentee unless the infringer had notice of the infringement and continued to infringe thereafter. Damages may be recovered only for infringement that occurred after notice of infringement.

"(3) No remedy may be obtained during the eighteen months after the date of notice for retail sales of a normal volume of products in inventory or on order at the time of notice, obtained from a party in the United States who did not use the patented process, provided the retailer discloses to the patentee, within 30 days from notice, the identity and location of the party from whom the products were purchased. Normal quantity of products in inventory and on order shall be determined by previous business practices, and could include units of a product ordered prior to notice and received within a period not to exceed eighteen months after notice.

"(4) The remedy for the importation, use, or sale of units of the infringing product ordered prior to notice and imported, used, or sold in a manner consistent with the normal business practices of the infringer during the six months after the date of notice shall be limited to a reasonable royalty. The limitation in this subparagraph shall not be available to any party who failed to make a request for disclosure, as defined in subparagraph (5), of the party asserting infringement or its licensee.

"(5)(A) For purposes of this paragraph, a 'request for disclosure' means a written request made to a party then engaged in the manufacture of a product to identify all process patents owned by or licensed to that party as of the time of the request that could reasonably be asserted to be infringed under section 271(a)(2) if that product were imported into, or sold or used in, the United States by an unauthorized party. A request for disclosure is further limited to a request—

"(i) made by a party regularly engaged in the sale of the same type of products as the party to whom the request is directed, or a request which includes facts showing that the requester plans to engage in the sale of such products; and

"(ii) made prior to such party's first importation, use, or sale of units of the product produced by an infringing process and prior to notice of infringement.

"(B) In any action where the infringer made a request for disclosure from the party asserting infringement and the infringing patent was not identified within 60 days, the remedy for the importation, use, or sale of units of the infringing product which are imported, used, or sold by the infringer in a manner consistent with the normal business practices of the infringer during the eighteen months after the date of notice shall be limited to a reasonable royalty.

"(C) For the purposes of the limitations on remedies in this subsection—

"(i) no party may make more than one request for disclosure of the same party for

the identification of process patents for producing a particular product; and

"(ii) no party who has received the benefit of the limitations of this paragraph or paragraph (4) with respect to the infringement of one process patent shall be entitled to that benefit in the event of a subsequent infringement of any process patent for producing the same product owned by the same patentholder at the time of the first infringement.

"(6) For the purposes of the remedy limitations in subsection (b), notice of infringement means actual knowledge, or receipt of notification, that a product was produced by a patented process without authorization of the patentee. A notification shall constitute notice of infringement only if it is in writing and sets forth facts which are sufficient to establish that there is a substantial likelihood that the product was made by the infringing process. Filing an action for infringement shall constitute notice of infringement only if the pleadings or other papers filed in the action meet the requirements of a notification."

(d) The table of sections for chapter 29 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by amending the item relating to section 287 to read as follows:

"287. Limitations on damages and other remedies; marking and notice."

Sec. 103. (a) This title and the amendments made by this title shall apply only to products produced or imported after the date of enactment, and shall not abridge or affect the right of any persons or their successors in business to continue to use, sell or import any specific product already in substantial and continuous sale or use in the United States on July 1, 1986, or for which substantial preparation for such sale or use was made before such date, to the extent equitable for the protection of commercial investments made or business commenced in the United States before such date.

(b) This title and the amendments made by this title shall not deprive a patent owner of any other remedies available under section 271 of title 35, United States Code, section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, or any other provision of law.

Sec. 104. Beginning on the date one year after the date of enactment of this title and each year for 4 additional years thereafter, the Department of Commerce shall submit an annual report to the Congress on the effect of this title and the amendments made by this title, on the importation of ingredients to be used for manufacturing products in the United States in those domestic industries that submit formal complaints to the Department alleging that their legitimate sources of supply have been adversely affected.

Sec. 105. (a) Chapter 29 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

"8 295. Presumption: product produced by patented process

"In actions alleging infringement of a process patent based on use, sale, or importation of a product produced by the patented process, if the court finds (1) that a substantial likelihood exists that the product was produced by the patented process and (2) that the claimant has made a reasonable effort to determine the process actually used in the production of the product and was unable so to determine, the product shall be presumed to have been so produced, and the burden of establishing that the product was not produced by the process shall be on the party asserting that it was not so produced."

(b) The table of sections for chapter 29 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by adding after the item relating to section 294 the following:

"295. Presumption: product produced by patented process."
