HeinOnline

Citation: 4 Bernard D. Reams Jr. Law of E-SIGN A Legislative of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Act Public Law No. 106-229 2000 H7293 2002

Content downloaded/printed from HeinOnline (http://heinonline.org) Sun Apr 21 21:29:18 2013

- -- Your use of this HeinOnline PDF indicates your acceptance of HeinOnline's Terms and Conditions of the license agreement available at http://heinonline.org/HOL/License
- -- The search text of this PDF is generated from uncorrected OCR text.

his humor and warmth and for his commitment to our country. He was a great war hero and did so much for the great war hero and did so much to the USO. All that and he played a mean game of golf. I'm going to miss him." Bob's wife Dolores said, "His life was

lonely without his beloved wife Gloria, who died in 1994. He missed her so, and now they're together again. What joy

how they re togetter again. What Joy there must be." "It's A Wonderful Life" and "Mr. Smith Goes To Washington" are sto-ries of commitment to principle and to ries of committeent to principle and to family. These movies are a far cry from many of the movies we see today, char-acterized by "Powder", "Pulp Fiction" and "Priest."

We need to continue to send Hollywood the message that America longs for movies in the spirit of Jimmy Stewart, movies about commitment to family, to a husband or a wife, commit-ment to children, to love them and

ment to children, to love them and care for them, to put them first, not our own selfish interests. Again, I commend the gentleman from New York for bringing forward this legislation, and the subcommittee between out the acquiere members for chairman and the ranking member for supporting it. Mr. MICA. Mr. Speaker, I vield my-

self the balance of our time. Mr. Speaker, I want to take a mo-

ment to thank again the distinguished gentleman from New York [Mr. KINC] for bringing this resolution before the House. I also want to take a moment to thank the distinguished gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. MURTHA] for his leadership relating to this memorial to a great American, and the gentleman from Maryland [Mr. CUMMINGS], my colleague and distinguished rank-ing member of our Subcommittee on Civil Service, for his assistance in bringing this resolution to the floor.

II 1300

Of course, I also want to thank Chairman BURTON, chairman of our full committee, and the ranking member, the gentleman from California [Mr. WAXMAN], who has also helped in expediting the consideration of this resolution.

In closing, Mr. Speaker, I thought it would be interesting to read from "Mr. Smith Goes to Washington," a 1939 classic about Congress, and Mr. Stew-art's famous words as Mr. Smith. He said, as many of us remember, about his feelings, "I wouldn't give you two cents for all your fancy rules if behind them they didn't have a little bit of plain, ordinary kindness and a little lookin' out for the other fella." And lookin' out for the other fella." And that is what Congress is sometimes about, and we remember that as we re-member this great American today. Mr. Speaker, as we have heard on the floor today. Jimmy Stewart was an ex-

emplary American. He personified the traditional American virtues of hard work, dedication to family, dedication to country, and personal modesty. He enriched our culture, and he enriched our civic life. He could have used his heroic mili-

tary service during World War II to

bring additional glory to himself, but like so many of the men and women of his era who served our Nation in war at a perilous time, he did not. Instead, he served his Nation quietly. I have read, Mr. Speaker, that Jimmy Stewart only once used his influence while in the military. He used it to request that he be treated the same as all other men and women in uniform.

It is indeed a privilege for me, Mr. Speaker, to join my distinguished col-league, the gentleman from New York [Mr. KING], and all Members to support this resolution, recognizing the many and lasting contributions Maitland Stewart. of James

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LAHOOD). The question is on the mo-tion offered by the gentleman from Florida [Mr. MICA] that the House sus-pend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution, House Concurrent Resolution 109.

The question was taken. Mr. CONDIT. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present. The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursu-ant to clause 5 of rule I and the Chair's

prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed. The point of no quorum is considered withdrawn.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MICA. Mr. Speaker, I ask unani-mous consent that following passage of this legislation, all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on the concurrent resolution, House Concur-rent Resolution 109.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gen-tleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Mr. Sherman Williams, one of his secretaries.

COMPUTER SECURITY ENHANCEMENT ACT OF 1997

Mr. SENSENBRENNER, Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 1903) to amend the National Institute of Standards and Technology Act to enhance the ability of the National Institute of Standards and Technology to improve computer security, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 1903

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Rep-resentatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Computer Security Enhancement Act of 1997".

(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds the fol-

SEC 2 FINDINGS AND PURPOSES

(a) FRUENCS. Iowing: (b) The National Institute of Standards and Technology has responsibility for developing standards and guidelines needed to ensure the cost-effective security and privacy of sensitive information in Federal computer

(2) The Federal Government has an impor-(a) The rederat Government has an impor-tant role in ensuring the protection of sen-sitive, but unclassified, information con-trolled by Federal agencies.
 (3) Technology that is based on the appli-

(3) Technology that is based on the appur-cation of cryptography exists and can be readily provided by private sector companies to ensure the confidentiality, authenticity. and integrity of information associated with public and private activities. (4) The development and use of encryption

technologies should be driven by market forces rather than by Government imposed requirements.

(5) Federal policy for control of the export of encryption technologies should be deter-mined in light of the public availability of comparable encryption technologies outside of the United States in order to avoid harm-ing the competitiveness of United States computer hardware and software companies.

(b) PURPOSES .- The purposes of this Act are to.

are to-(1) reinforce the role of the National Insti-tute of Standards and Technology in ensur-ing the security of unclassified information in Federal computer systems; (2) promote technology solutions based on private sector offerings to protect the secu-rity of Federal computer systems; and (3) provide the assessment of the capabili-ties of information semitity arendre incor-

ties of information security products incorporating cryptography that are available outside the United States. are generally

SEC. 3. VOLUNTARY STANDARDS FOR PUBLIC KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUC-TURE.

Section 20(b) of the National Institute of Standards and Technology Act (15 U.S.C. 278g-3(b)) is amended—

(i) by redesignating paragraphs (2), (3), (4), and (5) as paragraphs (3), (4), (7), and (8), re-spectively; and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the fol-

(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the to-lowing new paragraph: "(2) upon request from the private sector, to assist in establishing voluntary interoper-able standards, guidelines, and associated methods and techniques to facilitate and ex-pedite the establishment of non-Federal management infrastructures for public keys that are bused to communicate with and that can be used to communicate with and conduct transactions with the Federal Government:"

SEC. 4. SECURITY OF FEDERAL COMPUTERS AND NETWORKS.

Section 20(b) of the National Institute of Standards and Technology Act (15 U.S.C. 278g-3(b)), as amended by section 3 of this Act, is further amended by inserting after paragraph (4), as so redesignated by section 3(1) of this Act, the following new para-

3(1) of this Act, the following new paragraphs: "(5) to provide guidance and assistance to Federal agencies in the protection of inter-connected computer systems and to condi-nate Foderal response efforts related to un-authorized access to Federal computer sys-among and the systems and the system and the syst tems

ens; "(6) to perform evaluations and tests of— "(A) information technologies to assess se-

(b) Internation technologies to assess se-curity vulnerabilities; and "(B) commercially available security prod-ucts for their suitability for use by Federal agencies for protecting sensitive information in computer systems;".

SEC, 5, COMPUTER SECURITY IMPLEMENTATION. Section 20 of the National Institute of Standards and Technology Act (15 U.S.C. 278g-3) is further amended—

 (i) by redesignating subsections (c) and (d) subsections (e) and (l), respectively; and
 (2) by inserting after subsection (b) the folas

(2) by inserting after subsection: (c) In carrying out subsection (a)(3), the Institute shall— (1) a charging the development of tech

Institute shall— (1) emphasize the development of tech-nology-neutral policy guidelines for com-puter security practices by the Federal agen-

cites: "(2) actively promote the use of commer-cially available products to provide for the security and privacy of sensitive information in Federal computer systems; and "(3) participate in implementations of encryption technologies in order to develop required standards and guidelines for Federal computer systems, including assessing the desirability of and the costs associated with establishing and managing key recovery in-frastructures for Federal Government infor-mation." mation '

mation.". SEC. & COMPUTER SECURITY REVIEW, PUBLIC MEETINGS, AND INFORMATION. Section 20 of the National Institute of Standards and Technology Act (15 U.S.C. 278g-3), as amended by this Act, is further amended by inserting after subsection (c), as added by section 5 of this Act, the following new subsection: w subsection: (d)(1) The Institute shall solicit the rec-

(d) The histatue share solicit here commendations of the Computer System Se-curity and Privacy Advisory Board, estab-lished by section 21, regarding standards and guidelines that are being considered for sub-mittal to the Secretary of Commerce in ac-tional solutions of the secretary of Commerce in ac-mittal to the Secretary of Commerce in ac-mittal to the Secretary of Commerce in ac-tional solutions of the secretary of Commerce in ac-mittal to the Secretary of Commerce in ac-mittal to the Secretary of Commerce in ac-tional solutions of the secretary of Commerce in ac-mittal to the Secretary of Commerce in ac-tional solutions of the secretary of Commerce in ac-tional solutions of the secretary of Commerce in ac-secretary of the secretary of Commerce in ac-tional solutions of the secretary of Commerce in ac-tional solutions of the secretary of Commerce in ac-secretary of the secretary of Commerce in ac-tional solutions of the secretary of Commerce in ac-secretary of the secretary of the secretary of Commerce in ac-secretary of the secretary guidelines that are being considered for sub-mittal to the Secretary of Commerce in ac-cordance with subsection (a)(4). No standards or guidelines shall be submitted to the Sec-retary prior to the receipt by the Institute of the Board's written recommediations. The recommendations of the Board shall accom-pany standards and guidelines submitted to the Secretary. "(2) There are authorized to be appro-priated to the Secretary of Commerce \$1,000,000 for fiscal year 1998 and \$1,030.000 for fiscal year 1995 to enable the Computer Sys-

fiscal year 1999 to enable the Computer Sys-tem Security and Privacy Advisory Board, established by section 21, to identify emergrd. established by section 21. to identify emerg-ing issues related to computer security, pri-vacy, and cryptography and to convene pub-lic meetings on those subjects, receive pres-entations, and publish reports, digests, and subjects, receive pres-to the subjects of the subjects and those subjects.

SEC. 7. LIMITATION ON PARTICIPATION IN R OUIRING ENCRYPTION STANDARDS

QUIRING ENCRYPTION STANDARDS. Section 20 of the National Institute of Standards and Technology Act (15 U.S.C. 278g-3), as amended by this Act, 1s further amended by adding at the end the following

new subsection: "(g) The Institute shall not promulgate, enforce, or otherwise adopt standards, or carry out activities or policies, for the Fed-eral establishment of encryption standards required for use in computer systems other than Federal Government computer systems

SEC. 8. MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

Section 20 of the National Institute of Standards and Technology Act (15 U.S.C. 278g-3), as amended by this Act. is further amended_

 in subsection (b)(8), as so redesignated In subsection (b)(8), as so redesignated by section 3(1) of this Act, by inserting "to the extent that such coordination will im-prove computer systemizy and to the extent necessary for improving such security for Federal computer systems" after "Manage-ment and Budgel)";
 (2) in subsection (e), as so redesignated by section 5(1) of this Act, by striking "shall

draw upon" and inserting in lieu thereof

draw upon and inserting in the constraints of the section (3) in subsection (e)(2), as so redesignated by section 5(1) of this Act, by striking "(b)(5)" and inserting in lieu thereof "(b)(8)";

and (4) in subsection (f)(1)(B)(i), as so redesig-nated by section 5(1) of this Act, by inserting "and computer networks" after "comput-

SEC. 9. FEDERAL COMPUTER SYSTEM SECURITY TRAINING.

TRAINING. The Social Security Act Section 5(b) of the Computer Security Act of 1987 (80 J.S.C. 759 note) is amended— (1) by striking "and" at the end of para-graph (1); (2) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (2) and inserting in lieu thereof "; and " and be and the security of the security of the security and " and the security of the

 (3) by adding at the end the following new aragraph: (3) to include emphasis on protecting sen-sitive information in Federal databases and Federal computer sites that are accessible through public networks.".

SEC. 10. COMPUTER SECURITY FELLOWSHIP PRO GRAM.

SEC. 16. COMPUTER SIGURITY FELLOWSHIP PRO-There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Commerce \$230,000 for fiscal year 1898 and 5300,000 for fiscal year 1899 for the Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology for fellowships and the second standards and Tech-nology Act (15 U.S.C. 278-1), to support stu-dents at institution so thigher learning in computer security. Amounts authorized by this section shall not be subject to the per-centage limitation stated in such section 18. SEC. 11. STUDY OF PUBLIC KEY INFASTRUC-TURE BY THE NATIONAL RESEARCH COUN-CL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Commerce shall enter into a contract with

the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Commerce shall enter into a contract with the National Research Council of the Na-tional Academy of Sciences to conduct a study of public key infrastructures for use by individuals, businesses, and government. (b) CONTENTS.—The study referred to in subsection (a) shall— subsection (a) shall—

(1) assess technology needed to support

assess technology needed to support public key infrastructures;
 assess current public and private plans for the deployment of public key infrastruc-

(3) assess interoperability, scalability, and integrity of private and public entities that are elements of public key infrastructures;
 (4) make recommendations for Federal leg-islation and other Federal actions required

to ensure the national feasibility and utility of public key infrastructures; and (5) address such other matters as the Na-tional Research Council considers relevant

tional Research Council considers relevant to the issues of public key infrastructure. (c) INTERACENCY COOPERATION WITH STUDY.—All agencies of the Federal Govern-ment shall cooperate fully with the National Research Council in its activities in carrying out the study under this section, including access by properly cleared individuals to classified information if necessary. (d) REPORT.—Not later than 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Sceretary of Commerce shall transmit to the Committee on Science of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Com-merce. Science, and Transportation of the

Representatives and the Committee on Com-merce. Science, and Transportation of the Senate a report setting forth the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Na-tional Research Council for public policy re-lated to public key infrastructures for use by individuals, businesses, and government. Such report shall be submitted in unclassi-fied form.

(e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Commerce \$450,000 for fiscal year 1938, to remain available until ex-pended, for carrying out this section. SEC 12, PROMOTION OF NATIONAL INFORMA-TION SECURITY.

The Under Secretary of Commerce for Technology shall— (1) promote the more widespread use of ap-

promote the more watespread use of ap-plications of cryptography and associated technologies to enhance the security of the Nation's information infrastructure;
 establish a central clearinghouse for the

collection by the Federal Government and dissemination to the public of information to promote awareness of information secu-

 (3) promote the development of the na-tional, standards-based infrastructure needed to support commercial and private uses of encryption technologies for confidentiality and authentication.

SEC. 13. DIGITAL SIGNATURE INFRASTRUCTURE. SEC. 13. DIGITAL SIGNATURE INFRASTRUCTURE. (a) NATIONAL POLICY PANEL_The Under Secretary of Commerce for Technology shall stabilish a National Policy Panel for Digital Signatures. The Panel shall be composed of nongovernment and government technical and legal experts on the implementation of digital signature technologies, individuals from companies offering digital signature products and services. State officials, Includ-ing officials from States which have enacted tratures are ablichton dividual information.

ing officials from States which have enacted statutes establishing digital signature infra-structures, and representative individuals from the interested public. (b) RESPONSIBILITIES.—The Panel estab-lished under subsection (a) shall serve as a forum for exploring all relevant factors asso-ciated with the development of a national digital signature infrastructure based on uniform standards that will enable the wide-spread availability and use of digital signa-ture systems. The Panel shall develop—

ture systems. The Panel shall davelop-(1) model practices and procedures for cer-tification authorities to ensure accuracy, re-liability, and security of operations associ-ated with issuing and managing certificates; (2) standards to ensure consistency among jurisdictions that license certification au-thorities and thorities; and

audit standards for certification au-(3) (c) ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT.—The Under

(c) ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT.—I ne Under Secretary of Commerce for Technology shall provide administrative support to the Panel established under subsection (a) of this sec-tion as necessary to enable the Panel to carry out its responsibilities.
SEC 14. SOURCE OF AUTHORIZATIONS.
Accurate subtractive to be appropriated by

Amounts authorized to be appropriated by this Act shall be derived from amounts au-thorized under the National Institute of Standards and Technology Authorization Act of 1997.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursu-ant to the rule, the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. SENSENBRENNER] and the gentleman from Tennessee [Mr.

GORDON each will control 20 minutes. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. SENSENBRENNER.] Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speak-

er, today, in a bipartisan effort, the Committee on Science brings to the floor H.R. 1903, the Computer Security Enhancement Act of 1997. I would like to thank the ranking member, the gentleman from California, Mr. GEORGE BROWN, the Subcommittee on Technology chairwoman, the gentlewoman from Maryland, Mrs. CONSTANCE MORELLA, the ranking member of the subcommittee, the gentleman from gave authority over computer and communication security standards in Federal civilian agencies to the National Institute of Standards and Technology. The Computer Security Enhancement Act of 1997 strengthens that authority and directs funds to implement practices and procedures which will ensure that the Federal standard-setting process remains strong, despite its increasing reliance on a network infrastructure

The need for this renewed emphasis on the security of Federal civilian agencies is underscored by a recently released report from the General Ac-counting Office. The 1997 Report on Information Management and Tech nology highlighted information security as a Governmentwide high-risk issue. It stated that despite having critical functions, Federal systems and

data are not adequately protected. Since June 1993, the GAO has issued over 30 reports describing serious information security weaknesses at Federal mation security weaknesses at reductal agencies. In September 1996, it reported that during the previous 2 years, such weaknesses had been determined for 10 of the 15 largest Federal agencies. For half of these agencies, the weakness had been disclosed repeatedly for 5

years or longer. Much has changed in the 10 years since the Computer Security Act of 1987 became law. The proliferation of network systems, the Internet, and web access are just a few of the dramatic advances in information technology that have occurred. The Combiology that have occurred. The com-puter Security Enhancement Act of 1997 addresses these changes and pro-vides for greater security for the Fed-eral civilian agencies that base their buying decisions for computer security hardware on NIST standards.

Specifically, H.R. 1903 requires NIST to encourage the acquisition of off-theshelf products to meet civilian agencies' security needs. Such practices will reduce the cost and improve the availability of computer security technologies for Federal civilian agencies. The bill strengthens the role playe

The bill strengthens the role played by the independent Computer System Security and Privacy Advisory Board in NIST's decision-making process. The CSSPAB, which is made up of rep-resentatives from industry, Federal agencies, and private agraminitian agencies, and private organizations, has long been considered a vital part of NIST's standard-setting process on emerging computer security issues. Strengthening the board's role will help ensure that the Federal Government benefits from private sector expertise. H.R. 1903 establishes a new computer

science fellowship program for grad-uate and undergraduate students studying computer security. It provides for the National Research

Council to study the desirability of key infrastructures. The NRC would also

examine the technologies required for establishing such an infrastructure. Further, the bill requires the Under Secretary of Commerce for Technology to actively promote the use of tech-nologies that will enhance the security of communications networks and electronic information; to establish a clearinghouse of information available to the public on information security threats; and to promote the develop-ment of standards-based infrastructure that will enable the widespread use of encryption technologies for confidentiality and authentication. Finally, H.R. 1903 establishes a na-

tional panel to discuss digital signa-tures. The panel will explore all factors associated with developing a national digital signature infrastructure based on uniform standards. Mr. Speaker, Members will notice the

old section 7 directing NIST to assess foreign encryption products has been removed, to satisfy the concerns of the administration and my colleagues on the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence. I trust this action will help assure that all Members can support this legislation without reservation.

Mr. Speaker, the Computer Security Enhancement Act of 1997 will ensure that Federal civilian agencies enjoy the highest standard of information technologies, both for transmitted and stored data. The protection of this vital data is necessary for the security of all Americans. Mr. Speaker, I encourage my col-

leagues to support this measure, and I

leagues to support this measure, and 1 reserve the balance of my time. Mr. GORDON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of H.R. 1903. the Computer Security Enhancement Act of 1997. I am an original cosponsor of H.R. 1903, and have worked closely with the chairman, the gentlewoman from Maryland [Mrs. MORELLA], to improve the bill during the Subcommittee on Technology's deliberations

Not a day goes by that we do not see some reference to the Internet and the explosive growth of electronic commerce. What was originally envisioned as a network of defense communica-tions and university researchers has now become an international communications network, of which we are just beginning to realize its potential.

Reports from both the Office of Technology Assessment and the National Research Council have identified a major obstacle to the growth of elec-tronic commerce: the lack of widespread use of computer security products. H.R. 1903 is a first step to encourage the use of computer security products, both by Federal agencies and the private sector, which in turn will sup-port the growth of electronic com-

I want to highlight the underlying purpose of this legislation: to encourage the use of computer security prod-ucts, both by Federal agencies and the private sector. I am convinced that we must have a trustworthy and secure

electronic network system to foster the growth of electronic commerce. H.R. 1903 builds upon the successful track record of the National Institute of Standards and Technology, in work-ing with industry and other Federal Ing with industry and other recent agencies, to develop a consensus on the necessary standards and protocols re-quired for electronic commerce. I would like to take a few minutes to

explain provisions I added to this legis-lation. One of the provisions aims to increase the public awareness of the need to improve the security of communication networks by requiring the Technology Administration to estab-lish a clearinghouse of public information on electronic security threats.

And the other provision I felt nec-essary was to establish a coordination mechanism in the development of national digital signature infrastructure by establishing a national panel of business, technical, legal, State, and Federal experts. Digital signature technology is es-

sential to ensure the public trust of networks such as the Internet. Digital signature verifies that the busine or individual we are communicating with is who we think they are, and that the information being exchanged has not been altered in transit. For this technology to be developed, a trusted certification authority for the digital signature must exist. Several States already have statutes

in place to regulate this technology. However, for a national system to de-However, for a national system to de-velop, uniform standards must be in place. Without this uniformity, vari-ations will exist among different State requirements for certification authori-ties which could affect the reliability and security of operations associated with issuing and managing certifi-

ration. These provisions do not give the Fed-eral Government the authority to es-tablish standards or procedures. We simply create a national panel of public and private representatives to begin grate a consistent policy regarding dig-ital signatures. to address how to develop and inte H.R. 1903 is entirely consistent with

recommendations of the Office of Technology Assessment, the National Re-search Council, and independent experts who have appeared before the subcommittee. I want to stress that the underlying principle of H.R. 1903 is that it recognizes that Government and private sector computer security needs are similar. Hopefully the result will be lower cost and better security for everyone. This bill is a result of bipartisan co-

operation. It has been a pleasure working with Chairman MORELLA on this legislation, as well as Chairman SEN-SENBRENNER and the former chairman, the gentleman from California, [Mr. GEORGE BROWN]. I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 1903. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of

my time.

HeinOnline -- 4 Bernard D. Reams, Jr., Law of E-SIGN: A Legislative History of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, Public Law No. 106-229 (2000) H7295 2002

Mr. SENSENBRENNER, Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Virginia [Mr. DAVIS]. Mr. DAVIS of Virginia. Mr. Speaker,

Mr. DAVIS of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the chairman yielding time to me.

Mr. Speaker, I very enthusiastically support H.R. 1903, the Computer Security Enhancement Act. This amends, of course, the 1987 act, because the world has changed since 1987. Last year the Department of Defense systems experienced as many as 250,000 attacks, just in 1995. It was estimated that 64 percent of these attacks were successful in gaining access to the Department of Defense systems. I think Federal agencies have to employ appropriate countermeasures, and today we are not set to do that.

With the growth in the Internet, individual users across the country are relying more and more and on communications and business commerce through the Internet, but the testimony before the committee shows that there continue to be problems, and the technologies to better protect users does not exist. Security problems in individual computers that connect to the Internet are very much at risk.

One Interesting note, and I think this starts to address it with a system that authorizes the National Institute of Standards to reserve \$750,000 for new computer science fellowship programs for students to study security. Of 5,500 Ph.D.'s granted in computer science and engineering last year, a scant 16 pertained to computer security. It is not even a required course to get a doctorate in computer science and engineering. Only 50 percent of the 16 were given to U.S. nationals.

not even a required course to get a doctorate in computer science and engineering. Only 50 percent of the 16 were given to U.S. nationals. Mr. Speaker, I think this will start to move in a different direction and rectify this. I congratulate the chairman of the committee, the ranking member, and others who are cosponsoring this. I think it is a needed change. I rise in support, and ask my colleagues to supmort it.

Ing this I think it is a needed change. If rise in support, and ask my colleagues to support it. Mr. GORDON, Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from California [Mr. BROWN], my leader and mentor on the Committee on Science.

on the Committee on Science. (Mr. BROWN of California asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BROWN of California. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding time to me. I appreciate the opportunity to speak briefly on this subject. Mr. Speaker, I recognize that the

MR. Speaker, I recognize that the gentleman has already, together with the chairman, the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. SENSENBERNER], laid out the basic content of the legislation, and I hope I do not duplicate what he has said unnecessarily.

□ 1315

I am, of course, in support of H.R. 1903, the Computer Security Enhancement Act of 1997. This bill will increase the protection of electronic information In Federal computer systems. and moreover, will help to stimulate the development of computer hardware and software technologies by American companies.

The bill was developed as a collaborative initiative by majority and minority members of the Committee on Science, and I applaud the efforts of the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. SENSENBERNERNER], the chairman, in moving the bill expeditiously through the committee and bringing it to the floor as he has on so many other bills before our committee. I would also like to acknowledge the

I would also like to acknowledge the valuable contribution of the gentlewoman from Maryland [Mrs. MORELLA], the chair of the Subcommittee on Technology, and the gentleman from Tennessee [Mr. GORDON], the ranking Democratic member of the subcommittee, who I am sure all of my colleagues recognize actually do the difficult work of developing the language in legislation of this sort and making whatever necessary compromises have to be made. I of course will defer to their judgment as to what needs to be in a bill of this sort.

A decade ago the Committee on Science was instrumental in the passage of a measure that gave the National Institute of Standards and Technology the responsibility for the protection of unclassified information in Federal computer systems. Specifically, the Computer Security Act of 1987 charged NIST to develop appropriate technical standards and administrative guidelines as well as guidelines for training Federal employees in security practices. We were just beginning to recognize at that time the importance of these new technology communication initiatives which are becoming such an important part of our lives today.

Overall, NIST has received somewhat mixed reviews on its performance in carrying out its responsibilities under the 1987 statute. The agency has been criticized for allowing the National Security Agency to exercise too much influence on the development of standards for unclassified Federal computer systems and for developing standards that were inconsistent with emerging market standards. We in California, of course, are very

We in California, of course, are very much concerned with the role we play in global commerce in systems of this sort because such a large part of new developments in this area occur in California and it has become a large part of our economy.

part of our economy. Also, according to NIST's external advisory committee, the agency ought to devote greater resources and effort to providing advice and assistance to Federal agencies in order to help them to satisfy their information security needs.

H.R. 1903 sceks to elevate NIST's commitment to meeting its responsibilities under the Computer Security Act. It also reinforces the policy established by the 1987 act that NIST has the primary responsibility for the protection of unclassified Federal computer systems and networks. Mr. Speaker, I want to emphasize two important themes of the bill. First, it seeks to expand the use of validated commercially available cryptography technologies by Federal agencles, which will in turn stimulate the U.S. market for computer security products; and, second, the bill puts in place mechanisms to ensure greater public participation in the development of computer security standards and guidelines for Federal systems. The threats to electronic information

The threats to electronic information are much greater than when the Computer Security Act was passed in the House in 1987. H.R. 1903 is an important step toward addressing this vulnerability.

Mrs. MORELLA. Mr. Speaker, I is in sup-

Mrs. MORELLA. Mr. Speaker, J rise in support of H.R. 1903, legislation I introduced with Chairman SENSENBRENNER and ranking Members GORDON and BROWN on June 17, 1997, and which was unanimously reported out of the Technology Subcommittee, which I chair, on July 28, 1997.

The Computer Security Enhancement Act of 1997, updates the Computer Security Act of 1997, updates the Computer Security Act of 1987 to take into account the evolution of computer networks and their use by both the Federal Government and the private sector. H.R. 1903 recognizes that the U.S. Govern-

H.R. 1903 recognizes that the U.S. Government is not grappling with the issues of data security in a vacuum. The bill encourages the setting of standards which are commercially available, thus alding our software and hardware industries as well as assuing that the government can secure its information technology infrastructure with the most effective and cost efficient products. This is significant both because of the vital role the information infrastructure plays in our lives and the role that technology has in our economy.

Intrastructure pays in our lives and the role that technology has in our economy. Information technology security, or rather the lack of attention paid to it by the Federal Government, may well make the year 2000 computer problem seem small in comparison if we do not focus our attention on this vital area.

area. In their May 1996 report, the General Accounting Office (GAO) stated that the Department of Defense systems may have experienced as many as 250,000 attacks during 1995, of that total, about 64 percent of attacks were successful at gaining access to the DOD system. This information is even more froubling when you realize, as the report points out, that these numbers may be underestimated because only a small percentage of attacks are detected.

Federal agencies are incurring significant risk by not effectively employing cryptographic countermeasures for transmitted and stored data.

H.R. 1903, which seeks to promote the effective use of cryptography along with other security tools by Government agencies, is consistent with the conclusions of the National Research Council's GRISIS report and should help to ensure that Federal systems remain safe and the integrity of sensitive and private data is not compromised.

Additionally, according to statistics from the Business Software Alliance, the software industry alone is reported to have employed September 16, 1997

over 619,400 people last year, with an addi-tional 1.445,600 jobs created in related industries. Placing a renewed emphasis on setting standards for procurement by Federal civilian agencies-standards which consider market driven specifications-will assist industry as well as ensure that Federal civilian agencies benefit from the wealth of knowledge which the private sector can provide.

Mr. Speaker, H.R. 1903 is a good and much needed bill. It was authored and is supported in equal measure on both sides of the aisle and carries over half of the full roster of the Science Committee as its cosponsors. I urge all my colleagues to support its passage. Mr. TAUZIN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to ex-

plore the issues presented by H.R. 1903, the Computer Security Enhancement Act of 1997, some of which are within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Commerce. The main purpose of H.R. 1903 appears to be to update the Computer Security Act of 1987 to improve computer security for Federal civilian agencies. This is a laudable goal, However, certain provisions of the bill before us today are not limited to issues within the purview of the Na-tional Institute of Standards and Technology [NIST], or to the improvement of computer security for Federal civilian agencies. Therefore, must make note of the fact that the House Committee on Commerce maintains a strong jurisdictional interest in the telecommunications and commerce issues addressed in H.R. 1903.

For example, the findings listed in section 2 of H.R. 1903 include language asserting that the development and use of encryption should not be driven by Government requirements. and that export policy should be determined in light of the public availability of comparable encryption products outside the United States. Neither of these findings, nor policies to promote the findings, are within the scope of the Computer Security Act of 1987, or the authority of NIST.

everal provisions of H.R. 1903 address the use and development of a public key manage-ment infrastructure. Public key management infrastructure is an issue between private entities and law enforcement officials. Such infrastructure does not currently exist and is not part of the administrative question of how to improve computer security for Federal civilian agencies. In addition, H.R. 1903 calls for the establish-

ment of a national panel on digital signatures. While the formation of a panel may or my not be the right course of action, the issue is a question of electronic commerce that is completely outside the scope of this legislation.

Finally, H.R. 1903, as reported by the Com-mittee on Science, included language that would have transferred authority currently vested in the Bureau of Export Administration to NIST. I understand this language regarding the determination of whether a product is gen-erally available abroad has been removed from the bill before us today. However, the ex-istence of the provision illustrates how far afield from the issue of computer security for Federal civilian agencies H.R. 1903 has traveled.

eled. I will not plow through a provision-by-provi-sion analysis of H.R. 1903 in my statement today. For the record, however, I must point out that H.R. 1903 seeks to establish encryption, telecommunications, and com-merce policy far beyond the reach of the authority of either NIST or the Computer Security Act of 1987

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas, Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank Chairman SENSEN-BRENNER and Ranking Member BROWN for their work in bringing this opportunity to the House to construct a legislative response to the growing dependency of this Government and the public on computers and related tech-

As a cosponsor of this bill I would also like to thank Congresswoman MORELLA for her critical leadership in this area as chair of the Technology Subcommittee.

While telecomputing technologies have gen-erated a great deal of excitement in our country these communications innovations have also presented daunting challenges to privacy and security both in the Federal Government and private sectors.

The challenge for this Congress is to solve the problems of security and privacy while al-lowing full public access and utilization of the technology to heighten the exchange of information between Government agencies and its citizens Federal computers must be secured from unwanted intrusions.

I support strong encryption products being made available to the private sector domestically and internationally to insure privacy of communications, business transactions, commercial exchanges and for the protection of Internet accessible copyrighted materials. I be-lieve that well-thought-out Federal encryption policy is the first of many steps that this Congress can take to facilitate the development of telecomputing technology and the strengthening of domestic computer-related industrie

It concerns me that many communications today are carried over channels that are easily tapped. For example, satellites, cellular telephones, and local area networks are vulnerable to interception. Tapping wireless chan-nels is almost impossible to detect and to stop, and tapping local area networks may be hard to detect or stop as well. verv

Approximately 10 billion words of information in computer-readable form can be scanned for \$1.00 today, allowing intruders, the malicious individuals or groups, or spies to gain access to sensitive information. A skilled person with criminal intentions can easily develop a program that recognizes and records all credit card numbers in a stream of unencrypted data traffic.

member of the House Committee on As a the Judiciary, I am particularly interested in the vulnerabilities and weaknesses that have been raised during hearings on government computer security on the House and Senate. Beginning last year under the direction of then Senator Nunn hearings on Security in Cyberspace were held. It is unprecedented in our Nation's history of technology dissemination that in 5 years the number of Internet users has grown from 1 million to 58 million with an estimated growth rate of 183 percent

per year. This rapid growth, which is creating the interconnection of civilian, Government, private, and foreign computers, is the foundation of the Global Information Infrastructure. The expansion of computer telecommunication technology has created growing efficiencies in information management, the delivery of goods and access to ideas. While accomplishing this end, it has created more vulnerability in networked systems that have not incorporated security measures, both private and overnment

Unfortunately, as the hearings have so effectively pointed out, our Nation's information infrastructure is increasingly vulnerable to computer attack from foreign states, sub-national groups, criminals and vandals. Your own staff's research revealed that computer hackers use different routes of attack, often crossing national boundaries and using private and public computer network systems. I recognize the complex and novel legal and jurisdic tional issues that hinder the detection of and response to computer intrusions. However, I response to computer intrusions. However, I am equally mindful of the need to protect gov-emment systems with technology which is available from the growing problem of un-wanted intrusion or tampering. It is estimated that the private scolor experi-ences \$800 million in losses in a year accord-

ing to a group of security firms who responded to an inquiry for evidence during the Senate's

review of security in cyberspace. The original design of the internet was intended for 256 computer networks in the Unit-ed States. Today, the Internet is a constella-tion of more than 135,000 networks throughout the world and growing. It is estimated that one-fifth of the American population is already connected to the Internet. The number of worldwide Internet users tripled between 1993 and 1995. to somewhere between 40 and 60 million users. There will be a quarter billion regular users by the year 2000. About 100 countries have internet access, with 22 joining in 1995. There were fewer than 30.000 Internet-linked computer networks 2 years ago. Today, there are more than 90,000. In an "Issue Update On Information Security

and Privacy in Network Environments" Dre duced by the now disbanded Office of Technology Assessment under the section on safeguarding unclassified information in Federal Agencies it states that, "The need of congres-sional oversight of federal information security and privacy is even more urgent in time of government reform and streamlining. When the role, size, and structure of the federal agencies are being reexamined, it is important to take into account both the additional information that security and privacy risks incurred in downsizing, and the general lack of commitment on the part of top agency management to safeguarding unclassified information." The Department of Defense's computer sys-

GAO Report on Information Security. The De-fense Information Systems Agency [DISA] estimates that in 1995 as many as 250,000 attacks may have occurred.

The need to provide guidance to agencies regarding computer security and encryption for Government which is reliable and adequate for the information it is intended to protect, is well

the information is a second to provide an escrow I support the need to provide an escrow system for the encryption that is used on Govor desktop personal computers. These machines are not for private use nor should they be considered personal property. They are purchased and maintained at taxpayer ex-pense and the information they contain is our responsibility to protect. This legislation would also provide important

information on the state of encryption abroad. This will allow us to plan better for a stronger economy and heightened security for information tion and systems.

Overall, the goals of encryption and its use in the Federal Government may offer the measure of protection needed to secure computers from unwanted intrusions.

I urge my colleagues to vote in favor of H.R. 1903 Mr. GORDON. Mr. Speaker, I have no

additional requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. SENSENBRENNER, Mr. Speak-

er, I yield back the balance of my time. The SPEAKER and the set of the speakers. er, i yield back the balance of my time. The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LAHGOD). The question is on the mo-tion offered by the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. SENSENBRENNER] that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill. H.R. 1903, as annended.

The question was taken. Mr. CONDIT, Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present. The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursu-ant to clause 5, rule I, and the Chair's

ings on this motion will be postponed. The point of no quorum is considered withdrawn.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on H.R. 1903.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gen-tleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

EARTHQUAKE HAZARDS REDUC-TION ACT OF 1977 AUTHORIZA-TION

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the Senate bill (S. 910) to authorize appropriations for carrying out the Earthquake Hazards Reduction Act of 1977 for fiscal years 1998 and 1999, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read as follows:

S. 910

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Rep-resentatives of the United States of America in

Congress assembled, SECTION 1. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-TIONS. Section 12 of the Earthquake Hazards Re-duction Act of 1977 (42 U.S.C. 7706) is amend-

ed

ed— (1) in subsection (a)(7)— (A) by striking "and" after "1995."; and (B) by inserting before the period at the end the following: ", \$20,900,000 for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1998, and \$21,500,000 for the fiscal year ending Septem-ber 30, 1990; ber 30, 1999":

(2) in subsection (b)—
(A) by striking "and" after "September 30,

(B) by inserting before the period at the (b) by inserting before the period of the fiscal end the following: "; \$52.565.000 for the fiscal year ending September 30. 1998, of which \$3,800,000 shall be used for the Global Seismic ; \$52,565,000 for the fiscal So,000,000 Shart by the determine of the order of the State of the Sta the Agency"; and

(C) by adding at the end the following: 'nOf

(C) by adding at the end the following: "Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated under this subsection, at least-"(1) 35,000,000 of the amount authorized to be appropriated for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1988; and "(2) 85,250,000 of the amount authorized for the fiscal year of the amount authorized for the first sector of the amount authorized for the first sector of the amount authorized for

(1) 35,230,000 or the amount authorized for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1999. shall be used for carrying out a competitive, peer-reviewed program under which the Di-rector, in close coordination with and as a complement to related activities of the Unit-ed States Geological Survey, awards grants to, or enters into cooperative agreements and persons or entities from the academic community and the private sector.";
 (3) in subsection (c)—

(3) In subsection (c)— (A) by striking "and" after "September 30. 1953," and (B) by inserting before the period at the end the following ", (3) \$18,450,000 for engi-neering research and \$11,220,000 for geo-sciences research for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1958, and (4) \$19,00,000 for en-gineering research and \$12,280,000 for geo-sciences research for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1959": and (4) in the last sontence of subsection (d)—

(4) in the last sentence of subsection (d)—
 (A) by striking "and" after "September 30.

 (a) by stirking and area September 30.
 (b) synthesize and area of the period at the end the following: ", \$2,000,000 for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1998, and \$2,060,000 for the fiscal year ending September 30. 1999"

SEC. 2. AUTHORIZATION OF REAL-TIME SEISMIC HAZARD WARNING SYSTEM DEVEL-OPMENT, AND OTHER ACTIVITIES.

(a) AUTOMATIC SEISMIC WARNING SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT .-

(I) DEFINITIONS.—In this section: (A) DIRECTOR.—The term "Director" means the Director of the United States Geological

(B) HIGH-RISK ACTIVITY.—The term "high

(B) HIGH-RISK ACTIVITY.— The term "mgn-risk activity" means an activity that may be adversely affected by a moderate to severe seismic event (as determined by the Direc-tor). The term includes high-speed rail transportation.

(C) REAL-TIME SEISMIC WARNING SYSTEM.— The term "real-time seismic warning sys-tem" means a system that issues warnings in real-time from a network of seismic sen-

in real-time from a network of seismic sen-sors to a set of analysis processors, directly to receivers related to high-risk activities. (2) IN GENERAL—The Director shall con-duct a program to develop a prototype real-time seismic warning system. The Director may enter into such agreements or contracts as may be necessary to carry out the program

(3) UPGRADE OF SEISMIC SENSORS.—In carry-ing out a program under paragraph (2), in order to increase the accuracy and speed of order to increase the accuracy and speed of seismic event analysis to provide for timely warning signals, the Director shall provide for the upgrading of the network of selsmic sensors participating in the prototype to in-crease the capability of the sensors— (A) to measure accurately large magnitude seismic events (as determined by the Direc-tor) and

tor); and

(B) to acquire additional parametric data. (b) to adjust a source and the parameter is data. (d) DEVELOPMENT OF COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTATION INFRASTRUCTURE.—In carrying out a program under paragraph (2), the Di-rector shall develop a communications and computation infrastructure that is nec-

(A) to process the data obtained from the upgraded seismic sensor network referred to

(B) to provide for, and carry out, such com-munications engineering and development as is necessary to facilitate—

(i) the timely flow of data within a real-(i) the timely now of data within a rear-ime seismic hazard warning system; and (ii) the issuance of warnings to receivers elated to high-risk activities. (5) PROCUREMENT OF COMPUTER HARDWARE

AND COMPUTER SOFTWARE.—In carrying out a program under paragraph (2), the Director shall procure such computer hardware and shall procure such computer hardware and computer software as may be necessary to carry out the program. (6) REPORTS ON PROCRESS.— (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the

Director shall prepare and submit to Con-gress a report that contains a plan for imple-menting a real-time seismic hazard warning system

(B) ADDITIONAL REPORTS .--- Not later than 1

system. (B) ADDITIONAL REPORTS.—Not later than 1 year after the date on which the Director submits the report under subparagraph (A), and ennually thereaftar, the Director shall prepare and submit to Congress a report that summarizes the progress of the Director in mplementing the plan referred to in sub-p() AUTHORIZATION OF APFROPMATIONS.—In addition to the amounts made available to the Director under section 12(b) of the Earth-quake Hazards Reduction Act of 1977 (42 U.S.C. 7786(b)), there are authorized to be ap-propriated to the Department of the Interior, to be used by the Director to carry out para-1988 and 1989. (b) SEISMIC MONITORING NETWORKS ASSESS-, τομι το, \$5,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1998 and 1999. (b) SEISMIC MONITORING NETWORKS ASSESS-MENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director shall provide

(i) IN GENERAL. - THE Director shall provide for an assessment of regional seismic mon-itoring networks in the United States. The assessment shall address—

assessment snau address--(A) the need to update the infrastructure used for collecting selsmological data for re-search and monitoring of seismic events in the United Content of Seismic events in the United Content of Seismic events in the United Seismic events in the Uni the United States:

(B) the need for expanding the capability to record strong ground motions, especially for urban area engineering purposes; (C) the need to measure accurately large magnitude seismic events (as determined by

nagnitude seismic events (as determined by he Director); (D) the need to acquire additional parametric data: and

ric data; and (E) projected costs for meeting the needs described in subparagraphs (A) through (D). (2) RESULTS.—The Director shall transmit the results of the assessment conducted under this subsection to Congress not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the Assessment of the Assess this Act

iis Act. (c) EARTH SCIENCE TEACHING MATERIALS.— (1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection: (A) LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY.—The term (A) LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY.—The term

(A) LOCAL EDICATIONAL AGENCY.—The term "local educational agency" has the meaning given that term in section 14101 of the Ele-rentary and Secondary Education Act of 1865 (20 U.S.C. 8801). (B) SCHOOL.—The term "school" means a nonprofit institutional day or residential school that provides education for any of the grades kindergarten through grade 12. (d) TBACHNO MATERIALS.—In a manner con-sistent with the requirement under section Act of 1977 (d2 U.S.C. 7704(b)(d)) and subject to a merit based competitive process, the Di-rector of the National Science Foundation may use funds made available to him or her rector of the National Science Foundation may use funds made available to him or her under section 12(c) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 706(c)) to develop, and make available to schools and local educational agencies for use by schools, at a minimal cost, earth science teaching materials that are designed to meet the needs of elementary and secondary school teachers and students. (d) IMPROVED SEISMIC HAZARD ASSESS-

(1) IN GENERAL.—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the

Document No. 58

HeinOnline -- 4 Bernard D. Reams, Jr., Law of E-SIGN: A Legislative History of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, Public Law No. 106-229 (2000) [ii] 2002