## HEINONLINE

Citation: 6 Bernard D. Reams Jr. & William H. Manz Federal Law A Legislative History of the Telecommunications of 1996 Pub. L. No. 104-104 110 Stat. 56 1996 the Communications Decency Act E1398 1997

Content downloaded/printed from HeinOnline (http://heinonline.org) Wed Mar 20 19:10:16 2013

- -- Your use of this HeinOnline PDF indicates your acceptance of HeinOnline's Terms and Conditions of the license agreement available at http://heinonline.org/HOL/License
- -- The search text of this PDF is generated from uncorrected OCR text.

the my colleagues in the House to join me in hosping and thanking GAP for both its impor-tant-rolls as a defender of our precious envi-BATE tools up it to be present or the process, com-rollment and as an example graseroots orga-nization. As we quickly approach the 21st cen-tury, we must be careful not to longet the im-pact that dedicated includuals can have on Our world. Global Action Plan serves as a perfect reminder to us all of that fact.

NATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS COM-PETITION AND INFORMATION IN-FRASTRUCTURE ACT OF 1994

RPEECH OF

## HON, WILLIAM J. HUGHES OP NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, June 28, 1994

Mr. HUGHES. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 3636, the Telephone/Cable Communications Competition and Infrastructure Act of 1994. I would like to commend my colleagues, Chairman Dingett. Chairman MARKEY and Chairman Brooks for the excellent work they have done with respect to facilitating this measure being brought to the floor for a vote. As a result of their diligence, we have the op-portunity—by passing H.R. 3636—to ensure that America remains on the path toward ex-cellence in the international telecommuni-

Undoubtedly, the technology that American telecommunications companies have developed to date-and have the potential to deoped to date—and have the potential where velop in the future—is tremendous. At this juncture our challenge is to create an environment in which these companies may fourish and achieve even more sophisticated techno-logical advances leading to the establishment

of the national information superhighway.

H.R. 3636 will assist us in facing this chall lenge by promoting the creation of a national communications and information infrastructure. This measure will enable the American tele-This measure will enable the American tele-communications inclusity to remain on the cut-ting edge of the technological edvancements fueling this communications revolution by en-curaging the development of state-of-the-art communication services and technologies through competition. Of equal importance, this bill establishes provisions to saleguard reto-terment and technologies. peyers and competitors from potential anti-competitive abuses and preserves as well as enhances universal service. Essentially, H.R. 3638 will eliminate the line

of business prohibitions that currently ban or limit the ability of telephone companies, cable companies as well as other telecommunication service providers from competing in each ath-

That is, H.R. 3636 will promote competition in the local telephone market by requiring that in the local temperature make by requiring trial local telephone companies allow competitors equal access to their networks. Local tele-phone compenies generally could be required to provide spiede at their facilities for competitors to place equipment with which to connect

the telephone companies' networks.

Moreover, the local telephone compa must ensure that such connections provide full interoperability between their phone system and their competitors systems. The bill also requires long-distance networks and cellular companies to allow other parties to use their

switches and transmission equipment for their ting businesses.

It is important to note that this bill preserves State and local governments' rights to regulate telephone companies to the extent nec for public salety, consumer protection and to ensure that intrastate rates are reasonable. However, these governing bodies would be prevented from imposing any franchise, itcense or other fee that discriminates against potential competitors

One of the most significant aspects of H.R. 3636 is the Federal-State Joint Review Board it establishes to recommend to the Federal Communications Commission [FCC] and the State utility commissions specific action necry to preserve and enhance universal access for consumers. This inint-board will define the nature and extent of services encompassed within a telephone company's univer-sal service obligation. Moreover, the board's review will ensure that as technological inno-vation and competition are introduced into the local telephone market, the policy of universal access to basic telephone service at affordable rates is preserved.

As in the local telephone industry, H.R. 3636 will promote and accelerate competition to the cable television industry by permitting telephone companies to compete in the offering of video programming. Essentially, the bill eliminates the cross-ownership restrictions established in the 1984 Cable Act. Therefore, pursuant to H.R. 3636, local telephone companies—through separate affiliates—will be permitted to provide cable services in their own service areas. This increase in competition will, in turn, provide a strong incentive for the local telephone companies to invest in and upgrade their Information networks

Another safeguard against the potential for anticompetitive behavior is the establishment of the video-platform. Pursuant to H.R. 3636. those telephone companies that offer services in their own service areas would be required to establish a video platform upon which to offer their video programming. Telephone companies, on a nondiscriminatory basis, must allow other providers to offer video programming to subscribers utilizing the same eo platform

I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 3636. This measure is a procompetitive, proconsumer bill which will enable America to remain at the forefront of the rapidly developing information superhighway while ensuring quality and affordable services for American

TRIBUTE TO COL. RONALD J. RAKOWSKY

## HON. STEVEN SCHIFF

OF NEW MEXICO IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, June 30, 1994

Mr. SCHIFF, Mr. Speaker, I would like to bring to your attention today the exemplary work and splendid public service of one of our country's outstanding military men, Col. Ronaid J. Rakowsky, the staff judge advocate for the Air Reserve Personnel Center, Denver, CO. Colonel Rakowsky will be retiring after an especially distinguished military career on Oc-

tober 1, 1994.

Colonel Rakowsky entered active duty August 15, 1970, at MacDill Air Force Base His assignments include Clark AB, Republic of Philippines; Air Force Military Personnel Center, Randotph AFB, TX; March AFB, CA; Chief Personnel Law Branch, General Law Division, Office of the Judge Advocate General; Chief Legislative Division, Office of the Judge Advocate General; Associate Director of Civil Law, Office of the Judge Advocate General; Chief, Preventive Law and Legal Aid Group, Office of the Judge Advocate General; and his current position as Staff Judge Advocate, Air Reserve Personnel Center, which he assumed May 14,

He attained a bachelor of arts decree from Denison University, Granville, OH, in 1966 and a juris doctor in 1969 at Case Western Reserve University School of Law, Cleveland, OH. He is a graduate of the Air War College, the Industrial College of the Armed Forces the Industrial College of the Armed Forces and the Air Command and Staff College. Colonel Rakowsky is admitted to practice before the Supreme Court of the United States, the United States Court of Military Appeals, and the Supreme Courts of Ohio, Florida, and Colonel Supreme Courts of Ohio, Colonel Supreme Courts of Ohio, Colonel Supr orado

Since the spring of 1988, Colonel Rakowsky has been intimately involved in designing, per-fecting, and implementing plans for peacetime training and wartime mobilization of the mobilization assets of the U.S. Air Force, During Operation Desert Shield/Storm, he was instru mental in supplying backfill Air Force Reserve and Air National Guard Judge Advocates and and Air National Guard Judge Advocates and paralegals to active duty Air Force bases in the continental United States whose personnel assets had been projected forward for use in the area of operations for the Persian Guilt conflict. These Reserve and Guard personnel saw to it that quality essential services were available at all Air Force bases during the op-restions. erations

Colonel Rakowsky's military decorations include the Meritorious Service Medal with three oak leaf clusters, the Air Force Commendation Medal, the Air Force Achievement Medal, and the Humanitarian Service Medal with two de-

Mr. Speaker, I ask that you join me, our col-leagues, and Colonel Rakowsky's many friends in saluting the distinguished officer's many years of selfless service to the United States of America, I know our Nation, his wife Marge, his daughter Catherine, and his son Robert, are extremely proud of his accom-plishments. It is fitting that the House of Repesentatives pays tribute to him today

> DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1995

> > SPEECH OF

## HON. RICK LAZIO

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, June 29, 1994

House in Committee of the House on the State of the Union had under consideration the bill (H.R. 4650) making ap-propriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1995, and for other purposes:

Mr. LAZIO. Mr. Chairman, today, we voted on a complex and challenging bill that set funding levels for our Nation's delense. Yet

Document No. 157