HEINONLINE

Citation: 3 Bernard D. Reams Jr. & William H. Manz Federal Law A Legislative History of the Telecommunications of 1996 Pub. L. No. 104-104 110 Stat. 56 1996 the Communications Decency Act S8043 1997

Content downloaded/printed from HeinOnline (http://heinonline.org) Wed Mar 20 15:40:58 2013

- -- Your use of this HeinOnline PDF indicates your acceptance of HeinOnline's Terms and Conditions of the license agreement available at http://heinonline.org/HOL/License
- -- The search text of this PDF is generated from uncorrected OCR text.

shelter, sound amplification devices, and such other equipment as may be required for the event to be carried out under this resolu-tion. The portable shelter shall be approxi-mately 60 feet by 65 feet in size to cover the Commanche helicopter referred to in section 1 and to provide shelter for the public and the technology displays and video presentations associated with the event.

SEC. 4. EVENT PREPARATIONS.

The Joint Venture is authorized to conduct The Joint Venture is authorized to conduct the event to be carried out under this resolution from 8 a.m. to 3 p.m. on June 21, 1985, or on such other date as may be designated under section 1. Preparations for the svent may begin at 1 p.m. on the day before the event and removal of the displays, shelter, and Comanche helicopter referred to in section 1 shall be completed by 6 a.m. on the day following the event.

SEC. & ADDITIONAL ARRANGEMENTS.

The Architect of the Capitol and the Capitol Police Board are authorized to make any such additional arrangements that may be required to carry out the event under this resolution.

SEC. 4. LIMITATION ON REPRESENTATIONS.

The Boeing Company and the United Technology Corporation shall not represent, either directly or indirectly, that this resolution or any activity carried out under this resolution in any way constitutes approval or endorsement by the Federal Government of the Boeing Company or the United Technology Corporation or any product or service offered by the Boeing Company or the United Technology Corporation.

SENATE RESOLUTION 129—TO ELECT KELLY D. JOHNSTON AS SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

Mr. NICKLES (for Mr. DOLE) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

. A RES. 129

Resolved, That Kelly D. Johnston, of Oklahoma, be, and he hereby is, elected Secretary of the Senate beginning June 8, 1995.

SENATE RESOLUTION 130—REL-ATIVE TO THE ELECTION OF THE SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

Mr. NICKLES (for Mr. DOLB) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to: S. RES. 130

Resolved, That the President of the United States be notified of the election of the Hon-orable Kelly D. Johnston, of Oklahoma, as Secretary of the Senate.

SENATE RESOLUTION 131—REL-ATIVE TO THE ELECTION OF THE SECRETARY OF THE SENATE

Mr. NICKLES (for Mr. DOLE) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

8. RES. 131

Resolved, That the House of Representa-tives be notified of the election of the Honor-able Kelly D. Johnston, of Oklahoma, as Sec-retary of the Senate.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED

THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS COM-PETITION AND DEREGULATION ACT OF 1995 COMMUNICATIONS DECENCY ACT OF 1995

DORGAN AMENDMENT NO. 1259

Mr. DORGAN proposed an amendment to the bill (S. 652) to provide for a pro-competitive, deregulatory national policy framework designed to accelerate rapidly private sector deployment of advanced telecommuni-cations and information technologies and services to all Americans by open-ing all telecommunications markets to competition, and for other purposes; as follows:

On line 24 of page 44, strike the word "may" and insert in lieu thereof "shall".

McCAIN AMENDMENT NO. 1260

Mr. McCAIN proposed an amendment to the bill S. 652, supra; as follows:

Mr. McCAIN proposed an amendment to the bill S. 622, supra; as follows:
On page 42, strike out line 23 and all that follows through page 43, line 23, and insert in lieu thereof the following:
"(1) CONGRESSIMAL NOTIFICATION OF UNIVERSAL SERVICE CONTRIBUTIONS.—The Commission may not take action to impose universal service contributions under subsection (c), or take action to increase the amount of such contributions, until—
"(1) the Commission submits to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on Commerce of the House of Representatives a report on the contributions, or increase in such contributions, to be imposed; and "(2) a period of 120 days has elapsed after the date of the submittal of the report.
"(k) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section takes effect on the date of the enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1995, except for subsections (c), (e), (f), (g), and (j), which shall take effect one year after the date of the enactment of that Act."

MCCAIN (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 1261

Mr. McCain (for himself, Mr. Pack-wood, Mr. Craio, Mr. Kyl, Mr. Gramm, Mr. Abraham, Mr. Domenici, Mr. Thom-as, Mr. Kempthenne, and Mr. Burns) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 652, supra; as follows:

On page 90, line 6, after "necessity.", in-sert: "Pull implementation of the checklist found in subsection (b)(2) shall be deemed in nuaus is succession (0x3) anali be deemed in full satisfaction of the public interest, con-venience, and necessity requirement of this subparagraph."

MCCAIN AMENDMENT NO. 1262

Mr. McCAIN proposed an amendment to the bill S. 652, supra; as follows:

Strike section 310 of the Act and renumb the subsequent sections as appropriate.

COHEN (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 1263

Mr. COHEN (for himself, Ms. Snowe, Mr. THURMOND, Mrs. HUTCHINSON, and Mr. LEAHY) proposed an amendment to bill S. 652, supra; as follows:

On page 8, between lines 12 and 13, insert

On page 8, between lines 12 and 13, insert the following:

(15) When devices for achieving access to telecommunications systems have been available directly to consumers on a competitive basis, consumers have enjoyed expanded choice, lower prices, and increased

panded choice, lower prices, and increased innovation.

(16) While recognizing the legitimate interest of multichannel video programming distributors to ensure the delivery of services to authorized recipients only, addressable converter boxes should be available to consumers on a competitive basis. The private sector has the expertise to develop and adopt standards that will ensure competition of these devices. When the private sector fails to develop and adopt such standards, the Federal government may play a role by taking transitional actions to ensure competition.

On page 82, between lines 4 and 5, insert . the following:

SEC. 208. COMPETITIVE AVAILABILITY OF CON-VERTER BOXES.

Part III of title VI (47 U.S.C. 521 et seq.) is amended by inserting after section 624A the following

ILCO. 634B. COMPETITIVE AVAILABILITY OF CON-VERTER BOXES.

"(a) AVAILABILITY.—The Commission shall,

after notice and opportunity for public comafter notice and opportunity for public com-ment, adopt regulations to ensure the com-petitive availability of addressable converter boxes to subscribers of services of multi-channel video programming distributors from manufacturers, retailers, and other vendors that are not telecommunications carriers and not affiliated with providers of

carriers and not affiliated with provincers of telecommunications service. Such regula-tions shall take into account— "(1) the needs of owners and distributors of video programming and information services to ensure system and signal security and prevent their of the programming or serv-

ices; and
"(2) the need to ensure the further deployment of new technology relating to con-

ment of new technology relating to converter boxes.

"(b) TERMINATION OF REQUILATIONS—The regulations adopted pursuant to this section shall provide for the termination of such regulations when the Commission determines that there exists a competitive market for multichannel video programming services and addressable converter boxes among manufacturers, retailers, and other vendors that are not telecommunications carriers and not affiliated with providers of telecommunications service."

DORGAN (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 1264

Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Mr. SIMON, Mr. KERREY, Mr. REID, and Mr. LEAHY) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 652, supra, as follows:

one, outrie, as follows:

On page 82, line 22, beginning with the word "after", delete all that follows through the word "services" on line 2, page 83 and lasert therein the following: "to the extent approved by the Commission and the Attorney General".

On page 88, line 17, after the word "Com-mission", add the words "and Attorney Gen-

mission", add the words "and Attorney General".

On page 89, beginning with the word "before" on line 9, strike all that follows through line 15.

On page 89, line 10, replace "(3)" with "(C)"; after the word "Commission" on line 11, add the words "or Attorney General"; and atter the word "Commission" on line 19, add the words "and Attorney General".

On page 80, after line 13, add the following resears have

DATESTADAS:

"(4) DETERMINATION BY ATTORNEY

"(A) DETERMINATION.—Not later than 90 "(A) DETERMINATION.—Not later than 90 days after receiving an application made under paragraph (1), the Attorney General shall issue a written determination with respect to the authorization for which a Bell operating company or its subsidiary or affiliate has applied. In making such determination, the Attorney General shall review the

whole record.

"(B) APPROVAL.—The Attorney General shall approve the authorization requested in any application submitted under paragraph (1) only to the extent that the Attorney General finds that there is no substantial possieral finds that there is no superanual pusa-bility that such company or its subsidiaries or its affiliates could use monopoly power in a telephone exchange or exchange access service market to impede competition in the service market to impede competition in the interLATA telecommunications service market such company or its subsidiary or affiliate seeks to enter. The Attorney General shall deny the remainder of the requested authorization."

"(C) PUBLICATION.—Not later than 10 days

after issuing a determination under para-graph (4), the Attorney General shall publish the determination in the Federal Register." On page 91, line 1, after the word "Commis-sion" add the words "or the Attorney Gen-

THURMOND (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 1265

Mr. THURMOND (for himself, D'AMATO, and Mr. DeWine) proposed an amendment to amendment No. 1284 proposed by Mr. Dorgan to the bill S. proposed by Mr. 2008 652, supra, as follows:

On page 82, line 23, strike "after" and all that follows through "services," on page 83, line 2, and insert in lieu thereof "to the exline 2, and insert in lieu thereof "to the extent approved by the Commission and the
Attorney General of the United States,".
On page 88, line 17, insert "and the Attorney
General" after "Commission".
On page 89, line 3, insert "and Attorney
General" after "Commission".
On page 89, line 6, strike "shall" and insert
"and the Attorney General shall each".
On page 89, line 6, strike "Before" and all
that follows through page 89, line 15.
On page 89, line 16, insert "BY COMMISSION"
after "APPROVAL".
On page 99, line 6, after "necessity", insert:

after "APPROVAL".

On page 90, line 6, after "necessity", insert:
"In making its determination whether the
requested authorization is consistent with
the public interest, convenience, and necessity, the Commission shall not consider the
effect of such authorization on competition
in any market for which authorization is
sought."

On page 90, between lines 9 and 10, insert the following: "(C) APPROVAL BY ATTORNEY GENERAL.—

The Attorney General may only approve the authorization requested in an application submitted under paragraph (1) if the Attorney General finds that the effect of such auney General inda that the effect of such at-thorization will not substantially lessen competition or tend to create a monopoly in any line of commerce in any section of the country. The Attorney General may approve all or part of the request. If the Attornes General does not approve an application under this subparagraph, the Attorney General eral shall state the basis for the denial of the

on page 90, line 12, strike "shall" and in-sert in lieu thereof "and the Attorney General shall each"

Page 90, line 17, insert "or the Attorney General" after "commission". On page 90, line 19, insert "and the Attor-ney General" after "Commission".

On page 91, line 1; insert "or the Attorney General" before "for judicial review". On page 99, line 15, strike out "Commission authorizes" and insert in lieu thereof "Com-mission and the Attorney General author-

On page 99, line 18, insert "and the Attorney General" after "Commission".

HOLLINGS (AND DASCHLE) AMENDMENT NO. 1266

Mr. HOLLINGS (for himself and Mr. Mr. HOLLINGS (107 Innser and Mr. DASCHLE) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 652, supra, as follows:
On page 53, after line 25, insert the follow-

ING.
SEC. 107. COORDINATION FOR TELECOMMUNI-CATIONS NETWORE-LEVEL INTER-OPERABILITY.

(a) In GENERAL.—To promote nondiscriminatory access to telecommunications networks by the broaders number of users and vendors of communications products and services through—

(1) coordinated telecommunications networks and the coordinated telecommunications networks are considered.

(i) coordinated telecommunications network planning and design by common carriers and other providers of telecommunications services, and
(2) interconnection of telecommunications

networks, and of devices with such networks, to ensure the ability of users and informa-tion providers to seamlessly and trans-parently transmit and receive information between and across telecommunications net

the Commission may participate, in a man-ner consistent with its authority and prac-tice prior to the date of enactment of this Act, in the development by appropriate vol-untary industry standards-setting organiza-

INTARY industry standards-setting organizations to promote beliecommunications network-level interoperability.

(b) DEFINITION OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS
NETWORK-LEVEL INTEROPERABILITY.—As used
in this section, the term "telecommunications network-level interoperability"
means the ability of 2 or more telecommunications networks to communicate and interaction concert with each other to exchange
information without degeneration.

(c) COMMUSSION'S AUTHORITY NOT LIMTIED.—Nothing in this section shall be construed as limiting the existing authority of
the Commission.

struct as limiting the existing authority of the Commission.
On page 66, line 13, strike the closing quotation marks and the second period.
On page 66, between lines 13 and 14, insert the following:
"(6) ACQUISTIONS; JOHN TENTURES; PART-NERSHIPS; JOHN USE OF FACILITIES.—No local

"(A) LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRERS.—No local exchange carrier or any affiliate of such carrier owned by, operated by, controlled by, or under common control with such carrier may purchase or otherwise acquire more than a 10 percent financial interest, or any management interest, in any cable operator providing cable service within the local exchange carrier's telephone service area.

"(B) Cable OPERATORS.—No cable OPERATOR

"(B) CARLE OPERATORS.—No cable operator or affiliate of a cable operator that is owned by, operated by, controlled by, or under common ownership with such cable operator may purchase or otherwise acquire, directly or indirectly, more than a 10 percent financial interest, or any management interest, in any local exchange carrier providing telephone exchange service within such cable operator's franchies area.

"(C) JOINT VENTURE.—A local exchange carrier and a cable operator whose telephone service area and cable franchise area, respectively, are in the same market may not

service area and cable franchise area, respec-tively, are in the same market may not enter into any joint venture or partnership to provide video programming directly to subscribers or to provide telecommunications services within such market.

"(D) Exception.—Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C) of this paragraph, a local exchange carrier (with respect
to a cable system located in its telephone
service area) and a cable operator (with respect to the facilities of a local exchange
carrier used to provide telephone exchange
service in its cable franchise area) may obtain a controlling interest in, management
interest in, or enter into a joint venture or
partnership with such system or facilities to
the extent that such system or facilities
only serve incorporated—"(1) places or territories that have fewer
than 50,000 inhabitants; and
"(1) are outside an urbanized area, as defined by the Bureau of the Cenus.
"(E) Walver.—The Commission may waive
the restrictions of subpargraph (A), (B), or
(C) only if the Commission determines that,
because of the nature of the market served
by the affected cable system or facilities
used to provide telephone exchange service—
"(1) the incumbent cable operator or local
exchange carrier would be subjected to
undue economic distress by the enforcement
of such provisions,
"(11) the system or facilities would not be

of such provisions,
"(ii) the system or facilities would not be economically viable if such provisions were

economically visible if such provisions were enforced, or "(iii) the anticompetitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed in the public interest by the probable effect of the transaction in meeting the conven-ience and needs of the community to be

"(F) JOINT USE.—Notwithstanding subpara-"(F) JOINT USE.—Notwithstanding suppara-graphs (A), (B), and (C), a telecommuni-cations carrier may obtain within such car-rier's telephone service area, with the con-currence of the cable operator on the rates, terms, and conditions, the use of that por-tion of the transmission facilities of such a cable system extending from the last cable system extending from the last multiuser terminal to the premises of the end user in excess of the capacity that the cable operator uses to provide its own cable services. A cable operator that provides acservices. A cable operator that provides access to such portion of its transmission facilities to one telecommunications carrier shall provide nondiscriminatory access to such portion of its transmission facilities to any other telecommunications carrier requesting such access.

"(G) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this paragraph affects the authority of a local franchising authority (in the case of the purchase or acquisition of a cable operator, or a joint venture to provide cable service) or a State Commission (in the case of the acquisition of a local exchange carrier, or a joint one state of the acquisition of a local exchange carrier, or a joint

joint venture to provine active service; or a State Commission (in the case of the acquisition of a local exchange carrier, or a joint venture to provide telephone exchange service) to approve or disapprove a purchase, acquisition, or joint venture."

On page 70, line 7, strike "services," and insert "services provided by cable systems other than small cable systems, determined on a per-channel basis as of June 1, 1955, and redetermined, and adjusted if necessary, every 2 years thereafter."

On page 70, line 21, strike "area." and insert "area, but only if the video programming services offered by the carrier in that area are comparable to the video programming services provided by the unaffiliated cable operator in that area."

On page 79, before line 12, insert the following:

ing:
(3) LOCAL MARKETING AGREEMENT.—Nothing (3) DOCAL MARKETING AGREEMENT.—NORTHING in this Act shall be construed to prohibit the continuation or renewal of any television local marketing agreement that is in effect on the date of enactment of this Act and that is in compliance with the Commission's

that is in compliance with the regulations.

On page 88, line 4, strike "area," and insert "area or until 38 months have passed since the enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1995, whichever is earlier,"

Document No. 29

INTENTIONAL BLANK