

45,646

MEMORIAL

RELATIVE TO THE

INVENTION

OF A

NEW METHOD OF BLEACHING,

SHOWING THE ABSURDITY OF ANY

PRETENSIONS TO AN EXCLUSIVE

PRIVILEGE FOR USING IT

IN THE

PAPER MANUFACTURE.

[By Robert Kerr, Surgeon]

[A Letter Patent of 1877, & 1892
C. H. Taylor]

EDINBURGH:

PRINTED BY WILLIAM SMELLIE;

M, DCC, XCII.



M E M O I R A L, &c:

12. 6. 12.

SOME time in the year 1774, Mr Scheele, a celebrated German chemist established in Sweden, communicated to the Swedish Academy of Sciences an Essay on Manganese, containing a numerous series of experiments, intended to investigate the nature and properties of that substance. Among these experiments were several which pointed out a new state of the muriatic acid, or the acid distilled from sea salt, otherwise known under the name of the Acid or Spirit of Sea Salt.

This new, or hitherto unknown, state of the muriatic acid, was produced by Mr Scheele, in consequence of putting the said acid into a retort or distilling vessel; along with the above


A

mentioned

mentioned substance called Manganese; and distilling over the acid into a proper receiver; it was found to have changed its nature and properties in a very remarkable manner, while, at the same time, the manganese remaining in the retort had suffered a very material alteration.

To the new state of the acid thus produced, in consequence of certain theoretic ideas which Mr Scheele entertained respecting the mutual action of the original muriatic acid and the manganese on each other during the process of distillation, Mr Scheele gave the name of De-phlogisticated Muriatic Acid. Since the time of this original discovery, in consequence of certain changes which have occurred in the theory or philosophy of chemistry, this new state of the acid of sea salt has been called the Oxygenated Muriatic Acid.

Among many other properties of this new discovered acid, or new state of an acid long known,



known, and communicated by Mr Scheele in the above mentioned Essay, this was the most remarkable, that it destroyed the colour of every vegetable substance which was exposed to its action; or, in other words, it *bleached* them; or, in the language of the dyers, it *discharged* their colours; that is to say, whatever happened to be the colour of any vegetable body that was submitted to the action of the oxygenated or dephlogisticated muriatic acid, it always became *white*, or lost its colouring matter.

It may be difficult to ascertain the precise time at which this great discovery by Mr Scheele was published in Sweden; but it is certain that it was communicated to the public in Britain in the year 1786; for, in that year, Dr Bedoes, now Professor of Chemistry in the University of Oxford, published an English translation of the Chemical Essays of Mr Scheele; in which publication the Essay on Manganese, containing the above discovery, is included. Dr Bedoes dates
his

his translation on the 12th of February 1786, at Edinburgh. Thus, in the year 1786 at least, the power of the oxygenated or dephlogisticated muriatic acid to bleach or whiten vegetable substances, or to discharge or decompose their colours, was made known to the chemists of Britain.

But this discovery was known earlier even by many in Britain, through the medium of Crell's Chemical Journal, a celebrated periodical publication at Berlin, and of the Journal de Physique, a no less famous periodical work which is published at Paris. The above periodical publications give regular information of all chemical discoveries as early as possible; and both of them are regularly procured from the Continent by many in Britain, by individuals for the satisfaction of their own curiosity, as well as by societies and public libraries. Neither of these works being at present before the writer of this Memorial, the precise period at which the discovery,

discovery, of the bleaching quality of the oxygenated or dephlogisticated muriatic acid, was communicated through them to the public, cannot be readily ascertained; but it must have been previous to the translation of Scheele's Effays by Dr Bedoes, as he mentions them both in his preface as having been of considerable assistance to him in clearing up some difficult passages in the German translation from which his English version was made. These chemical essays of Mr Scheele had likewise been translated into French by Mr de Morveau, previous to the publication of the translation into English by Dr Bedoes.

Thus in the year 1786, and even earlier, the discovery of the property of oxygenated muriatic acid, to bleach or whiten all kinds of vegetable coloured substances, had been communicated to the public in Swedish, German, French, and English versions of Mr Scheele's Effays, and in a great number of foreign Journals, or periodical

odical-literary and physical intelligencers ; for these, which are published at stated intervals in all the great cities on the Continent of Europe, regularly copy or extract from each other.

Dr Black, our celebrated Professor of Chemistry in the University of Edinburgh, began to show experiments with the oxygenated muriatic acid, in his academical course of the winter 1789-90; he submitted various vegetable substances, both in their natural colours, and coloured or dyed artificially, to the action of the acid; such as unbleached yarn, pieces of paper stained with different vegetable colours, brown paper, the leaves of books which had become yellow or brown with age, &c.; and all of these were more or less bleached or whitened, in proportion to the strength of the acid applied. During that course of lectures, and the two subsequent sessions of the Edinburgh University, he has shewn these effects of the oxygenated muriatic acid to many hundred students, collected
from

from almost every nation in Europe, and from various transatlantic countries; so that, even supposing the before mentioned publications never to have been made, or that they never had been read, the knowledge of the bleaching property of the oxygenated muriatic acid must long ere now have been universally spread in every country on the earth where chemistry is cultivated.

Mr Berthollet, a celebrated chemist in France, and one of the members of the Academy of Sciences at Paris, appears to have been the first who thought of rendering the above recited discovery subservient to the purposes of manufacture, or, at least, who had enlargement of mind sufficient to communicate his knowledge and experiments on that subject to the world. He, a long while ago, turned his attention very particularly to investigate the nature and properties of the oxygenated muriatic acid; and instituted a great number of experiments for that purpose,

purpose, both in a philosophical and economical point of view. The philosophical discussions on this subject were published by him in the collections of the Academy of Sciences for 1785, and several following years; and in the *Journal de Physique* for June 1785, and August 1786. These contain a vast fund of information, even on the application of the oxygenated muriatic acid to the uses of the manufacturer, for bleaching all kinds of coloured vegetable substances, but so much blended with theoretic or philosophical investigations as to be much beyond the reach of the generality of manufacturers. To render his labours more generally useful, he published, in the *Annales de Chimie* for 1789, the result of all his experiments, with comprehensive directions for preparing and using the oxygenated muriatic acid, in every possible case where colours were to be discharged from any kind of vegetable substance whatever, and containing information on a
variety

variety of other circumstances necessary or useful for accelerating the process of bleaching, or for perfecting the whiteness of the bleached substances.

The title of this Essay, which is calculated entirely for the use of manufacturers by being divested of theoretic discussions, is

“ Description du blanchiment des toiles et
 “ des fils par l’acid muriatique oxygéné, et
 “ de quelques autres propriétés de cette liqueur
 “ relatives aux arts ;” or

“ Method of bleaching linen or cotton
 “ cloths, threads, and yarns, by means of oxy-
 “ genated muriatic acid, and of some other
 “ properties of that liquor which may be use-
 “ ful in manufactures.”

An English translation of the above mentioned Essay was published at Edinburgh, in May 1790, by the writer of this memorial ; and the sale was so rapid, as to occasion a second edition in February 1791. To both of these edi-

tions the Translator added, from the *Annales de Chimie* of the same year 1789, an account of some experiments by Mr Chaptal, another French chemist; which account is extracted from a more extended Essay, intended for publication in the *Memoirs of the Academy of Sciences* for 1787: In these experiments, among many other applications of the oxygenated muriatic acid to purposes useful in the economical arts, Mr Chaptal gives information of having bleached or whitened coarse rags used by the paper-makers, so as greatly to improve the quality of the paper into which they were afterwards manufactured.

This translation of the New Method of Bleaching all kinds of vegetable substances, by means of oxygenated muriatic acid, besides the ordinary dissemination by sale both in Scotland and England, was distributed gratis among the bleachers of Scotland by the Board of Trustees, and in Ireland by the Linen Board.

Immediately



Immediately after the publication of this translation of the New Method of Bleaching, the Translator had several conversations with some of the Paper-makers near Edinburgh; and offered to superintend the experiment at any of the mills, provided they would bear all the expence of the necessary implements and ingredients. They seemed very well disposed to countenance the proposed improvement; but, as the Translator was never formally empowered to give orders for constructing the apparatus, he did not think himself sufficiently warranted to incur any expence for others; and there, so far as he knew, the matter rested. One gentleman in the paper trade, however, Mr Simpson, was more sanguine in his views; for, immediately after the appearance of the Translation of the New Method of Bleaching, Mr Simpson ordered a quantity of the oxygenated muriatic acid to be prepared for his use by a
chemist

chemist in Edinburgh, in order directly to institute experiments on the subject: Mr Simpson, however, was disappointed by the chemist to whom he applied, who delayed from time to time on various pretences, furnishing him with the acid necessary for his experiments: Mr Simpson, by this means, was very long prevented from carrying his intended experiments into execution; and, at last, having procured some of the bleaching liquor, did actually bleach or whiten some paper stuff by means of the oxygenated muriatic acid, but not before Messrs Taylors had obtained their letters patent for excluding all others from using an invention published to the world many years before.

Some years before the publication of the Translation of the Essay on the New Method of Bleaching, Mr M'Whirter, an ingenious and experienced bleacher, at Inglis-Green near Edinburgh, having received information of the method

of

of bleaching with oxygenated muriatic acid, made application to Mr Willis, a chemist of reputation at London, for instructions in the preparation and use of the oxygenated muriatic acid, and paid a handsome sum for the same: Since that time, he has been in the constant practice of bleaching a great variety of stuffs, threads, and yarns, both of linen and cotton, by this new method.

So much of the history of the discovery and employment of the oxygenated muriatic acid, seemed necessary to shew clearly, that its property of bleaching all kinds of vegetable substances, or of discharging all sorts of colours from these, and rendering them white, has been perfectly familiar to all the chemists of Europe for many years; and even that its economical application to the purposes of various manufactures has been completely in the possession of the public, and used as a commonly known process by many manufacturers; and thereby

to

to evince the absurdity of any attempt to appropriate this as a new invention or discovery.

Yet, notwithstanding of the notorious publicity of the properties of oxygenated muriatic acid for bleaching all kinds of vegetable substances, Messrs Clement and George Taylors of Maidstone in Kent, have had the effrontery to take out letters patent under the Great Seals, both of England and Scotland, for the exclusive use of the oxygenated muriatic acid in bleaching or whitening linens and cottons, in the various stages of the paper manufacture ; thus falsely arrogating to themselves the merit of being inventors of a chemical substance or composition, which has been long known to chemists as the discovery of Mr Scheele of Sweden, and of an economical process for the application of that discovery to the service of manufactures, which has been long published by Mr Berthollet ; and of which even the particular application to the paper manufacture

nufacture has been long communicated to the public by Mr. Chaptal.

To give a precise idea of the shallowness of the pretences of the Messrs Taylors for being considered, even in the smallest degree, as having any right or title to the invention in question, even in its most minute circumstances, a short comparison is here subjoined of the original process, as published in 1789 by Mr Berthollet, and translated into English, and published at Edinburgh, with that of the Messrs Taylors, as contained in the specification of their patent, recorded on the 27th of July 1792, in the Chancery of Scotland: They are both abridged, it is true; but if any doubt remains, the Translation of the New Method of Bleaching may be compared with a copy of the specification. It may be necessary to premise, that, in both, there are two distinct steps or operations directed; one is the preparation of the oxygenated muriatic acid, or bleaching liquor, and
its

its application to the substance to be bleached ;
 the second is the preparation and employment
 of an alkaline liquor, or ley, which is found to
 be conducive to the expedition and perfection
 of the whitening process produced by the par-
 ticular bleaching liquor.

BERTHOLLET'S PREPARATION OF THE BLEACHING LIQUOR.

“ Take six ounces of manganese, and sixteen
 “ ounces of sea salt, both reduced to a fine
 “ powder ; mix these accurately, and intro-
 “ duce them into a retort or distilling vessel :
 “ Then take twelve ounces of oil of vitriol, and
 “ eight ounces of water, mixed together, and al-
 “ lowed to cool ; add these to the other ingredi-
 “ ents in the retort ; and connect the retort with
 “ a cask or receiver, capable of holding twenty
 “ seven gallons and a half of water, but only
 “ containing twenty five gallons, which is to
 “ be

“ be impregnated with the gas or vapour of
 “ the oxygenated muriatic acid, and proceed
 “ to distillation, first without and afterwards
 “ with a fire gradually raised till the whole acid
 “ comes over.

MESSRS TAYLORS PREPARATION
 OF THE SAME.

“ Take sixteen pounds of common salt, eight
 “ pounds of manganese, and twelve pounds of
 “ oil of vitriol; dry the salt, and pound and
 “ sift it fine, and pound or grind the manga-
 “ nese in a similar manner; then mix them
 “ thoroughly, and put them into a retort or
 “ retorts, or other proper distilling vessel; add
 “ thereto the oil of vitriol; and immediately
 “ thereafter, put to these ingredients six pounds
 “ of cold water: Adapt the neck of the re-
 “ tort or retorts to a wooden receiver or re-
 “ ceivers, capable of holding two hundred and

“eighty gallons of water, but containing only
 “two hundred gallons; then light a fire under
 “the retort and proceed to distillation.”

The various steps in the above two processes are so precisely similar to each other, as almost to induce a belief, that the one must necessarily have been copied from the other, or at least, that both must have been transcribed from one whose date was prior to either; but this very singular coincidence will appear still more striking and satisfactory, by reducing the two receipts to a comparative table of equal parts, thus:

	<i>Berthollet.</i>	<i>Taylor.</i>
Manganese	6	8
Sea Salt	16	16
Vitriol	12	12
Water	8	6

In the two receipts, the two active ingredients, which are always uniform in their qualities, viz. salt and oil of vitriol, are both prescribed exactly in the same proportions: The proportion of the manganese, as directed in the two receipts, indeed differs; but that substance is universally known to vary exceedingly in its strength or purity; and, therefore, its proper proportion must be regulated, by actual experiment, for each new cargo that is procured by the operator. Mr Berthollet is well aware of this circumstance; and accordingly, after informing us *, that, by repeated trials, he had found the proportions as above directed the most convenient and proper when the manganese is very pure; he adds †, that, when this is not the case, its quantity must be increased in proportion to its impurity; and he gives very excellent instructions for assisting the judgment of the operator on this subject, which are not

at

* New Method, p. 41.

† Ditto, p. 42.

at all necessary to be here taken notice of; but, by the by, it would have been highly becoming in the Messrs Taylors, when narrating the necessary steps for properly conducting the process of their *new invention*, to have instructed the public in all the minutiae requisite for using their *invention* after the expiry of their privilege; as by law, it is made a condition with *inventors*, when obtaining a patent, to lodge a perfect specification of their *invention*, expressly for that purpose.

The quantity of water added, in the one case, to the oil of vitriol before it is put into the retort, and, in the other case, to the whole ingredients after they have been put in, is somewhat different in the two receipts; but then, as the only purpose of the water is to dilute the ingredients, to prevent them from growing dry, and to assist their mutual action on each other; this quantity must be in a great degree arbitrary, or regulated by the opinion and experience
of



of the operators : Mr Berthollet, however, even in this trivial circumstance, does not leave the manufacturers intirely at the mercy of the Messrs Taylors, but gives all necessary latitude, by saying*, that it is requisite to employ a larger or a smaller quantity of water than is directed in the receipt, according to circumstances which he explains with great propriety. Here, again, Messrs Taylors leave the public in the dark, by permitting such as may hereafter trust to their directions to blunder on in all circumstances with the same specific quantity of water.

There is, however, one very material difference, between the two receipts, which is in the quantity of water directed to be put into the receiver for being impregnated with the vapour of the oxygenated muriatic acid : In Mr Berthollet's book †, twenty five gallons are directed to be employed along with the quantities of the chemical ingredients prescribed,

* New Method, p. 44.

† Ditto, p. 47.

ed, and by Messrs Taylors specification of their *invention*, two hundred gallons are ordered. When these are reduced to proportional parts, as has been already done for comparing the proportions of the other ingredients, it will be found, that Mr Berthollet impregnates twice as much water with the same quantity of ingredients as is done by the Messrs Taylors: These gentlemen, however, direct, in a subsequent part of the specification of their *invention*, that one hundred gallons of water be afterwards added to the bleaching liquor, when applied for the purpose of whitening the paper stuff, by which means their bleaching liquor, being reduced one third of its strength, becomes in the proportion of three to four, in its relative quantity of water, when compared to that of Berthollet; or, in other words, the latter will be one fourth weaker, when applied to use, than the former. This is supposing all other circumstances in the operation exactly similar,

similar, which is by no means the case: For, as Mr Berthollet uses and directs smaller and more accurate vessels than those employed by the Messrs Taylors, much less of the fumes or vapours of the oxygenated muriatic acid will necessarily be dissipated or lost, when the process is conducted according to the plan of Mr Berthollet, than when the same operation is performed according to the directions of the Messrs Taylors. Mr Berthollet *, however, permits his bleaching liquor to be made of various strengths, by using either a smaller or larger proportion of water in the receiver, or a smaller or larger proportion of the chemical ingredients in the retort. On this particular part of the subject, it may be observed, that when once any chemical substance has got fairly into the possession of the public, every person must necessarily have a right to use it of any strength that suits his conveniency or pleasure. Oil of vitriol, diluted

with

* New Method, p. 54.

with water, is at present used by all bleachers in certain parts of the process of bleaching; but each bleacher employs it in that degree of dilution which best answers his purpose; and it is presumed no person could possibly acquire any exclusive right to its use, by employing it in any particular state of dilution, even by means of letters patent.

Another chemical liquor is recommended for use in the process of the New Method of Bleaching, both by Mr Berthollet and the Messrs Taylors; this is alkaline ley, or a solution of some alkaline salt in water: But, as this substance has been many years employed by all bleachers, both in its mild state, and as rendered caustic by the addition of quicklime, it is not at all necessary to take up time in proving, that here Messrs Taylors have not the smallest possible pretence for being considered as *inventors*. The first mention of this substance is by King Solomon, who certainly never gave letters patent to

the

the Messrs Taylors for its exclusive use; and the employment of it in the caustic state was long ago recommended to bleachers by Dr Black or Dr Home of Edinburgh, though many bleachers still prefer using it without the addition of lime.

There is one circumstance in the specification of Messrs Taylors *invention* which is not directed by Mr Berthollet; this is the particular form or construction of the vessel in which the goods to be bleached or whitened are to be submitted to the action of the oxygenated muriatic acid, or bleaching liquor. Mr Berthollet only mentions *proper vessels* in general, leaving it to the judgment of each manufacturer to employ any old vessel, or to contrive any new form, as may best suit the particular purpose or conveniency of his manufacture or situation. Messrs Taylors, however, have chosen to appropriate a very old species of vessel for their use; and have inserted its description, accompanied with a

D

drawing,

drawing, in the specification of their admirable invention. This vessel is neither more nor less than the ancient barrel *churn*, of large dimensions indeed, but still actually a *churn*; having the same rotatory motion, and the same longitudinal shelves to break and agitate its contents, which, from time immemorial, have been used in the common churn by all dairy-maids. Messrs Taylors, indeed, alter a little of its accustomed form, making it longer in proportion, and more nearly cylindrical; they add likewise a little, by ranging a number of pegs along each projecting shelf, to assist in separating and mixing the included substances. If these trifling alterations give them a legal property in the churn so altered or improved, they are heartily welcome to the *invention*; nay, even may keep the churn altogether to themselves, so far as the bleaching of paper stuff is concerned; for the writer of this Memorial has found by experience, that paper stuff can be extremely well bleached by

means

means of oxygenated muriatic acid, without any
 churn whatever; at least, if he used any thing
 like a *churn* in his operations, it was the stand-
 ing, not the barrel churn.

From what has been premised, there cannot
 remain the least ground for supposing that the
 Messrs Taylors have any right to be considered
 as the *inventors* either of the oxygenated muri-
 atic acid, or of its application generally to the
 purposes of bleaching or whitening all kinds of
 vegetable substances whatever, not even to its
 particular application to the paper manufactory.
 Mr Scheele of Sweden is decidedly the *inventor*
 of the oxygenated muriatic acid; Mr Berthollet
 of France is clearly the first publisher of the
 application of that *invention* generally to all
 purposes of bleaching or whitening in manufac-
 tures; and Mr Chaptal, likewise of France,
 without any doubt, particularly employed the
invention in the paper manufactory. It remains,
 therefore, to the Messrs Taylors, since they
 claim

claim the merit of *invention* in this business, to be pronounced the *inventors* of the barrel churn filled with rows of wooden pegs.

They, it is true, first mention the particular application of this process in one step of the paper manufacture, after the rags have been reduced to what is called half-stuff; it remains, therefore, to counsel learned in the law to pronounce whether the general possession of any *invention* can be taken out of the hands of the public by any minutely particular application. At present, it is universally common to bleach by the old and new methods, and even by both combined, linen and cotton, either in the form of cloth, thread, or yarn. There can be no doubt of the general privilege to bleach these substances, whether they be new or old, whole or in pieces; nay, Mr Berthollet's words are*,

“ When vegetable colours are immersed in oxygenated muriatic acid liquor, they are destroyed

* New Method, p. 21.



“stroyed more or less completely, and quicker
“or slower, according to circumstances.” Here
the *invention* is so extremely general, as neces-
sarily to include all particulars, from the origi-
nal state of flax and cotton, as they come from
the hands of nature, through all their various
stages of manufacture, upwards to that of the
most perfect cloth, either green, printed, or
dyed; and back again through every stage of
progression to paper, and even afterwards.

A case, considerably in point, occurs to the
remembrance of the writer of this Memorial.
Oil of vitriol had long been prepared by bur-
ning sulphur in glass vessels, which are liable to
many accidents: A chemist discovered, that
vessels made of lead would answer much better,
and took a patent for the *invention*; but other
chemists, who had long known the use of lead-
en vessels, generally in chemistry, though not
particularly in the preparation of the oil of vi-
triol, got the exclusive privilege set aside.

The

The late Sir Richard Arkwright purchased a machine for spinning cotton from one who had really *invented* it: He took a patent, which was set aside, because the patentee was not the real inventor. These are only adduced as hints.

This Memorial can hardly be better concluded than by the following quotations from Berthollets New Method of Bleaching; and from the Translators preface. “ One of the original
 “ establishers of the Javelle process (*inventors*, it
 “ is to be noted, of Mr Berthollets *invention*)
 “ having gone over to England, has had the
 “ *effrontery* to demand an exclusive privilege for
 “ the preparation and sale of the Javelle liquor,
 “ as a new invention of his own *.”—“ Though,
 “ in reality, discovered by Mr Berthollet, a celebrated chemical philosopher at Paris, several
 “ persons in France, and some in England, have
 “ endeavoured to avail themselves of the discovery, by spreading false ideas of the process;
 “ and,

* New Method, p. 86.

“ and, in the latter country, have even assumed
“ the merit of *inventors*, to secure profit to them-
“ selves, under the sanction of exclusive privi-
“ leges surreptitiously obtained *.”

* Preface, p. xi.

APPEN.



A P P E N D I X.

THE Paper Makers of the neighbourhood of Edinburgh, having seen it their interest to try how far the New Method of Bleaching was calculated to be of service to their manufacture, but finding the patent of the Messrs Taylors in their way, held several meetings this last autumn to concert general measures, for trying the experiments, and for consulting how to avoid the influence of an exclusive privilege, which seemed calculated to preclude them from using a process that had long been fully given to the world in all its parts, and in the most public manner. At these meetings the writer of this Memorial was requested

E

to

to attend; and, as being more fully acquainted with the history of the discovery than their agent could possibly be supposed, and more versant in the chemical nature of the process than any of the gentlemen concerned in the trade, he was requested to draw up a Memorial on the subject for the information of counsel, and to superintend a set of experiments on the practicability and efficacy of the process.

The foregoing Memorial was, for the former of these purposes, drawn up, hastily, indeed, as to its language and arrangement, but with every possible attention to accuracy of fact; and it is now published at the desire of the gentlemen concerned, for the information of the trade in general, both in Scotland and in England; for, from the results of the experiments, it seems to be of infinite consequence to the business of the paper manufacture, that the general right should be fully ascertained.

The

The experiments which have been made on the efficacy and expediency of the process, are hitherto only completely satisfactory on the former head of inquiry. A considerable quantity of coarse rags, both in their original state, and reduced to pulp, were lately whitened by the Memorialist, at least under his immediate inspection; and the paper on which the Memorial is printed, is given as a convincing proof of the power of the oxygenated muriatic acid, for meliorating the colour and even fineness of paper rags and stuff. The original rags were such as are usually employed for manufacturing into Bible or Tea Crown Paper, exactly such as this Appendix is printed on; and these very rags and stuff, after the bleaching process, were manufactured into the individual paper which is used in printing the Memorial itself. It is necessary, however, to remark, that these experiments were made under every circumstance of disadvantage and inconvenience: The only apparatus

paratus which could be procured for the chemical part of the process, was vastly too large and unmanageable; and the vessels employed for submitting the rags and stuff to the action of the oxygenated muriatic acid, were open, and every way ill calculated for the purpose. These difficulties and inconveniencies will now be obviated, as it is determined to carry on the trials, at a Paper-mill near Edinburgh, with a chemical apparatus, and other vessels, calculated entirely for answering the end in view, by the most convenient and frugal means. In this way, the efficacy will be absolutely ascertained, and the expence, which could not be even guessed at in the experiments yet made, will be reduced to calculation. It is not to be concealed, however, that, even with all the precautions which can possibly be taken at first, various circumstances of imperfection must necessarily remain, to be removed by means of farther experience, both in the perfection of the bleaching process,

process, and the economy of its application to use.

As the Memorial was intended alone for the assistance of counsel, in judging of the chemical part of the matter in dispute; and, as its present publication is meant, in a great degree, for the information of the gentlemen in the paper manufacture, the following short general observations are here subjoined.

In the very outset of the patent, the Messrs Taylors are represented as having,—“ by means
 “ of great study, and much expence, discovered
 “ a New Method of decomposing and removing
 “ all sorts of colours in linens and cottons, and
 “ for whitening all other kinds of linens and
 “ cottons, in different stages of the paper manufactory :” —And, immediately after, they are declared—“ to be the first and true inventors of the same, which has never been practised by any other person or persons whatsoever, as they really believe and understand.”

After

After the clear history of the real discovery, and the comparison between the true invention, as published by Mr Berthollet, with the falsely stiled *new invention* for which the patent has been granted, as already given in the body of the Memorial, the only observations that seem necessary are the few following short extracts from the opinions of the learned Counsel consulted by the Paper Makers.

The Dean of Faculty, in his opinion, has the following:—“ In the present case, so far as I
 “ can pretend to judge of chemical processes,
 “ the preparation and process described in the
 “ specification, is the very same, in every essen-
 “ tial particular, with the invention of Mr
 “ Scheele, recommended to the use of manu-
 “ facturers in general by Mr Berthollet, and
 “ actually employed for the purpose of whiten-
 “ ing rags used in the paper manufacture by
 “ Mr Chaptal, long before the date of these
 “ letters patent; and therefore the allegation

“ on which Messrs Taylors obtained their pa-
 “ tent, viz. that they had, with much study,
 “ &c. is utterly false; and, consequently, the
 “ patent which gives them the exclusive right
 “ of using and vending the said invention with-
 “ in Scotland is null and void; it being ex-
 “ pressly declared so in the patent itself, if the
 “ invention, as to its use and practice, is not a
 “ new invention, or was not discovered by the
 “ patentees.”

Mr Wight, formerly Solicitor-General, says;

—“ It seems to me perfectly clear, that the
 “ preparation and process, described in the spe-
 “ cification given in by Messrs Taylors relative
 “ to their patent, differs in no material point
 “ from that which appears to have been disco-
 “ vered by the chemists mentioned in the que-
 “ ry: But, if this be so, it necessarily follows,
 “ even from the conditions under which the
 “ letters patent were given to Messrs Taylors,
 “ that they have no title to be protected in the
 “ exclusive

“ exclusive benefit, in order to obtain which;
 “ they, under false pretences, applied for and
 “ procured the letters patent.”—

Mr Rolland, after a very accurate history of the law of Patent Privileges, proceeds,—“ It
 “ appears to me clear, from the facts stated in
 “ the Memorial, that the Messrs Taylors can
 “ not be considered as the inventors of the
 “ subject of the patent. The composition;
 “ with its general property of destroying the
 “ colour of every vegetable substance,—its use
 “ in manufactures in general,—and in parti-
 “ cular in the making of paper,—had not only
 “ been discovered, but made public by others,
 “ and was in the possession both of chymists
 “ and manufacturers before the patent was ob-
 “ tained by the Messrs Taylors. These were the
 “ essential parts of the discovery; and I do not
 “ think that a small variation can intitle the
 “ Messrs Taylors to the characters of being the
 “ inventors, or, on that footing, to obtain or

“ to

to avail themselves of a patent conferring upon them the exclusive right to the use of this composition. There cannot, in my apprehension, be any doubt, that the memorialists are, notwithstanding the patent, in perfect safety in preparing the oxygenated muriatic acid, according to the prescription of Berthollet, and applying it for discharging the colours, &c.

The Solicitor-General has likewise been consulted in this affair; but, owing to pressure of business, his formal opinion has not been yet received; it is perfectly known, however, to coincide in general principles with those already given by the other three eminent council who have already, without concert, given their decided opinions against the validity of the patent granted to the Messrs Taylors. This, in one of the opinions, is declared to have been obtained by *subreption* and *obreption*; a phrase in the law language of Scotland, which signifies any

act or deed having been procured from the Crown by surprise and imposture.

Notwithstanding of this very clear state of the total want of any right in the Messrs Taylors to an exclusive privilege, they have very modestly given in proposals to the trade, for communicating the benefits of their patent, at the exceedingly moderate price of one hundred pounds *per annum*, during the term of the patent, or five hundred pounds of absolute purchase, for each vat which may be disposed to adopt their wonderful *invention*. So far as can be learnt, there are about seventy vats in Scotland; and supposing only one half of these to comply with the terms of the Messrs Taylors, they would thus draw a revenue of 3500 l. *per annum*, for fourteen years, or a round sum at once of 17,500, from the Scotch manufacturers of paper: It is believed, that the English paper trade employs about a thousand vats; and, on the same supposition that only a half should make use of the
offered

offered privilege, England would pay to the Messrs Taylors 50,000*l.* per annum, or the very handsome purchase of 250,000*l.* Should either of these modes of purchase take place, the Messrs Taylors will certainly have great reason to rejoice in the success of their ingenuity, in appropriating the invention of others to their own emolument; and Mr Berthollet, the real inventor of the process, as applicable to manufactures in general, and Mr Chaptal who, so far as is known, first applied it to the use of the paper manufacture, may learn to sing the verses commonly attributed to Virgil,

Sic vos non vobis—

F I N I S

12. 6. 12.