United States District Court, S.D. California.

LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC,

Plaintiff.

v.

GATEWAY, INC. and Gateway Country Stores LLC; and, Microsoft Corporation; and, Dell, Inc, Defendants.

Civil Nos. 02CV2060-B(LAB), 03CV0699-B(LAB), 03CV1108-B(LAB)

Aug. 21, 2006.

ORDER CONSTRUING CLAIMS FOR UNITED STATES PATENT NUMBER RE 39,080

RUDI M. BREWSTER, Senior District Judge.

Before the Court is the matter of claims construction for U.S. Patent Number RE 39,080 ("the Johnston '080 Reissue Patent") in the above titled cases for patent infringement. FN1 Pursuant to Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc., 517 U.S. 370 (1996), the Court conducted an initial Markman hearing regarding construction of the disputed claim terms for U.S. Patent Number 5,627,938 ("the Johnston "8 Patent") on March 23-25, 2004. At that time the Court was informed that the Johnston '938 Patent was in the process of being reissued by the Patent and Trademark Office. The Johnston '938 Patent was finally reissued as the Johnston '080 Reissue Patent on April 25, 2006. There were no changes in the claims or specification of the '080 Reissue Patent. The Court held an additional Markman hearing on August 17, 2006 to determine what effect the additional prosecution history of the '080 Reissue Patent might have on the Court's previous claim construction. Plaintiff Lucent Technologies, Inc. ("Lucent") was represented by the Kirkland & Ellis law firm and Defendant Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft") was represented by the law firm of Fish and Richardson.

FN1. Lucent originally filed two separate patent infringement actions, one against Defendant Gateway (02CV2060), and a second against Defendant Dell (03CV1108). Microsoft intervened in the action filed by Lucent against Gateway. Microsoft also filed a declaratory judgment action against Lucent (03CV0699) and Lucent filed counterclaims for patent infringement against Microsoft in that action. On July 7, 2003, the Court entered an order consolidating these three cases. There are a total of 15 different patents involved in these three cases collectively.

Through these Markman hearings the Court, with the assistance of the parties, prepared jury instructions interpreting the pertinent language for all claim terms at issue in the Johnston '080 Reissue Patent. Additionally, the Court and the parties prepared a "case glossary" for terms found in the claims and the specification for the Johnston '080 Reissue Patent, considered to be technical in nature and which a jury of laypersons would not understand clearly without specific definition.

After careful consideration of the parties' arguments and the applicable statues and case law, the Court **HEREBY CONSTRUES** all claim terms in dispute in the Johnston '080 Reissue Patent and **ISSUES** the relevant jury instructions as written in exhibit A, attached hereto. Further, the Court **HEREBY DEFINES** all pertinent technical terms as written in exhibit B, attached hereto.

IT IS SO ORDERED

VERBATIM CLAIM LANGUAGE	COURT'S CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	
Claim 1		
A method of coding an audio signal comprising:	A method of coding an <i>audio signal</i> [<i>sound signal</i>] comprising:	
(a) converting a time domain	(a) converting a <i>time domain representation of the audio signal</i> [
representation of the audio signal	representation of the audio signal over time] into a frequency domain	
into a frequency domain	representation of the audio signal [representation of the audio signal in	
representation of the audio	terms of the frequencies contained within the signal], the frequency	
signal, the frequency domain	domain representation comprising a set of <i>frequency coefficients</i> [the	
representation comprising a set	components of a sound signal that, together with their corresponding	
of frequency coefficients;	frequencies, characterize the signal];	
(b) calculating a masking	(b) calculating a <i>masking threshold</i> [an estimate of the maximum amount	
threshold based upon the set of	of noise that can be added to a sound signal before the noise can be heard	
frequency coefficients;] based upon the set of <i>frequency coefficients</i> ;	
(c) using a rate loop processor in	(c) using a <i>rate loop processor</i> [hardware or hardware plus software,	
an iterative fashion to determine	capable of looping to meet the required bit rate for a given application] in	
a set of quantization step size	an <i>iterative fashion</i> [to repeat a set of instructions a specified number of	
coefficients for use in encoding	times or until a specific result is achieved] to determine a set of	
the set of frequency coefficients,	quantization [the process of assigning a specific value chosen from a	
said set of quantization step size	<i>limited number of levels or steps</i>] step size coefficients for use in	
coefficients determined by using	encoding the set of <i>frequency coefficients</i> , said set of <i>quantization</i> step	
the masking threshold and an	size coefficients determined by using <i>both</i> the <i>masking threshold</i> and <i>an</i>	
absolute hearing threshold; and	absolute hearing threshold [an estimate of the quietest sounds that a	
	human can hear]; and	
(d) coding the set of frequency	(d) coding the set of <i>frequency coefficients</i> based upon the set of	
coefficients based upon the set of <i>quantization</i> step size coefficients.		
quantization step size		
coefficients.		
Claim 2		
The method of claim 1 wherein	The method of claim 1 wherein the set of <i>frequency coefficients</i> are	
the set of frequency coefficients	MDCT coefficients [frequency coefficients resulting from using the	
are MDCT coefficients.	modified discrete cosine transform method for converting sound signals	
	from the time domain to the frequency domain].	
Claim 3		
The method of claim 1 wherein	The method of claim 1 wherein the using the <i>rate loop processor</i> in the	

EXHIBIT A-Johnston '080 Reissue Patent

the using the rate loop processor	<i>iterative fashion</i> is discontinued when a cost, measured by the number of
in the iterative fashion is	bits necessary to code the set of <i>frequency coefficients</i> , is within a
discontinued when a cost,	predetermined range.
measured by the number of bits	
necessary to code the set of	
frequency coefficients, is within	
a predetermined range.	
Claim 4	
A decoder for decoding a set of	A decoder for decoding a set of <i>frequency coefficients</i> representing an
frequency coefficients	audio signal, the decoder comprising:
representing an audio signal, the	
decoder comprising:	
(a) means for receiving the set	Function: receiving the set of coefficients
of coefficients, the set of	
frequency coefficients having	
been encoded by:	

	Structure: (as described in the specification at Col. 23:59-Col. 24:1), a
	digital signal processor (DSP), a DSP with software, VLSI hardware
	embodiments, or hybrid DSP/VLSI embodiments.
(1) converting a time domain	(1) converting a <i>time domain representation of the audio signal</i> [
representation of the audio signal	representation of the audio signal over time] into a <i>frequency domain</i>
into a frequency domain	representation of the audio signal [representation of the audio signal in
representation of the audio signal	terms of the frequencies contained within the signal] comprising the set of
comprising the set of frequency	frequency coefficients;
coefficients;	
(2) calculating a masking	(2) calculating a <i>masking threshold</i> based upon the set of <i>frequency</i>
threshold based upon the set of	coefficients;
frequency coefficients;	
(3) using a rate loop processor in	(3) using a <i>rate loop processor</i> in an <i>iterative fashion</i> to determine a set
an iterative fashion to determine	of <i>quantization</i> step size coefficients needed to encode the set of
a set of quantization step size	frequency coefficients, said set of quantization step size coefficients
coefficients needed to encode the	determined by using the <i>masking threshold</i> and <i>an absolute hearing</i>
set of frequency coefficients, said	<i>threshold;</i> and
set of quantization step size	
coefficients determined by using	
the masking threshold and an	
absolute hearing threshold; and	
(4) coding the set of frequency	(4) coding the set of <i>frequency coefficients</i> based upon the set of
coefficients based upon the set of	quantization step size coefficients; and
quantization step size	
coefficients; and	
(b) means for converting the	Function: converting the set of coefficients to a time domain signal.
set of coefficients to a time	
domain signal.	

Structure: (as described in the specification at Col. 23:59-Col. 24:1), a digital signal processor (DSP) a DSP with software, VLSI hardware embodiments, or hybrid DSP/VLSI embodiments.

EXHIBIT B-Johnston '080 Reissue Patent

Absolute Hearing Threshold-an estimate of the quietest sounds that a human can hear

Audio Signal-a sound signal

Frequency Coefficients-the components of a sound signal that, together with their corresponding frequencies, characterize the signal

Frequency domain representation of the audio signal-representation of the audio signal in terms of the frequencies contained within the signal

Iterative Fashion-to repeat a set of instructions a specified number of times or until a specific result is achieved

Masking Threshold-an estimate of the maximum amount of noise that can be added to a sound signal before the noise can be heard

MDCT Coefficients-frequency coefficients resulting from using the modified discrete cosine transform method for converting sound signals from the time domain to the frequency domain

Quantization-the process of assigning a specific value chosen from a limited number of levels or steps

Rate Loop Processor-hardware or hardware plus software, capable of looping to meet the required bit rate for a given application

Time domain representation of the audio signal-representation of the audio signal over time

S.D.Cal.,2006. Lucent Technologies, Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.

Produced by Sans Paper, LLC.