

United States District Court,
D. Oregon.

COLLEGENET, INC., a Delaware corporation,
Plaintiff.

v.

XAP CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,
Defendant.

Civil No. 03-1229-BR

March 8, 2006.

Michael N. Zachary, Scott E. Davis, Stephen J. Joncus, Klarquist Sparkman, Portland, OR, Susan E. Foster, Perkins Coie, LLP, Seattle, WA, Pro Hac Vice, for Attorneysfor Plaintiff CollegeNET, Inc.

Stephen S. Ford, Marger Johnson & Mccollom, P.C., Lynn H. Pasahow, Charlene M. Morrow, Virginia K. DeMarchi, Fenwick & West LLP, Mountain View, CA, for Attorneys for Defendant XAP Corporation.

ORDER ON CLAIM CONSTRUCTION

ANNA JAY BROWN, District Judge.

On the parties' respective motions for reconsideration of certain claim construction rulings (Dkt.Nos.220, 223), the Court adopted on January 11, 2006, the following constructions of claim terms:

Claim Term	Construction by the Court
"a"	"one or more"
"format"	"the arrangement of data for storage or display"
"in a format specified by the institution"	"The institution chooses from the third party one or more data formats that allow the institution to use the data without having to convert it."
"relieving" as in the phrase, "relieving the institution of the administrative burden of processing forms and payments"	"The described acts of the third party eliminate the administrative burden to the institution of processing forms or payments."

The Court's claim construction rulings made by adopting the October 29, 2004, Findings & Recommendation (Dkt. No. 126) on May 13, 2005 (Dkt. No. 179) otherwise remain unchanged.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

D.Or.,2006.
Collegenet, Inc. v. XAP Corp.

Produced by Sans Paper, LLC.